

Dark money is already a huge problem in our politics today. The Citizens United ruling by the Supreme Court essentially gave corporations and billionaires more influence than regular citizens. Current disclosure laws are one of the few ways for voters to know what influences potential candidates, who's funding their campaigns, and who might influence their behavior if elected. We are currently witnessing an unelected billionaire wreak havoc on our government because he spent \$270M assisting the current President get elected. He is also threatening members of Congress who don't bend to his will that he will fund primary opponents in their next election.

This is not democracy or anything even close. This is oligarchy. We are in grave danger of losing our democracy. However flawed it may be, it is still far better than oligarchy.

You owe it to the American people, not just the current generation, but also those who fought to create and protect this country as well as generations yet to be born, to do the right thing and protect our democracy.

Do not weaken financial disclosure laws. If anything, they should be strengthened, and Citizens United should be overturned.

Comments provided by :
Rynerson, David

YOU HAVE GOT TO BE KIDDING ME! We need more transparency on where money is coming from in elections. Not less. Better yet, we need to get money out of our elections. Billions of dollars spent on elections is ridiculous. We also need to shorten the election cycle. No reason it should start the day after an election. While at it, the electoral college needs to go. It is outdated and our elections DO NOT reflect the sentiments of The People.

Comments provided by :
Abromavage, Rob

I urge you to not change any rules pertaining to transparency of donations to political parties. The current rules work just fine. Changing them would add more darkness to our government, and benefit quid pro quo contributors.

Comments provided by :
Adams, Kathie

Please don't let legislation allow political donors who give large sums of money to campaigns to be opaque. We, the voters, need to know who is influencing our elections and we MUST have transparency. Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Allen-Prather, Jennifer

Existing FEC rules already cover privacy issues. We cannot afford to allow more dark money into our elections! We need MORE TRANSPARENCY, not less, to prevent foreign actors and oligarchs from secretly buying our elections. We regular citizens resent more barriers to voting, and corporate dollars flooding the zone.

Comments provided by :
Allgood, A Y

"Hello!

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Walter Alton

Comments provided by :
Alton, Walter

Money and votes are not equal. Yet, since the rise of Citizens United, campaign contributors can influence votes thru their largely unrestricted ability to flood campaign ad airwaves with content that is largely unmonitored or unproven. This is not right. If a campaign contributor wishes to spend money advancing their political views they must have the basic dignity and honesty to speak facts.

Factual Political Advertising is largely a 'cruel joke' .. its content often does nothing more than lie, spread falsehoods, intimidate and mislead. This does nothing to help a democracy which requires truths to allow intelligent voting. It only advances cynicism and distrust.

Please do not do more to advance this dangerous reality which has helped politicize our electorate. Don't allow the Big Lies to become even bigger.

Frankly it is time to reinstate campaign finance control as we once had with the bipartisan McCain Feingold campaign finance bill. It did the country no good to allow this valuable tool to lapse. And we voters were spared billions of dollars of Fake Truth Advertising which has done nothing to improve political discourse.

Comments provided by :
Andersen, Eric

We do not to weaken rules for campaign donations. It is not necessary to shield donations as it is necessary to know who is contributing. This looks bad- only increasing abuse and benefitting wealthy

Comments provided by :
Andzejczak, Julia

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Angelli, Terry

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering, REG 2024-06, would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

I strongly encourage you to VOTE AGAINST REG 2024-06

Historically, there has been strong bipartisan agreement that, if we can't stop money from buying elections, the bare minimum that we MUST provide is TRANSPARENCY. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians.

Yes, openly participating in the political community does have the possibility of being targeted.

But the current law allows political donors, who face harassment, to obtain an exemption to the transparency rules due to harassment if they're able to prove it that is a problem for them.

The current system is actually working.

Exemptions should remain rare, limited for those very few for whom harassment is shown to be a real problem.

On the other hand Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

It has been shown that elected legislators consistently legislate based on the interests of those giving the most money.

Thus, voters should know, for each candidate, who the big donors are.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Arones, Michael

Greetings, I write to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned the current proposal under consideration would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is crucial to democracy; we deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now. This new proposal isn't in response to donor complaints. Any such exemptions should remain rare, and Americans must be informed as to who influences which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken our essential political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time!

Comments provided by :
Ascher, Kevin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Atkinson, Ellen

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ausschnitt, Nicolette

Do not weaken controls on collection and dissemination of information regarding political contributions! Reject proposal REG 2024-06

Comments provided by :
Axen, Gary

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Babin, Barbara

Hello!

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Baca, David

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. I'm concerned that the proposed REG 2024-06 would weaken transparency. As a voter, I want to know who is influencing elections and politicians. The system works well now, so why change it?

Please reject REG 2024-06.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Comments provided by :
Bain, Karen

Citizens of the United States need to know who is buying elections. Musk bought the last election, and seemingly the President as well.

Money has no place in politics, no amount of \$5 or \$10 donations from people who can barely afford to give even that, is in any way equitable to someone who can give millions and even billions without causing themselves any undo financial stress.

We should at least know who is doing the giving, so that when the Presidency or a congressional seat is bought, we know why.

Let's get money out of politics, as it should be. Money is not speech, money is not human. At least let us know who is trying to buy elections.

Comments provided by :
Baird, Marilyn

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – all citizens deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Steven Baird

Comments provided by :
Baird, Steven

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosures rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ball, Robert

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Banach, John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Barber, Eugene

Money is not free speech. Money allows the rich and powerful to drown out dissenting voices. Money funds groups with patriotic and virtuous names that promote ideas that make their funders even richer and more powerful. We should know who is trying to influence us.

Comments provided by :
Bardell, Timothy

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering will weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and the new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Barker, Anne

Please, don't weaken transparency rules. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Barkett, Peter

Hello. I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm very concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. I see no valid reason for this proposal. There is far too much money influencing our elections.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Barrett, Susan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Baumhauer, Richard

I am writing to urge the FEC to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I believe that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency in political spending is critically important to preserve our democratic institutions – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Beck, Mary Ann

Dear FEC,

Please reject proposal REG 2024-06. It weakens political spending disclosure rules, enabling less transparency. We need to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. The current rules allow people being harassed to complain and seek relief.

Thank you for helping our democracy.

Comments provided by :

Beer, Julie

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Bejarano, Richard

Currently, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees.

That transparency is important because large donations can sway politicians. Money talks after all.

The proposal the FEC is currently considering would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

Notably, the FEC “will not undertake an independent investigation to verify” these requests. Instead, it will trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they’ll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now the FEC is proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight.

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

I ask that you reject ANY changes to reduce transparency.

Comments provided by :
Bell, Ashley

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Bell, Stanley

Please reject REG 2024-06. There is no need to weaken the current disclosure rules. The wealthy and powerful in our country do not need any additional advantages over the general public. There should be transparency within our political donations.

Comments provided by :
Benevento, David

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. I don't necessarily agree with this exemption rule, as I believe that our government should not be for sale, and we should have the right to know who is "buying" it! If you fear harassment, then you shouldn't put yourself into that position in the first place and not try to "buy" an election. However, If you make things even easier for people to submit large amounts of money anonymously, we the people will have no idea who is basically "buying" us. Our democracy has suffered enough, and in my opinion can all be traced back to the fact that the rich are "buying" and ruining our country! Please don't allow further erosion to transparency!
Thank you for your time!

Comments provided by :
Bentley, Terri

Hello FEC,

I'm urging you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules!
I'm very distraught that the proposal you're considering, would degrade the transparency rules with no good benefit for our democracy and citizens. Transparency is vital, crucial and we deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This has been historically agreed upon by both parties and everyone.

If a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and able to prove it, they get one.

The new proposal isn't because of donor complaints. Americans need to know who is donating and how much to which politicians.

I beg you to please reject the proposal Regulation, 2024-06, which would weaken our political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your hard work, efforts and time,
Carol Bergsma

Comments provided by :
Bergsma, Carol

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. If anything, they should be strengthened and examined for loopholes.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Loosening these rules would only allow the wealthy to buy more elections.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Bernstein, Marian

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Berrett, Steven

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Bevsek, Jean

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. (If we do anything, we should put a cap on political contributions, and limit contributors to Natural Persons - no business entities should be allowed to contribute.)

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
BIGLEY, JEAN

Dark money in politics is eroding our democratic republic. We are becoming an oligarchy. We need to at the VERY LEAST maintain current rules. I wish the regulations would be drastically tightened to limit amounts any person, corporation or other organization can contribute to campaigns. PACs are a dangerous work-around to circumvent the intention of the law.

Comments provided by :
Bing, Harriet

I strongly believe large sums of money spent to influence policy need to honestly show the people and organizations giving these donations. Currently the law insists that information be shared. I believe the law we currently have is good, it should not be weakened. We need to know who and how much money is being used to influence policy!

Thank you for reading my comment.

Eleanor Bliss

Comments provided by :

Bliss, Eleanor

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Bowman, Walter

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Boyce, Richard

Please reject any proposal that would weaken political donor transparency. We the people deserve and need to know the extent of the influence of money on our government.

Comments provided by :
Braco, Karen

Stop the dark money from influencing politics. It has already proven to be very dangerous for the people and detrimental to the UNITED STATES. Transparency is vital also. Our votes should not be able to be bought by the filthy rich and oligarchs.

Such dark money should have never been allowed!

Comments provided by :
Brandlein, Steven

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Bratvold , Gretchen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Breen , Timothy

It is vitally important to our democracy to have transparency of campaign contributions. Dollars should not control decisions but rather the good of all citizens. Therefore, I insist that you reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Brennecke, Paula

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Brick, Karen

Contributor information is essential so that we may understand where especially large contributions are coming from. From this we may know if the contributor has "special interests", interests that could make the contribution put pressure on any candidate or party to legislate in favor of certain policies which favor the contributor unfairly.

Comments provided by :
Brigham, Barbara

Please keep and enforce laws that make contributions to political campaigns as transparent as possible. The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they'll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. Transparency is important to determine who is influencing decisions in our government.

Comments provided by :
Broughton, Darlene

The rules about transparency and compete disclosure regarding who has made political donations and the amount should NOT be changed.

Comments provided by :

Brown , Jane

Current rules should be maintained. There already are ways for people to receive an exemption if appropriate. The need for transparency in contributions is too important to lose.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Brown, kathryn

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Brown, Lawrence

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Brown, Ronald

It is in the best interest of all citizens to know who contributes to campaigns of everyone who runs for public office. That should include corporate giving (which should be banned) and money given to PAC's. Public office should not be for sale!

Comments provided by :
Bucher, Theresa

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Buerger, Michelle

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit beyond making it easier for those with wealth to gain political influence. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Byrer, Jason

Oh dear, this is the Worst Case Scenario: undisclosed money will usher in the biggest, most evil funding into elections! I just finished reading a book where money laundering was skillful and frequent and always illegal.

Reg 2024-06 would legalize "money laundering" within elections! Elections should be and must express the will of the people, not skewed by invisible levers. The sophistication and greed of Big Money buys elections with its high-dollar, sophisticated framing, strategizing, focus grouping, and media purchases.

Please, please see this for what it is: immoral, furtive, hidden, evil and the direct opponent of democracy. Horribly unacceptable. I urge you all to reject this!!!

Comments provided by :
Byrnes, Jane

Citizens United has turned our democracy into an asset for the ultra wealthy and put it on the auction block for the highest bidder. If our government is to survive complete transparency will be required. Mega donors need to know that the peoples of the United States will be able to openly witness their attempts to control our laws and those consumer and voter protections provided by Congress after previous societal disasters. (segregation laws, voter bans, bank failures, natural disasters, public health crises, etc.)

