

RECEIVED  
FEDERAL ELECTION  
COMMISSION  
SECRETARIAT

FEB 12 11 29 AM '01



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20463

February 12, 2001

**MEMORANDUM**

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: James A. Pehrkonig  
Staff Director

FROM: Lois G. Lerner  
Acting General Counsel

N. Bradley Litchfield *NBL (RCS)*  
Associate General Counsel

Michael Marinelli *mm*  
Staff Attorney

SUBJECT: Draft AO 2001-01 Alternative Drafts

**AGENDA ITEM**  
For Meeting of: 2-15-01

**SUBMITTED LATE**

Attached are two proposed drafts of the subject advisory opinion. We request that both drafts be placed on the agenda for February 15, 2001.

These drafts address various questions regarding the scope of the office building fund exception. The main difference between the two drafts is on the question of whether fundraising expenses for the office building fund are themselves entitled to be part of the office building fund exception. Draft A, which the Office of General Counsel recommends for approval, answers the question in the positive. This position represents a change from the initial position taken in the White Draft circulated to the Commission on January 29, 2001 and was occasioned by a re-examination of the relevant statutes and regulations as well as through conversation with various Commission offices. However, because several Commissioners had expressed initial support of the White Draft, this Office is circulating Alternative Draft B to offer another possible approach. This draft incorporates the reasoning of the White Draft and answers the above question in the negative. Bolded print is used in Draft A to indicate where it differs from Draft B.

Attachments



1 **Alternative Draft A**

2  
3 **ADVISORY OPINION 2001-01**

4  
5 Scott R. Falmlen, Executive Director  
6 North Carolina Democratic Party  
7 220 Hillsborough Street  
8 Raleigh, NC 27603  
9

**DRAFT**

10 Dear Mr. Falmlen:

11  
12 This refers to your letter dated January 4, 2001, on behalf of the North Carolina  
13 Democratic Party ("the Party") concerning the application of the Federal Election  
14 Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission regulations to the use  
15 of an office building fund, maintained by the Party, for various purposes related to the  
16 renovation of the Party's office building.

17 You state that the Party is preparing to embark on a wholesale restoration and  
18 renovation of its historic headquarters building in Raleigh, NC.<sup>1</sup> You believe, based on  
19 past policy and opinions of the Commission, that the actual expenditures for the  
20 restoration and renovation, machinery and equipment, furniture and fixtures, and other  
21 similar property may be made from the Party's office building fund.<sup>2</sup> However, you seek  
22 clarification on whether the office building fund can be used for spending in several  
23 specific areas.

24 You ask whether expenditures such as construction management and architectural  
25 fees, directly and solely related to the restoration and renovation project, may be paid

---

<sup>1</sup> You state that the renovation will be extensive, requiring external and interior work of both a structural and cosmetic nature. The anticipated cost of the project is \$1.5 to 2.5 million necessitating a full-time fundraising effort. The Party headquarters is housed in a building it owns, the Goodwin House, built in 1903 and designated as a Raleigh Historic Site in 1980.

<sup>2</sup> You cite to the Commission's conclusions in Advisory Opinion 1998-7 to support your position.

1 from the Party's office building fund. You explain that a construction manager is the  
2 equivalent of a general contractor. This is an individual or firm that, while not actually  
3 doing any of the actual renovation work, would oversee and manage the work of the  
4 various subcontractors involved in the renovation project. You also ask whether, based  
5 on the funds received method of allocating expenses, fundraising expenses may be paid  
6 from the Party's office building fund. Specifically, you wish to know whether the office  
7 building fund could be used to pay the salary or fees **and other expenses** of an employee  
8 or consultant whose sole responsibility is to raise funds for the office building fund.<sup>3</sup>

9 ***ACT AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS***

10  
11 Under the Act and Commission regulations, a gift, subscription, loan, advance, or  
12 deposit of money or anything of value made to a national committee or a State committee  
13 of a political party, that is specifically designated to defray the costs incurred for  
14 construction or purchase of an office facility, is not considered to be a contribution or  
15 expenditure, provided that the facility is not acquired for the purpose of influencing the  
16 election of any candidate in any particular election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C.  
17 §431(8)(B)(viii); 11 CFR 100.7(b)(12), 100.8(b)(13), and 114.1(a)(2)(ix). The  
18 Commission has applied these provisions to permit State party committees and national  
19 party committees to accept corporate and labor union donations to office building funds  
20 (or accounts) established and used for the purpose of purchasing or constructing an office

---

<sup>3</sup> In a phone conversation with Commission staff you identified the other expenses as the health insurance benefits, travel expenses and the employer's portion of the withholding tax associated with this employee.

