

It is urgent that you reject changes to the disclosure rules that reduce transparency when large donors attempt to influence our representatives and executive. Open knowledge is best!

Comments provided by :
Abel, John

Please reject the proposal REG 2024-06 that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Right now, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees.

That transparency is important because large donations can sway politicians – so we need to know who the biggest donors are.

However, the proposal you are currently considering would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

Notably, you “will not undertake an independent investigation to verify” these requests. Instead, you will trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they’ll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now you are proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight.

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Adkin, Diane

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Albrecht, M K

I write to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is vital – citizens deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position upon which the American people have historically agreed. At present, if a donor can prove they legitimately need an exemption to the rules due to harassment, they get one.

The system works well now. No need exists to change it. This new proposal is not a response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should continue to be informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, as it would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Alkire, Claire

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Allison, Connie

Hi,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Zach

Comments provided by :
Alva, Zachary

Money in our elections is an enormous problem and getting more so every cycle. Since the Supreme Court ruling that "money is speech" the only way we voters can see who is providing it and in what quantities, is for this information to be made clear and available to us. REG 2024-2026 allows this information to be redacted on the claim, by a donor, that such transparency will somehow put them at risk for some sort of retaliation by someone who objects. Currently, such modification or redaction is available to a donor who can show proof that such a threat is real. The proposed change accepts the donor's claim at face value. It opens up the likelihood that political donors will not be held to the kind of scrutiny that is vital to our knowing who is making donations, and what interest they may have in the outcomes of votes. It would be to make "dark money" even darker.

This rule proposal should be abandoned immediately.

Thank you for your attention.

Comments provided by :
Ampel, Carol

Please maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal, REG 2024-06, would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit other than to unethical or criminal actors. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject REG 2024-06 as it would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Anderson, Chad

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Andrews, Michael

I'm writing to encourage you to at least maintain our current political spending disclosure rules (my preference would be strengthening so we know all donations before election day).

I'm very worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit and hurt us all. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians including last weeks before the election before we have to vote if we want our vote to count.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules and hurt us all for no apparent benefit.

Comments provided by :
Angell, JL

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Aquino, Tracey

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important—people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints—exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Ardisson, Smokey

It's important that there is transparency on who gives and how political donations are given. Corruption grows in darkness.

Comments provided by :
Armstead, LeRoi

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Atols, Jim

Hello, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Please DEFEND DEMOCRACY and reject the proposal. Do not cave to the oligarchs and those who pretend to be king.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Aubin, Lisa

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Austin, Emily

Please retain strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal under consideration could weaken transparency rule. How could that be useful? Transparency is essential, if we are to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Both parties have traditionally agreed on that. There is a provision for exemptions, but also a sensible requirement for proof of need.

The exemptions should be rare, by common agreement. Donors seem to understand that. So why make a change? Let us remain informed; reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Babbitt, Susan

Please do not weaken any rules regarding campaign donations regarding transparency.
Big money in politics is out of control as it is, with rewards going to big donors. Please maintain some restrictions that allows voters to know who is contributing to candidates.

Comments provided by :
Bagley, Elaine

Campaign donors must be restricted in the amounts they are giving and they must be properly identified.

Political spending must be monitored. It has been abused especially in the 2024 election. Millions and/or billions of dollars are not appropriate and have a direct influence on the election. Had this been properly monitored, I do not believe Trump would have won the election.

There must be complete transparency in political finance. This cannot and must not be weakened.

Comments provided by :
Baker, Susan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Balaban, Susan

I object to any changes being made to these rules.

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

There is no change that needs to be made.

Comments provided by :
Banner , Paul

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."
Alana Buckley Bansch
Concerned Citizen and hopeful American

Comments provided by :
Bansch, Alana

Considering the current state of confusion our country, the United States, finds itself in, I would heartily recommend against any further lessening of the information one must disclose when contributing monies to anyone seeking election to any political office. While Mr. Musk's \$290,000,000 contribution to Mr. Trump appears to be above board and obvious, it seems to have garnered him a large degree of access to several of the most confidential areas of the lives of all Americans. Does this amount to a quid pro quo situation? If not, it's as close as one may get. Money collected and donated by unnamed individuals or entities will like have equally deleterious outcomes for our little experiment as a democratic republic.