Sincerely
Gregory Campbell

Comments provided by :
Campbell, Gregory

I am strongly opposed to loosening the present campaign financing legislation to make it easier to avoid disclosure of where the contributions originated from. The past election was the most expensive in history and billionaires spent hundreds of millions of dollars to elect the candidates of their choice. The Citizens United decision has made a mockery of our election financing laws, and we can ill afford to inflict further damage.

Comments provided by :
Campbell, John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Camphire, Greg

We, as voters, need more transparency in campaign donations. Americans should know who is donating the huge sums to political campaigns which carry greater influence on a politician's decisions. Frankly, all donations should be restricted to a maximum amount of \$100.00 so that no single person's or entity's donation carries greater significance than any other donation. Regarding PACs, every person or corporation donating thereto should have their names attached. Every person's vote should carry the same weight in our politicians' decision-making process not the amount of the donation they've made. Thank you for your consideration, Ken Canty

Comments provided by :
Canty, Ken

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Carignan, Kimberly

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Carmichael, Rachel

Hello. Today I am writing to encourage the FEC to please maintain our strong political spending disclosure rules. I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would seriously weaken the transparency rules with no benefit for the American people. Americans deserve transparency and deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Carr, Gabrielle

I'm writing as a very concerned citizen to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Carro, Lina

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Carroll, Colleen

It should be totally clear who is contributing what amount to election campaigns.

Comments provided by :
Carroll, Marjorie

Hello! I'm writing to intercede you to stand by your hardy political spending disclosure regulations.

I'm unnerved that the proposal you're currently considering would dwindle transparency laws with severe fallout for human civilization. Transparency is momentous - people should be made aware who is swaying elections and politicians, a view that voters from both parties have historically agreed upon. At present, if a contributor is pleading for an exemption to the statutes due to harassment and have irrefutable proof of it, such as socialist, third parties, they get it.

Here and now, the bureaucracy works decently, so this motion is coming from economic-political actors who don't want the public to know that they're bribing our public servants to do their bidding, such as Elon Musk, Jeff Bezos and Mark Zuckerberg; this is the opposite of what most citizens desire, which are scarce exemptions and open knowledge about the source of donations to lawmakers at all ranks.

At a time when the tyrannical Donald Trump, his cronies are shutting government agencies down and dispossess the populace of even their bare necessities, secrecy is the LAST thing we want for humanity. Therefore, I'm loudly whistling for you to reject the hazardous bid, REG 2024-06, which'd allow robber barons to plunder, murder innocent civilians with zero consequences.

Comments provided by :
Casillas, Marcos



NEWSLETTERS

AXIOS LOCAL

AXIOS PRO

AXIOS LIVE

AXIOS ENTERTAINMENT

LOGIN

All topics

AXIOS

Search Q

Oct 31, 2024 - Politics & Policy

Billionaires' new playground



Zachary Basu



Illustration: Brendan Lynch/Axios

[Billionaire DNA](#) is coursing through the

U.S. [election](#) system like never before, smashing campaign finance records and ushering in a new age of influence.

Why it matters: In an election that both sides see as existential, the moral guardrails for [political spending](#) are vanishing. Today's billionaires are shredding populist taboos, driving news cycles and increasingly shaping the terms of American democracy.

The big picture: 150 billionaire families have spent a total of \$1.9 billion in support of presidential and congressional candidates this cycle, according to a [report](#) by [Americans for Tax Fairness](#) released one week before the election.

- That's \$700 million (or 58%) more than the \$1.2 billion spent by more than 600 individual billionaires during the 2020 election, according to the group's analysis.
- A [Financial Times analysis](#) also published this week found that billionaires had contributed at least \$695 million, or 18%, of the total funds raised by the presidential candidates and allied groups.
- At least \$568 million of that has gone to former President Trump's campaign and allied groups, compared to about \$127 million to support Vice President Harris.

Between the lines: Those figures likely underestimate the true totals, given the extent to which some mega-donors choose to conceal their identity when funding political causes.

- Bill Gates privately revealed recently that he had donated \$50 million to the main super PAC supporting Harris, after decades of strategically steering clear of politics, the [New York Times reports](#).
- Michael Bloomberg, the billionaire former mayor of New York City, also quietly donated \$50 million to the dark money arm of the same super PAC, Future Forward, according to [the Times](#).

Zoom in: Of the more than 800 billionaires in the U.S., none has invested as much time, money and personal credibility into electing one candidate as the world's richest man, [Elon Musk](#).

- Musk, who obsessively promotes Trump on his X platform, has [poured over \\$118 million](#) into a super PAC that has effectively [taken over Trump's ground game](#) in key swing states.
- That includes Pennsylvania, where Musk has [drawn legal scrutiny](#) with his \$1 million daily giveaways to registered voters in the prize battleground state.
- Musk has [massive interests](#) with the federal government — both through

contracts and regulatory probes of his companies — and is eyeing a role as head of Trump's proposed "government efficiency commission."

Zoom out: The entrenched influence of billionaires in the U.S. election goes beyond just cash donations.

- Trump himself is, of course, a billionaire — one whose new business ventures over the last four years will create a [tangled web of conflicts](#) if he's re-elected president.
- His running mate, Sen. JD Vance (R-Ohio), is a [protégé of right-wing billionaire Peter Thiel](#), who bankrolled Vance's 2022 Senate run and lobbied Trump to put him on the Republican ticket.
- And in recent weeks, the billionaire owners of the Washington Post (Jeff Bezos) and L.A. Times (Patrick Soon-Shiong) [ignited controversy](#) by blocking their newspapers from endorsing Harris.

What to watch: As part of her closing message, Harris has sought to exploit Trump's billionaire ties — and his promises to cut taxes for the wealthy — to paint him as out-of-touch.

- "It's billionaires in Donald Trump's club,"

Harris said on ["The Breakfast Club"](#) radio show in response to Bezos' intervention in the Post editorial board's plans to endorse her campaign.

- "That's who's in his club," Harris added. "That's who he hangs out with, that's who he cares about."



Want more stories like this? Sign up for [Axios AM](#)

Subscribe →

Go deeper

Ivana Saric, Sareen Habeshian
Updated Oct 23, 2024 - Politics & Policy

Meet the billionaires funneling millions into the 2024 race

Elon Musk, chief executive officer of Tesla Inc., from left, former U.S. President Donald Trump, and Senator JD Vance, a Republican from Ohio and Republican vice-presidential nominee, prior to a campaign event at the Butler Farm Show in Butler, Pennsylvania, US, on Saturday, Oct. 5, 2024. Photographer: Justin Merriman/Bloomberg via Getty Images.

A handful of powerful [megadonors](#) have played an outsized role in shaping the 2024 presidential race through mammoth donations toward their favored candidates.

Why it matters: The amount of money being injected into 2024 races means this election cycle is poised to become the most expensive federal election ever, according to a new analysis released by the research group [OpenSecrets](#) last week.

[Go deeper \(3 min. read\)](#) →



Rebecca Falconer
Oct 18, 2024 - Business

Billionaires go into battle at rival events for Harris and Trump

Combination images of entrepreneur Mark Cuban (left) at a Harris campaign event in La Crosse, Wisconsin, and SpaceX and Tesla founder Elon Musk at an event in Folsom, Pennsylvania, on Thursday. Photos: Craig Lässig/AFP via Getty Images; Anna MoneyMaker/Getty Images

[Elon Musk](#) held his first public event for former President [Trump](#) and [Mark Cuban](#) campaigned with Vice President [Kamala Harris](#) on Thursday.

Why it matters: The two billionaires are stepping up to back the candidates they want to win in the final stretch of a [2024](#) presidential race that's too close to call.

[Go deeper \(1 min. read\)](#) →



Zachary Basu
Dec 6, 2024 - Business

Trump's billionaires set to take government by storm

Estimated net worth of Trump administration's wealthiest members



Donald Trump
President
\$5.4 billion



Elon Musk
Co-chair of DOGE
Over \$300 billion



Vivek Ramaswamy
Co-chair of DOGE
\$1 billion



Scott Bessent
Treasury secretary
Reported billionaire



Howard Lutnick
Commerce secretary
\$2 billion



Linda McMahon
Education secretary
\$2.6 billion



Chris Wright
Energy secretary
\$171 million



Doug Burgum
Interior secretary
\$1.1 billion



Steven Witkoff
Middle East envoy
Over \$500 million



Massad Boulos
Middle East adviser
Reported billionaire



Stephen Feinberg
Deputy defense secretary
\$5 billion



Warren Stephens
Ambassador to U.K.
\$3.3 billion



Charles Kushner
Ambassador to France
\$2.9 billion



Mehmet Oz
CMS administrator
At least \$100 million



Jared Isaacman
NASA administrator
\$1.9 billion



Frank Bisignano
Social Security
commissioner
Over \$900 million



Kelly Loeffler
Small business
administrator
\$1.1 billion



David Sacks
AI & crypto czar
Reported billionaire

Data: Axios and Americans for Tax Fairness research; Chart: Axios Visuals

President-elect [Trump](#) has assembled an administration of unprecedented, mind-boggling wealth — smashing his own first-term record by billions of dollars.

- That's even without counting the [ballooning fortunes](#) of his prized outside adviser and the world's richest man: [Elon Musk](#).

Why it matters: It's not hyperbole to call this a government of billionaires. Whether it acts as a government *for* billionaires — as [Democrats argue is inevitable](#) — could test and potentially tarnish Trump's populist legacy.

[Go deeper \(2 min. read\)](#) →



Smarter, faster on
what matters.

[About Axios](#)

[Advertise with us](#)

[Careers](#)

[Contact us](#)

[Explore Axios Newsletters](#) →

[Newsletters](#)

[Axios Live](#)

[Axios Entertainment](#)

[Axios HQ](#)

[Privacy policy](#)

[Terms of use](#)

AXIOS

Copyright Axios Media, 2024

American Israel Public Affairs Cmte

Summary Totals Recipients Congressional Committees Lobbying News Members Invested

Search for another organization



Candidates




Cycle:

2024



Congressional Candidates



Party of Recipients ⁱ

 Democrats	\$20,409,141	59.27%
 Republicans	\$12,791,975	37.15%
 Others	\$1,232,160	3.58%


 open secrets

 Search

Incumbents vs. Non-Incumbents ⁱ

 Incumbents	\$28,469,725	82.68%
 Non-Incumbents	\$5,963,551	17.32%


House Recipients ⁱ

 Export to CSV

Party	# of Members	Average Contribution	Total Contributions
Democrats	135	\$92,336	\$12,465,420
Republicans	198	\$52,543	\$10,403,701
Independents	0	\$0	\$0
All Parties	333	\$68,676	\$22,869,121

The US House of Representatives has 435 members

Senate Recipients ⁱ



 Export to CSV

Party	# of Members	Average Contribution	Total Contributions
Democrats	16	\$160,332	\$2,565,321
Republicans	11	\$163,920	\$1,803,123
Independents	3	\$41,072	\$1,232,160
All Parties	30	\$186,686	\$5,600,604



The US Senate has 100 members

All Federal Candidates

Party of Recipients

 Democrats	\$20,470,847	61.54%
 Republicans	\$12,795,868	38.46%

Source of Funds

 Individuals <i>i</i>	\$31,837,375	92.29%
 PACs <i>i</i>	\$2,661,500	7.71%

All Recipients

Cycle: 2024 ▼

i

 Export to CSV

Reset Filters

Filter by View:

All ▼




Filter by Type:

All ▼

Filter by Chamber:

All ▼

Filter results

Recipient	Total	From Individ
 Standing Strong PAC	\$5,000,000	
 Latimer, George	\$2,447,999	\$2,43
 Bell, Wesley	\$2,396,385	\$2,39
Voters for Responsive Government	\$1,300,000	
Menendez, Robert	\$1,074,760	\$1,06
 Blue Wave Action	\$950,000	
		

Rosen, Jacky	\$877,641	\$87
Jeffries, Hakeem	\$703,885	\$69
Gottheimer, Josh	\$679,448	\$66
Aguilar, Pete	\$578,273	\$56
Johnson, Mike	\$575,330	\$57
Cole, Tom	\$543,306	\$53
Torres, Ritchie	\$541,998	\$53
Calvert, Ken	\$471,311	\$4
Diaz-Balart, Mario	\$464,032	\$45
Tester, Jon	\$450,550	\$44
Schultz, Debbie Wasserman	\$444,642	\$43
Bacon, Donald John	\$427,484	\$41
Gillibrand, Kirsten	\$394,564	\$38
Weiss, Joanna	\$387,499	\$38
McCaul, Michael	\$382,209	\$36
Auchincloss, Jake	\$381,675	\$37
Golden, Jared	\$375,091	\$36
Meeks, Gregory	\$365,938	\$35
Wicker, Roger	\$339,103	\$33

■ Liberal/Democrat
 ■ Conservative/Republican
 ■

Showing 1 to 25 of 519 entries

METHODOLOGY: The numbers on this page are based on contributions from PACs and individuals giving \$200 or more. All donations were made during the 2024 election cycle and were released by the Federal Election Commission. Figures for the current election cycle are based on data released on December 09, 2024.