1 facility by the cited party committees. Advisory Opinions 1997-14, 1993-9, 1991-5,  
2 1986-40, and 1983-8; *see also* Advisory Opinions 1998-8, 1998-7 and 1996-8.

3 Commission regulations at 11 CFR 106.5 provide that party committees that make  
4 disbursements in connection with Federal and non-Federal elections "shall make those  
5 disbursements entirely from funds subject to the prohibitions and limitations of the Act,  
6 or from accounts established pursuant to 11 CFR 102.5," which provides for the  
7 establishment of Federal and non-Federal accounts. 11 CFR 106.5(a) and 102.5(a). Party  
8 committees that establish separate Federal and non-Federal accounts shall allocate  
9 specific categories of expenses between those two accounts according to section 106.5.

10 **When one Fundraising program or event is held to collect Federal funds (i.e.,**  
11 **funds to be used in Federal elections) and non-Federal funds (i.e., funds to be used**  
12 **in nonfederal elections), the sponsoring committee must allocate the direct costs of**  
13 **the activity, including planning, administrative and solicitation costs. 11 CFR**  
14 **106.5(a)(2)(ii). Party committees must use the funds received allocation method for**  
15 **these fundraising expenses. 11 CFR 106.5(f)**

16 **Under this approach, the costs are allocated according to the ratio of Federal**  
17 **funds received to total receipts for the program or event. The allocation ratio is**  
18 **estimated before making payments for the program or event. The committee has up**  
19 **to 60 days after the ending date of the program or event to: (1) adjust the ratio**  
20 **based on the actual funds received; and (2) transfer funds from the non-Federal**

1 **account to the Federal (or allocation) account based on the adjusted allocation**  
2 **percentage. 11 CFR 106.5(f)(2).<sup>4</sup>**

3 ***APPLICATION TO PARTY PROPOSAL***

4  
5 *Construction management and architectural fees*

6 In the Commission's consideration of what constitutes the proper use of the office  
7 building fund, the Commission has drawn a parallel between permissible uses of the  
8 office building fund and the description and treatment of capital expenditures found in the  
9 Internal Revenue Code and related IRS regulations. Under the IRS regulations, a capital  
10 expenditure includes the cost of the acquisition, construction, or erection of buildings,  
11 machinery and equipment, furniture and fixtures and similar property. 26 CFR 1.263(a)-1  
12 and 1.263(a)-2. The Commission has concluded that items that would fall under the  
13 category of capital expenditures would also be considered the type of expenditures that  
14 are legitimately part of the construction of a political party's office facility. See Advisory  
15 Opinion 1998-7. In this instance, the Commission notes that "the amount expended for  
16 architect's services" are explicitly listed in IRS regulations as an example of a capital  
17 expenditure. See 26 CFR 1.263(a)-2(d). The Commission concludes that the office  
18 building fund may be used to pay for architectural fees directly and solely related to the  
19 restoration and renovation project for Party headquarters.

20 With regard to construction management expenses, the Commission notes that the  
21 expenses relating to the construction manager directly relate to the actual renovation of

---

<sup>4</sup> Should additional Federal receipts come in after the 60-day period, further ratio adjustments and reimbursements from the Federal account to the non-Federal account will be necessary. However, while the Federal account may pay more than its share of an allocable expense, overpayments by the non-Federal account are illegal. *Id.*

1 **the Party's headquarters.** These are direct construction costs. Therefore, the office  
2 building fund may be used to pay for these expenses as well.

3 *Fund raising costs*

4 **The Commission notes your statement that fundraising is necessary to cover**  
5 **the costs of the renovation, and therefore concludes that these fundraising costs are**  
6 **directly related to the construction of the Party headquarters.<sup>5</sup> Again, you have**  
7 **stated that these costs are to cover the salary or fee of an employee whose sole**  
8 **responsibility is raising funds for the office building fund. The Commission**  
9 **concludes that the salary and other related fundraising expenses solely related to the**  
10 **raising of funds for the office building fund are also covered by 2 U.S.C.**  
11 **§431(8)(B)(viii).**

12 **You have also asked that the Commission permit you to use the funds**  
13 **received method of allocation for the expenses of paying this individual's salary.**  
14 **The Commission notes, however, that the funds received method of allocation is**  
15 **used with multi-purpose fundraising events that are intended to collect**  
16 **contributions for the Party's Federal and non-Federal activities. This is not the**  
17 **situation presented in your request. Instead, where the fundraising is solely for the**

