**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

**11 CFR Part 111**

**Notice 2007–21**

**Procedural Rules for Probable Cause Hearings**

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.

**ACTION:** Rule of Agency Procedure.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Election Commission (“Commission”) is making permanent a program that allows respondents in enforcement proceedings under the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended (“FECA”), to have a hearing before the Commission. Hearings will take place prior to the Commission’s consideration of the General Counsel’s recommendation on whether to find probable cause to believe that a violation has occurred. The Commission will grant a request for a probable cause hearing if any two commissioners agree to hold a hearing. The program will provide respondents with the opportunity to present arguments to the Commission directly and give the Commission an opportunity to ask relevant questions. Further information about the procedures for the program is provided in the supplementary information that follows.

**DATES:** Effective November 19, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark D. Shonkwiler, Assistant General Counsel, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20463, (202) 694–1650 or (800) 424–9530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Election Commission is making permanent a program to afford respondents in pending enforcement matters the opportunity to participate in hearings (generally through counsel) and present oral arguments directly to the Commissioners, prior to any Commission determination of whether to find probable cause to believe respondents violated FECA.1

I. Background

On June 11, 2003, the Commission held a hearing concerning its enforcement procedures. The Commission received comments from those in the regulated community, many of whom argued for increased transparency in Commission procedures and expanded opportunities to contest allegations.2 In response to issues raised at the hearing, the Commission has made a number of changes and clarifications. These changes and clarifications include allowing respondents to have access to their deposition transcripts, See Statement of Policy Regarding Deposition Transcripts in Nonpublic Investigations, 68 FR 50688 (August 22, 2003), and clarifying questions concerning treasurer liability for violations of the FECA, See Statement of Policy Regarding Treasurers Subject to Enforcement Proceedings, 70 FR 3 (January 3, 2005).

On December 8, 2006, the Commission published a proposal for a pilot program for probable cause hearings, and sought comments from the regulated community. See Proposed Policy Statement Establishing Pilot Program for Probable Cause Hearings, 71 FR 71088 (Dec. 8, 2006). The comment period on the proposed policy statement closed on January 5, 2007. The Commission received four comments, all of which endorsed the proposed pilot program for probable cause hearings. These comments are available at http://www.fec.gov/law/policy.shtml#proposed under the heading “Pilot Program for Probable Cause Hearings.”

On February 8, 2007, the Commission decided by a vote of 6–0 to institute the pilot program. The program went into effect on February 16, 2007. The pilot program was designed to remain in effect for at least eight months, after which time a vote would be scheduled on whether the program should continue. The Commission finds that the pilot program has been successful and hence, is issuing this notice to announce that the Commission has determined to make the program permanent.

II. Procedures for Probable Cause Hearings

A. Opportunity To Request a Hearing

A respondent may request a probable cause hearing when the enforcement process reaches the probable cause determination stage (see 11 CFR 111.16–111.17) and the respondent submits a probable cause response brief to the Office of General Counsel. The General Counsel will attach a cover letter to its probable cause brief to inform the respondent of the opportunity to request an oral hearing before the Commission. See 11 CFR 111.16(b). Hearings are voluntary and no adverse inference will be drawn by the Commission based on a respondent’s request for, or waiver of, such a hearing. The respondent must include a written request for a hearing as a part of the respondent’s filed reply brief under 11 CFR 111.16(c). Each request for a hearing must state with specificity why the hearing is being requested and what issues the respondent expects to address. Absent good cause, to be determined at the sole discretion of the Commission, late requests will not be accepted. Respondents are responsible for ensuring that their requests are timely received. All requests for hearings, scheduling and format inquiries, document submissions, and any other inquiries related to the probable cause hearings should be directed to the Office of General Counsel.

The Commission will grant a request for an oral hearing if any two Commissioners agree that a hearing would help resolve significant or novel legal issues, or significant questions about the application of the law to the facts. The Commission will inform the respondent whether the Commission is granting the respondent’s request within 30 days of receiving the respondent’s brief.

B. Hearing Procedures

The purpose of the oral hearing is to provide a respondent an opportunity to present the respondent’s arguments in person to the Commissioners before the Commission makes a determination as to whether there is “probable cause to believe” that the respondent violated
the Act or Commission regulations. Consistent with current Commission regulations, a respondent may be
represented by counsel, at the respondent’s own expense, or may appear pro se at a probable cause
hearing. See 11 CFR 111.23.
Respondents (or their counsel) will have the opportunity to present their arguments, and Commissioners, the
General Counsel, and the Staff Director will have the opportunity to pose questions to the respondent, or
respondent’s counsel, if represented. At the hearing, respondents are expected to raise only issues that were
identified in the respondent’s hearing request. Such issues must have been previously presented during the
enforcement process, either in the response, during the investigation or pre-probable cause conciliation, or in
the reply brief. Respondents may discuss any issues presented in the enforcement matter, including potential
liability and calculation of a civil penalty, and should be prepared to address questions related to the
complaint, their initial response, and any other material they have submitted to the Commission. The reply brief
should include specific citations to any authorities (including prior Commission actions) on which the respondent
is relying or intends to cite at the hearing. If respondents discover new information after submission of the
reply brief, or need to raise new arguments for similarly extenuating circumstances, they should notify the
Commission as soon as possible prior to the hearing. Commissioners may ask questions on any matter related to the
enforcement proceedings and respondents are free to raise new issues germane to any response.

Hearings are confidential and not open to the public; generally only respondents and their counsel may attend. Attendance by any other parties
must be approved by the Commission in advance.

The Commission will determine the format and time allotted for each hearing at its discretion. Among the
factors that the Commission may consider are agency time constraints, the complexity of the issues raised, the
number of respondents involved, and the extent of Commission interest. The Commission will determine the amount of time allocated for each portion of the
hearing, and each time limit may vary from hearing to hearing. The Commission anticipates that most
hearings will begin with a brief opening statement by respondent or respondent’s counsel, followed by questioning from
the Commissioners, General Counsel, and Staff Director. Hearings will normally conclude with the respondent
or respondent’s counsel’s closing remarks.

Third party witnesses or other co-
respondents may not be called to testify at a respondent’s oral hearing, nor may a respondent’s counsel call
the respondent to testify. However, the Commission may request that the respondent submit supplementary
information or briefing after the probable cause hearing. The Commission discourages voluminous
submissions. Supplementary information may be submitted only upon Commission request and no more
than ten days after such a request from the Commission, unless the
Commission’s request for information imposes a different, Commission-approved deadline. Materials requested by the Commission, and materials
considered by the Commission in making its “probable cause to believe” determination, may be made part of the
public record pursuant to the
Commission’s Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed
Enforcement and Related Files, 68 FR 70426 (Dec. 18, 2003).

The Commission will have transcripts made of the hearings. The transcripts will become a part of the record of the enforcement matter and may be relied
upon for determinations made by the Commission. Respondent may be bound by any representations made by
respondent or respondent’s counsel at a hearing. The Commission will make the transcripts available to the respondent
as soon as practicable after the hearing, and the respondent may purchase copies of the transcript. Transcripts will be
made public after the matter is closed in accordance with Commission policies on disclosure.³

C. Cases Involving Multiple Respondents

In cases involving multiple respondents, the Commission will decide on a case-by-case basis whether to
structure any hearings separately or as joint hearings for all respondents. Respondents are encouraged to advise
the Commission of their preferences. Co-respondents may request joint hearings if each participating co-
respondent provides an unconditional waiver of confidentiality with respect to other participating co-respondents and
their counsel and a nondisclosure agreement. If separate hearings are held, each respondent will have access to the
transcripts from the hearing of that respondent, but transcripts of other co-
respondents’ hearings will not be made available unless co-respondents specifically provide written consent to the
Commission granting access to such transcripts.

D. Scheduling of Hearings

The Commission will seek to hold the hearing in a timely manner after receiving respondents’ request for a
hearing. The Commission will attempt to schedule the hearings at a mutually acceptable date and time. However, if a
respondent is unable to accommodate the Commission’s schedule, the
Commission may decline to hold a hearing. The Commission reserves the right to reschedule any hearing. Where
necessary, the Commission reserves the right to request from a respondent an agreement tolling any upcoming
deadline, including any statutory deadline or other deadline found in 11
CFR part 111.

