

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5072**September Term, 2019****1:16-cv-02201-EGS****Filed On:** October 3, 2019

Ted Lieu, Representative, et al.,
Appellants

v.

Federal Election Commission,
Appellee

BEFORE: Rogers, Tatel, and Srinivasan, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion for summary affirmance, the response thereto, and the reply; and the motion to hold in abeyance, the response thereto, and the reply, it is

ORDERED that the motion to hold in abeyance be dismissed as moot. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for summary affirmance be granted. The merits of the parties' positions are so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam). The Federal Election Commission's decision to dismiss the administrative complaint was not contrary to law as the challenged contributions to independent-expenditure-only political committees cannot constitutionally be prohibited under SpeechNow.org v. FEC, 599 F.3d 686 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (en banc), *cert. denied*, 562 U.S. 1003 (2010). See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8)(C); Orloski v. FEC, 795 F.2d 156, 161 (D.C. Cir. 1986).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam