United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

No. 22-5277

END CITIZENS UNITED PAC,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

 ν .

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION,

Defendant-Appellee,

NEW REPUBLICAN PAC,

Intervenor-Appellee.

CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON,

Amicus Curiae for Appellant.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in No. 1:21-cv-02128-RJL, Honorable Richard J. Leon, U.S. Senior District Judge.

BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT'S PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

Stuart C. McPhail smcphail@citizensforethics.org Adam J. Rappaport arappaport@citizensforethics.org CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON 1331 F Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 408-5565

Fax: (202) 588-5020

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

February 27, 2024



All parties, intervenors, and amici appearing before the district court and in this Court are listed in the Petition for Rehearing En Banc.

References to the rulings at issue appear in the Petition for Rehearing En Banc.

Amicus is unaware of any related cases pending before this Court or in any other court.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and 29, and D.C. Circuit Rule 26.1, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington ("CREW") submits its corporate disclosure statement.

- (a) CREW has no parent company, and no publicly-held company has a ten percent or greater ownership interest in CREW.
- (b) CREW is a non-profit, non-partisan corporation organized under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Through a combined approach of research, advocacy, public education, and litigation, CREW seeks to protect the rights of citizens to be informed about the activities of government officials and to ensure the integrity of those officials. Among its principal activities, CREW files complaints with the Federal Election Commission to ensure enforcement of federal campaign finance laws and to ensure its and voters' access to information about campaign financing to which CREW and voters are legally entitled. CREW disseminates, through its website and other media, information it learns in the process of those complaints to the wider public.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate a	as to P	Parties, Rulings Under Review, and Related Cases	i
Corporate I	Disclos	sure Statement	i
Table of Co	ontents	S	ii
Table of Au	ıthorit	ies	iii
Glossary	•••••		1
Statement o	of Inte	rest	1
Argument	•••••		2
I.		GO and New Models Rendered a Non-Majority of the FEC a v Unto [Them]sel[ves]"	2
	A.	Ignoring This Court's Orders	4
	B.	Free Passes to Partisan Allies	6
	C.	Abdicating Enforcement	9
II.		er <i>CHGO</i> and <i>New Models</i> , the FEC is an Agency like No	10
Certificate of	of Cor	npliance	15
Certificate of	of Ser	vice	16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Burlington Truck Lines, Inc. v. United States, 371 U.S. 156 (1962)11
Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310 (2010)
CLC v. FEC, 31 F.4th 781 (D.C. Cir. 2022)10
CLC v. FEC, 89 F.4th 936 (D.C. Cir. 2024)10
Collins v. Yellen, 141 S. Ct. 1761 (2021)
Common Cause of Ga. v. FEC, No. 22-cv-3067 (DLF), 2023 WL 6388883 (D.D.C. Sept. 29, 2023)
Common Cause v. FEC, 842 F.2d 436 (D.C. Cir. 1988)11
CREW v. FEC ("CHGO II"), 923 F.3d 1141 (D.C. Cir. 2019)
CREW v. FEC ("CHGO"), 892 F.3d 434 (D.C. Cir. 2018)2
CREW v. FEC ("Crossroads"), 971 F.3d 340 (D.C. Cir. 2020)
CREW v. FEC ("New Models II"), 55 F.4th 918 (D.C. Cir. 2022)
CREW v. FEC ("New Models"), 993 F.3d 880 (D.C. Cir. 2021)
CREW v. FEC, 209 F. Supp. 3d 77 (D.D.C. 2016)6
CREW v. FEC, 316 F. Supp. 3d 349 (D.D.C. 2018)5
CREW v. FEC, 363 F. Supp. 3d 33 (D.D.C. 2018)
ECU v. FEC, 69 F.4th 916 (D.C. Cir. 2023)
ECU v. FEC, 90 F.4th 1172 (D.C. Cir. 2024)
ECU v. FEC, No. 21-cv-1665 (TKJ), 2022 WL 1136062 (D.D.C. Apr. 18, 2022)10

Hagelin v. FEC, 411 F.3d 237 (D.C. Cir. 2005)
ICC v. Bhd of Locomotive Eng'rs, 482 U.S. 270 (1987)13
Local 814, Int'l Bhd. of Teamsters v. NLRB, 546 F.2d 989 (D.C. Cir. 1976)1
SE Promotions, Ltd. v. Conrad, 420 U.S. 546 (1975)13
Seila Law LLC v. CFPB, 140 S. Ct. 2183 (2020)
Sorrell v. IMS Health, Inc., 564 U.S. 552 (2011)13
Spann v. Colonial Vill., 899 F.2d 24 (D.C. Cir. 1990)
Wellness Int'l Network, Ltd. v. Sharif, 575 U.S. 665 (2015)12
Statutes
52 U.S.C. § 30106(a)(1)
52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8)(A)
Other Authorities
FEC, Legislative History of FECA Amendments of 1976, H.R. Rep. No. 94-917, 94th Cong., 2d Sess. 4 (1976)
FEC, Legislative History of FECA Amendments of 1976, Statement of Hon. Dick Clark, Member, Subcomm. on Privileges and Elections (Aug. 1977)
Policy Statement of Chairman Allen Dickerson et al. Concerning the Application of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(c), June 8, 2022
Statement of Reasons of Commissioner Ellen L. Weintraub 10 n. 45, MUR 6589R (AAN), Sept. 30, 2022
Statement of Vice Chair Ellen L. Weintraub on the D.C. Circuit's Decision in CREW v. FEC 1 (June 22, 2018)

GLOSSARY

CHGO Commission on Hope, Growth, and Opportunity

CREW Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington

FEC Federal Election Commission

FECA Federal Election Campaign Act

MUR FEC's Matter Under Review

OGC FEC Office of General Counsel

STATEMENT OF INTEREST¹

CREW is a nonpartisan, section 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation that seeks to combat corrupting influences in government and protect citizens' right to know the source of campaign contributions. CREW monitors FEC filings to ensure proper and complete disclosure as required by law and utilizes those filings to craft reports for public consumption. Where necessary, CREW seeks administrative and judicial relief for violations of the FECA. CREW, moreover, was the litigant in the decisions applied below to erroneously dismiss this case. CREW previously sought en banc review of those decisions, but the Circuit "evenly split" on the first petition

-

¹ No counsel for a party authored this brief in whole or in part or contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief, and no person other than CREW or its counsel contributed money that was intended to fund the preparation or submission of this brief.

and declined the second, with two judges abstaining. *CREW v. FEC ("New Models II"*), 55 F.4th 918, 918, 926 (D.C. Cir. 2022) (Millett, J., dissenting).