NOTE: Soft money contributions were not publicly disclosed until the 1991-92 election cycle. Soft money donations to parties were banned after the 2002 cycle.

Feel free to distribute or cite this material, but please credit OpenSecrets. For permission to reprint for commercial uses, such as textbooks, contact OpenSecrets: info@opensecrets.org

We follow the money. You make it possible.

\$35	\$50	\$100	Other
------	------	-------	-------

DONATE TODAY

Make Change

Sign up for our newsletter to track money's influence on U.S. elections and public policy.

SIGN UP



A 501(c)(3) tax-exempt, charitable organization

1100 13th Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005

(202) 857-0044

Subscribe to our newsletter

Sign Up

Contact →

Donate →

press@opensecrets.org
info@opensecrets.org

Buy Custom Data →

Privacy Policy

Except for the Revolving Door section, content on this site is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 United States License by OpenSecrets.org. To request permission for commercial use, [please contact us](#).



Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Robert Cass

Comments provided by :
Cass, Robert

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Cassidy, Jessica

The answer is no. You really should be honest; just say you want to sell America to the billionaires. No need for the charade

Comments provided by :
Cauley , Robert

Good day,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Because of increasing corruption, we should strengthen and not weaken our rules and transparency.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Cavallo, David

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Cencula, David

To Whom It May Concern,
I'm writing in reference to proposed changes to the spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Unfortunately money already has too much influence in our electoral system. The public has the right to know if campaign contributions are leading to unfair favoritism.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you,
Joseph Cerrato

Comments provided by :
Cerrato, Joseph

Please do not modify or change the election contributor information regulation. As voters, we need to know who is influencing the candidates. This is part of our free and fair election process, and we need more transparency, NOT less!!

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :

Cha, Marcia

We need stronger protections to keep donor transparency and information open to the public. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians.

Please reject REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Thank you for your good work to keep donors open to review.

Comments provided by :

Cheng, Anne

The FEC needs to INCREASE contributor transparency. The only thing keeping our government from being bought outright by the richest of the rich is knowing who's influencing our representatives with money. The disastrous and misguided Citizens United vs. FEC ruling weakened your commission's influence, and, by extension, ours as well. Don't throw away the most critical tool left. As Thomas Jefferson said, "an educated citizenry is a vital requisite for our survival as a free people."
DON'T KEEP US IN THE DARK!

Comments provided by :
Cherry, Philip

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Chiat, Larry

No longer a "free" country but a BOUGHT ONE. Already HORRIBLR since Citizens United. McCutcheon etc. Don't make it even worse for individual voters who do not have the millions of dollars from all over the world SECRETLY pouring in to rig our elections behind the scenes. Our "election industry" has become a fulltime money drain. This is NOT what Founding Fathers had in mind re the potential for a true Democratic Republic where "all men are created equal." Instead, from wherever BUY elections, legislation, appointees, rules, subsidies, loopholes. So at least Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken ALREADY PATHETIC political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Clemson, Go

Hello, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Co, Alex

Please do not change the current identity disclosure rules for those making contributions to political campaigns. Voters deserve to know who is making significant monetary contributions to political candidates, and the current disclosure requirements have worked reasonably well in this regard.

Comments provided by :
Coates, Roger

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Coffman, Douglas

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Cohen, Charles

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Martha G. Collins

Comments provided by :
Collins, Martha G

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
conte , joseph

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

We need to make our political campaigns MORE transparent, not less.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Conyngham, Karen

Hello, I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the REG 2024-06 proposal that you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the REG 2024-06 proposal which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your attention to my concern.

Comments provided by :
Cook, Carol

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Costa, Lynn

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal currently being considered would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This system is working well. This new proposal is NOT coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare! Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration and time.

Comments provided by :
Coutcher, Kathryn

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to to political candidates, PACs, etc.

Transparency builds trust in our elections, including campaign and fincnance information.

The American people have a right to know the name, address, employer and amount of every contribution to every candidate, candidate committee, PAC for federal office.

More transparency, not less, would help promote confidence and trust in our federal elections.

Comments provided by :
Couture, Dawn

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Cox, Joe

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Crawford, Sarah

I feel that the current wording of the law does not need to be changed in any way.

Comments provided by :
crenshaw, robert

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Crocker, David

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Please maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules. The United States needs MORE TRANSPARENCY in our elections and election funding, not less.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare. AMERICANS SHOULD REMAIN INFORMED ABOUT WHO IS DONATING HOW MUCH TO WHICH POLITICIANS.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Crook, Paula

I am writing to ask that you reject proposal REG 2024-06, which would weaken the political spending disclosure rules. The rules are there to ensure there is transparency in political donations. If there is a problem with this, there are policies to help solve the problem. Weakening the regulations is not needed and should not be used as a way to avoid following the rules.
Thank you for your attention to this.

Comments provided by :
Crosset, Debbie

We want TRANSPARENCY!
2024-06.

The more the better!

Please reject proposal REG

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Curia, Peter

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Cutrera, Mary

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Cyriac, Cigy

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Dalton, Brian

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
D'Ambrosio, Richard

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules, and strengthen them if possible

Comments provided by :
Danan, Cynthia

Please strengthen, not loosen, requirements for contributions to election campaigns. Money plays too powerful a part in our elections already. Michael D'Antonio

Comments provided by :
DANTONIO, MICHAEL

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
D'Apollo , Richard

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Davis , Richard

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Davis, Milton

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Davis, Scott

Hello,

As a retired music and voice teacher of 35 years, I'm writing today with deep concern about maintaining our current political spending disclosure rules. These rules have served our democracy well during my lifetime.

Having taught generations of young people about civic responsibility, I am troubled by the proposal under consideration that would weaken our transparency rules. In my experience both in the classroom and as a choir director, transparency builds trust. Just as my students and choir members needed to know where they stood, the American people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This has always been a principle that brings Americans together, regardless of political beliefs. The current system already provides safeguards - if someone faces genuine harassment and can prove it, they can receive an exemption.

After 65 years of watching our democracy evolve, I can say with confidence that the current system works well. There hasn't been a groundswell of donor complaints that would justify changing it. We should keep exemptions rare and continue letting the American people know who is supporting which politicians.

I strongly urge you to reject proposal REG 2024-06, which would weaken our political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for considering the perspective of a concerned citizen and educator.

Sincerely,

Valerie Day

Comments provided by :
Day, Valerie

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
DeFranco, Lillian

Good afternoon.

I would like to leave a comment that I am not in favor of your agency reducing transparency of large political donations.

When powerful people donate large sums of money which do influence politicians their names should be available so we can make choices about supporting their companies. It is a basic human right to not further enrich people who are making choices, which are reducing our democracy.

Every day American citizens do not have that kind of money and influence, and we should not be kept in the dark about who is supporting what politician. Including all parties.

More darkness and less transparency at this critical stage of history would be devastating to all of our futures. Our children will look back on us and judge our decisions.

Comments provided by :
Deitz, Michelle

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important. The American people have a right to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. This mechanism for protection is sufficient. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Dellande, Elaine

The FEC's considering loosening up exemptions to disclosure laws for political donations seems to undermine their oversight. If we are in a second Gilded Age, limits need to be placed on the powerful - as history attested-and limiting or making clear the impact of the wealthy ought to be transparent. Allowing exemptions is in fact stacking the deck in favor of a small portion of the American Public. In the long run it is undermining core values of America.

Comments provided by :
Derrickson, Paul

I implore you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. We deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Derzon, Jim

Hello!

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Dettefsen , Inge

Please do not water down campaign donation disclosure laws. The American people need to know who is sponsoring candidates for elected office so they have the information they need to make their decisions on who to vote for. Otherwise, there is no democracy, because big donations can unduly influence the election process and the policies of elected officials.

Comments provided by :
dettling kalthofer, denis

One of the hallmarks of our democracy is transparency—we know what our government is doing and have information about who is funding our political parties. This helps to keep everybody honest.

I am very concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules and for no good reason. We all need to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. If a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment, and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Do not meddle with a system that works well now and is not in need of this alteration. This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Devlin, Felicity

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Weakening election transparency rules weakens democracy.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Dexter, Calvin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. PEOPLE DESERVE TO KNOW who is influencing our elections and politicians.

The system works well now – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Dickey, Janet

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Disbrow, David

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Dolloff, Jacoba

Reject proposal REG 2024-06. Maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Domlesky, Janine

transparency in political spending is essential for accountability. This is particularly important concerning those who donate large sums to affect the outcome of an election.

So it would be best to maintain the strict rules already in place because "if it ain't broke, then don't fix it!"

So proposal, REG 2024-06 is to be rejected for these reasons.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :

Doran, Michael

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements. Please do not support this proposal.

Comments provided by :
Douglass, Andronetta

Money brings influence. Americans deserve to know which of our representatives recieved funds from what sources. Transparency in the above is to be strengthened not weakened!There are already mechanisms in place for funding sources to seek remedy, should genuine harassment occur. There is no need to weaken the current transparency standards.

Comments provided by :
Dragish, Marc

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Dubansky, Joshua

We need the money donation rules tighten, not weakened.
All money to politicians should be registered and readily available to the public
to see who is buying our politicians.
Sincerely, Pat Duncan

Comments provided by :
Duncan, Patricia

Transparency should be in and money should be out of politics as much as possible.
Rules and policies should reflect that.

Comments provided by :
Dykeman, Therese

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Dym, Harvey

Hello. I am writing to encourage you to keep our current political disclosure spending rules. Let's keep them strong!
Transparency is important and We the People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians.
The system works well now. Please reject proposal REG2024-06!
Thank you for your time
Mike D.

Comments provided by :
Dytkiewicz , Michael

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is crucially important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now, and this new proposal is not a response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Eager, Matthew

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
East, Christopher

The public must be able to know who is contributing large sums of money in order to influence political campaigns. We need the current rules strengthened in this regard.

Some donors, who do not have the good of the country, or our people, in mind, would like to see the current rules discarded. Keep in mind that THE LACK OF STRONG RULES WILL AFFECT YOU, AS WELL. Without strong disclosure rules, bad actors can, and will, take over our government.

Comments provided by :
Einpaul, Linda

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Any changes should increase the transparency of who is influencing our elections by revealing all related persons and businesses associated with any donations.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Eisenberg, Sarah

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken your transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important - people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians - a bipartisan position that the American people have agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints - exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Ellern, Dorothea

The American public has a right to know who contributes to our politicians. Without this, bribery and corruption will become commonplace in our politics and government. If anything, more information should be made public about political contributions.