---

<sup>5</sup> Your situation is distinguishable from past situations where the Commission has determined that the building fund exception was unavailable. For example, in Advisory Opinion 1983-8, the Commission concluded that the office building fund exception did not apply to donations to pay for the costs of the property taxes, assessments, charges and other expenses incurred by a trust that administered a party's office facilities. In Advisory Opinion 1988-12, the Commission similarly concluded that the office building fund exception did not cover rent, building maintenance, utility, office equipment expenses and other administrative expenses of a party headquarters. In contrast to these opinions, the fundraising expenses at issue would directly relate to the construction of the party office building rather than its administration.

Of some relevance is Advisory Opinion 1993-9 where the Commission concluded that a Party could establish an office building fund to pay off the land contract on its current headquarters so that it could sell the property and use the proceeds toward the purchase or construction of new office

1 **building fund, there is no need to allocate the expenses. Consequently, the full**  
2 **amount of the salary, fees and other related expenses of the employee or consultant**  
3 **who is working exclusively to raise money for the restoration and renovation project**  
4 **may be paid from the building fund.<sup>6</sup>**

5 This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the  
6 Act, or regulations prescribed by the Commission, to the specific transaction or activity  
7 set forth in your request. *See* 2 U.S.C. §437f.

8 Sincerely,

9  
10 Danny L. McDonald  
11 Chairman

12  
13 Enclosures (AOs 1998-8, 1998-7, 1997-14, 1996-8, 1993-9, 1991-5, 1986-40 and 1983-8)  
14

---

**facilities. However this opinion did not address whether fundraising costs could also be paid out of the office building fund.**

<sup>6</sup> The Commission notes that you have not asked whether any law of North Carolina would be pre-empted by 2 U.S.C. §431(8)(B)(viii) if that law impeded the ability to raise funds for the Party's headquarters. Accordingly, while this opinion does not consider any issue relating to the laws of North Carolina, other opinions have examined the extent to which State laws were pre-empted by the operation of section 431(8) and 2 U.S.C. §453. *See* Advisory Opinions 1998-8, 1998-7, 1997-14, 1993-9, 1991-5 and 1986-40. However, while the Commission has construed the Act and Congressional intent to require disclosure of office building fund activity by the national party committees in reports they file with the Commission (*see* 11 CFR 104.8(f) and 104.9(d)), it has also concluded that any State level disclosure requirements regarding a State party office building fund are not pre-empted or superseded by the Act or Commission regulations. *See* Advisory Opinions 1997-14 and 1991-5.



1 **Alternative Draft B**

2  
3 **ADVISORY OPINION 2001-01**

4  
5 Scott R. Falmlen, Executive Director  
6 North Carolina Democratic Party  
7 220 Hillsborough Street  
8 Raleigh, NC 27603  
9

**DRAFT**

10 Dear Mr. Falmlen:

11  
12 This refers to your letter dated January 4, 2001, on behalf of the North Carolina  
13 Democratic Party ("the Party") concerning the application of the Federal Election  
14 Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission regulations to the use  
15 of an office building fund, maintained by the Party, for various purposes related to the  
16 renovation of the Party's office building.

17 You state that the Party is preparing to embark on a wholesale restoration and  
18 renovation of its historic headquarters building in Raleigh, NC.<sup>1</sup> You believe, based on  
19 past policy and opinions of the Commission, that the actual expenditures for the  
20 restoration and renovation, machinery and equipment, furniture and fixtures, and other  
21 similar property may be made from the Party's office building fund.<sup>2</sup> However, you seek  
22 clarification on whether the office building fund can be used for spending in several  
23 specific areas.

24 You ask whether expenditures such as construction management and architectural  
25 fees, directly and solely related to the restoration and renovation project, may be paid

---

<sup>1</sup> You state that the renovation will be extensive, requiring external and interior work of both a structural and cosmetic nature. The anticipated cost of the project is \$1.5 to 2.5 million necessitating a full-time fundraising effort. The Party headquarters is housed in a building it owns, the Goodwin House, built in 1903 and designated as a Raleigh Historic Site in 1980.

<sup>2</sup> You cite to the Commission's conclusions in Advisory Opinion 1998-7 to support your position.