ARGUMENT

En banc rehearing is warranted to reconsider both CREW v. FEC ("New Models"), 993 F.3d 880 (D.C. Cir. 2021) and CREW v. FEC ("CHGO"), 892 F.3d 434 (D.C. Cir. 2018). The divided panel in CHGO ignored precedent when it, sua sponte, conferred a "superpower" on a non-majority of the FEC "to kill any FEC enforcement matter, wholly immune from judicial review." CREW v. FEC ("CHGO II"), 923 F.3d 1141, 1150 (D.C. Cir. 2019) (Pillard, J., dissenting) (quoting Statement of Vice Chair Ellen L. Weintraub on the D.C. Circuit's Decision in CREW v. FEC 1 (June 22, 2018), https://go.usa.gov/xmWC2). That non-majority has used that superpower to ignore orders of this Court, to give freepasses to their partisan allies, and to slam the courthouse door shut on Americans' attempts to obtain disclosure. This en banc Court must act to restore the law as Congress enacted and as recognized in the numerous binding precedents of this Court and the Supreme Court.

I. CHGO and New Models Rendered a Non-Majority of the FEC a "Law Unto [Them]sel[ves]"

As part of the "delicate balance" involved in campaign-finance enforcement, *CREW v. FEC*, 363 F. Supp. 3d 33, 43 (D.D.C. 2018) (*quoting FEC*, *Legislative*

History of FECA Amendments of 1976 at 804, H.R. Rep. No. 94-917, 94th Cong., 2d Sess. 4 (1976), https://perma.cc/G23G-SQ7T ("Legislative History")), Congress organized the FEC to avoid partisan control over the agency. To avoid partisan-capture of the FEC through the Executive, "[Congress] made the Commission partisan balanced, allowing no more than three of the six Commissioners to belong to the same political party," CHGO II, 923 F.3d at 1143 (Pillard, J., dissenting), while "requir[ing] that all actions by the Commission occur on a bipartisan [majority] basis," id. at 1142 (Griffith, J., concurring); 52 U.S.C. § 30106(c). "That balance created a risk of partisan reluctance to apply the law," id. at 1143–44 (Pillard, J., dissenting), compounding the existent reluctance to enforce inherent in "a commission that is under the thumb of those who are to be regulated," Legislative History 72, Statement of Hon. Dick Clark, Member, *Subcomm. on Privileges and Elections* (Aug. 1977).

Accordingly, to prevent partisan-capture through gridlock, Congress included "a feature of many modern legislative programs," *Spann v. Colonial Vill.*, 899 F.2d 24, 30 (D.C. Cir. 1990); private litigation, subject to exhaustion through the FEC as "first arbiter," *CHGO II*, 923 F.3d at 1149 (Pillard, J., dissenting), with judicial review of the agency's dismissal of a complainant's "effort[s] to pursue [their] private right[s]," *New Models II*, 55 F.4th at 929 (Millett, J., dissenting).

CHGO and New Models obliterated this careful structure, making an unaccountable partisan non-majority of the FEC a "law unto [them]sel[ves]." Id. at 922.

A. Ignoring This Court's Orders

The non-majority has used the superpower to ignore this Court's orders correcting their cramped interpretations of law that deprive Americans of their rightful disclosure. For example, before learning of their ability to terminate judicial review, a partisan-aligned non-majority bloc of FEC commissioners terminated a case involving contributor disclosures. *See CREW v. FEC* ("Crossroads"), 971 F.3d 340, 345–46 (D.C. Cir. 2020). In the subsequent legal challenge, the D.C. Circuit held the FECA "unambiguously requires" the disclosure the bloc had rejected. *Id.* at 354.

Rather than accept the correction of this Court, however, a non-majority bloc, now empowered by *CHGO* and *New Models*, unilaterally declared that they would not follow this Court's decision about the disclosure requirements of the FECA. *See Policy Statement of Chairman Allen Dickerson et al. Concerning the Application of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(c)*, June 8, 2022, https://perma.cc/74ZB-HMTN ("Policy Statement"). In justifying their decision, the bloc rejected the D.C. Circuit's conclusion the FECA was "unambiguous," stating it instead "fail[s] to

provide a definitive standard," *compare Crossroads*, 971 F.3d at 354, *with* Policy Statement 1, relying on judicial authority this Court discarded as inapposite to reimpose the narrow disclosure rule this Court had vacated, *compare Crossroads*, 971 F.3d at 353, *and CREW v. FEC*, 316 F. Supp. 3d 349, 401 n.43 (D.D.C. 2018), *with* Policy Statement 5.

The non-majority assured regulated entities that they were free to ignore this Court by invoking their new superpower—promising to "exercise the Commission's prosecutorial discretion" to dismiss any complaints enforcing this Court's decision and to cut off judicial review that might correct their inaction. Policy Statement 6.

In another example, when a district court corrected the non-majority's erroneous "lifetime spending" analysis in applying the FECA's political committee rules because it ignored that an organization's "purpose can change," *CREW v. FEC*, 209 F. Supp. 3d 77, 94 (D.D.C. 2016), the same non-majority simply applied the same test again, but this time cited additional "prudential and discretionary considerations" that immunized the already-declared erroneous analysis from review, *New Models*, 993 F.3d at 886; *see also New Models II*, 55 F.4th at 924 (Millett, J., dissenting).

Under CHGO and New Models, a non-majority of the Commission is free to ignore any disfavored judicial decision, sheltering regulated parties from the law as recognized, and depriving Americans of the information to which they are entitled as declared by the courts.