Please do not further weaken restrictions as to how much may be contributed by a single person or entity.

Thank you.

M

Comments provided by :

Barkan, Mark

Please reject Reg. 2024-06. The last thing we need is for a person or corporation to donate enough to influence politicians without being identified. If there is truly a fear of reprisal, current rules are enough. We must go back to We the People, not flee further.

Comments provided by :
Barnartt-Goldstein, Elaine

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Baron-Evans, Amy

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Barrett, Kimberly

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Battistella, Walter

Hello! We are writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. And in fact strengthen them.

We are very worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

Transparency is critical – We the people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Musk has threatened everyone of us by his essentially buying the presidency. At least we can see this and know this.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please REJECT the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
beal, tj

I am writing to request that you maintain our current policy on political spending disclosure rules. To weaken the transparency rules serves no clear benefit. Americans should have a system in place that informs who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject REG 202406 which weakens disclosure rules.

Thank You

Comments provided by :

Beauford , Vivian

Please do not weaken our rules for campaign donation disclosures. The billionaires are a threat to our democracy already and allowing them to hide their donations is only going to make things worse. Elon Musk is running amok and you want to help him? Please stand your ground!!

Comments provided by :
Beeler, Edward

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I worry that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Begalske, Leigh

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency is very important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

The system works well now, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions to the rules should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
Belcastro, J.

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Belfield, Steven

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. It's a problem how much money influences our elections, so, at the least, we should know from whom the money comes

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Sandy Bell

Comments provided by :
Bell, Sandy

I encourage you to maintain our current system of strong political disclosure rules. Transparency is important. The American people need to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Please reject proposal REG 2024-06. Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Benesch, Amy

I am seriously concerned with the out-sized impact of individual wealthy donors and corporate donations on our political system. It increasingly feels as though if you want policy to favor your interests, then you just have to make sure that your campaign contribution is larger than someone with a competing interest. This means that even now an average voter, or even one like myself, who is civically engaged and volunteers their time to help others, is unable to have a meaningful seat at the table because our votes can only be made in the context of those candidates who have mustered enough wealthy donors to even appear on the ballot.

I believe that the more opaque the source of contributions becomes, the worse the problem becomes because you completely remove any disincentive for deep-pocketed donors to make obscenely large campaign contributions. Thus, our current political spending disclosure rules are absolutely necessary and any weakening of them will only lead to a further degradation of democratic elections and the policy decisions that follow them.

According to the existing rules, anyone who has a legitimate claim that they are being harassed because of their campaign contribution is able to receive an exemption from the transparency rules. I feel that blanket immunity from transparency would just embolden those who wish to exert undue influence.

In the absence of donor complaints about harassment, I think that the current standards must absolutely be maintained so I urge you to reject, REG 2024-06 and any other attempt to weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for considering my opinion.

Comments provided by :
Bernstein, Julie

I write in opposition of this rule change. I believe giving donors the ability to request redactions to their mailing address for security reasons makes sense, but I strongly oppose the redaction of business and occupational information. Under current campaign finance law, companies already have an outsized influence in their ability to donate money through corporate and super PACs. Having individual company and business information in a publicly searchable database helps news reporters and other watchdogs determine how much additional influence corporate interests have, because these groups can query the records and organize donations from individual by company. This information is vital to public transparency and accountability because it will help the public detect coordinated giving from company employees, and it is most definitely in the public interest to make this information available to all. The extremely small subset of people who face harassment or security issues because of their donations will face those issues regardless of whether their corporate affiliation is present if their names are publicly available. I also believe the security angle a convenient excuse for an effort to reduce transparency and advance corporate and special interests.

Comments provided by :
Biba, Tim

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Bicker, Dennis

I urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules, for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for considering my urgent request.

Comments provided by :
Blackman , Pat

I urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Sincerely,
Patrick Bonner

Comments provided by :
Bonner, Patrick

Please do not let people contribute without any limit or knowledge of who they are.