1 from the Party's office building fund. You explain that a construction manager is the  
2 equivalent of a general contractor. This is an individual or firm that, while not actually  
3 doing any of the actual renovation work, would oversee and manage the work of the  
4 various subcontractors involved in the renovation project. You also ask whether, based  
5 on the funds received method of allocating expenses, fundraising expenses may be paid  
6 from the Party's office building fund. Specifically, you wish to know whether the office  
7 building fund could be used to pay the salary or fees and other expenses of an employee  
8 or consultant whose sole responsibility is to raise funds for the office building fund.<sup>3</sup>

9 ***ACT AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS***

10  
11 Under the Act and Commission regulations, a gift, subscription, loan, advance, or  
12 deposit of money or anything of value made to a national committee or a State committee  
13 of a political party, that is specifically designated to defray the costs incurred for  
14 construction or purchase of an office facility, is not considered to be a contribution or  
15 expenditure, provided that the facility is not acquired for the purpose of influencing the  
16 election of any candidate in any particular election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C.  
17 §431(8)(B)(viii); 11 CFR 100.7(b)(12), 100.8(b)(13), and 114.1(a)(2)(ix). The  
18 Commission has applied these provisions to permit State party committees and national  
19 party committees to accept corporate and labor union donations to office building funds  
20 (or accounts) established and used for the purpose of purchasing or constructing an office

---

<sup>3</sup> In a phone conversation with Commission staff you identified the other expenses as the health insurance benefits, travel expenses and the employer's portion of the withholding tax associated with this employee.

1 facility by the cited party committees. Advisory Opinions 1997-14, 1993-9, 1991-5,  
2 1986-40, and 1983-8; *see also* Advisory Opinions 1998-8, 1998-7 and 1996-8.

3 Commission regulations at 11 CFR 106.5 provide that party committees that make  
4 disbursements in connection with Federal and non-Federal elections "shall make those  
5 disbursements entirely from funds subject to the prohibitions and limitations of the Act,  
6 or from accounts established pursuant to 11 CFR 102.5," which provides for the  
7 establishment of Federal and non-Federal accounts. 11 CFR 106.5(a) and 102.5(a). Party  
8 committees that establish separate Federal and non-Federal accounts shall allocate  
9 specific categories of expenses between those two accounts according to section 106.5.  
10 One of these categories is administrative expenses, including rent, utilities, office  
11 supplies, and salaries, except for expenses directly attributable to a clearly identified  
12 candidate. 11 CFR 106.5(a)(2)(i).

13 Commission regulations provide that state party committees with separate Federal  
14 and non-Federal accounts shall allocate their administrative expenses and generic voter  
15 drive costs between those accounts using the "ballot composition method." This method  
16 is based on the ratio of Federal offices to total Federal and non-Federal offices expected  
17 on the ballot in the State's next general election. 11 CFR 106.5(d)(1)(i). The ballot  
18 composition ratio is determined at the start of each two-year Federal election cycle, in  
19 accordance with a point system set out in 11 CFR 106.5.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> The offices of President, United States Senator, and United States Representative count as one Federal point each, and the offices of Governor, State Senator, and State Representative count as one non-Federal point each, if expected on the ballot in the next general election. If other partisan statewide executive candidates will be on the ballot, these offices count as no more than two non-Federal points in the ratio. Similarly, if any partisan local offices are expected on the ballot in any regularly scheduled election during the two-year cycle, these offices count as one non-Federal point. Finally, the rules also allow state parties to include an additional, generic non-Federal point. 11 CFR 106.5(d)(1)(ii).

**1 APPLICATION TO PARTY PROPOSAL****2**  
**3           Construction management and architectural fees**

**4           In the Commission's consideration of what constitutes the proper use of the office**  
**5 building fund, the Commission has drawn a parallel between permissible uses of the**  
**6 office building fund and the description and treatment of capital expenditures found in the**  
**7 Internal Revenue Code and related IRS regulations. Under the IRS regulations, a capital**  
**8 expenditure includes the cost of the acquisition, construction, or erection of buildings,**  
**9 machinery and equipment, furniture and fixtures and similar property. 26 CFR 1.263(a)-1**  
**10 and 1.263(a)-2. The Commission has concluded that items that would fall under the**  
**11 category of capital expenditures would also be considered the type of expenditures that**  
**12 are legitimately part of the construction of a political party's office facility. See Advisory**  
**13 Opinion 1998-7. In this instance, the Commission notes that "the amount expended for**  
**14 architect's services" are explicitly listed in IRS regulations as an example of a capital**  
**15 expenditure. See 26 CFR 1.263(a)-2(d). The Commission concludes that the office**  
**16 building fund may be used to pay for architectural fees directly and solely related to the**  
**17 restoration and renovation project for Party headquarters.**