B. Free Passes to Partisan Allies

The Commission's bipartisan structure helps ensure it enforces campaignfinance laws impartially, regardless of party. That benefits voters across the ideological spectrum who want transparency into who is funding elections. Yet empowered with the ability to unilaterally cut-off judicial review, a partisanaligned non-majority bloc hands out free passes to violate campaign finance laws to their partisan allies.

For example, since CHGO, former President Trump has enjoyed an uninterrupted line of victories over the recommendations of the non-partisan professional staff of OGC to investigate. In about two-thirds of those victories where an explanation was provided, Mr. Trump's partisan allies on the Commission justified dismissal based on prudential factors like insufficient

² No justification was published in MURs 7094, 7096, 7098, 7339, 7350, 7351, 7357, 7382.

"agency resources." In five remaining cases, the same commissioners grounded dismissal in the lack of evidence: a justification, even if reviewable, subject to only "highly deferential" review. *Hagelin v. FEC*, 411 F.3d 237, 242 (D.C. Cir. 2005).

The non-majorities' concerns about resources do not extend to all candidates. For example, the FEC fined the presidential campaign of Hilary Clinton for "misreporting the purpose of certain disbursements." Conciliation Agreement 1, MURs 7291, 7449 (DNC Serv. Corp.), Feb. 22, 2022, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7449_64.pdf. OGC's recommendations to investigate the Trump campaign over similar reporting allegations met with a deadlock, however, *see* Cert. MUR 7784 (MAGA PAC), May 10, 2022, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7784_32.pdf, which the commissioners justified to preserve "investigatory resources," *Statement of Reasons of Chairman Allen J. Dickerson et al.*, 12, MUR 7784 (MAGA PAC), June 9, 2022, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7784_42.pdf.

³ See MURs 7135, 7207, 7268, 7274, 7623, 7220, 7265, 7266, 7313, 7319, 7379, 7324, 7332, 7364, 7366, 7340, 7609, 7425, 7784, 7609R. One might wonder why the agency's resource constraints is cause to *prevent* a private suit.

⁴ See MURs 7558, 7560, 7621, 7968, 7969.

Similarly, while the FEC fined A360 Media, LLC for conspiring with Donald Trump to bury an embarrassing story, see Conciliation Agreement, MURs 7324, 7332, 7366 (Am. Media, Inc.), May 18, 2021, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7324 26.pdf, it deadlocked on Mr. Trump's role in the conspiracy, see Certification, MURs 7324, 7332, 7364, 7366 (Am. Media, Inc.), Mar. 11, 2021, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7324 20.pdf, with Mr. Trump's agency allies expressing concern about the expenditure of "enforcement resources," Statement of Reasons of Vice Chair Allen Dickerson et al. 5, MURs 7324, 7332, 7364, 7366 (Am. Media, Inc.), June 28, 2021, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7324 33.pdf. In another example, while the Department of Justice successfully prosecuted one of Mr. Trump's co-conspirators, Michael Cohen Pleads Guilty in Manhattan Federal Court to Eight Counts, Including Tax Evasion and Campaign Finance Violations, U.S. Att'ys Office, Aug. 21, 2018, https://perma.cc/D2X6-L8XH, the FEC deadlocked on the same matter with respect to Mr. Trump, Cert., MURs 7313, 7319, 7379 (Michael Cohen), Feb. 23, 2021, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7313 20.pdf, again justifying nonenforcement to preserve "resources," Statement of Reasons of Commissioners Sean J. Cooksey et al. 2, MURs 7313, 7319, 7379 (Michael Cohen), Apr. 26, 2021, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/7319 36.pdf.

Congress guarded against selective non-enforcement by permitting judicial review. Empowering commissioners to unilaterally cut off that review all but guarantees such abuses.

C. Abdicating Enforcement

Blocking commissioners, moreover, "have routinely cited 'prosecutorial discretion' to stymie judicial scrutiny of apparently serious FECA violations." ECU v. FEC, 90 F.4th 1172, 1184 (D.C. Cir. 2024) (Pillared, J. concurring). Since CHGO, the non-majority routinely invokes its superpower to cut off judicial review where their unilateral block on enforcement would otherwise be subject to de novo review. An analysis by CREW revealed that the non-majority invoked discretion in about three-quarters of such matters.⁵

This number still understates the strategic use, however, as commissioners need not cut off judicial review where, for example, a plaintiff would likely have no standing or is otherwise unlikely to sue. See, e.g., MUR 7243 (considering legality of foreign-national contribution). Rather, where judicial review is likely, they have almost always invoked discretion, as evidenced by the fact that they have done so for nearly every post-CHGO dismissal submitted to review, see ECU, 90 F.4th 1172; CLC v. FEC, 89 F.4th 936 (D.C. Cir. 2024); at least until this Court

⁵ See Addendum A.

recently concluded that post-hoc statements cannot preclude review, *ECU v. FEC*, 69 F.4th 916, 923 (D.C. Cir. 2023) (*overruling ECU v. FEC*, No. 21-cv-1665 (TKJ), 2022 WL 1136062 (D.D.C. Apr. 18, 2022)).⁶

II. Under CHGO and New Models, the FEC is an Agency like No Other

The wanton abuse of the powers conferred by *CHGO* and *New Models* underscores previous wisdom in the unanimous judgment of the judiciary that subjected the Commission's dismissals to judicial review. Petitioner sets out the conflict between those judgments and *CHGO* and *New Models*, but the conflicts do not end there. *CHGO* and *New Models* rendered the FEC an agency unlike any other.

⁶ The exceptions are MUR 7894, at issue in *Common Cause of Ga. v. FEC*, No. 22-cv-3067 (DLF), 2023 WL 6388883 (D.D.C. Sept. 29, 2023), where the commissioners' analysis already enjoyed "factfinding deference" but was still found "factually and legally unreasonable," *id.* at *7, *9, and MUR 6940, at issue in *CLC v. FEC*, 31 F.4th 781 (D.C. Cir. 2022), which involved a presidential candidate from the opposite party of the deadlocking commissioners, underscoring the opportunity for partisan abuse. *CHGO* and *New Models* likely dissuade even more challenges.