Comments provided by :
Bonville, Randy

I urge you to reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. It's hard enough for us citizens to figure out who is putting money into our political process. Please don't make it even more opaque. We need all the disclosure we can get. Not less.

Comments provided by :
Bosold, Patrick

When donating money to any election, individuals name need to be mandatory. There needs to be a maximum any person or corporation can donate. No one should be able to buy any election. I feel that Dark Money have increased and should not be allowed.

Comments provided by :
Bowen, Landa

There isn't enough transparency regarding money in politics. We need more transparency rather than less. Currently, the rich already have an advantage over the working class due to Citizen's United. Government needs to protect the rights of the citizenry without giving undue favor to the richest of us. The people need to know who is influencing our elections and how much donors give to any given candidate or group. The current system for granting donors disclosure exemptions should not be weakened further by being watered down to allow for more exemptions. We don't need more exemptions, we need less. I'm concerned for my country. Please reject REG 2024-06.

Comments provided by :
Bradley, Bettina

I strongly encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Hasn't Citizens United done enough damage to our election process, our democracy, our environment, and every decision made by our "elected" officials?

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit (except the personal interests of the donors). Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Bradley, Kathy

It will always be the right of the public to know who is receiving how much money and from whom. Without that information, there is no assurance that a candidate has not been bought by corporate or other special interest who will influence their ability to represent the best interest of the public and not of the corporate and monied class.

If this law is weakened, this country will be weekend and the current leadership in the White House, who is obviously psychotic, and clearly on the road to being a megalomania will be even more dangerous for you and me.

The only thing we have left at this moment is the ability to vote him out of office since we know that he has stacked the judiciary from his last administration to the present and purged and fired people who were in positions were not at the pleasure of the president.

This is a critical rule and we do expect to see that you will protect it and all other rules that give the public access to the information they need to make decisions at the ballot box.

Emily Brandt

Comments provided by :
Brandt, Emily

Sunshine--transparency about who is donating to political campaigns--is essential to maintaining a strong democracy. Allowing donors to hide their identities for fear of reprisal will only undermine it. There is already a system in place to protect people who have a rational fear of harassment for their donations, and the ability to prove it, a system that has worked well for many years. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, and keep our election system transparent.

Comments provided by :
Braun, Lois

Please, please, do not redact donor information on election contributions. Our country is quickly being taken over by oligarchs who can buy their way out of (and into) everything. Safeguards of transparency are going away almost daily. Please do not let this be one of them. Save our Democracy!!!

Comments provided by :
Brazil, Larry

reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Broad, Yolanda

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Brown, Dennis

I urge you to uphold our strong political spending disclosure rules and reject Proposal REG 2024-06, which threatens to weaken transparency without clear justification.

Transparency is essential—voters have a right to know who is funding our elections and influencing our representatives. This principle has long been supported across party lines. The current system already allows exemptions for donors who can demonstrate a legitimate risk of harassment, ensuring both accountability and protection where necessary.

There is no widespread call for change from donors, and exemptions should remain rare. Weakening these rules would only reduce public trust in our political process.

Please stand for transparency and reject this proposal.

Comments provided by :
Brown, Harvey

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Brown, Mark

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Bruyn, Nelleke

The FEC should not change the current rules and regulations with regard to election campaign donations. Transparency is crucial to monitor possible influence by donors. There is a mechanism to exempt information about a donor if a reason exists that indicates the potential for harm to the donor if his/her donation is public. This has not been used often. It has been adequate for such donor concerns for decades. There is no need to change it.

Even I need to identify myself to submit a comment about this matter. Donations and donors to election campaigns need to remain transparent. This proposal to hide donor information from the public on demand of the donor only serves to hide information from voters with no compelling reason to change the current way disclosure of campaign donations is done. What evidence exists that donors are at substantial risk for making them and their donations public? None, I bet. Maybe a few unverified anecdotes exist, but that is no reason to adopt the changes proposed.

This is a solution looking for a problem. This solution is designed to address the "problem" of some donors being known by the public. That is not a problem with the process but a problem for wealthy donors to keep voters in the dark. This proposal serves only to degrade the openness necessary for free and fair elections. The proposed change(s) should be denied completely. Thank you for your interest in comments from me and the public.

