MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

FROM: Lisa J. Stevenson  
Acting General Counsel

Neven F. Stipanovic  
Acting Associate General Counsel

Esther D. Gyory  
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Kevin Paulsen  
Attorney

Subject: Draft AO 2019-03 (DC Libertarian Party) Draft A

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion.

Members of the public may submit written comments on the draft advisory opinion. We are making this draft available for comment until 12:00 pm (Eastern Time) on March, 27, 2019.

Members of the public may also attend the Commission meeting at which the draft will be considered. The advisory opinion requestor may appear before the Commission at this meeting to answer questions.

For more information about how to submit comments or attend the Commission meeting, go to https://www.fec.gov/legal-resources/advisory-opinions-process/.

Attachment
Dear Mr. Henchman:

We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of the DC Libertarian Party (the “Committee”) regarding its status as the state committee of a political party under the Federal Election Campaign Act, 52 U.S.C. §§ 30101-45 (the “Act”), and Commission regulations. The Commission concludes that the Committee qualifies as a state committee of a political party.

Background

The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on January 28, 2019, the Committee’s bylaws and District of Columbia Board of Elections certified election results attached thereto, a letter from the Libertarian National Committee received on February 14, 2019, and on public disclosure reports filed with the Commission.

In 1976, the Commission determined that the Libertarian National Party (the “LNP”) qualified as a political party. Advisory Opinion 1975-129 (National Committee of the Libertarian Party). The LNP’s national committee is the Libertarian National Committee, Inc. (the “LNC”). The LNC’s Director of Operations, Mr. Robert S. Kraus, has confirmed by letter that the Committee is the LNC’s “sole qualifying organization in DC.” Advisory Opinion Request at AOR116.

---

1 Although the letter is dated December 26, 2018, due to the partial government shutdown it was not received until January 28, 2019.
The Committee placed the LNP’s presidential nominee, Gary Johnson, on the general election ballot in the District of Columbia in 2012 and 2016. See AOR001, AOR045, AOR077. Gary Johnson’s authorized committees for the 2012 and 2016 presidential election cycles each reported receiving contributions or making expenditures in excess of $5,000.²

The Committee also placed nominees for Delegate to the United States House of Representatives on the general election ballot in the District of Columbia in 2012, 2016, and 2018.³ See AOR001, AOR006, AOR045, AOR077. One of those nominees, Bruce Majors, received contributions or made expenditures in excess of $5,000 during the 2012 general election cycle.⁴

Pursuant to its bylaws, the Committee engages in various political party activities in the District of Columbia. See generally AOR002-005. These activities include recruiting and nominating candidates for partisan public office and sending delegates to the LNP’s national convention. Id. The bylaws also contain provisions on the payment of dues and the timing and procedures for holding District of Columbia party


³ Bruce Majors was the LNP candidate for Delegate to the United States House of Representatives on the general election ballot for the District of Columbia in both 2012 and 2018. Martin Moulton was the LNP candidate for Delegate to the United States House of Representatives on the general election ballot for the District of Columbia in 2016.

⁴ See Bruce Majors for Congress, FEC Financial Summary of Reported Activity at https://www.fec.gov/data/committee/C00528778/ (reflecting candidate’s receipt and disbursement of over $22,000 during 2012 general election).
conventions, nominating candidates for public office, and the election of delegates to the
LNP’s national convention. *Id.*

**Question Presented**

Does the Committee qualify as a state committee of a political party under the Act
and Commission regulations?

**Legal Analysis and Conclusion**

Yes, the Committee qualifies as the state committee of a political party under the
Act and Commission regulations.

A “[s]tate committee” is an organization that, “by virtue of the bylaws of a
political party . . . is part of the official party structure and is responsible for the day-to-
day operation of the political party at the [s]tate level . . . as determined by the
Commission.” 11 C.F.R. § 100.14(a); see 52 U.S.C. § 30101(15). A “political party” is
an “association, committee, or organization which nominates or selects a candidate for
election to any [f]ederal office, whose name appears on an election ballot as the candidate
of the association, committee, or organization.” 11 C.F.R. § 100.15; see 52 U.S.C.
§ 30101(16). The District of Columbia constitutes a “state” for purposes of the Act and
Commission regulations. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30101(12); 11 C.F.R. § 100.11.

The determination of whether a state party organization qualifies as a state
committee of a national political party turns on three elements: (1) the national
organization with which the state party organization is affiliated must itself be a “political
party”; (2) the state party organization must be part of the official structure of the national
party; and (3) the state party organization must be responsible for the day-to-day
operation of the national party at the state level. *See, e.g.*, Advisory Opinion 2018-01
(Libertarian Party of Utah); Advisory Opinion 2017-09 (Libertarian Association of Massachusetts); Advisory Opinion 2016-17 (Libertarian Party of Michigan Executive Committee); Advisory Opinion 2016-14 (11 Libertarian State Committees). The Commission addresses each of these elements in turn below.