⁷ See also Br. of Election Law Scholars as *Amici Curiae* in Supp. of Appellant's Pet. For Reh'g En Banc, *CREW v. FEC*, No. 19-5161 (D.C. Cir. June 30, 2021), https://perma.cc/S9GV-DVT8.

⁸ See also Br. of Professors of Admin. Law as *Amici Curiae* in Supp. of Pls.-Appellants, *CREW v. FEC*, No. 19-5161 (D.C. Cir. June 30, 2021), https://perma.cc/WUX7-Y4H6.

Unlike any other agency, where judicial review depends on the "formal action, rather than its discussion," ICC v. Bhd. of Locomotive Eng'rs, 482 U.S. 270, 281 (1987), CHGO and New Models render review dependent on FEC commissioners' "prose composition." New Models, 993 F.3d at 887, 894. Unlike any other agency, commissioners explaining the "deadlock vote" that "result[ed] in an order of dismissal," Common Cause v. FEC, 842 F.2d 436, 449 (D.C. Cir. 1988), may offer prudential justifications not "rational[ly] connect[ed] [to] ... the choice made," Burlington Truck Lines, Inc. v. United States, 371 U.S. 156, 168 (1962), to reject the complaint on the merits. Unlike any other agency, courts reviewing the FEC's "dismiss[al]" of a complaint, 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8)(A), do not review a statement of all the "decisionmakers" involved in that vote, Local 814, Int'l Bhd. of Teamsters v. NLRB, 546 F.2d 989, 992 (D.C. Cir. 1976), but only a subset who are miscast as speaking as "the agency," New Models, 993 F.3d at 891.9

The FEC's processes under *CHGO* and *New Models* are similarly incongruous with the Constitution. In violation of the separation of powers, *CHGO*

_

⁹ CHGO and New Models also relied on extra-record post-hoc explanations issued after the vote to close. See Statement of Reasons of Commissioner Ellen L. Weintraub 10 n. 45, MUR 6589R (AAN), Sept. 30, 2022, https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/murs/6589R/6589R 31.pdf.

and New Models hands a non-majority "a judicial-review kill switch," New Models II, 55 F.4th at 922 (Millett, J., dissenting), to "Article III courts['] supervisory authority" over the agency's adjudication of complainants' private rights of relief, Wellness Int'l Network, Ltd. v. Sharif, 575 U.S. 665, 678 (2015). Judicial supervision was essential to Congress's decision to insulate the Commission from presidential control, 52 U.S.C. § 30106(a)(1)—protecting against partisan control but also eliminating any "degree of electoral accountability," Collins v. Yellen, 141 S. Ct. 1761, 1784 (2021); see also Seila Law LLC v. CFPB, 140 S. Ct. 2183, 2203 (2020) ("[T]he lowest officers, the middle grade, and the highest" all "depend, as they ought, on the President, and the President on the community" (quoting 1 Annals of Cong. 499 (J. Madison)). Under CHGO and New Models, a partisanaligned non-majority—accountable to *no one*—has total carte blanche over campaign laws.

That non-majority uses their "unbridled discretion" to censor speech they disfavor. *SE Promotions, Ltd. v. Conrad*, 420 U.S. 546, 553 (1975). By blocking enforcement, they often block disclosure, and thus deprive listeners and speakers of the "facts" that are "the beginning point for much of the speech that is most essential to advance human knowledge and to conduct human affairs." *Sorrell v. IMS Health, Inc.*, 564 U.S. 552, 570 (2011). That "necessarily reduces the quantity

of expression." Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 339 (2010). Indeed, that is their stated goal. For example, the non-majority declared that a report about contributors made possible by a stunted FEC investigation was an "invasion of privacy," bemoaning their inability to prevent its publication. Statement of Commissioners Allen J. Dickerson et al. Regarding the Commission's Newly Adopted Directive Concerning Investigations Conducted by the Office of General Counsel, Nov. 2, 2023, https://perma.cc/HZS2-DHXV. The non-majority have cited this belief—that speech they disfavor concerning donors' influence over policy "infringe[s] on privacy of association"—to justify using their enforcement discretion to cut off disclosure and prevent that speech's creation. Statement of Reasons of Vice-Chair Caroline C. Hunter et al. 9, MUR 6872 (New Models), Dec. 20, 2017, https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/17044435569.pdf.

The FEC under CHGO and New Models departs from the standards of administrative law and conflicts with the mandates of the Constitution. Prior precedent did not make this mistake. En banc review is necessary to restore the previous lawful system that Congress designed.

Dated: February 27, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stuart McPhail
Stuart C. McPhail
smcphail@citizensforethics.org
(D.C. Bar. No. 1032529)
Adam J. Rappaport
arappaport@citizensforethics.org
(D.C. Bar No. 479866)
Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics

Filed: 02/28/2024

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington 1331 K Street, N.W., Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 408-5565

Fax: (202) 588-5020

Attorneys for Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

1.	This document complies with the type-volume limit of Fed. R. App. P. 29(b)(4) because, excluding the parts of the document exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(f) and Circuit Rule 32(e)(1):
	[X] this document contains 2,600 words, or
	[] this brief uses a monospaced typeface and contains [state the number of] lines of text.
2.	This document complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5) and the type-style requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6) because
	[X] this document has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2016 in 14pt Times New Roman; or
	[] this document has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using [state name and version of word-processing program] with [state number of characters per inch and name of type style].
Dated	l: Feb. 27, 2024 /s/ Stuart McPhail Stuart C. McPhail Counsel for Amicus Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 27, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system, thereby serving all persons required to be served.

