

Charles Hallett <cehallett@comcast.net> Sent by: chuck.cehallett@gmail.com To sdotzler@fec.gov

CC

bcc

05/22/2012 08:05 PM

Subject Re: Draft Final Audit Report

History: 

This message has been replied to and forwarded.

To the second	Oxic	Takan-	કાર્યુગાનું કાર્યુગાનું
· 真水: Petralionilige i	(015/22)/220/12	(019£233 (A)Y()	ंगहर्गाः । नामका Awelli (त्रेस्त्रुग् <b>रा</b> मः
· Chènes Vallen	(5) (0)5/2/2/2011/2	্যারেটি (ইছে।	ক্ষিত ডিলোটনীটনা সংগ্ৰীটেকিল্ল
Objites Hallen	( <b>0]</b> \$/[2237/2]@1[12	ીહું લુકોને જાણને	See Orefit ก็การแล้งเขี
Charles Halled	( <b>)5</b> //2/5/2001/2	10647 /446	Prop. Linguis Pine

## Scott

Nice hearing from you and I apologize for not getting back to you sooner..

Unless I missed something (feel free to telephone me), it appears that the audit confirmed "inexperienced staff and volunteers" and I am not aware of any reason to object or to have a hearing,

It appeared to me that the bookkeeping and clerical errors were unfortunate, but definitely unintentional. I was surprised that CMIDI made certain oversights (carry over balance of cash, etc.).

Bottom line, it appears the FEC identified these differences. Between Pat Shortridge and CMDI the differences were all explained and/or corrected, and, there are no penalties or fines that appear to warrant the campaign appealing your findings. Is that correct? In other words, I cannot see a "downside" by accepting your report.

Please thank your staff for their efforts and I wish you all the best. As you know, this was my first experience with the FEC. Please let me know if I misunderstood anything in the response.

All the best.

Charles E. (Chuck) Hallett, CPA, CGMA