Comments provided by :
Ellis, Robert

The proposal to make it easier to buy our elections is basically not needed as we've seen our elections bought by mega donors over the past few years. This purchasing of our elections was demonstrated best by Musk buying the presidency for Trump. So, your rule change is unnecessary as anyone who has enough money now can buy an election. It is evident that we do not have free and fair elections in this country. In fact to save money and all of us a lot of time why don't we just do away with elections altogether? We are already a dictatorship so let's not pretend that we are a democracy that is goverened by the rule of law. We have no laws anymore. Our democracy has been eliminated by Trump and his merry band of kiss-ass bigots. We are now Nazi America.

Comments provided by :
Ellison, Martha

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Elms, Tracy

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Cheryl Emmons

Comments provided by :
Emmons, Cheryl

I'm writing to say it is important to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Cordially,
Mrs. Cynthia Enlow

Comments provided by :
Enlow, Cynthia

Do not change election finance transparency rules.

Comments provided by :
Etheridge, Wayne

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

Citizens United was a horrible decision that equated money with free speech and our political system has become ever more expensive and corrupt by the year since that decision.

The election of Donald J. Trump to a second term in office with the help of Elon Musks' \$300 million shows that we no longer have a Democracy, but rather an oligarchy where our politicians are for sale to the highest bidder.

Making it even harder for the citizen voters to know who is buying whom to represent them is not fixing a problem, it is making it even worse!!!

If you are really interested in fixing the rules of our elections, bring back the restriction of the amount that anyone can donate and require the source of all funding to be readily available to all the voters!!!!

Sincerely, David Farwell

Comments provided by :
Farwell, David

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you."

Comments provided by :
Felger, Gordie

I request that you maintain the current political spending disclosure rules. The new proposal would weaken our transparency rules with no benefits to the American people.

Reject proposal REG 2024-06

Thank you,

Laura Fennimore

Comments provided by :
Fennimore, Laura

We need to have information on contributors because large contributions can be so influential in an election. It can easily sway an election. It would definitely sway the fairness factor in an election. That is why Citizens United should be outlawed.

Comments provided by :
Fergen, Joseph

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Check your conscience and do the right thing.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :

Fincher, Kenneth

I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. They most certainly should not be weakened.

I'm deeply concerned that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules with no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. I, most certainly, want to know. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Fine, Donna

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

This proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements. We need more transparency, not less. Dark money is destroying this country.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Finley, Susan

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Flajnik, Leigh

The current requirements are doing the job. The public needs all the info it can get in order to make sure that someone is not buying influence with a politician. I would like to see your agency go further and stop PACS from making any contributions to those running for office!

Comments provided by :
Flanagan-Doe, Louise R

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Fooks, Gloria

Hi. I'm writing to encourage you to keep our current strong political spending disclosure rules and reject changes to them that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. Because the system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints, exemptions should remain rare and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
Foster, Judy

I'm terrified that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you,

A big fan of democracy

Comments provided by :
Fountain, Nicole

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Frank , Gary

DO YOUR FUCKING JOB, SEC.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Fumarola, Aaron

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption from the rules due to harassment and can prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Gallagher, Kevin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Gamache, Jussi

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I will be watching closely how you rule on this.

Comments provided by :
Gary, Carol

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Gaunky, Lisa

We need to keep our democracy from being weakened. The current system should not be tampered with. It is wrong on any level to weaken the right to vote.

Comments provided by :
Gaylor, Barbara

Please maintain our current spending disclosure rules, there is no reason to eliminate accountability. I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Gee, Mark

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Gentry, Eve

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
GERBER, JENNIFER

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Giammarino, Lou

I'm writing to urge you maintain our current political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Thank you for your time.

Melanie M. Gibson

Comments provided by :
Gibson , Melanie

Hello,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Giles, Kristy

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Gindele, Abigail

Thank you for reading my comment.

I'm implore you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're considering would weaken transparency rules without benefit.

In a functioning democracy, transparency is essential. Voters deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have agree upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well as is. Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please. Reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Glaser, Tom

I support transparency in political donations and prefer that all donations--personal or corporate above \$1000 must be identified and the amount of their donation made public as well as the donor. I am actually opposed to donations by corporations as in most cases, the stockholders themselves have little say in the matter and only the board of directors decides whom to donate to.

Comments provided by :
Glasgow, William

I'm writing today to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
GLEESON, KAREN

Please keep things as they are. The system is corrupt enough already. Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Goetz, Robert

I am opposed to any changes that reduce transparency in campaign donations. The American public deserves to know who is funding various persons and campaigns in order to make our best decisions as to whom to support. This is regardless of political party or ideology.

Comments provided by :
Goldberg, Cathy

Dear FEC, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Golding, Claire

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Goldman, Jordan

I'm writing to implore you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm very worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would only weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Golembiewski, Mark

Good morning,

I'm deeply worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works fairly well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Goodman, Deborah

Because of the six and seven figure donations that are given to our elected officials, it would be tragic to not know whom is supporting our law makers campaign for public office. What favor's would they hope to gain by that support. Transparency is crucial for trust.

Comments provided by :
Gore, Duane

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Grasso , Dori

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Comments provided by :
Grewell, Christine

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Griffith, David

Dear Federal Election Commission,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Look, I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

Transparency is critical for the American People! We deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please, please, please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Think of future generations. We must protect the American People by being Transparent! Reject REG 2024-06! Don't let us and our children down. Protect us.

Thank you for your time.

Patricia Guthrie, Retired Teacher of 32yrs in Public Education

Comments provided by :
Guthrie, Patricia

I urge you to reject the proposal, REG 2024-06.

We currently have strong political spending disclosure rules. The proposal, as written, would weaken transparency rules and provide no apparent benefit.

Transparency is critical in a democracy. Voters need to know who is influencing politicians and elections, no matter their political affiliations.

Current rules permit exemptions if a donor can prove the risk of harassment, which is appropriate. But exemptions should remain rare, and as I understand it, this proposal hasn't come in response to donor complaints.

Please reject this proposal -- and any proposal -- that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Gyncild, Brie

We need to maintain the existing system of gathering donor information. If it's changed that will defeat the purpose of Protecting our elections from being rigged by unknown mega-donors. Democracy requires public disclosure and accountability. PLEASE DON'T CHANGE OUR EXISTING SYSTEM.

Comments provided by :
Hale, John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hall, Seán

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Halversen, Susan

My comments with regards to potential changes in campaign finance rules.

I do not find appropriate any comments that want to limit campaign finance rules. If anything we need more transparency, not less.

We need to know who has submitted comments and why. No submissions without names and reasons.

Comments provided by :
Halzack, Dee

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
harland, james

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Harrison, Barbara

Fair elections are the heart of democracy. Do not weaken the election process which includes the public's need to know who donated large sums to a campaign. Elections should never be a bribery! Never! Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Harshberger, Bruce

I wish to voice my opposition to any changes on contributions to political candidates/parties- which would make the contributions undisclosed. I already feel that the "Citizens United" Supreme Court ruling has caused our elections to be unfairly weighted towards corporations, PACs, and the wealthy and has contaminated the whole process. The public needs to be aware of who is tilting the votes in their favor. Especially, I feel that corporations should not be equated to full citizens' representation. The Founding Fathers warned long ago to beware of power of corporations. Also, contributions ought to go back to where they were as far as limiting the amounts of money allowed. So please do NOT modify/react contributor information. Thank You.

Comments provided by :
Hartweck, Sallie

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hawkins, Don

Some information like mailing address, and employer should be hidden, but not name. We should be able to see everyone's name who donates to a campaign. And if it's a company, then the name of the company. Thank you

Comments provided by :
Hayes, Crystal

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. The last thing we need right now in this country is more money influencing politics!

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Hayford, Carol

I am submitting comments to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Hecht, Peter

As a strong believer in and supporter of our democracy, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm very worried that the proposal you're currently considering would further weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. There is more than ample evidence that Americans think that their government is beholden to the rich in preference to the average citizen. Any change to this system allowing yet more political spending to be obscured will only further confirm this belief.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Hemmingsen , Karin

Hello - I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time,

- steve

Comments provided by :
Hersch, Steve

Please reject REG 2024-06. The regulations that we now have are working. So therefore please reject REG 2024-06.

Comments provided by :
Herum, Jacquelyn

I want you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules or to strengthen them more.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Hickson, Jerry

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.
Lori Hiemer

Comments provided by :
Hiemer, Lori

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hills, Janice

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency WRT political donations is important, especially given our broken campaign finance system. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. No need to make it easier to hide this information.

Comments provided by :
Hinds, Mark

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hipp, James

Greetings,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules, without a clear benefit. Transparency is very important – The American people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Holcomb, Shelli

I am writing to ask you to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

The supreme court may say that money is speech, but citizens have a right to know how much "speech" wealthy donors are giving to candidates.

Our democracy has been under attack for some time. Transparency is one tool to inform the public of money and influence.

Please continue to require full transparency.
Melissa Hope

Comments provided by :
Hope, Melissa

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Hope, Phillip

Re: Reg 2024-06.

I am against loosening disclosure rules on political campaigns. The U.S. public need to know who is contributing to who, & how much. My understanding is there have been no complaints about the current system, although I personally think it is too lax. Also, donors can currently apply for an exemption if they have a reason to be concerned that disclosure will place them under some kind of risk. These exemptions MUST be carefully screened for truthfulness by anyone/group seeking the exemption. Money has corrupted our political system for too long, it should NOT be made easier for individuals or groups to evade scrutiny, merely on their own say so.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Hormel, Michael

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hornstein, Dave

The public must know where the big money is coming from. It's bad enough that such huge money is allowed from individuals and/or organizations. Fear is no excuse for secrecy. With big money literally attacking the Constitution and the Rule of Law it's time for transparency and courage.

Comments provided by :
Hymel, Philip

Please reject proposal "REG 2024-06", that seeks to weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I am very concerned that thi proposal would actually weaken transparency rules, and produce no clear benefit. Election transparency is very important – Americans should know who is using large sums of money to influence elections and politicians in this country. And it's not just me - people in the US have historically agreed on this bipartisan position.

The election-financing system has worked well in recent times, and this new proposal isn't being made as a result of donor complaints. Exemptions are available, but they should be rare, and Americans should be fully informed about who is donating how much money, and to which candidates.

Currently, my understanding is that if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules, for example due to harassment, and they're able to prove it, they should get one.

Again - I call on you to reject proposal REG 2024-06, that would only weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Ickes, Henry

If anything, this country needs STRONGER rules regarding who gives money to politicians. DO NOT WEAKEN RULES THAT GIVE THE BARE MINIMUM OF TRANSPARENCY AS IT IS. Our democracy is teetering because of special interest impacts and loopholes for those with the most money to buy their way into offices that pursue special agendas that are not always in the best interests of DEMOCRACY. Do not weaken the pillars of transparency.

Comments provided by :
Intilli, Sharon

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Jamison, Vanessa

I sincerely hope that the FEC maintains our current strong political spending disclosure rules because without them, our elections will be bought out by billionaires even more than they are now.

It's frustrating that the proposal that is currently be considered, would substantially weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Why reinvent the wheel when the wheel is working just fine? We, the people deserve to know exactly who is influencing our elections and politicians, which is a bipartisan position that the majority of voters support and rely on when deciding who to vote for. I would like to know why the current rule that allows an exemption to the rules due to harassment and if they're able to prove it, they get one, needs to be changed.

Exemptions should be a rarity and Americans deserve to remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

I urge to you to not mess with something that is working well and reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Citizens United is already wreaking havoc on our elections, and this proposal will make that much worse. It's not a democracy when only the rich have a say in what happens to the country.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Janzen, Gayle

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Jasper, Jann

Maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Jay, Brian

Do not weaken disclosure laws. We have to know who is making contributions, especially large amounts. Wealthy people have way too much voice in our elctions as it is. Do not give then more. Thank yu.