E. Conclusion

Probable cause hearings are optional and no negative inference will be drawn if respondents do not request a hearing. Currently, the majority of the
Commission’s cases are settled through pre-probable cause conciliation. Proceeding to probable cause briefing requires a substantial investment of the
Commission’s limited resources. Consistent with the goal of expeditious resolution of enforcement matters, the
Commission encourages pre-probable cause conciliation. The Commission has a practice in many cases of reducing the civil penalty it seeks through its
opening settlement offer in pre-probable cause conciliation. However, once pre-
probable cause conciliation has been terminated, this reduction (normally 25%) is no longer available and the civil
penalty will generally increase.

This notice establishes rules of agency practice or procedure. This notice does not constitute an agency regulation requiring notice of proposed
rulemaking, opportunities for public participation, prior publication, and delay effective under 5 U.S.C. 553 of the
605(b), which apply when notice and comment are required by the APA or another statute, are not applicable.


Robert D. Lenhard,
Chairman, Federal Election Commission.

Note: The following Appendix will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

³The Commission’s Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 FR 70426 (Dec. 18, 2003) is hereby amended to include disclosure of transcripts from probable cause hearings.
appeal for a deposition. 11 CFR 111.11–111.12. Any person who is subpoenaed may submit a motion to the Commission for it to be quashed or modified. 11 CFR 111.15. Following a “reason to believe” finding, the Commission may attempt to reach a conciliation agreement with the respondent(s) prior to reaching the “probable cause” stage of enforcement (i.e., a pre-probable cause conciliation agreement). See 11 CFR 111.18(d). If the Commission is unable to reach a pre-probable cause conciliation agreement with the respondent, or determines that such a conciliation agreement would not be appropriate, upon completion of the investigation referenced in the preceding paragraph, the Office of General Counsel prepares a brief setting forth its position on the factual and legal issues of the matter and a recommendation on whether or not the Commission should find “probable cause to believe” that a violation has occurred or is about to occur. 11 CFR 111.16(d).

The Office of General Counsel notifies the respondent(s) of this recommendation and provides a copy of the probable cause brief. 11 CFR 111.16(b). The respondent(s) may file a written response to the probable cause brief within fifteen days of receiving said brief. 11 CFR 111.16(c). After reviewing this response, the Office of General Counsel shall advise the Commission in writing whether it intends to proceed with the recommendation or to withdraw the recommendation from Commission consideration. 11 CFR 111.16(a).

If the Commission determines by an affirmative vote of four members that there is “probable cause to believe” that a respondent has violated the Act or Commission regulations, the Commission authorizes the Office of General Counsel to notify the respondent by letter of this determination. 11 CFR 111.17(a). Upon a Commission finding of “probable cause to believe,” the Commission must attempt to reach a conciliation agreement with the respondent. 11 CFR 111.18(a). If no conciliation agreement is finalized within the time period specified in 11 CFR 111.18(c), the Office of General Counsel may recommend to the Commission that it authorize a civil action for relief in the appropriate court. 11 CFR 111.19(a). Commencement of such civil action requires an affirmative vote of four members of the Commission. 11 CFR 111.19(b). The Commission may enter into a conciliation agreement with respondent after authorizing a civil action. 11 CFR 111.19(c).

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Drug Enforcement Administration
21 CFR Part 1306
[Docket No. DEA–2877F]
RIN 1117–AB01

**Issuance of Multiple Prescriptions for Schedule II Controlled Substances**

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Department of Justice

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) is finalizing a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on September 6, 2006 (71 FR 52724). In that document, DEA proposed to amend its regulations to allow practitioners to provide individual patients with multiple prescriptions, to be filled sequentially, for the same schedule II controlled substance, with such multiple prescriptions having the combined effect of allowing a patient to receive over time up to a 90-day supply of that controlled substance.

**DATES:** Effective Date: This rule is effective December 19, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark W. Caverly, Chief, Liaison and Policy Section, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Telephone (202) 307–7297.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On September 6, 2006, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) published in the Federal Register a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) (71 FR 52724) proposing to amend its regulations to allow practitioners to provide individual patients with multiple prescriptions, to be filled sequentially, for the same schedule II controlled substance, with such multiple prescriptions having the combined effect of allowing a patient to receive over time up to a 90-day supply of that controlled substance.

**Comments Received**

DEA received 264 comments regarding the NPRM. Two hundred thirty-one commenters supported the NPRM, 33 commenters opposed the rulemaking. Commenters supporting the NPRM included six physician associations, including those representing anesthesiologists, pediatricians, and psychiatrists, and three state level licensing organizations;