**18           With regard to construction management expenses, the Commission notes that the**  
**19 expenses relating to the construction manager directly relate to the actual renovation of**  
**20 the Party's headquarters. These are direct construction costs. Therefore, the office**  
**21 building fund may be used to pay for these expenses as well.**

**22           Fund raising costs**

**23           The situation, however, is different with regard to the expenses of raising funds**  
**24 for the office building fund. The expenses that may be covered by 2 U.S.C.**

1 §431(8)(B)(viii) apply only to direct expenses involved in the actual purchase or  
2 construction of a party headquarters. For example, in Advisory Opinion 1983-8, the  
3 Commission concluded that the office building fund exception did not apply to donations  
4 to pay for the costs of the property taxes, assessments, charges and other expenses  
5 incurred by a trust that administered a party's office facilities. In Advisory Opinion 1988-  
6 12, the Commission similarly concluded that the office building fund exception did not  
7 cover rent, building maintenance, utility, office equipment expenses and other  
8 administrative expenses of a party headquarters.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, the Commission concludes  
9 that the Party may not use its office building fund to pay for fundraising expenses to  
10 solicit donations that will be expended for the construction or renovation of the Party's  
11 headquarters.

12 The expenses that the Commission has previously concluded did not fall within  
13 the office building fund exception were administrative and overhead costs associated with  
14 the building headquarters. The fees and salaries for fundraising services that you describe  
15 in your request are a similar type of expense associated with the office building fund. As  
16 noted above, a State party's administrative and overhead expenses (including amounts  
17 paid for salaries) are divided into separate portions that may be allocated between the  
18 Party's Federal and non-Federal accounts using the ballot composition method for the  
19 current election cycle.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, while the Party's office building fund may not be used

---

<sup>5</sup> In Advisory Opinion 1993-9, the Commission concluded that a Party could establish an office building fund to pay off the land contract on its current headquarters so that it could sell the property and use the proceeds toward the purchase or construction of new office facilities. This opinion, as well as previous opinions, did not address whether fundraising costs could also be paid out of the office building fund.

<sup>6</sup> Although not explicitly raised in your request, there may be expenses related to a multi-purpose fundraising event which is intended to collect contributions for the Party's building fund, as well as for its Federal and non-Federal election activities. The Commission notes that 11 CFR 106.5(f) discusses the allocation of direct costs for mixed fundraising events, but does not mention the treatment of building fund

1 to pay the fundraising expenses you describe in your proposal, a certain portion of these  
2 costs may nonetheless be paid with funds raised pursuant to State law rather than Federal  
3 law.<sup>7</sup>

4 This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the  
5 Act, or regulations prescribed by the Commission, to the specific transaction or activity  
6 set forth in your request. *See* 2 U.S.C. §437f.

7 Sincerely,

8  
9 Danny L. McDonald  
10 Chairman

11  
12 Enclosures (AOs 1998-8, 1998-7, 1997-14, 1996-8, 1993-9, 1991-5, 1986-40 and 1983-8)

13  
14  
15  

---

fundraising expenses. In these situations, the Commission suggests the following: The Party should follow the requirements of section 106.5(f) and divide the fundraising expenses into portions to be paid from the Federal account or non-Federal account according to the fund received allocation approach as described in the regulations. The Party should, however, split whatever share that may be attributable to the building fund into equal portions to be paid by the Federal and non-Federal accounts.

<sup>7</sup> The Commission notes that you have not asked whether any law of North Carolina would be pre-empted by 2 U.S.C. §431(8)(B)(viii) if that law impeded the ability to raise funds for the Party's headquarters. Accordingly, while this opinion does not consider any issue relating to the laws of North Carolina, other opinions have examined the extent to which State laws were pre-empted by the operation of section 431(8) and 2 U.S.C. §453. *See* Advisory Opinions 1998-8, 1998-7, 1997-14, 1993-9, 1991-5 and 1986-40. However, while the Commission has construed the Act and Congressional intent to require disclosure of office building fund activity by the national party committees in reports they file with the Commission (*see* 11 CFR 104.8(f) and 104.9(d)), it has also concluded that any State level disclosure requirements regarding a State party office building fund are not pre-empted or superseded by the Act or Commission regulations. *See* Advisory Opinions 1997-14 and 1991-5.