Comments provided by :
Jeannechild, Nancy

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Jenkins, Charles

Please maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules and reject REG2024-06.

We should not weaken transparency rules. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. The current ones work well. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Jensvold, Judy

This example of regulation inflation is an unnecessary answer looking for a question. Please keep the status quo. It already has safeguards, and it works just fine.

Comments provided by :
Jentgen, Dinah

Our political system has been seriously damaged by the Supreme Court decision of Citizen's United. Corporations are NOT people. Now that is being further damaged by allowing undisclosed donations being made to our political candidates. The dark money in politics could destroy our democracy. Thank You for doing whatever is possible to "follow the money".

Comments provided by :
Johnson, Kristy

Good day.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Lee Jordan

Comments provided by :
jordan, lee

UNLIMITED FUNDS FOR ELECTIONS RESULTS IN FASCISM AND CORRUPTION. THE FRAUD COMMITTED FOR THIS ELECTION RESULTING IN THE CROOK AND FRAUD WHO IS IN OFFICE TODAY IS DESTROYING OUR DEMOCRACY. NOW HE HAS ARBITRARILY CHOSEN AN INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS NEVER BEEN VETTED OR CONFIRMED BY CONGRESS TO HOLD ANY OFFICE. ANOTHER CORRUPT PERSON SUCH AS MUSK, WHO HAS FIRED PEOPLE IN ORDER TO AVOID A LAWFUL INVESTIGATION. BOTH TRUMP AND MUSK ARE CORRUPT AND MUST BE STOPPED.

Comments provided by :
Justin, Karen

Transparency in government and elections is incredibly important for a thriving democracy. We need to know who is influencing our elections. Please kill proposal REG 2024-06 which would weaken disclosure.

Comments provided by :
Katinsky, Matthew

I strongly urge the FEC not to change current rules for disclosure of political contributions. Such an action would further erode our longstanding democratic traditions. It is dangerous and unnecessary.

Comments provided by :
Kaye, Barry

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."
Karen Kent

Comments provided by :
Kent , Karen

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is a must – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Kerwin, Diana

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Full transparency.

Comments provided by :
Kidd, Margaret

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – the American public deserves to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now, and exemptions should remain rare. Americans need to remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Kiholm, Laura

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules without any clear benefit.

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating, how much and to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Sincerely,

Comments provided by :
Killeen, Atty at Law Ret., Kevin J

Please reject the changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians

Thanks for taking action

David King
Concerned Public Citizen

Comments provided by :
king, david

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Kleist, David

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Constance Knudsen
Seattle WA

Comments provided by :
Knudsen, Constance

FEC, I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Knudson, Roger

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Kogan, Robert

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Kokal, Kristin

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Nix the new proposal, which sounds simply like a poor excuse to evade current oversight measures. Thanks!

Comments provided by :
Kossoff, Evan

I'm urging you to reject the administration's proposed changes to campaign finance reporting. The current system is mostly working. Also, there is evidence that wealthy donors are already manipulating the system and are exerting considerable control over our elections. (See Dark Money, by journalist Jane Mayer). Any loosening of regulations will make the situation worse for ordinary Americans, which is what the oligarchs who are proposing it want. Please stand up for fairness in elections and reject this corrupt power grab.

Laura Kracke

Comments provided by :
Kracke, Laura

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain (or even strengthen) our current political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints, exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Kraft, Kevin

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to comment on the proposal to change our current political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Krebill, Kerry

I feel that moving toward an honor system in the area of donations will allow the introduction of those who wish to buy our legislators. It will lead to corruption in the footsteps of citizens united to a plutocracy.

Comments provided by :
Krieg, Porscha

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Krokowski, Stephen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Krug, Ilana

I urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Kussart, Carol

Campaign finance laws today allow an excess amount of influence by the wealthy. The Citizens United decision by the Supreme Court in 2010 declaring large donations as a form of free speech has effectively corrupted US elections in favor of wealthy interests. Any changes to election law should work against large contributions. Making contribution less transparent would be opposite the needed changes. If contributions are made, the source of those contributions must be required as well.

But what is really needed is to stop all contributions more than \$100 to anyone running for office. No contributions should be considered free speech. No corporation or organization should be considered a person nor should they be considered a voter. Only registered voters should be allowed to contribute once to the candidate of their choice in any election a maximum of \$100.

Comments provided by :
Kusuda, Charles

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal under consideration would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Weakening these rules would contribute to weakening our democracy.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Kvande, Marta

"Hello! I'm encouraging you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
La Vigne, Dan

I urge you to retain the existing structure governing political spending rules.

Weakening the current transparency rules serves no benefit - it would simply create an opportunity with no light of day disclosure, to influence elections and politicians under the pretense of being harassed.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints - exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Lambert, Paul

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Lampert, Annette

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Langas, Randi

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time,
Stacia Langenbahn

Comments provided by :
Langenbahn, Stacia

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Larsen, Chandra

I urge the agency to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Lata, Robert

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The current rules require disclosure of larger donors, those giving \$250 and above. That's a good threshold.

The proposal to allow people to claim a fear of recrimination should not be adopted. If people don't want to disclose their donations, they have the option to make smaller donations, below the threshold.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The amendment would simply give wealthier people more power.

Proposal 2024-06 should be rejected.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Lazar, Jim

I implore you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Leighton, Barbara Ellen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Leighton, Kim

Our rules about money in politics are far too weak. Money is corrupting our democracy. We need the strongest possible disclosure requirements, and less money influencing our system in general. Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money.

Comments provided by :
Lent, John

As an Oregon resident, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I feel that transparency in political funding is already insufficient. This change would make it worse. I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Letz, John

Even in this day and age of political corruption, it's hard to believe this is even being proposed: this proposal does not fix any real problem with the current rules – and it makes it even easier for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to [corrupt]/influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

I exhort the FEC to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians-- I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

thank you.

Comments provided by :
levin, matt

Do not weaken rules limiting money in politics. The disastrous Supreme Court ruling in Citizens United is a blight that needs to be repealed! Don't make things worse.

Comments provided by :
Levine, Valerie

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lewis, Kaneisha

The Federal Election Commission (FEC) is accepting comments right now on a proposal to weaken some key campaign finance rules.

Here's what you need to know and how you can weigh in:

Right now, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees.

That transparency is important because large donations can sway politicians – so we need to know who the biggest donors are.

However, the proposal the FEC is currently considering would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

Notably, the FEC “will not undertake an independent investigation to verify” these requests. Instead, it will trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they'll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now the FEC is proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight.

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

The FEC is accepting comments until the end of the day tomorrow, February 18, on this proposal. We need your help to push back and protect strong disclosure rules about money in politics.

I am strongly urging the FEC to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency then people donate large amounts of money.

Comments provided by :
Leyman, Beverly

Political campaigns, like elections, ought not to be up for sale to the highest bidder. Political donations are not speech. They are bribes. And promises to donate or withhold a donation is blackmail. The status quo is not nearly tight enough. Further loosening campaign finance regulations ought to be the furthest thing from anyone's mind. Since the SCOTUS "Citizens United" decision, it is clear that holes in the wall between money and elections need to be filled rather than opened.

Comments provided by :
Lighthart, Brian

Hello - I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lipinski, Clare

The Federal Election Commission (FEC) is accepting comments right now on a proposal to weaken some key campaign finance rules.

Here's what you need to know and how you can weigh in:

Right now, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees.

That transparency is important because large donations can sway politicians – so we need to know who the biggest donors are.

However, the proposal the FEC is currently considering would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

Notably, the FEC “will not undertake an independent investigation to verify” these requests. Instead, it will trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they'll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now the FEC is proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight.

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

We need your help to push back and protect strong disclosure rules about money in politics.

Send a comment to fellow Federal Election Commission workers!

Tell the agency to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Thanks for taking action,
Nicholas

Comments provided by :
Littlejohn, Nicholas

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lomeli, Jason

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal, REG 2024-06, that you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Also, I strongly hope that you will strengthen and enforce true limits on how much money an individual can contribute to a political campaign. Currently rich donors out to buy influence can get around any statutory limits by creating a bogus PAC and funneling the money through this fake PAC; for example, that's how Elon Musk was able to buy the presidency in 2024.

Ordinary citizens who are not billionaires should not be sidelined by such deception and foolery. PLEASE STOP IT!

Thank you.

BTW, how can you justify making my "personally identifiable information" public as stated in this form while seeking to hide the identity of those who contribute large sums to political campaigns?

Comments provided by :
Lora, Mary

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Lord, Herbert

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ludi, Phyllis

Hi, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lupenko, Andy

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians

Comments provided by :
Lynn, Sandra

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lytle, Denise L Lytle

Full transparency of campaign contributions is required and expected by the American people!
All of these flagrant removals of government checks and balances are appalling.
STOP weakening them!

Comments provided by :
Macauley, Karen

I believe that the current rules of disclosure are adequate. There is far too much money contributed to politicians these days. Disclosure should be as complete and open as possible to stop gratuitous contributions. Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Macey, Joann

To Whom It May Concern,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time,
Angela Mackey

Comments provided by :
Mackey, Angela

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints.

Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Mackey, Melvin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Mackler, Donald

I'm writing to you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works but could be improved by deleting all exemptions. This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Mallam, Karen

Please keep up the work of COMPLETE DISCLOSURES of all spending. the FEC should work create a spending cap of no more than \$50,000 for any donor, company or person.

Comments provided by :
Malone, Linda

Right now, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees.

That transparency is important because large donations can sway politicians – so we need to know who the biggest donors are.

Unfortunately, the proposal the FEC is currently considering would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

Please do what you can to prevent a weakening key finance rules.

Comments provided by :
Maloney, gertrude

Please reject changes to disclosure rules. We need more transparency--not less. Large donations aimed at influencing politicians are a danger to our democracy.

Comments provided by :
Marquart, Jacqueline

I am alarmed that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – the public deserves to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

Comments provided by :
Marshall, Michael Scott

Greetings! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Martin, Glenn

Good morning,

I'm a public school teacher writing to ask you, PLEASE, to keep the country's laws in place that require political spending disclosure. We need transparency, and corporations/donors should not be insulated any more than they already are from public disapproval.

PLEASE work to keep our country in the hands of working Americans. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for reading.

In hope and faith,
Jeanne Mastriano

Comments provided by :
Mastriano, Jeanne

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. It is important to me that voters know who is influencing our laws and elections. I am concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules without providing any benefit. I would actually like to see much stronger regulations on political spending.

Comments provided by :
Mazar, Laura

The proposal that, I understand, the FEC is currently considering to allow political donors to evade basic levels of disclosure would further weaken transparency – and this in a system that is already awash in money aimed at influencing policy and serving donor personal interests.

It would further undermine our political system, one that a sizable and disfranchised portion of the American public has already lost faith in.

The exemption based on a claim of "fear of retaliation" would not even be subject to elementary scrutiny, as the FEC "will not undertake an independent investigation to verify" requests for anonymity!

That the FEC would even be considering this proposal instead of working to reduce corrupting influences on our system is truly disheartening. I urge the FEC to aim at strengthening democracy and transparency, not at undermining them.

Comments provided by :
Mazaud, Philippe

Dear Sir/Madame:

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Americans deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Maziarz, Rosemary

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules or increase them, not diminish them or weaken them in any way.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
McAtee, Zachary

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
McCaslin, Hugh

I am writing to request that you reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Thank you

Comments provided by :

McGinnis, Nancy

I urge that transparency be increased not decreased. I say NO to 2024-06. I think Citizens United was the beginning of our American demise.

Comments provided by :
McGregor, Pamela

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would allow donors to transparently influence elections by donating large sums to politicians anonymously.

Comments provided by :
McGuire, Jennifer

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
McKenzie, Alexander

PLEASE- NO changes to transparency rules for donations to political campaigns. Current protections for donors are sufficient to provide for special cases of concern and still maintain public access and ensure donor accountability.

