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INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) completed an inspection of the Federal Election Commission’s (FEC or Commission) Occupant Emergency Plan. Federal Property Management Regulations require each Federal agency that occupies space in a federally-owned or General Services Administration (GSA)-leased facility to establish and maintain an Occupant Emergency Plan (OEP). An OEP is a facility's short term response program for safeguarding lives and property during emergencies. The purpose of our inspection was to assess the Commission’s emergency preparedness plan and program. Specifically, our objectives were to:

1. Evaluate the Commission’s OEP to determine whether the plan adequately meets all GSA requirements;
2. Determine whether the Commission’s OEP addresses the special needs of the physically challenged; and
3. Determine whether the Commission’s OEP is effectively communicated to staff.

BACKGROUND

Emergency preparedness programs are critical to ensuring the safety and security of federal employees and visitors. In June of 1995, President Clinton directed an assessment of all federal facilities to address safety concerns raised by the April 1995 bombing of the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City. Robert B. Reich, the Secretary of Labor, additionally affirmed federal managers’ responsibility to ensure that an emergency plan is in effect for each workplace and that it is frequently updated. Most recently, with the September 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon, new questions concerning the status of emergency preparedness of all Federal agencies need to be addressed.

An OEP is an essential part of an emergency preparedness program. It has two components. First, there are the procedures to protect life and property in federally-occupied space under certain emergency conditions. Second, there is the agency’s Occupant Emergency Organization, comprised of employees who undertake certain responsibilities and perform the specific tasks outlined in the OEP. In the event of an emergency, properly developed plans can reduce the threat to personnel, property, and other assets while minimizing work disruption. The plan must provide guidance for occupants to follow in the event of an emergency to protect themselves and other personnel within the office, building, or facility.
Personnel safety is the primary concern of any occupant emergency plan. However, just as important is the protection of the facility, property, and equipment. For these reasons, all possible situations must be addressed so that all personnel involved will know what procedures to follow or where to obtain the necessary information.

GSA’s Federal Protective Service (FPS) is responsible for conducting an annual review to insure that OEPs are current and adequate. GSA’s specific responsibilities include issuing emergency program guidance, conducting physical security surveys, reviewing plans and organizations annually, and ensuring proper administration of the emergency organization program. Each federally-owned or GSA-leased facility undergoes an initial security risk assessment. According to FPS, physical security surveys are completed every three years for level three facilities such as the Commission.

The FEC’s OEP was jointly developed with the Bureau of Public Debt over 10 years ago. The Commission shares occupancy of the 999 E street building with the Bureau of Public Debt. The Bureau of Public Debt occupies the third and fifth floor whereas the FEC occupies the remaining floors. This plan applies to all employees in the 999 E street building. Commission Directive 40 is the implementing guidance for the agency’s emergency preparedness program and OEP. At the Commission, the Staff Director is responsible for managing the development of the OEP, staffing and the training of the Occupant Emergency Organization. The FEC’s initial security risk assessment was completed by FPS in 1992. The agency received another abbreviated security assessment review in May of 1999.

**SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY**

Our inspection was conducted from October through December 2001. To accomplish the inspection objectives, we reviewed the FEC’s Occupant Emergency Plan (OEP) and the applicable federal regulations and laws. Our inspection included discussions with the Commission’s Physical Security Specialist, members of the Occupant Emergency Organization, the agency’s Federal Protective Service Representative and Commission employees. We also contacted officials at various federal agencies to obtain additional information regarding emergency plan development and employee evacuation training. Specifically, we contacted the Agency for International Development, Corporation for Public Broadcasting, Federal Housing Finance Board, Federal Labor Relations Authority, Federal Trade Commission, and the National Labor Relations Board. We conducted a physical observation of the Commission’s building facility. We also observed practice evacuations (i.e. fire drills).

In addition, we surveyed the Commission staff via a questionnaire to assess their knowledge of occupant emergency policies and procedures. Of the questionnaires sent to 91 employees, 30 were filled out and returned, a response
rate of 33 percent. Appendixes I and II show the instructions to the respondents, survey instrument and a sampling of survey results.

We conducted our inspection in accordance with the Quality Standards for Inspections issued by the Presidents Council on Integrity and Efficiency (PCIE).

INSPECTION RESULTS

COMPLIANCE WITH GSA REQUIREMENTS

Based on our overall assessment of the Commission's OEP, the OIG determined that the agency's emergency plan generally satisfied GSA's federally mandated requirements. As required, the agency established and maintained an OEP that consists of two components: (1) procedures to protect life and property in federally-owned space during emergency conditions and (2) an Occupant Emergency Organization comprised of a Command Center Team and Floor Team Members. However, we found portions of the plan to be inaccurate and incomplete. We also noted several inadequacies in the Commission's OEP which, if taken as a whole, could have a negative impact on the FEC's emergency response program. Specifically, we identified the following:

INACCURATE INFORMATION During the inspection, we attempted to verify vital information contained within the Commission's OEP such as: contact numbers for emergency response teams and members of the Occupant Emergency Organization. The Occupant Emergency Organization members names and telephone numbers are required to be recorded on the emergency plan forms. We found that the plan contained inaccurate telephone numbers for these essential components.

We also noted that the designated relocation site for personnel was inaccurate. The FEC's OEP stated that the primary relocation site was in the rear of the FBI Building. However, the current designated relocation site for employees is the west side of 10th street, across from Ford's Theater. In addition to this change in the primary relocation site, a new alternate relocation site should be designated and included in the Commission's OEP as well.

INCOMPLETE INFORMATION Occupant Emergency Plans are, for the most part, carried out by Floor Teams assigned to each floor of a facility. GSA guidance states that a Floor Team includes a Floor Monitor, Stairwell Monitors, Elevator Monitors, Monitors for the Handicapped, and Exit Monitors. While reviewing the Commission's plan, we found several unassigned monitor positions.
PHYSICALLY CHALLENGED EMPLOYEE ASSIGNED AS A MONITOR  The agency’s plan listed a physically challenged employee as a 9th floor early monitor. The OIG believes this assignment is questionable and should be reconsidered. As stated by GSA guidance, handicap monitors assist physically challenged persons from their workplaces to the elevator, and out of the building. Considering this definition, it would not be appropriate to assign a person who is physically challenged as a monitor.

FIRE DRILL OBSERVATION  The OIG conducted a physical observation of a practice evacuation from the building. During the practice evacuation, we observed the employees moving from their offices to the stair wells and out of the building. Members of the Occupant Emergency Organization assisted in the evacuation of each floor. We noted that monitors are not assigned to the lobby area to assist staff as they exit the building or direct the employees as they leave the facility during an evacuation. Also, employees did not utilize both lobby doors during the evacuation.

NO FORMAL PROCESS EXISTS TO REVIEW, UPDATE, TEST AND APPROVE OEP  We found that portions of the Commission’s OEP were incomplete or inaccurate because no formal process was in place to update, review, test and approve the agency’s OEP. The accuracy of the information contained in the OEP is essential to the successful implementation of emergency procedures. It is imperative that the plan is updated to reflect the most current information possible.

GSA guidance suggest that federal agencies conduct debriefings or “lessons learned sessions” with the members of the Occupant Emergency Organization periodically after an evacuation or practice drill. Sessions such as these could provide vital insight to enhance the agency’s emergency plan and procedures. Management should also ensure that Floor Monitors are aware of their responsibilities as they relate to keeping the Commission’s emergency plan current. Federal policy states that a frequent comprehensive review of the OEP should be performed to verify and validate the information contained within the agency’s plan.

To be effective, a plan must be tested. Evacuation test procedures assist the monitors in becoming familiar with their duties and give occupants an opportunity to experience how an evacuation will transpire. To ensure that alarms, signals, and other methods of communication are in working order, periodic tests of the system may be conducted without an evacuation. Federal regulations advise facilities that experience a high rate of employee turnover or temporary hires, such as the FEC, to consider semi-annual drills.

While the Commission actively participates in practice evacuation drills, the evacuation results are not documented. According to the GSA’s FPS, it is a generally accepted practice to critique an evacuation test and make any
appropriate changes to the OEP. Elements which should be measured during a test include: the time it took to evacuate, the efficiency of the evacuation, the functioning of the monitors and teams, and the overall success of evacuating everyone from the building. If the test identified deficiencies, the OEP should be adjusted and/or additional training should be provided to ensure a workable emergency plan.

The OIG suggested the following to improve the Commission’s OEP: (1) utilize the GSA checklist to ensure compliance with federal regulations; (2) conduct a debriefing or “lessons learned” session with the members of the Occupant Emergency Organization after each evacuation drill and ensure that evacuation test results are documented; (3) assign monitors to the lobby area to assist staff as they exit the building during emergency evacuations and ensure that Commission employees utilize both lobby doors during evacuations; (4) develop a formal process to update, test, and approve the OEP, as well as, amend Commission Directive 40 to include requirement to update the OEP periodically; and (5) continue to examine and evaluate building security (i.e the way the agency controls movement around, and in/out of the building).

We discussed our suggestions with management and they agreed to implement actions to satisfy most of our suggestions. In fact, several of the suggestions have already been implemented. Specifically, management decided to update/modify the OEP quarterly, utilizing the GSA checklist, to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in the plan. Commission Directive 40 was revised to include this requirement. Additionally, members of the Occupant Emergency Organization will be required to attend quarterly meetings to discuss the Agency’s emergency plan and procedures. The vacant monitor positions have been filled and the 9th floor early monitor position was reassigned also. In response to the OIG fire drill observation findings, management has agreed to ensure that members of the Control Center and first Floor Team direct staff while exiting the building to use both front doors.

**OEP DOES NOT ADDRESS THE SPECIAL NEEDS OF THE PHYSICALLY CHALLENGED**

As part of our review, we examined the agency’s OEP to determine whether the special needs of the Commission’s Physically Challenged were addressed. We found that the Commission’s plan lacked specific detailed plans to address their individual needs.

According to federal guidelines, individuals with disabilities, who require assistance, should be identified in the planning process. A monitor should be assigned to each individual to assist him/her in evacuating the area. However, we found that at the time of our inspection, some of the Commission’s physically challenged personnel did not know who had been assigned as their monitor.
Emergency evacuations can be handled most effectively if people know the procedures established. In the case of the physically challenged, these procedures should be communicated in sufficient detail so a person “knows what to do” in an emergency evacuation. These detailed procedures and designated elevator locations should be communicated in writing to the physically challenged staff. None of the physically challenged staff interviewed had received written emergency evacuation procedures. Furthermore, during our inspection of the OEP, we found that the Commission’s plan does not include detailed evacuation plans for the physically challenged employees.

We discussed our concerns with management. They stated that since the September 11th tragedy, a significant amount of effort has been expended to ensure that the Commission’s physically challenged persons are aware of who their monitors are and their specific evacuation procedures. Several attempts have been made to meet with each person after practice drills to verify their safe and smooth evacuation from the building. In addition, all handicap monitors have been provided walkie-talkies.

The OIG believes that continued efforts by management to meet with physically challenged staff will affirm the agency’s commitment to meeting their specific needs. In addition, management should develop detailed emergency procedures that meet the individual needs of the Commission’s physically challenged. Specific evacuation procedures and designated elevator locations should be communicated in writing to the physically challenged staff and their assigned monitors. Actions such as this should eliminate confusion, doubts and most concerns of the physically challenged staff.

**OEP NOT EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATED TO COMMISSION STAFF**

The primary goal of a facility's short term response program is to move individuals from the danger area as safely and rapidly as possible. Good communications are necessary to get the proper word to the appropriate people to avoid confusion. Furthermore, if employees have been well trained, they are more likely to survive an emergency incident. Based on our survey questionnaire results, we believe that several aspects of the Commission’s OEP had *not been effectively communicated* to the staff. Our survey results indicated a high level of uncertainty regarding workplace safety and emergency preparedness. At the time of our survey, over 63% of the survey respondents indicated that they were skeptical about the agency’s emergency plan, policies, and procedures.

Emergency preparedness guidelines suggest that the basic elements of an agency’s OEP, such as evacuation route maps, be posted on bulletin boards and the evacuation procedures repeated through training. Evacuation route maps and the proper alarm system devices are critical in an emergency evacuation. GSA
guidance also states that a list of emergency telephone numbers be made available to all employees. Whereas, our survey respondents indicated that evacuation routes were not posted in the hallways of the Commission. Nor were important emergency contact information and telephone numbers posted throughout Commission. Several survey respondents, however, expressed concern relative to alternate evacuation routes due to the Commission’s close proximity to the FBI Headquarters.

Key personnel, such as the members of the Occupant Emergency Organization, must know which procedures are to be used so that the occupants can be quickly notified and directed to the proper location. Through subsequent interviews with several Floor Team Monitors, we noted inconsistencies in the amount of training each monitor had received. Some of the Floor Monitors indicated that they had received some training at the Floor Monitor meetings. Others reported that they had never attended any meetings and had not received any emergency preparedness training. According to GSA’s FPS, it is generally accepted practice that Occupant Emergency Organizations be trained at least once a year.

The OIG believes that all Commission employees need to learn through training: how to identify potential risks; how to intervene if it is within the employee’s ability; how to avoid danger; and how to react when it occurs. We found that the majority of the survey respondents (97%) had never received any emergency evacuation training other than participating in annual fire drills.

To effectively provide the Commission’s staff with vital information contained in the OEP, the OIG discussed with management several suggestions for improvement. We advised management to: (1) post evacuation routes and emergency contact numbers on bulletin boards and corridors through Commission, (2) list important emergency numbers in Commission staff telephone directory, (3) conduct a semi-annual meeting to update/train Organization Employee Organization members on emergency preparedness procedures to ensure that floor monitors know their assigned responsibilities, (4) develop and conduct a emergency evacuation training session for the Commission staff (5) develop a system for the timely inflow and outflow of information which includes what activities should be taken to return to work, (6) brief new employees on appropriate evacuation/emergency procedures during new employee orientation to ensure that they receive accurate information, and (7) conduct evacuation drills using both the primary and alternate evacuation routes.