> /s/ Stuart McPhail Stuart C. McPhail Counsel for Amicus Curiae

Filed: 02/28/2024

ADDENDUM A

ADDENDUM A

Controlling Statement of Reasons' Invocation of Prosecutorial Discretion to Justify Non-Majority's Blocking Adoption of General Counsel's Recommendation Otherwise Subject to De Novo Review¹

MUR#	Controlling Statement of	Language Invoking Discretion
	Reasons	
6334	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" further pursuit of this matter
	Chairman Matthew S. Petersen and	would have been an unwise use
	Comm'r Caroline C. Hunter, MUR	of Commission resources."
	6334 (Aristotle International, Inc.)	
	(May 16, 2019),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	<u>s/6334/6334_2.pdf</u>	
6596	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"it would also be a proper
	Chairman Matthew S. Petersen and	exercise of the Commission's
	Comm'r Caroline C. Hunter, MUR	prosecutorial discretion to
	6596 (Crossroads GPS) (May 13,	dismiss this matter so that
	2019),	Commission enforcement
	https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/65	resources can be better
	<u>96_2.pdf</u>	allocated."
6781	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" it would have been
	Chairman Matthew S. Petersen and	imprudent to pursue enforcement
	Comm'r Caroline C. Hunter,	of a regulation that the D.C.
	MURs 6781, 6786, 6802 (NRCC,	Circuit held was substantially
	et al.) (May 22, 2019),	likely to be constitutionally
		flawed."

_

¹ CREW initially identified 133 MURs using the FEC's EQS database for which a statement of reasons issued after June 15, 2018, the date this Court issued its decision in CREW v. FEC ("CHGO"), 892 F.3d 434 (D.C. Cir. 2018), issued in matters in which half of the Commission rejected a recommendation of the General Counsel to move forward, either by finding reason to believe or probable cause to believe at least one violation. CREW then removed from that data set MURs where the controlling commissioners grounded their justification for dismissal in their assessment of the evidence, an analysis that could warrant highly deferential judicial review, see Hagelin v. FEC, 411 F.3d 237, 242 (D.C. Cir. 2005), providing a set of 94 matters. Of that, CREW identified 72, or about 77% of the 94, matters for which discretion was invoked to justify blocking enforcement.

	https://xxxxxx foo.gov/files/legal/gova	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
(50.6	<u>s/6786/6786_2.pdf</u>	G . 1 ((501)
6786	\ /	See id. (6781)
	<i>See id.</i> (6781)	<i>See id.</i> (6781)
6792	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"Under such circumstances,
	Chairman Matthew S. Petersen and	dismissal is appropriate so the
	Comm'r Caroline C. Hunter, MUR	Commission can focus resources
	6792 (Sean Eldridge, et al.) (Aug.	on more significant matters."
	30, 2019),	
	https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/67	
	92_1.pdf	
6940	Statement of Reasons of Vice	N/A^2
	Chairman Matthew S. Petersen and	
	Comm'r Caroline C. Hunter,	
	MURs 6940, 7097, 7146, 7160,	
	7193 (Correct the Record, et al.)	
	(August 21, 2019),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/6940/6940 1.pdf	
7097	See id. (6940)	N/A
7146	See id. (6940)	N/A
7160	See id. (6940)	"We therefore relied on our
		prosecutorial discretion to
		decline proceeding on the
		complaints in MURs 7160 and
		7193"
7193	See id. (6940)	See id. (7160)
6968	Statement of Reasons of Chair	"Proceeding in enforcement
	Caroline C. Hunter and	actions against respondents
	Commissioner Matthew S.	would be unfair to them, chill
	Petersen, MURs 6968, 6995, 7014,	speech, and ultimately constitute

_

²CREW lists these statements to provide the Court with the full data set from which CREW calculated the proportion of matters in which the commissioners were invoking discretion. This matter, and others denoted with "N/A" in the column providing language invoking discretion, are those in which half of the Commission blocked proceedings and did not base their analysis in matters potentially subject to highly deferential review, but the commissioners did not invoke discretionary grounds for their actions.

Page 26 of 37

L		

7017, 7019, 7090 (Tread Standard LLC, et al.) (July 2, 2018), https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/69 an ineffective use of Commission resources"	
https://eas.fec.gov/easdocsMUR/69	
68 2.pdf	
6995 See id. (6968) See id. (6968)	
7014 See id. (6968) See id. (6968)	
7017 See id. (6968) See id. (6968)	
7019 See id. (6968) See id. (6968)	
7090 See id. (6968) See id. (6968)	
6969 Statement of Reasons of Chair "Proceeding in enforcement	
Caroline C. Hunter and Comm'r actions against respondents	
Matthew S. Petersen, MURs 6969, would be unfair to them, chill	
7031, 7034 (MMWP12 LLC, et al.) speech, and ultimately constit	ute
(Sept. 13, 2018), an ineffective use of	
https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/70 Commission resources"	
<u>31_2.pdf</u>	
7031 See id (6969) See id (6969)	
7034 See id (6969) See id (6969)	
7094 N/A^3 N/A	
7096 N/A N/A	
7098 N/A N/A	
7147 Statement of Reasons of Chairman " we determined that our	
Allen Dickerson and Comm'rs agency's enforcement resource	es
James E. "Trey" Trainor, III and would be best spent addressin	g
Sean J. Cooksey, MUR 7147 other matters where the	
(Make Am. Number 1, et al.) Commission had a realistic	
(April 11, 2022), chance of vindicating the	
https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur interests of the United States in	n a
<u>s/7147/7147_54.pdf</u> timely fashion."	
7180 Statement of Reasons of Vice N/A	
Chair Allen Dickerson and	
Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	

³ The commissioners blocking proceeding with the General Counsel's recommendation failed to issue a statement of reasons in this matter, and in other matters designated with an "N/A" in the column for controlling statements. It is possible the commissioners would have invoked discretion had they issued a statement. If they invoked discretion, then the proportion of pertinent statements invoking discretion would increase to more than six-sevenths.