Comments provided by :
Bucell, Michael

Hello. I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Comments provided by :
Budd, Joan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Burnham, David

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Burns, Cecilia

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Busby , Chris

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Busch, Doug

I am concerned about the proposal to weaken some key campaign finance rules. Americans have the right to know who is giving how much to political candidates and committees. This change would make it much easier for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading disclosure requirements.

I do not see the current system as troubled, and I urge you to retain current campaign finance rules.

With respect,

Jean Butcher

Comments provided by :
Butcher, Jean

The current system grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they'll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well

The FEC should not expand the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight. Disclosure is important

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Butler. , Judy

This reads more like this is to protect people and companies from being shamed for making poorly thought out campaign endorsement or other political endorsements or support. Why would this be necessary? If the government is afraid of violence, wouldn't they focus more on other protective moves instead of restricting information from the American people? If endorsements are not held in the public court of opinion, it removes the right of the people to refrain from endorsing that company or individual for those actions

I don't want to support a company or person that does not support my rights. Anti American to revoke my rights to this information.

Comments provided by :
Cabral, Nikole

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Carlson, Janice

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they do get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Carnegie, Sheila

I am writing to say that Reg. 2024-06 is not necessary as we have good disclosure rules in place regarding political money donations. In my opinion Citizens United was a serious step backward that led to billionaires buying influence in our government and unelected persons who are not accountable to the tax paying citizens making decisions without the founders' checks and balances. I do not want our system to proceed farther down this path.

Comments provided by :
Carrick, Valerie

I urge the Federal Election Commission to maintain its current strong political spending disclosure rules to provide American voters with crucial information about which persons and entities are donating how much money to political campaigns. Reducing that kind and quality of information would reduce transparency—and in the post-Citizens United election world, transparency is all that voters have to evaluate the interests that might be making efforts to influence candidates and in which direction. A donor who believes that s/he/it might be subject to harassment as a result of the publication of the information may protect him/her/themselves by asking for an exemption. The current rules work well, which raises the questions ‘Who wants this change’ and ‘Why?’ In Latin words of wisdom, cui bono (who benefits). It would not be the American voter and therefore I believe that it is the FEC’s solemn responsibility and obligation to reject this proposed change.

Comments provided by :
Carroll, Linda

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Wayne Carson

Comments provided by :
Carson, Wayne

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Carsten, Jim

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. IF ANYTHING WE NEED MORE TRANSPARENCY, NOT LESS!

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Carver, Cynthia

I support strong financial disclosure rules and regulations that ensure transparency for donor amounts and identifying who is donating. Unfortunately, the FEC is considering loosening standards to would allow donors to evade this basic act of disclosure. There already exists a mechanism to grant exceptions to disclosure IF the donor can legitimately prove he/she is likely to experience extraordinary harassment as a result of his/her disclosure. Loosening the exemption process would make it too easy for folks to evade the disclosure requirements. There needs to be strict rules and regulations around elections to ensure adherence and transparency. The billions of dollars that are spent during elections need to be accounted for.

Comments provided by :
Cash-Rolland, Jackie

I write to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Cassidy, T.

Please do not change current FEC regulations concerning transparency of campaign donations. The system is working well, and the proposed change allows donors to easily hide their identities. We need more transparency in election donations, not less.

Comments provided by :
Cavanaugh, John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Chakmakian, Susan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important to me—people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Chamness, John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Charlebois, Stacie

I understand that you are currently considering broadening the provision for concealing the name and address of contributors who fear attack if their donation is publicly known.

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit, by making it easy for contributors to hide their information. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. As I understand it, the proposal would allow contributors to conceal their information upon a mere assertion of danger, without any proof being required.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Chase Jr, Theodore

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Christian, Bryan

We should all know who a candidate is taking money from. It's imperative for making informed decisions. Do not loosen the rules regarding this. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Christiansen, Eric

Please maintain our current political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now. This new proposal isn't in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, so Americans can remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Chryst, Joan

I want you to leave the way political contributions are treated alone. It's unwise and unfair to change the system that's been working well for decades. American citizens have the right to know how much money is being contributed to specific politicians and races. If you don't keep the transparency and allow dollar amounts to be known, some candidates will get away with having an unfair advantage over other candidates and buy elections! This is still a democracy, not an oligarchy! I and many others wish it to remain that way! We do NOT want to become a country of,by,and for the richest citizens. We wish to remain a country of,by,and FOR THE PEOPLE!