While performing the inspection of the Commission’s OEP, management revised and modified the agency’s emergency plan. Dust masks, Emergency Response Team(ERT) post-its, flashlights, CPR shields and additional walkie-talkies were purchased and distributed for use during emergency situations. We applaud the enormous efforts extended by management to strengthen the agency’s emergency preparedness program. The amount of work put into developing a
A comprehensive emergency plan was evident when the FEC preparedness program was compared to other federal agencies. Most of the agencies contacted by the OIG had such no plan.

Several of the OIG’s suggestions have already been implemented to more effectively communicate emergency evacuation procedures to the Commission’s staff. As recommended, management developed and conducted briefings for employees on each floor. The briefing included a review of the evacuation procedures and the items purchased to assist in an evacuation. A GSA video was played for all attendees on the roles and responsibilities of the Floor Team members as well.

In addition, management developed a FEC Broadcast System, and an Emergency Hot Line number for the timely inflow and outflow of emergency evacuation information. The names of the Occupant Emergency Organization, telephone numbers, and emergency evacuation route maps have been posted throughout the Commission as suggested by OIG. Also, Commission Directive 40 has been added to the FEC-wide share drawer to allow all Commission staff to read and/or print the plan at any time.

Furthermore, management agreed to conduct semi-annual training meetings to provide current evacuation information to the monitors and review/update the OEP on a quarterly basis. If modifications are necessary, revisions will be made available to all plan holders. Management has also decided to conduct an evacuation drill using the alternate evacuation route.

The OIG believes that the management's planned and completed actions will provide Commission employees the essential information needed to successfully evacuate the building during emergency situations.

CONCLUSION

Since the recent terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon, Commission employees have expressed increased anxiety and concerns regarding workplace safety and emergency preparedness. The OIG commends management’s efforts to continually update and maintain an effective OEP. We believe that persistent efforts to improve the agency’s emergency program, address the concerns of the physically challenged, as well as, the implementation of the OIG suggestions will provide for a more comprehensive and workable Occupant Emergency Plan.
Occupant Emergency Plan Survey Instructions

The Office of the Inspector General is currently conducting an inspection of the Commission's Occupant Emergency Plan. The primary objectives of the inspection are to:

- Evaluate the Commission's Compliance with GSA's Occupant Emergency Plan requirements and policies and

- Determine whether the Agency's Emergency Plan addresses the special needs of the physically challenged and is effectively communicated to Commission staff.

Emergency preparedness is critical to ensuring the safety and security of federal employees and visitors. In order to gather data concerning the staff's current knowledge of the Commission Emergency Plan, we have developed the attached survey.

We would like to solicit your input. Please print and fill out the attached survey. Completed surveys can be placed in the interoffice mail to the OIG.

If you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at ext.1019 or Lynne Mc Farland at ext. 1015. We would like to thank you for your time and assistance with this project.
OCCUPANT EMERGENCY PLAN SURVEY

To whom should you report an unlawful act or crime?

What should you do if you receive a bomb threat?

Rate your knowledge/familiarity with the agency’s emergency evacuation plan from 5 (very knowledgeable and confident) to 1 (high level of uncertainty).

(63% of the survey respondents reported that they were unsure about the agency’s emergency plan, policies and procedures)

Where do you stand once you leave the building during a fire drill?

Over 23% survey respondents stated that they usually stand in front of the FBI building even though this is no longer a designated personnel relocation site. Commission employees are still unclear as to where they are to go once they evacuate the building.

What visual identifier is used to denote a monitor?

Are monitors visible and helpful?

27% of the survey respondents did not know what visual identifier is used to denote a monitor. (orange hat) 40% did not feel that the monitors were visible and/or helpful.

Do you know where the closest fire alarm box and fire extinguisher is located?

Where should you evacuate to if the front of the building is blocked or unattainable?

During an emergency, what stairwell should you use?

During an emergency, if you found that your assigned stairwell is blocked, what would you do? Are you aware of alternate emergency routes?

Are you familiar with the FEC’s fire reporting procedures? Who should be notified if an alarm was activated due to fire on your floor?

Are emergency evacuation routes or telephone numbers clearly posted on a bulletin board, corridor or anywhere throughout your floor?
Respondents indicated that emergency evacuation routes and telephone numbers are not clearly posted on bulletin boards, corridors or anywhere throughout the Commission.

What medical resources are available and how to reach them?

*Although the survey respondents are aware of the medical resources provided by the nurses on duty, very few employees know which staff members are certified in CPR.*

Are you aware of the first aid/CPR services available here at the FEC?

Have you ever received any type of emergency evacuation training other than participating in fire drills?

*97% of the survey respondents had not received any emergency evacuation training other than participating in fire drills.*

Do you have any additional concerns or issues not addressed above? Suggestions?