	James E. "Trey" Trainor III, MUR	
	7180 (GEO Corrections Holdings,	
	Inc., et al.) (October 13, 2021),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	<u>s/7180/7180_39.pdf</u>	
7183	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" we decided that sinking
	Chairman Matthew S. Petersen and	additional agency resources into
	Comm'r Caroline C. Hunter, MUR	this matter would not advance
	7183 (The Thornton Law Firm, et	the interests of either the public
	al.) (May 22, 2019),	or the Commission."
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7183/7183 1.pdf	
7207	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"The Commission has long taken
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	the view that it is an imprudent
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	use of resources to duplicate
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III,	other agencies' work In light
	MURs 7207, 7268, 7274, 7623	of these considerations, the
	(Russian Federation, et al.)	impending statute of limitations,
	(November 22, 2021),	and the press of other cases, we
	https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/72	voted to dismiss these matters as
	07 48.pdf	an exercise of prosecutorial
		discretion."
7268	See id. (7207)	See id. (7207)
7274	See id. (7207)	See id. (7207)
7623	See id. (7207)	See id. (7207)
7220	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"In light of the Commission's
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	other enforcement priorities and
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	limited resources, we voted to
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	dismiss all the allegations as a
	7220 (Make America Great Again	matter of prosecutorial
	PAC, et al.) (September 21, 2021),	discretion."
	https://eqs.fec.gov/eqsdocsMUR/72	
	20 14.pdf	
7243	Statement of Reasons of Vice	N/A
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	
	James E. "Trey" Trainor III, MUR	
	7243 (CITGO Petroleum	
	Corporation, et al.) (April 1, 2021),	
<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7243/7243 20.pdf	
7265	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" our agency's limited
1203	Chair Allen Dickerson and	enforcement resources are better
		directed toward other
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III,	investigations with better odds of
	MURs 7265, 7266, (Donald J.	success Considering the
	Trump for President, Inc., et al.)	foregoing, three Commissioners
	(May 10, 2021),	declined to pursue this quixotic
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	enforcement effort and instead
	<u>s/7265/7265_12.pdf</u>	voted to dismiss the complaints
		as a matter of prosecutorial
		discretion under <i>Heckler v</i> .
		Chaney."
7266		<i>See id.</i> (7265)
7273	Statement of Reasons of Chair	"In light of the factual record of
	Caroline C. Hunter and Comm'r	this matter, the considerations
	Matthew S. Petersen, MUR 7273	articulated in Heckler, and the
	(Robert J. Ritchie p/k/a Kid Rock,	First Amendment sensitivities at
	et al.) (November 20, 2018),	issue here, our votes constituted
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	a proper exercise of the
	<u>s/7273/7273_1.pdf</u>	Commission's prosecutorial
		discretion."
7299	Statement of Reasons of Comm'rs	N/A
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7299	
	(Wexton for Congress, et al.)	
	(April 14, 2022),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7299/7299 20.pdf	
7313	Statement of Reasons of Comm'rs	" the Commission was facing
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	an extensive enforcement docket
	"Trey" Trainor III, MURs 7313,	backlog resulting from a
	7319, 7379 (Michael Cohen, et al.)	prolonged lack of a quorum, and
	(April 26, 2021),	these matters were already
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	statute-of-limitations imperiled.
	s/7313/7313 27.pdf	These are precisely the
	<u> </u>	prudential factors cited by the
		U.S. Supreme Court in <i>Heckler</i>
		v. Chaney, and why we voted to
		v. Chancy, and why we voice to

		diamina than a mattana an an
		dismiss these matters as an
		exercise of our prosecutorial
7210	C 1 (7010)	discretion."
7319	,	See id. (7313)
7324	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"In choosing how to allocate the
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	Commission's limited
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	enforcement resources, we opted
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III,	against pursuing the long odds of
	MURs 7324, 7332, 7364, 7366	a successful enforcement in
	(Donald J. Trump for President,	these matters and, with a noted
	Inc., et al.) (June 28, 2021),	exception, instead voted to
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	dismiss as an exercise of
	<u>s/7324/7324_33.pdf</u>	prosecutorial discretion."
7332	<i>See id.</i> (7324)	<i>See id.</i> (7324)
7364	<i>See id.</i> (7324)	<i>See id.</i> (7324)
7366	<i>See id.</i> (7324)	<i>See id.</i> (7324)
7330	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" moving forward on this
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	matter, especially in light of how
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	much time has passed since the
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	events at issue, would be unfair
	7330 (Mia Love, et al.) (October	and not the best use of
	27, 2021),	Commission resources.
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	Accordingly, we voted to
	s/7330/7330 16.pdf	dismiss this matter pursuant to
		Heckler v. Chaney."
7337	N/A	N/A
7339	N/A	N/A
7340	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"We disagreed and voted to
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	dismiss under <i>Heckler v</i> .
	Comm'r Sean J. Cooksey, MURs	Chaney the Commission's
	7340, 7609 (Great America	scarce resources would be best
	Committee, et al.) (June 25, 2021),	spent elsewhere."
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7340/7340 56.pdf	
7609	See id. (7340)	See id. (7340)
7350	N/A	N/A
7351	N/A	N/A
7357	N/A	N/A

7382	N/A	N/A
7370	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" we were unable to justify the
7370	Chair Allen Dickerson and	commitment of the
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	Commission's scarce
	James E. "Trey" Trainor III, MURs	enforcement resources to such a
	7370, 7496 (New Republican PAC,	lengthy and cumbersome
	et al.) (July 21, 2021),	investigation on the basis of such
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	a thin evidentiary reed.
	s/7370/7370 21.pdf	Accordingly, we invoked our
	<u>s//3/0//3/0_21.pur</u>	prosecutorial discretion pursuant
		to Heckler v. Chaney."
7496	See id. (7370)	See id. (7370)
7383	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" we concluded that absent
7505	Chair Allen Dickerson and	stronger evidence of a violation
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	or a higher potential amount in
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	violation, an investigation was
	7383 (Hughes for Congress, et al.)	not the best use of Commission
	(September 21, 2021),	resources Accordingly, we
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	voted to dismiss this remaining
	s/7383/7383 14.pdf	allegation, and joined with two
		of our colleagues in voting to
		close the file."
7422	Statement of Reasons of Chair	"I supported dismissing this
	James E. "Trey" Trainor III, MUR	matter on the grounds of
	7422 (Greitens for Missouri)	prosecutorial discretion after
	(August 28, 2020),	weighing OGC's
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	recommendations for moving
	<u>s/7422/7422_83.pdf</u> ; Statement of	forward against factors such as
	Reasons of Chairman Allen J.	the looming statute of limitations
	Dickerson, MUR 7422 (Greitens	and the best use of Commission
	for Missouri, et al.) (May 13,	resources"; " I agreed with
	2022),	Commissioner Trainor that it
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	was appropriate to dismiss it as
	<u>s/7422/7422_82.pdf</u>	an exercise of prosecutorial
		discretion under <i>Heckler v</i> .
		Chaney."
7425	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	"In that position, we determined
	Allen Dickerson and Comm'rs	that our agency's enforcement
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	resources would be best spent
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7425	addressing other matters where

