

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-5239**September Term, 2018****1:16-cv-00752-TNM****Filed On: June 4, 2019**

Campaign Legal Center and Democracy 21,

Appellants

v.

Federal Election Commission, et al.,

Appellees

BEFORE: Griffith, Pillard, and Katsas, Circuit Judges**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion for summary affirmance, the opposition thereto, the reply, the Rule 28(j) letter, and the response thereto, it is

ORDERED that the motion for summary affirmance be denied. The merits of the parties' positions are not so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam).

Because the court has determined that summary disposition is not in order, the Clerk is instructed to calendar this case for presentation to a merits panel.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Laura Chipley
Deputy Clerk