Office of Inspector General’s
Review of
Outstanding Recommendations as of
August 2015

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) semiannually provides to the Federal Election Commission (FEC) a report on the status of outstanding recommendations. The OIG provides these status reports as of February and August of each year. This process provides sufficient time for management to include any updates from the OIG in their semiannual corrective action plans (CAP) to the Commission in May and November.

Since the February 2015 report was issued, the *Inspection of the FEC’s Travel and Purchase Card Programs* report (also released in February 2015) has been added to the follow-up review. The Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) initiated the follow-up process with the OIG prior to the recommendations being outstanding for six months; therefore, the recommendations were reviewed and included during this reporting period.

For this reporting period, we reviewed a total of 7 audits and inspections that contained a total of 83 outstanding recommendations as of February 2015. The OIG was able to collectively close 4 recommendations from 3 of the 7 audits and inspections (see table on page 2).

**Noteworthy Accomplishments**

- The **Office of the Chief Financial Officer** closed the two open recommendations from the *Inspection of the FEC’s Travel and Purchase Card Programs* report within six (6) months of issuing the final report to close out the inspection.

- The **Administrative Services Division** timely implemented monitoring controls and documented standard operating procedures for the FEC that aligned with the requirements of the Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012.

**OIG Concerns**

- The OIG continues to be concerned with the agency’s position to operate with two Co-Chief Privacy Officers (the Deputy Chief Information Officer (CIO) of Operations and Deputy General Counsel) to oversee the agency’s privacy programs, as we feel this contributes to the lack of progress being made in completing agreed-upon recommendations.

For further details, please see page 7.
### Table Summary of Results

The table below summarizes the progress made by FEC management since the OIG’s last reporting period and the total outstanding recommendations as of August 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title &amp; Report Date of OIG Audits/Inspection</th>
<th>Total Outstanding Recommendations as of February 2015</th>
<th>Total Closed and Verified by OIG</th>
<th>Total Open as of August 2015&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit of the Commission’s Property Management Controls (3/2010)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Follow-up Audit of Privacy and Data Protection (3/2011)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Follow-up Audit of Procurement and Contract Management (6/2011)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of the FEC’s Disaster Recovery Plan and Continuity of Operations Plans (1/2013)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit of the FEC’s Office of Human Resources (7/2013)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of FEC’s Compliance with FMFIA/OMB A-123 (6/2014)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of the FEC’s Travel Card and Purchase Card Programs (2/2015)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Outstanding Recommendations** | **79**

<sup>1</sup> Column numbers include recommendations that management has disagreed with or has not adequately implemented, and the OIG concludes that these recommendations are still open.

<sup>2</sup> Recommendation was closed based on a duplicate recommendation in the FEC’s annual financial statement audit that was reviewed and closed.
Audit Follow-up Meetings/Communications

Closed Inspections

A. Inspection of the FEC’s Travel And Purchase Card Programs

The OIG’s February 2015 inspection report identified two open recommendations. In July 2015, the OCFO initiated the audit follow-up process to provide the corrective actions implemented for the open recommendations. The Director of the Administrative Services Division (ASD) issued the Travel Card Program Policy on March 24, 2015, and the Acting Chief Financial Officer posted the Travel Policy on the FECNet site on July 30, 2015. The issuance of these policies were communicated agency wide via email which included a link to the respective policy documents. The OIG meet with ASD on August 11, 2015, to discuss the monthly monitoring process and reviewed a sample of three monthly travel card activity reports. Based on the OIG’s review, we confirmed that evidence was provided to support monthly monitoring of travel cards is consistently performed. As a result of the OIG follow-up review, both recommendations were closed, and the inspection report has been closed.

Open Audits/Inspections

A. Audit of the Commission’s Property Management Controls

The OIG’s Review of Outstanding Recommendations as of February 2015 report identified one open recommendation for the Audit of the FEC’s Property Management Controls:

- **Recommendation 2f**: “Blackberry user information should be kept up to date and adjusted in a timely manner on the ITD master Blackberry listing and the AT&T Premier website for employee separations and new assignment of devices.”

