# United States Court of Appeals

For The District of Columbia Circuit

### No. 23-5216

## September Term, 2023

#### 1:22-cv-02876-CRC

Filed On: March 8, 2024

Barbara W. Palmer,

Appellant

v.

Federal Election Commission,

Appellee

BEFORE: Henderson, Millett, and Walker, Circuit Judges

#### 

Upon consideration of the motion for summary affirmance, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion for summary affirmance be granted. The merits of the parties' positions are so clear as to warrant summary action. <u>See Taxpayers</u> <u>Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley</u>, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam). The district court correctly dismissed appellant's complaint for lack of standing. <u>See Campaign Legal Ctr. v. FEC</u>, 860 F. App'x 1, 4 (D.C. Cir. 2021) (per curiam) ("[A]n interest in proper administration of the laws (specifically, in agencies' observance of a particular statutorily prescribed procedure) is a generic interest in good government that is shared equally by all citizens and does not amount to a concrete or particularized Article III injury"); <u>Common Cause v. FEC</u>, 108 F.3d 413, 419 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (per curiam) (holding the Federal Election Campaign Act's citizen-suit provision "does not confer standing; it confers a right to sue upon parties who otherwise already have standing").

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

#### Per Curiam