Comments provided by :
McLarty, Margarita

I register my concern that the proposal under consideration would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
McLeod, Jonathan

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
McMullin, William

Please DO NOT weaken disclosure rules for who is donating to which candidate and how much. We need that to be available to the public.

Comments provided by :
Mensendiek, Kathryn

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Meredith, Anne

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Meyer, Ferdinand

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're considering would weaken transparency rules with no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Michek, Alyssa

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Milano, Mark

I'm writing to request that you improve or at least maintain our current political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Miller, Deborah

Transparency is important because large donations can sway politicians – so we need to know who the biggest donors are.

We need more transparency not less!

Comments provided by :

Miller, Don

Transparency is what is needed; any attempt to conceal the identity of contributors or the amount of contributions defeats openness in elections. It leads to abuse of the system. I urge you to reject the proposed changes.

Comments provided by :
Miller, G, Russell

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Miller, Matthew

Under no circumstances should current rules be relaxed. I would prefer stronger rules to limit even further the amount an individual can contribute and would prefer that business could not contribute at all. In my opinion corporate donations are allowing the owners the ability to contribute twice and weigh in heavily on outcomes in elections over and above individuals.

No change to relax rules!

Comments provided by :
Miller, Vicki

Hello member of the Federal Election Commission,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Herbert F. Mintz II

Comments provided by :
Mintz II, Herbert

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Misiewicz, Geraldine

"Hello!

Given the negative affects caused by the Citizens United ruling and dark money being used to manipulate politics maintaining our current strong political spending disclosure rules is an important safeguard....

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Regards,
Peter Mitchell

Comments provided by :
Mitvhell, Peter

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is vitally important. Voters deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Moeglin , Michael

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that require transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Monras-Sender, Maria

I write today to strongly encourage you to maintain the United States' current and strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am very worried that the proposal the Commission is currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. TRANSPARENCY IS VERY IMPORTANT. People like me and my fellow citizens that care deeply for our country deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians! This principle is a bipartisan position that me and my fellow citizens have historically agreed upon. As the rules currently work, a donor truly who needs an exemption due to harassment and can prove it, gets an exception.

The current system works very well now. This new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare and me and my fellow citizens should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

So, please REJECT THE PROPOSAL REG 2024-06 that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. This issue is very important to me. This issue is very important to Americans. I am certain you will agree.

Thank you for your time. Thank you for doing the right thing for America and her citizens.

Blessed be!

Comments provided by :
Moonfire, Apollo

Our democracy has been significantly weakened after Citizens United. It is critical that the FEC not enable the further weakening of US democracy by facilitating opacity to basic disclosures by donors in elections. The existing process to protect the identity of donors who may face extraordinary severe harassment has worked for decades. The request to obfuscate identities so elections can transition from one person one vote to one dollar one vote thereby enabling the wealthy to solidify plutocracy is not in keeping with our Constitutional democracy.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Moore, Barbara

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Moore, Elizabeth

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Moore, Joel

Good afternoon.

re : PLEASE REJECT PROPOSED REG 2024-06

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules with no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – citizens deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that citizens have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well, and exemptions should remain rare. Citizens should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

PLEASE REJECT THE PROPOSAL, REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Moser, Richard

I oppose REG 2024-06 bill which weakens transparency of money given to politicians and political bills.

Nic Mostert

Comments provided by :
Mostert, "St.

I'm asking that you defeat Reg 2024-06 which would make it more difficult, even impossible, for the average citizen to determine who might be supporting/influencing politics and politicians.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Comments provided by :
Mubarek , Judith

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

You must reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Muller, Sheldon

I ask you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – it's coming from candidates who want to be able to hide their donors. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Our elections are already suffering from lack of transparency - please don't make the situation WORSE!

Comments provided by :
Nazor, Craig

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Nazzaro , Maria

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Nelson, Patrick

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Neumahr, Guenter

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Given that our recent election was bought by a billionaire, this matter is especially urgent at this time.

I'm worried that the proposal currently under consideration would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration of my input.

Comments provided by :
Neuman, Cynthia

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Strengthen disclosure rules, show us.

Comments provided by :
Newberg, Stephen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Nicholas, Jill

I'm writing to strongly encourage you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules. There is no reason to tamper with these rules nor is there any justification to weaken them in any way

It's deeply concerning that your proposal is weakening transparency rules for no clear benefit. Catering to a particular element or group to avoid disclosure of huge political donations is completely unacceptable. Transparency is absolutely critical – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

Currently, if a donor is truly in need of an exemption to the rules, and it's not a pretense to avoid disclosure, and the exemption is due to credible harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints so, where is it coming from?? Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating and how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Nichols, Mary

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This proposal is clearly designed by Trump and his minions to hide contributor information.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Nielsen, Paul

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Nies, Randy

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. I would suggest that rather than loosing the rules you should be tightening regulations to allow voters the information as to who is attempting to buy elections.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Norkus, Edward

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Nowak, Blaine

Any consideration to weaken disclosure rules should be rejected! In my opinion, the rules are already too weak. Any assumption that a larger donor should be shielded from any risk that transparency may bring is highly prejudicial. If one proposed that no donor contribution under \$500 needed to disclose where it went and from who, that also would be highly prejudicial. Transparency is critical to democracy and any notion that a large donor could be entitled to greater risk protection, must be fully rejected. I ask that the proposal, REG 2024-06, be rejected. Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
O'Connell, Stephen

To Whom It May Concern - I'm writing to ask that you maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Why change a great policy that is working well!

I'm also worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken, rather than strengthen transparency rules for no clear benefit. Campaign contribution transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
T. O'Connor

Comments provided by :
O'Connor, Terry

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people or entities donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Oder, Stephen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Okome, Mojubaolu Olufunke

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Olcsvary, Michael

Stop the FEC from weakening rules about money in politics!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
It's all about money now, not democracy.

Comments provided by :
Oloff, Nina

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Way more transparency, way more disclosure, the Americans, the US citizens of this great nation deserve to know.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :

Olk, Todd

We are writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

We're concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
OMeara, Colleen and Joe

I strongly encourage you to maintain the current effective political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is essential – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Opaskar, Patricia

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Let's keep them honest.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ortiz, Carol

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. There's not enough transparency as it is.

Comments provided by :
Owens, Gregory

Dear FEC,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. I believe transparency is crucial; people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment -- and they're able to prove it -- they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal does not come in response to donor complaints. I believe exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Ozan, Faith

there is NO need to modify or redact contributor info...there are actions for those who submit Proof of their contention of threatened harm etc. Laura Fries

Comments provided by :
Pais-fries, laura I

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Parke, Kristy

I urge you to REJECT 2024-06! I will be watching because I care enough about our country to pay attention to what the FEC and other government agencies are doing. Thank you for doing the right thing by REJECTING 2024-06. Sincerely, Gail Parmer

Comments provided by :
Parmer, Gail

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Pate, Jessica

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Patten, Robin

I urge you to maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Pauling, Lynda

We need transparency in elections, not "dark money". We need elections to reflect the will of the people, right or wrong, and not the will of faceless corporations, nor the will of only the most powerful and the most wealthy.

Comments provided by :
Payne, Dean

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Pazdziorko, Stephen

The FEC is currently considering weakening its disclosure rules pertaining to large campaign donations in political races in the US.

Specifically the proposed rule change would mean the FEC no longer independently verifies the identity of large campaign donors, and, instead, relies on these donors to identify themselves.

This like putting the fox in charge of the hen-house.

Disclosure rules MUST NOT be weakened.

In the post-Citizens United era, our politics are awash with vast sums of money and this is steadily weakening our democracy.

We must, at least, know who the individuals and corporations are who are seeking to sway our elections with their wealth.

Maintain the transparency of the political contribution process.

DO NOT weaken disclosure rules for campaign donors.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :

Peake-Jones, David

I'm asking the agency to please reject changes and disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Thank you

Comments provided by :

Pearcy, Elizabeth

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Pendergast, William

Please do NOT loosen requirements for reporting campaign contributions.

I believe it is extremely important for the public to know who, or what corporations or organizations, are contributing to the campaigns of specific candidates (and political parties), and how much they are contributing. If the public does not know who or what is shoveling money into which specific campaigns for public office, then we have no way to understand who and/or what is influencing, or even controlling, the election and post-election behavior of our elected officials. That is not democracy. Period.

- Alan F Perry, Kansas City, Missouri

Comments provided by :
Perry, Alan

Hello,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Pettis, Carolyn

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Margaret Phanes

Comments provided by :
Phanes, Margaret

Campaign spending is already out of control
This bill would fan the fire of Fascism

Comments provided by :
Philleo, David

Please do not dilute our current ability to track political contributions. It's imperative we know who is influencing our elections and elected officials. No one should be able to buy our elections anonymously.

Thank you.

Sue Phillips

Comments provided by :
Phillips, Sue

Hello! I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am concerned that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Pineda, Annalee

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

WE NEED TO GET MONEY OUT OF POLITICS!!!!

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Pipke, Wayne

Please do not weaken current transparency/reporting requirements.

Roger B. Pittard

Comments provided by :
PITTARD, Roger

Without more transparency, our nation will no longer be for the people, to state the obvious. It will be for oligarchs from wherever, with whatever schemes or plots they use to serve themselves alone. If it were not probable, they wouldn't need secrecy to cover their hateful greed, often at the expense of the best people who live here in America. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. If you happen to be loyal to a tyrannical figure, he makes fun of you whenever you turn your back. Ask anybody.

Comments provided by :
Pitzel, Diane

Do not change the rules to hide donations. Keep them as they are. The rules have served to keep the public knowledgeable of vested interests regarding financial support.

Comments provided by :
Pomeroy, Anahata

I ask that you maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important, and transparency rules should not be weakened. As voters, we deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Porter, Keith

Encourage you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules or strengthen them, not weaken them.

Comments provided by :
Porter, Mark

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank You

Comments provided by :
Posch, Robert

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Posner, Gordon

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Postel , Rus

Now, more than ever, transparency in our elections is critical! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Powers, Rosemary

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Prefontaine, Eileen

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I fear that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no benefit. Transparency is important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Proett, Thomas

Hi,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Profeta, Donna

I would like you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important to me and others-people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system is working well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Purdy, Patricia

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Pyle, Aaron

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I fear that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment, and they are able to prove it, they get one.

This new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Quadrini, Philip

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Raiber, Anthony

There is too much "secret" money in politics already and that is a major problem. How can people compete when a few wealthy individuals or corporations can donate vast amounts of money for their causes or profits and the majority of the public has no idea where this money is coming from. It is not a level playing field now, let's not make it worse.

Comments provided by :
Rakela, Craig

I urge you to reject REG 20, 24-06 that would weaken political spending disclosures. There is already adequate protection offered to donors who are truly at risk. The public deserves to know this information.

Comments provided by :
Ramsey, Jane

I'm writing to insist that you maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

The American people are getting fed up with the lies, and the curtailment of democracy that is currently happening. Don't add to the horrible situation that is happening everywhere now.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

History will record all.

Comments provided by :
Rand, Sherry

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Ratcliff, Philip

Hello, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Laura Regan

Comments provided by :
Regan, Laura

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
Reid , Patrick

Hi-

Please do not weaken the federal disclosure requirements for campaign contributions. Citizens need to know who is contributing and how much. To hide who is contributing implies people who are making these contributions have nefarious reasons or something to hide!

Transparency must be upheld and improved!

Comments provided by :

Rejebian, Sona

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Reyer, Thomas

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Reynolds, Lisa-May

Hello!

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

In my opinion, this system has been working quite well, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Reynolds, Rebecca

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Rhodes, Janet

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Rice, Madena

I am writing to urge that the FEC reject proposed changes to campaign finance disclosure rules that would reduce transparency regarding the sources of campaign contributions.