For this review period, the Information Technology Division (ITD) management initiated the follow-up review by providing the OIG with their inventory records and recent AT&T billing statement to review the accuracy of their iPhone inventory records. The OIG completed a detailed review of the inventory records and found similar issues with the inventory records as in past follow-ups.

OCFO and ASD Management worked to close the inspection recommendations in a timely manner.

---

The ITD has since changed its name to the Office of Chief Information Officer (OCIO), but will be referred to as ITD for consistency with the original report. Additionally, iPhones have replaced Blackberries, but the open recommendations are still relevant to the iPhones.
The OIG provided management with our review results and comments, noting the inaccuracies found. Thus, the OIG continues to report recommendation 2f as an outstanding recommendation for this reporting period.

In addition, for this review period, ITD management provided two separate inventory list for iPhones, in which both lists capture the same type of data, but did not always have congruent information (i.e., different users assigned to the same device). In addition to providing the results of our review, we suggested to management to choose one method for capturing the inventory information to eliminate conflicting inventory data. We also suggested that the selected method should be the most accurate and timely method.

B. 2010 Follow-up Audit of Privacy and Data Protection

For the 2010 Follow-up Audit of Privacy and Data Protection, the OIG’s February 2015 report identified 28 open recommendations. For this review period, management provided the OIG with an updated CAP where management had closed several recommendations. The OIG reviewed the updated CAP and requested the associated supporting documentation to verify the implementation of the corrective actions. Management was unable to provide the requested documentation prior to the release of this report; therefore, no updates could be made to the status of the open recommendations. However, the OIG looks forward to reviewing the corrective actions that were implemented and including any status updates in the February 2016 report.

C. 2010 Follow-up Audit of Procurement and Contract Management

The 2010 Follow-up Audit of Procurement and Contract Management was issued in June 2011. The OIG’s Review of Outstanding Recommendations as of February 2015 report identified three open recommendations. In May 2015, the OCFO initiated follow-up on an open audit item by providing the OIG with documentation to support corrective actions. Based on the OIG’s review, it was determined that the corrective action was properly implemented and this recommendation has been closed. In accordance with the OIG’s follow-up review process, in July 2015 the OIG contacted OCFO to determine if any additional progress had been made on the two remaining audit recommendations, and the OIG was informed that no addition corrective actions had been taken, leaving two open audit recommendations as of August 2015.
D. Inspection of the FEC’s Disaster Recovery Plan and Continuity of Operations Plans

The Inspection of the FEC’s Disaster Recovery Plan and Continuity of Operations Plans report was released in January 2013. The OIG met with the Acting Staff Director of Management and Administration (temporarily promoted from Deputy CIO of Operations) to provide a status of the open recommendations. During this meeting, the OIG was notified that there had been no significant changes to the status of the outstanding recommendations; however, management has made some progress in regards to establishing COOP for the FEC. The following items were noted:

- Management purchased Surface Tablets for all FEC employees identified as essential in relation to the COOP;
- The draft plan was to be finalized by the end of July 2015;\(^4\) and
- COOP testing was scheduled to commence in August 2015.\(^5\)

The OIG recognizes that management has taken some actions to satisfy this government requirement; however, review of the draft COOP plan identified that there were still areas of the plan that needed to be developed, and there was no detailed testing plan as required by Federal Continuity Directive 1, Federal Executive Branch National Continuity Program and Requirements, revised October 2012.\(^6\)

E. Audit of the FEC’s Office of Human Resources

The Audit of the Federal Election Commission’s Office of Human Resources (OHR) report was issued in July 2013. The OIG’s Review of Outstanding Recommendations as of February 2015 report identified 11 open recommendations for the OHR audit report. The OIG contacted the OHR on July 31, 2015, to initiate the follow-up process. The OIG was informed that due to the departure of the Director of Human Resources in May 2015, and the substantive nature of the remaining 11 open audit recommendations, OHR would not be able to provide follow-up status at this time. The OHR plans to provide updated status of open recommendations once the new Director of OHR has had an opportunity to review and act on the corrective actions.\(^7\) Therefore, the OHR audit still has 11 open audit recommendations as of August 2015.

---

\(^4\) Date revised from January 2015.
\(^5\) Date revised from February 2015 due to compatibility issues experienced with the tablet configurations.
\(^6\) A revised COOP Plan was issued by the agency on September 16, 2015, and will be evaluated for the next report.
\(^7\) The new Director of Human Resources started work in September 2015.
F. Inspection of FEC’s Compliance with FMFIA/OMB Circular A-123

The *Inspection of FEC’s Compliance with FMFIA/OMB Circular A-123* (A-123 Inspection) was released in June 2014. The OIG’s *Review of Outstanding Recommendations as of February 2015* report identified eight open recommendations for the A-123 Inspection report, and also stated that corrective action had been made related to two of the recommendations (see below).

- **Recommendation 1**: “The Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) should ensure sufficient information is included in the internal control review (ICR) packages submitted by program offices by making the ICR report mandatory.”

- **Recommendation 2**: “As a best practice, the ICR report should be standardized….”

During this follow-up period, the OIG reviewed a sample of five ICR packages to determine if the revised guidance related to Directive 53 was followed during the FY 2014 ICR process. For the ICR packages reviewed, the OIG confirmed that OCFO did ensure that applicable prior audit findings/control issues identified were included in the respective program ICR packages, and that the findings/control issues were considered when providing the assurance related to the applicable program. While not mandatory, the OIG feels that all program offices, including those with no prior audit/control findings, should submit an ICR report to reflect the review performed. The OIG still believes that a standard ICR report (or a similar document) that summarizes and consolidates all the information required to support the ICR process should be mandatory. Once the new "Draft" A-123 guidance has been finalized, management should consider developing a standard report template for program offices to document and summarize the results of the annual ICR. Utilizing a standard report template would be more efficient and considered a best practice. In addition, the OIG believes that the new "Draft" A-123 guidance will require additional documentation to support compliance. Therefore, the OIG still considers these two recommendations open.

The OIG also acknowledges that the OCFO made progress on another recommendation (**Recommendation 7**) related to training. The OCFO identified program managers and ICR points of contact for each division, and in May 2015 held mandatory training *(Internal Controls – Meeting Federal Requirements for Accountability)*. However, the OIG notes that the training did not address how to perform and document the FEC’s annual ICR process. In addition, the FEC’s annual ICR process and requirements could change once the new "Draft" A-123 guidance is finalized which is scheduled for September 2015. Therefore, this recommendation will remain open.
The OIG was also informed of other corrective actions by the OCFO as follows:

- OCFO members participated in an Interagency Internal Control Working Group meeting that was held in August 2015. The goal of the working group is to share ideas and plans on how to implement the new A-123 guidance.

- The OCFO created an A-123 task force that includes authoritative management officials to be responsible for implementing and maintaining a strong internal control program. The initial meeting was held in August 2015.

While the OCFO has made progress in certain areas, until the OIG can review FEC’s implementation plan and updated Directive 53 (if required) to comply with the new A-123 guidance, and be able to confirm that corrective actions fully address the recommendations, none of the recommendations can be closed. Therefore, all eight recommendations will remain open.
OIG Concerns

Privacy Program

Many of the critical outstanding recommendations from the 2010 Follow-up Audit of Privacy and Data Protection have not been implemented since the release of the report in March 2011. This is due, in part, to the full time responsibilities of each Co-Chief Privacy Officer and their office staff. The OCIO and the Office of General Counsel are two offices that are critical to the agency’s mission and require their staffing resources to be dedicated to achieving the mission of the agency, and it is challenging for them to complete the collateral duties of the privacy officers.