(1) **Qualification of the LNP as Political Party**

The national party, the LNP, must qualify as a “political party” under the Act and Commission regulations, and the Commission has previously determined that it does. See Advisory Opinion 1975-129 (National Committee of the Libertarian Party). The Commission is not aware of any factual changes that would alter that determination.

(2) **Status of the Committee as Part of the Official Structure of the LNP**

To determine whether a state party organization is part of the official structure of a national party, the Commission evaluates documentation from the national party, often in the form of a letter from an officer of the national party recognizing the state party organization as its sole state-level affiliate. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2018-01 (Libertarian Party of Utah) at 3; Advisory Opinion 2017-09 (Libertarian Association of Massachusetts) at 4; Advisory Opinion 2016-17 (Libertarian Party of Michigan Executive Committee) at 3. The letter from Mr. Robert S. Kraus, Director of Operations of the LNC, confirms that the Committee is part of the official structure of the LNP. See AOR116.

(3) **Responsibility of the Committee for Day-to-Day Operation of the LNP at the State Level**

To determine whether a state party organization is responsible for the day-to-day operation of a national party at the state level, the Commission considers: (a) whether the
state organization has placed a federal candidate on the ballot (thereby qualifying as a “political party” under 52 U.S.C. § 30101(16)); and (b) whether the bylaws or other governing documents of the state party organization indicate activity commensurate with the day-to-day functions and operations of a political party at the state level.

See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2018-01 (Libertarian Party of Utah) at 3-4; Advisory Opinion 2017-09 (Libertarian Association of Massachusetts) at 4; Advisory Opinion 2016-17 (Libertarian Party of Michigan Executive Committee) at 3.

(a) Candidate on the Ballot

Because an organization must place a federal candidate on the ballot to qualify as a “political party,” see 52 U.S.C. § 30101(16); 11 C.F.R. § 100.15, an organization must obtain ballot access for a federal candidate to qualify as a “state committee” of a political party. See 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2); 11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a); Advisory Opinion 2018-01 (Libertarian Party of Utah) at 3-4; Advisory Opinion 2017-09 (Libertarian Association of Massachusetts) at 4; Advisory Opinion 2016-17 (Libertarian Party of Michigan Executive Committee) at 3-4; Advisory Opinion 2016-14 (11 Libertarian State Committees) at 3.

The Committee placed the LNP’s nominee for President, Gary Johnson, on the general election ballot in the District of Columbia in 2012 and 2016. See AOR001, AOR045, AOR077. During the 2012 and 2016 presidential election cycles, Gary Johnson received contributions or made expenditures in excess of $5,000 according to public disclosure reports filed with the Commission, therefore satisfying the Act’s definition of a “candidate.” See 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2); 11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a); supra note 1. In addition, the Committee placed LNP nominees for Delegate to the United States House of Representatives on the general election ballot in the District of Columbia in
2012, 2016, and 2018. See AOR001, AOR006, AOR045, AOR077. Because one of those nominees, Bruce Majors, received contributions or made expenditures in excess of $5,000 during the 2012 general election cycle, Mr. Majors also satisfied the Act’s definition of a “candidate” during that election cycle. See 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2); 11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a); supra note 3.

(b) Day-To-Day Operation

In addition to gaining ballot access for a candidate for federal office, the Committee must show, in its bylaws, constitution, or other governing documents, that it is responsible for activity commensurate with the day-to-day operation of a national political party at the state level. See 52 U.S.C. § 30101(15); 11 C.F.R. § 100.14(a).

Here, the bylaws indicate that the Committee engages in various political party activities in the District of Columbia. See generally AOR002-005. These activities include recruiting, nominating and training candidates for partisan public office, sending delegates to the LNP’s national convention, and coordinating fundraising activities and get-out-the-vote efforts. Id. The bylaws also provide for the payment of membership dues, and the timing and procedures for holding District of Columbia party conventions, nominating candidates for public office, and the election of delegates to the LNP’s national convention. Id.

The aforementioned activities described in the Committee’s bylaws are commensurate with the day-to-day operation of a political party at the state level and are similar to other state party functions that the Commission has found sufficient to qualify an organization for state-committee status. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2018-01 (Libertarian Party of Utah) at 4; Advisory Opinion 2017-09 (Libertarian Association of
Advisory Opinion 2016-17 (Libertarian Party of Michigan Executive Committee) at 4; Advisory Opinion 2016-14 (11 Libertarian State Committees) at 3-7; Advisory Opinion 2012-39 (Green Party of Virginia) at 4-5. The Committee therefore satisfies the requirement of being responsible for the day-to-day operation of the LNP at the state level pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30101(15) and 11 C.F.R. § 100.14(a).

Conclusion

The Commission concludes that the Committee qualifies as the state committee of a political party under the Act and Commission regulations because: (1) the LNP qualifies as a political party; (2) the Committee is part of the official structure of the LNP; and (3) the Committee is responsible for the day-to-day operation of the LNP at the state level.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 52 U.S.C. § 30108. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on this advisory opinion. See 52 U.S.C. § 30108(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the law including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law. Any advisory opinions cited herein are available on the Commission’s website.
On behalf of the Commission,

Ellen L. Weintraub
Chair