The American people have a right to know the identities of people who donate large sums of money to influence elections. Large donors give to candidates they expect will advance their personal or corporate interests. Voters need to know whose interests might benefit from a particular election result. Informed voters can choose the candidate who will best represent *their* interests -- not those of, e.g., wealthy CEOs of corporations in a particular industrial sector.

There is a procedure by which a donor can obtain an exemption to the disclosure rules if they can prove they need it because of legitimate fear of harassment. The rule change was not proposed in response to donors' complaints, so the system isn't broken, and it does not need fixing. Exemptions should remain rare so that the American people can remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Richards-Smith, Beverly

Good morning.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I rightly fear that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system already works well, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Protect the integrity of the standing disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Rist, Margaret Karen

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Ritter, P. M.

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Rivera, Daniel

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Robbins, Robert

Hello! I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering could weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Robinson, Janet

I urge you to maintain our current our current rules for disclosure of political spending. We need to maintain what little transparency we still have regarding political spending. The current rules already allow for unusual circumstances regarding fear of retribution. We shouldn't bend our rules to further accommodate corruption and fear.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Rogers, Stephanie

The disclosure of contributor information is one of the last requirements that the American people have standing between us and the naked bribery of our elected officials.

It isn't much. This cycle, we watched numerous deep pockets shower money on Trump in exchange for favorable treatment, even when that required him to turn on a dime. (TikTok anyone?) The only people really suffering from harassment and threats are the democratic opponents of the cult. The only people seeking this change are hoping to buy the government from the shadows. Don't help them do it.

Comments provided by :
Rooney, Ellen

I'm writing to strongly encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. They could be even stronger!

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The current system works well, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain very rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Root, Jeffrey

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time,
Marilyn

Comments provided by :
rosen, marilyn

Big, dark, and foreign money enables the destruction of government of, by and for the people.

There should be as much timely transparency on what money is allowed in elections.

No contributions should be allowed after a month before the election. No foreign money should be allowed to be contributed. No one aggregated group of contributors should be allowed to donate more than the average wage of one citizen, and each contributor must be identified.

Ideally, campaigns should be publicly funded and given equal free quotas of media on all public media.

In NO way should reporting requirements be reduced nor eliminated.

We are in danger of losing our democracy and losing our respect as a human institution.

Comments provided by :

Rousu, Dwight

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Rowe, Mary

Hello,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Samuelson, Julie

I am writing to implore you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Doing otherwise increases the likelihood of bribery and corruption in our election process.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Sanders , Richard

In a word "don't fix what is not broke". Please reject changes to disclosure rules that reduce transparency when people donate large amounts of money to influence politicians. The current rules work just fine. Changing rules to give the wealthy even more power in political influence is a BIG NO-NO.

Comments provided by :
Sanders, Joan E

I'm writing to urge you to maintain strong campaign finance disclosure rules.
Please reject REG 2024-06 which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.
Robert Sapp, Buckeye, Arizona

Comments provided by :
Sapp, Robert

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain, and ideally strengthen, our current strong political spending disclosure rules, and not to weaken them.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Wealthy persons and corporations already have far too much influence over our elections.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Saver, Barry

Hello! I'm writing to ask you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
savla, dinmani

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Schenck, Alan

I urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Lauren S.

Comments provided by :
Schiffman, Lauren

I'm very worried that the proposal you're currently considering (REG 2024-06) would weaken what few transparency rules we have for no clear benefit. There is already too much dark money in politics. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
schlagal, robert

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The public is entitled to know people and organizations that contribute large amounts of money to political campaigns and candidates. The line between undue influence and legitimate election activity is very fine!

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. If that provision needs modification, it should be done with precision and care, and not just "I say so."

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration!

Comments provided by :
Schneider, Linda

The people of this Country want and need to know just who is donating to the Politicians and how much. Too many are being paid off by the wealthiest people and big corporations to make sure they are rewarded if they win. Example - Donald J. Trump bought and paid for by Elon Musk for over 200 million dollars. Elon is making \$8 million dollars a day through our government.

Comments provided by :
Schrader, Barbara

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Schuett, Connie

The American people need to be able to evaluate what conflicts of interest, the people they elect may have.

The American people need to be able to evaluate to whom the elected officials may feel beholden,

The American people need to be able to evaluate where the interests and loyalties of the people they elect to public office may lie.

To do this, they need to know and be able to evaluate the major financial donors.

The American people have a right to know who may be attempting to secretly influence the people we elect as public servants.

Under the current system, donors can obtain an exemption for personal safety concerns due to harassment. Such cases are rare, and do not demand a change in rules.

Democracy demands transparency.

Reject REG 2024-06.

Comments provided by :
Schulenberg, Margaret

Donations of any size should not be anonymous in a democracy with free and open elections, but hiding transparency for large donors is particularly abhorrent as the size of the donation may reflect the weight of the influence. The idea that a large donor's request for anonymity would be truthful and not requiring documentation or investigation provides a FREE PASS. Should this change be implemented it guarantees more dark money will influence our elections and the PAC's have provided anonymous pass through donations obscuring where financing is coming from.

THIS CHANGE SHOULD NOT OCCUR

Comments provided by :
Schuster , John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Schwandes, Shaytu

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Scull, Brian

I'm writing to encourage you to, at a minimum, maintain our current political spending disclosure rules. I would prefer them to be strengthened and not allow corporations to make political donations.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Our democracy is based on the principle of one person one vote. This proposal, REG 2024-06, shifts the system further in the direction of one dollar one vote which is the antithesis of true democracy.

The system works now in that if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Seaman, Thomas

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they'll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades.

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

Comments provided by :
Seles, Andrew

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Selhorst, John

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS SHOULD BE MUCH MORE RIGOROUS THAN THEY ARE CURRENTLY.
STRENGTHENED NOT WEAKENED

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Seltzer, Elizabeth

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Seltersston, Sylvia

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. We need to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Senten, Em

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Shapiro, Madeline

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Sherman, Scott

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Shuman, Carolyn

Dear Commissioners,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Simpson , Lizbeth

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

The proposed change would seem only to protect the wealthy, not ordinary guys like me.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Skinner, Jonathan

Please reject proposed REG 2024-06. Maintain transparency in political contributions. The proposed honor system is naive and ineffective.

Comments provided by :
Slavin, Thomas

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Sloan, Catherine

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Smith, April

I encourage you to reject the proposed rule, REG 2024-06. I agree with Public Citizen and Transparency International USA in their joint comment expressing opposition. According to the groups, making redaction requests readily available to all individuals “would likely have the effect of encouraging such requests beyond the current narrow scope of fear of threats, harassment or reprisals.” The groups point out that the rulemaking specifies that the FEC will not seek to independently verify requestors’ claims, which they call “a recipe for abuse” that would set back the agency’s mission of donor disclosure of money in politics.

Comments provided by :
Smith, Jason

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Smith, Jennifer

I support maintaining strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Smith, Kellie

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Smith, Mark

After having read about the possibility that the FEC members would consider weakening the rules regarding disclosure of large campaign contributors in order to protect them from retribution, I took it upon myself to let the recipient(s) know this:

I am sick and tired of rights, guaranteed to me by the constitution, being taken from me by monied interests. Case in point (prime example) being the large amount of money contributed to the 2024 Presidential campaign by Elon Musk - some (including myself) would say that the amount of money he contributed to the Trump campaign had an unfair influence upon it's outcome; in which case it is extremely important to know just where that money came from!

Further, the idea that a large contributor potentially "suffers" unduly from the exposure of their identity is laughable - just ask yourself whether Elon Musk has caused more harm than has been inflicted upon him - does the world's richest man have a problem putting food upon the table, or have a roof over his head? How about the innocent public servants who are currently (without justification) being laid off from their places of employment?

Poor pitiful Elon Musk. What a travesty of justice that he, the world's richest man, is worried about being "exposed" as a large contributor to a gross miscarriage of justice.

Consider the common man/woman in your decision making and do NOT contribute to the further destruction of this democracy. Dismiss this attempt by the large contributor to remain anonymous. It is the right of the average citizen to know who is attempting to unduly influence our elections.

Regards, Robert Smith

Comments provided by :
Smith, Robert

This is such corrupt nonsense as if inviting corruption is a good thing !

The country has been humming along for 2.5 centuries now with some mild level of corruption in some administrations or time periods. Typically rooted out as it doesn't stand for America. But now with the ridiculous amounts of \$ in elections, the super rich are emboldened to buy more power and cash in further...because they bought it.

We can't have that. We would soon be as corrupt as Rome in ancient times and likely even more corrupt in our advanced society. BUT rich corrupt societies fall. Decay from within from abuse of power which leads other to grab for 'theirs' also.

No, while there is time, we must turn back to balance and sanity. Corruption is corrosive and will hasten our collapse as a society and world leader.

NO to loosening standards. They have stood the rest of time for a reason.

Comments provided by :
Smith, Victoria

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Snyder, Brad

PLEASE reject any changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they'll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now the FEC is proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight. This proposal would be wrong and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements. This proposal would further erode our nations DEMOCRACY.

Thank you,

Jon Solmos
jcsolmos@gmail.com

Comments provided by :
Solmos, Jon

Please reject the proposal, Reg. 2024-6, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Springer, Karen

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Stark, Louise

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. It would be better to be more transparent and open on who is giving money to candidates.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Kind Regards

Roger Steinkamp

Comments provided by :
Steinkamp, Roger

Political donor transparency needs to be increased, not further watered down..

And the lack of reasonable contribution LIMITS is what got us to where we are today, where politicians and judges can essentially be bought. This is happening almost in plain sight, hence the effort to hide donor information.

Please do not succumb.

Comments provided by :

STETSON, SIDNEY

As as a donor, I urge you to continue listing donors. Light is necessary in a free democratic society.

Comments provided by :
stewart, david

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Stewart, John

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Stoner, Nadine

Please do not weaken existing rules regarding financial disclosure of contributors.

Comments provided by :
Stringham, Deb

I urge the Commission to reject Reg 2024-06 as it is unnecessary.
There is no urgent need for this change.
Voters need to know who and what influences our political system.
Democracy demands transparency.
Reject Reg 2024-06.

Comments provided by :
Stromberg, Susan

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Comments provided by :
Sturgess, Alicia

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Sullivan-Greiner, Sadie

I write to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The current proposal being considered would greatly weaken transparency rules. Transparency is important so that people know who influences our elections and politicians, a long-time position with historic bipartisan agreement. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Exemptions should remain rare - Americans need to remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians and causes.

I urge your rejection of the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for attention to this important matter.

Comments provided by :
Swenson, Michele

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Swinton, Naomi

People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Szuter, Robert

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Taylor, Mark

Please do NOT weaken laws requiring public disclosure of large campaign contributions. They are sometimes referred to as "Sunshine Laws," since Democracy shines in the sunlight, while corruption thrives in the darkness.

Comments provided by :
Thomas, Alan

Thank you for the opportunity to comment regarding REG 2024-06 and how we disclose political contributions. I encourage you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules without any clear benefit. Transparency is very important – the people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. A bipartisan representation of the American people have historically agreed upon this basic tenet. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the transparency rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't being requested in response to donor complaints. Americans should remain informed about who is donating and how much, to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Comments provided by :
Thompson, Linda

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. We need to get dark money out of our politics!

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Thorp, Alec

I'm asking the FEC to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Already, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees. That is important because large donations can sway politicians – so we need to know who the biggest donors are.

But the new proposal would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

An independent investigation to verify these requests is needed but instead, the FEC would trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they'll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades.

So again, please reject these changes.

Comments provided by :
Thorpe, Mary

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important- people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Citizens United should be overturned. It has led to the mess that our country is currently in. We need to have complete transparency.