The OIG encourages management to reconsider their position\textsuperscript{8} to the outstanding recommendation 1a of the Privacy Audit:

\begin{quote}
  \emph{Assign privacy roles and responsibilities to one individual CPO [Chief Privacy Officer] with high level sponsorship in the Commission, to ensure any sensitive data and/or personally identifiable information within the agency is properly recorded, safeguarded, and distributed.}
\end{quote}

The lack of one fully dedicated Privacy Officer has delayed progress in improving the agency’s overall Privacy Program. The agency is at high risk of not being current with required government privacy requirements, ensuring that proper privacy protocols and processes are enforced within the agency, and meeting deadlines for privacy reporting requirements.

\textsuperscript{8} “Management does not concur with this audit recommendation.”
Background

As required by the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, the Office of Inspector General is responsible for conducting audits of the Federal Election Commission’s programs and operations. In addition to conducting and supervising audits, the OIG also has the responsibility to conduct audit follow-ups to ensure that management has effectively implemented OIG recommendations. Audit follow-up, including the timely implementation of audit recommendations by FEC management, is required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-50, Audit Follow-up, as revised, and FEC Directive 50: Audit Follow-up.

At the conclusion of each OIG audit and inspection, it is management’s responsibility to develop a corrective action plan. The CAP identifies the plan management has developed to address the OIG’s findings and recommendations. The CAP should detail the following:

1. assignment of Audit Follow-up Official, who is responsible for overseeing the corrective action;
2. OIG finding(s);
3. OIG recommendation(s);
4. detailed corrective action to implement the OIG’s recommendation(s);
5. FEC staff person with responsibility to implement each task; and
6. expected completion dates.

Once management drafts the CAP, the OIG then reviews the CAP and provides comments to management regarding the sufficiency of their planned corrective actions to address the OIG’s findings. Management reviews the OIG’s comments, finalizes the CAP, and then provides the final CAP to the Commission with a courtesy copy to the OIG.

FEC Directive 50 requires management to:

(3) Conduct regular meetings with the Inspector General throughout the year to follow-up on outstanding findings and recommendations, and include reports of these meetings in the written corrective action plan and semi-annual reports required to be presented to the Commission...;

In order to work effectively with FEC management in adhering to FEC Directive 50, and to ensure continuous monitoring and adequate and timely audit resolution, the OIG communicates with management at least semiannually to discuss the status of outstanding OIG recommendations. If management has implemented any corrective action(s), the OIG schedules a meeting with management to discuss the implementation of the corrective action(s), and the OIG then reviews evidence of the corrective action (i.e., new/updated policies, procedures, and processes to improve internal controls).
To provide management with timely feedback and the results of our review prior to management’s reporting deadlines to the Commission in May and November, the OIG reports on our review of outstanding recommendations in February and August. The semiannual meetings are also intended to assist the audit follow-up official in following provisions 4 through 6 of Directive 50, which are listed as follows:

(4) Respond in a timely manner to all audit reports;
(5) Engage in a good faith effort to resolve all disagreements; and
(6) Produce semi-annual reports that are submitted to the agency head.

The official status (open/closed) of OIG recommendations is determined by the OIG once the OIG has verified that management has adequately implemented the corrective actions. The Inspector General can also make a decision to close recommendations or seek resolution from the Commission for recommendations where the OIG and management disagree. Lastly, the number of outstanding recommendations is also reported to the Commission and Congress in the OIG’s Semiannual Reports to Congress.
Individuals including FEC and FEC contractor employees are encouraged to alert the OIG to fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement of agency programs and operations. Individuals who contact the OIG can remain anonymous. However, persons who report allegations are encouraged to provide their contact information in the event additional questions arise as the OIG evaluates the allegations. Allegations with limited details or merit may be held in abeyance until further specific details are reported or obtained. Pursuant to the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, the Inspector General will not disclose the identity of an individual who provides information without the consent of that individual, unless the Inspector General determines that such disclosure is unavoidable during the course of an investigation. To learn more about the OIG, visit our Website at: http://www.fec.gov/fecig/fecig.shtml

Together we can make a difference.
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Together we can make a difference.