	(Donald J. Trump Foundation, et	the Commission had a realistic
	al.) (February 22, 2022),	chance of vindicating the
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	interests of the United States in a
	s/7425/7425_42.pdf	timely fashion For the
		foregoing reasons, we voted to
		dismiss this Matter."
7464	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" we could not justify further
	Chairman Sean J. Cooksey and	pursuit of this Matter For the
	Comm'rs Allen J. Dickerson and	foregoing reasons, we declined
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	to proceed with further
	7464 (LZP LLC, et al.) (July 7,	enforcement consistent with our
	2023),	prosecutorial discretion."
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	<u>s/7464/7464_90.pdf</u>	
7465	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	"Considering the
	Allen Dickerson and Comm'rs	Commission's limited resources,
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	we voted against finding
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7465	probable cause and voted to
	(Freedom Vote, Inc.) (March 7,	close the file on this Matter."
	2022),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	<u>s/7465/7465_40.pdf</u>	
7486	Statement of Reasons of Comm'rs	"Our votes against proceeding
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	were therefore based both on the
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7486	merits and on the exercise of
	(45Committee, Inc.) (August 30,	prosecutorial discretion
	2022),	Opening a new investigation in
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	December 2021 over conduct
	s/7486/7486 16.pdf	that concluded in 2016 would
		have no chance of legal success
		and thus be a waste of agency
		resources."
7502	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" we could not justify the
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	investment of further
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	Commission resources pursuing
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	this Matter. Accordingly, we
	7502 (Utah Love PAC, et al.)	elected to dismiss under Heckler
	(October 29, 2021),	v. Chaney."
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	•
	s/7502/7502 12.pdf	

7507	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"Finally, dismissal of these
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	allegations was warranted as an
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	exercise of prosecutorial
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	discretion Considering the
	7507 (Aftab Pureval, et al.)	Commission's other enforcement
	(August 27, 2021),	priorities and limited resources,
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	a discretionary dismissal is
	<u>s/7507/7507_29.pdf</u>	equally appropriate to one based
		on lack of evidence."
7516	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	"We voted to dismiss, as an
	Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'rs	exercise of prosecutorial
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	discretion, [as] opening an
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7516	investigation here would have
	(Heritage Action for America)	only taken our attention, time,
	(May 13, 2022),	and resources away from
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	resolving those matters."
	<u>s/7516/7516_15.pdf</u>	
7528	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"Finally, dismissal was called
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	for in order to preserve agency
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	resources and prioritize other
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	enforcement cases Assessing
	7528 (Yukon Kusko PAC, et al.)	the facts available at this time,
	(November 1, 2021),	then, we voted to dismiss the
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	allegations under <i>Heckler v</i> .
	<u>s/7528/7528_23.pdf</u>	Chaney."
7530	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"In light of the Respondents'
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	reasonable reliance on the
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	Commission's past precedents
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III,	on this issue, and because like
	MURs 7530, 7627 (NRCC, et al.)	cases should be treated alike, we
	(November 8, 2021),	voted to dismiss the Complaints
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	in these matters pursuant to
	<u>s/7530/7530_16.pdf</u>	Heckler v. Chaney."
7627	<i>See id.</i> (7530)	<i>See id.</i> (7530)
7575	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	"Rather than committing the
	Allen Dickerson and Comm'rs	Commission's limited resources
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	to investigating allegations that
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MURs 7575,	lacked significant factual support
	7580, 7592, 7626 (Brand New	and were imperiled by the statute
	Congress, et al.) (March 22, 2022),	

letters //www.foo.gov/filog/loggl/my.r.l. of limitation	and two wated to
	ons, we voted to
s/7575/7575 18.pdf dismiss."	77.5
7580 See id. (7575) See id. (75	,
7592 See id. (7575) See id. (75	•
7626 See id. (7575) See id. (75	75)
7645 Statement of Reasons of Chairman N/A	
Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'rs	
Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	
"Trey" Trainor, III, MURs 7645,	
7663, 7705 (Donald J. Trump, et	
al.) (August 31, 2022),	
https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
<u>s/7645/7645_21.pdf</u>	
7663 See id. (7645) N/A	
7705 See id. (7645) N/A	
7646 Statement of Reasons of Chairman " we vot	ted to dismiss those
Allen Dickerson and Comm'r allegations	s pursuant to the
James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR agency's p	prosecutorial discretion
7646 (1820 PAC, et al.) (April 15, under <i>Hech</i>	kler v. Chaney."
2022),	,
https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
s/7646/7646 16.pdf	
7657 Statement of Reasons of Chairman N/A	
Allen Dickerson and Comm'rs	
Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	
"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7657	
(IRL PAC, et al.) (March 22,	
2022),	
https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
s/7657/7657 16.pdf	
	lso voted to dismiss
	ions against these
	an exercise of the
	on's prosecutorial
	pursuant to <i>Heckler v</i> .
12, 2022), <i>Chaney</i> .	1
https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
s/7666/7666 24.pdf	
7675 See id. (7666) See id. (76	666)