Comments provided by :
tierney, cheryl

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Don

Comments provided by :

Tobul, Donald

I'm worried that this proposal that you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system is not transparent enough now, with big money donors having undue influence. This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Troiano, David

I write to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am concerned that the proposal you are considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position the American people historically have agreed on. Under current law, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now. This new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints. Therefore, exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

For all the foregoing reasons, please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Tucker, James

I am commenting about money in politics and elections. I am very distressed and disturbed seeing the richest among us buying elections. And seeing so much money being wasted on lies and distortions. Can you imagine if we used all the millions and billions to pay down the debt instead?

I am in favor of limiting money in elections by any and all means possible. Please make the rules as strict and transparent as possible. Then include enforcement mechanisms as well.

Please reject the proposal REG 2024-06 which will weaken disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Turley , Leann N

I'm writing in support of our current strong political spending disclosure rules and to ask the FEC to reject proposal REG 2024-06 that will weaken political spending disclosure rules. I worry that this proposal will weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is truly important for Americans to know who's influencing our elections and our politicians. This is a bipartisan and historical system that has worked well. This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Currently, if a donor needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they can prove it, they get one. The people should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Don't you think this is exactly why some would like to bury any transparency? Please reject this proposal. Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Tylo, Terri

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ungar, Arthur

As a citizen very concerned about the influence of money in politics, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Ungar, Elizabeth

Changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large amounts of money to influence politicians MUST be rejected.

Comments provided by :
Valinoti, Raymond

Hello, I'm writing to encourage you to keep our current political spending disclosure rules. It is essential that the American public know who is contributing to and possibly influencing our elected politicians. The changes you are currently considering will weaken transparency rules with no clear benefit to the public. Transparency is very important. The system works well now. Americans should remain informed about political contributions. Please reject proposal REG 2024-06. Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Van Dyke, Shawn

Please keep all election information available to the public.

Comments provided by :
Van Riper, Fleet

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Verna, Catherine

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Viney, James

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. We already have a system where the amount of influence we have over the government depends mostly on how much money we have. This will make that worse!

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Viveros, Mary Ann

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Democracy is about Transparency!! Allowing citizens to make informed decision when voting!

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Voigt, Mary

Regarding REG 2024-06, this country requires more substantial protection for elections, not weaker rules. There is currently too much money buying our elections and foreign bots influencing voters. Advise Congress of the need for laws against anonymous donations and easing restrictive state legislation that prevents voting access.

Comments provided by :
Walsh, P.A., John

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Warner, Cherie

Reject changes to disclosure rules. Our democracy needs transparency when wealthy individuals seek unfettered access to political power.

Comments provided by :
Warrow, Susan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Warsaski, Sharon

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Washington, Ryan

I'm commenting to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

It's obvious that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Wasserman, Scott

Please do not change contributor information. We need to know who these large donors are and how much they donate. There is too much of big donors influencing members of Congress for their own purposes.

Comments provided by :
Watson, Andrea

New disclosure rules limiting transparency of donors should not be adopted by the FEC. Transparency is part of democracy.

Comments provided by :
Watterson, Sylvia

As a citizen voter, it is very important to me that the sources of campaign donations is are transparent. I urge you to maintain the policy of naming those sources. Voters need to know who is making contributions to what legislation and to which candidates. Thank you for providing the public with donor information.

Comments provided by :
Weisman, Eleanor

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Wess, Roger

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Wheeler, Melinda

Although our current disclosure may not be perfect, the proposed changes would make them weaker. We need true transparency. Please reject REG 2024-06 because it would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Since a donor who can prove actual need for exemption is already eligible to apply, there is no need to water down the rules to provide an opening for major donors to hide from public view. We know little enough about who our elected officials are beholden to.

Comments provided by :
Whitney, Shirley

Hello,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time today.

Comments provided by :
Wicht, Dan

We the people deserve to know who's buying our politicians. The larger the donations, the more urgent the need to know the source. If people are worried about being harassed for supporting a candidate, maybe they shouldn't support that candidate. Large political contributions are more about stifling individual voters' rights to free speech than exercising the rich's right to make noise.

Comments provided by :
Williams, David

Good day:

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and shouldn't change; however, this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Therefore, exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

William Williams
Clinton, Tennessee

Comments provided by :
Williams, William

Please make any changes that would make campaign contributions less transparent.
They're plenty foggy as is.

Comments provided by :
Williamson, Gay

It is imperative that we ensure all campaign contributions are transparent to the public to avoid conflicts of interest. Please vote against any change that will create more opacity into campaign contributions. I also want Elons million dollar lottery for voters in Texas to be locked in for impeding the democratic process.

Comments provided by :

Willis , Christen

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Winkler, Robert

Please reject, and do not approve, Proposal Reg. 2024-06! Please do not weaken our currently fair reporting rules!

Comments provided by :
Wittmann, Victor

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Wolfe, Michael

Our family wants total disclosure about money in politics.

Comments provided by :
Worden, Susan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Workman, Krysta

Please reject proposal REG 2024-06.

It is important to maintain current, strong political spending disclosure rules. Transparency concerning election spending is critical. The electoral process is driven by money. Wealthy individuals and corporations wield disproportionate influence on election outcomes by donating large sums of money. This allows a small number of individuals or groups to stifle the voices of the populace and gain undue influence to obtain an outcome that benefits them at the expense of others who do not have financial resources. Anonymity hides the identity of donors and serves to undermine the electoral process by making it opaque to the voting public. This disenfranchises voters, which results in lower rates of participation, making our government and its decisions less representative of the citizenry.

I am concerned that the proposal currently being considered will weaken transparency rules while providing no benefit. Transparency is critical – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. It is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, a donor who truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment can obtain one if they are able to demonstrate that it is needed.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions must remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Wortman, Alan

Please do not weaken the disclosure rules. Current format has worked well.

If anything, Citizens United should be revoked and large sums should not be allowed.

Comments provided by :
Yamamoto, Roy

! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Thank you

Comments provided by :
Yassi, Esther

Some individuals and entities spend massive funds to sway an election. If they then believe that this could lead to retaliation, they need to proceed more cautiously. They can either spend smaller sums, or preferably enlist more supporters to their cause so that they are not perceived as bullies, but rather part of a broader movement.

The integrity of elections cannot be assured if the voters do not know who and what entities are promoting which points of view.

Comments provided by :
Yates, Christopher

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.
Susan Yost

Comments provided by :
Yost , Susan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Yourell, Ann

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Zackrone, Alex

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Zahra, Raymond

Citizens United has already weakened campaign finance integrity significantly. Please don't exacerbate the situation by further undermining rules about money in politics.

Comments provided by :
Zamm, Michael

Re: proposed REG 2024-06, I urge you not to vitiate political spending disclosure rules with a loophole big enough to drive a fleet of trucks through. Exemptions to transparency requirements should be rare and require verification, not mere unchecked assertion.

Transparency and accountability go hand in hand. For a functioning democracy, the public must have the ability to monitor influences being brought to bear on our politics, our politicians and our elections.

Please reject this erosion of our collective right to know.

Thank you for considering my concerns.

Comments provided by :
Ziegler, Laura

We need to keep strong transparency rules with regard to campaign contributions. I and surely many others want to know who is giving large sums to candidates or ballot measures. The exemptions that are now in place are adequate...any more in the way of exemptions would give an extra advantage to the wealthiest of donors. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Zucker, Ron

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important; people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for considering my comment.

Comments provided by :
Curtis, John

To Whom It May Concern

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The current proposal would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely

Donald Evans

Comments provided by :
Evans, Donald

I'm asking you to reject changes to disclosure rules
that will reduce transparency when citizens donate large amounts of of money
That influence politicians.

Best regards,

Comments provided by :
Farmer, Nancy

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Larson, Jeanne

Please do not pass the proposed REG 2024-06. The proposal is unnecessary and dangerous. We need transparency in campaign contributions. Americans deserve to know who is influencing politicians.

Comments provided by :
Lindberg , Kristy

I'm writing to urge you to leave the existing strong political spending disclosure rules in place exactly as they are right now.

The proposed rule changes under consideration would unnecessarily weaken transparency rules with no real world benefit. Transparency is critical given how much money is flowing through the political system.

Voters deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politician. This has been a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. It is already the case that if a donor really needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment, and importantly can prove it, they get one. Opening the flood gates to unproven and potentially unnecessary exemptions as has been proposed will make a mockery of any claim of transparency in the campaign finance system.

Moreover, this proposal isn't stemming from donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should know who is donating how much to which politicians.

I urge you to reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Magid , Joseph

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Allowing extremely wealthy individuals and corporations to purchase Congressional and Presidential seats in a democracy will never work. As an explicit example, look no further than our current totally corrupt government which has transformed our former democracy into a cruel Fascist form of rule.

In our current fascist form of government, which resulted from the 2010 Supreme Court decision that allowed wealthy individuals and corporations to use their billions and millions of dollars to purchase Congressional seats for individuals in our government, has served to dramatically exceed the dollars of those dollars available to the grassroots donors has also served to put in place wholly unqualified wealthy old farts whose primary goal is to perform according to the puppet strings of their wealthy benefactor. Their extraordinary purchases have drowned out the voices of we, the people. This has resulted in massive losses for the majority of the population insofar as being stripped of their rights that had formerly been guaranteed to them by our Constitution and laws. It has also made those who comprise the grassroots to lose any way of improving their life's situation since all rights and privileges are being rained down on the extremely wealthy. It is unjust and cannot be allowed to continue.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06 that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Ridenour, Patty

Large donations that are made as political contributions should remain transparent. The current system has worked well in that regard and sufficiently protects any donor in its current form. There is no need to change and therefore possibly weaken something that has worked well in a bipartisan way.

Comments provided by :
Sanchez , Frederick

The lack of accountability in our election finance system is already so widespread that money can be made untraceable and there's literally no limit to what can be donated. This leaves the average citizen with little voice. To further obscure where the money comes from is to allow further exploration of the system and remove the governed's voices from the governance. It allows foreign and bad actors a means to buy politicians even further. Do not allow further degradation occur - do not enact this rule.

Comments provided by :
Venar, Tobias

I urge you to reject proposed changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. The current rules work. We need transparency for campaign donations now and always.

Comments provided by :
Wechsler, Gail

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
White, Kelly

It has come to my attention that the FEC currently is considering changes to our campaign finance rules that would enable individuals to donate large sums of money to candidates while keeping their own identities a secret. The FEC must NOT make it easier for wealthy individuals to purchase influence over candidates for public office while concealing their identities from the public.

It is the responsibility of the FEC to protect the integrity of elections in our country. Allowing wealthy donors to conceal their information from the public only further entrenches corruption in our democracy.

Please, FEC, do your job and protect our democracy!!! It needs help now, like never before.

Thank you,

Charlene A. Fluder

[REDACTED]
Manchester, Michigan [REDACTED]

Comments provided by :
Fluder, Charlene

Hello, I am Director of The American State Litter Scorecard (litterscoecard.com)

The FEC is considering rules would would reduce transparency among campaign donors by evading basic disclosure levels.

Analogy: Even when those public and private dispose illicit wastes onto State, County and Locality public spaces, there are good rules and regulations on the books that help protect public health and safety. Only the billion dollar bloated, never clean-audited DoD (Pentagon) remains the sole Federal entity that does not follow through on final binding clean up orders issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 100s have been injured by these intentional DoD mishaps.

The current system of legitimate but rare exemptions by the FEC has worked well for decades. The new FEC system may turn out to be like EPA being totally disrespected by the Pentagon when cleaning up wastes. In this case the FEC would allow wealthy donors to give large sums of money to influence politicians while they are allowed to evade final binding requirements for disclosures.

PLEASE FEC, reject ANY AND ALL changes to binding disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Steve Spacek
American State Litter Scorecard

Comments provided by :
Spacek, S.