7681	See id. (7666)	See id. (7666)
7715	`	See id. (7666)
7672	· /	N/A
	Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'rs	
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MURs 7672,	
	7674, 7732 (Iowa Values Inc., et	
	al.) (May 13, 2022),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	<u>s/7672/7672_21.pdf</u>	
7674	<i>See id.</i> (7672)	N/A
7696	Statement of Reasons of Vice	" we voted to dismiss as an
	Chair Allen Dickerson and	exercise of our prosecutorial
	Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and	discretion."
	James E. "Trey" Trainor III, MUR	
	1	
	1	
7717		
		· ·
	1	
		_
		prosecutorial discretion.
7726		DT/A
7/26		N/A
	1	
	1	
	1 ` -	
7754		" this matter further warranted
1134		
	_	
1	7754 (Pacific Atlantic Action	prosecutorial resources"
7717	7696 (Texans for Senator John Cornyn et al.) (May 18, 2021), https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur s/7696/7696 26.pdf Statement of Reasons of Chairman Allen Dickerson and Comm'r James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7717 (Theresa Greenfield for Iowa, et al.) (April 15, 2022), https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur s/7717/7717 26.pdf Statement of Reasons of Chairman Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7726 (David Brock, et al.) (May 13, 2022), https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur s/7726/7726 19.pdf Statement of Reasons of Vice Chair Allen Dickerson and Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	"Accordingly, as we have done elsewhere, we voted to dismiss the allegations against SMP pursuant to the Commission's prosecutorial discretion." N/A " this matter further warranted dismissal under <i>Heckler v</i> . <i>Chaney</i> to avoid wasting the Commission's limited

	G 1'' + 1 \ /B 1 1	
	Coalition, et al.) (December 1,	
	2021),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7754/7754_38.pdf	
7759	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	" we did not believe that
	Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'rs	proceeding with enforcement in
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	this matter would be likely to
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7759	succeed, or, moreover, that an
	(William Figlesthaler for Congress,	enforcement action would
	et al.) (September 16, 2022),	accord with the Commission's
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	overall priorities. Accordingly,
	s/7759/7759_23.pdf	we voted to dismiss the
		allegations as to the Committee
		as an exercise of prosecutorial
		discretion,"
7776	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	N/A
	Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'rs	
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7776	
	(Unknown Respondent) (May 11,	
	2022),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7776/7776 08.pdf	
7781	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	Accordingly, we voted to
	Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'r	dismiss pursuant to the
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	Commission's authority under
	7781 (Fight for the American	Heckler."
	Dream PAC, et al.) (April 11,	
	2022),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7781/7781 12.pdf	
7784	Statement of Reasons of Chairman	" we concluded that this matter
	Allen J. Dickerson and Comm'rs	did not warrant further use of the
	Sean J. Cooksey and James E.	Commission's limited resources.
	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7784	Accordingly, we declined to find
	(Make America Great Again PAC,	reason to believe that either
	et al.) (June 9, 2022),	Committee violated the Act and,
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	instead, elected to dismiss this
	s/7784/7784 42.pdf	matter as an exercise of
L		

		prosecutorial discretion under <i>Heckler</i> ."
7882	Statement of Reasons of Chairman Allen Dickerson and Comm'rs Sean J. Cooksey and James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7882 (Christy Smith for Congress, et al.) (May 17, 2022), https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur s/7882/7882 09.pdf	"Because we concluded that civil enforcement would not be a wise use of Commission resources, we voted to invoke the agency's prosecutorial discretion and dismiss."
7901	Statement of Reasons of Vice Chairman Sean J. Cooksey and Comm'rs Allen J. Dickerson and James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7901 (Ethan Owens, et al.) (January 18, 2023), https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur s/7901/7901 29.pdf	" we believed that the further use of Commission resources with respect to these allegations was unwarranted. Hence, pursuant to our prosecutorial discretion under <i>Heckler v. Chaney</i> , we voted to dismiss OGC's recommendations."
7912	Statement of Reasons of Vice Chairman Sean J. Cooksey and Comm'rs Allen J. Dickerson and James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 7912 (Senate Leadership Fund, et al.) (March 1, 2023), https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur s/7912/7912_68.pdf	"Because we concluded that— even if the Commission's affiliation rules do apply to IEOPCs in the first instance— there was no clear notice to these Respondents or the regulated community at large, we voted to dismiss the allegations as a matter of prosecutorial discretion."
8038	Statement of Reasons of Comm'rs Allen J. Dickerson and James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 8038 (Angel Staffing, Inc., et al.) (July 3, 2023), https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/murs/8038/8038_15.pdf	"Accordingly, while we may decide differently under different facts, such as in an egregious or clear-cut case, we did not believe further pursuit of this matter to be a wise use of Commission resources and dismissed it pursuant to our prosecutorial discretion."
8044	Statement of Reasons of Chairman Sean J. Cooksey and Comm'rs Allen J. Dickerson and James E.	" pursuit of that relatively minor violation did not merit the use of Commission resources

	"Trey" Trainor, III, MUR 8044	we voted to dismiss that
	(Taddeo for Congress, et al.)	allegation in the exercise of
	(January 17, 2024),	prosecutorial discretion."
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	<u>s/8044/8044_16.pdf</u>	
8062	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"This lack of clarity creates
	Chairman Sean J. Cooksey and	significant risk for inconsistent
	Comm'rs Allen J. Dickerson and	and arbitrary enforcement
	James E. "Trey" Trainor, III, MUR	against respondents, like
	8062 (Andrew Gabarino, et al.)	Representative Garbarino, who
	(September 13, 2023),	are entitled to due process and
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	fair notice about the limits of
	s/8062/8062_14.pdf	permissible conduct prior to civil
		enforcement—not after."
6589R	Statement of Reasons of	N/A
	Commissioner Ellen L. Weintraub,	
	MUR 6589R, (American Action	
	Network) (September 30, 2022),	
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/6589R/6589R_31.pdf	
7609R	Statement of Reasons of Vice	"We disagreed and voted to
	Chairman Sean J. Cooksey and	dismiss under <i>Heckler v</i> .
	Comm'r Allen Dickerson, MURs	Chaney we concluded the
	7340, 7609R (Great America	Commission's scarce resources
	Committee) (November 1, 2023),	would be best spent elsewhere."
	https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/mur	
	s/7609R/7609R_17.pdf	