Comments provided by :
Cicero , Frances

Please maintain our existing, strong political spending disclosure rules.

I worry that the proposal currently being considered would weaken transparency rules for no benefit to the American system of governance. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Clapp, Jonathan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Comments provided by :
Clouthier, Debbie

Hello,

I'm encouraging the FEC to keep the current rules for campaign finance or to make them stronger.

I'm worried that the proposed rules would impair transparency with no benefit; transparency is crucial in a democracy. People need to know who is contributing major dollars to campaigns. Under the proposed rule changes, a donor could claim harassment with no basis or checking. The current system for exemption works well.

Please reject Reg 2024-06 which would weaken the rules. Dark money has played a toxic role in our political system.

Comments provided by :

Coffey, Mary

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Cohick, Melanie

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Cole, Glenda

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. We need to make it more difficult for the oligarchs to control politicians not easier.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Colkitt , Heidi

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
COLLINS, CAROL

I am writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Conelley, B.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Cooney, Deborah

I'm urging you to uphold our strong political spending disclosure rules and reject REG 2024-06.

The proposal under consideration would weaken transparency with no real benefit. Americans deserve to know who is funding our elections and influencing our politicians—this isn't a partisan issue; it's a fundamental principle of democracy that the public has long supported. Current rules already allow exemptions in rare, legitimate cases where donors can prove a real threat of harassment.

This system works. There's no wave of donor complaints driving this change, and weakening disclosure only serves to keep voters in the dark. Exemptions should remain rare, and transparency should remain the standard.

Please stand for accountability and reject REG 2024-06.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Corcoran, JC

I am asking you to reject the proposal REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

People who have enough money to be affected by this change have enough money to not need this change.

Comments provided by :
Corwin, Steve

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Crenshaw, Shirley

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Quite simply, the MORE someone donates the MORE I NEED to know about it!

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Cruze, Ethan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Cuccaro, Catherine

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Currie, Cris

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Curry, Fred

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Darvill-Coate, Kari

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Davenport, June

REJECT completely the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

The American Public needs and wants more TRANSPARENCY to PROTECT DEMIOCRACY from corrupt donors and politicians -- of whom there are an increasing number.

The American Public doesn't want vote rigging, gerrymandering, dark money, Citizens United etc. etc. The FEC needs to be a FIREWALL against non-disclosure by large donors.

People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
Davidson, Beth

Please REJECT the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules!

I am a senior citizen age 89 and have seen "Dark Money" in politics grow exponentially! Transparency for the American People is important.

This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
Davidson, Jon

We should get rid of Citizens United and move towards a system that is closer to what Canada uses where there is a limited amount of funds that are given to all candidates equally and that everyone receives the same amount, nothing astronomical (a couple of hundred thousand MAX!(Unlike the millions that are spent on our elections.) We as citizens , should not have to compete with big business for a say in the political process. AT the same time ,no politician should go into office making 6 figures for their service and walk out a multi millionaire! This shows a real conflict of interest.(Are they working for the constituency or the people throwing the most money? This includes lobbyist!) Also ,these policies need to be ENFORCED. There are clear CONFLICTS OF INTEREST which NO ONE is doing anything about!!!!!!! THERE ARE WAAAAAY TOO MANY THAT ARE QUITE OBVIOUS! FEEL FREE TO CONTACT ME IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT MY PERSPECTIVE ON THINGS.

Comments provided by :
Davis, Alex

The more money and influence you have and are playing with, the more responsibility you have to the people you are affecting. Only a coward would put their money behind an issue or a candidate and then want their name hidden from that support. (Unless they were afraid of the government retaliating, which didn't used to happen. So, thanks a lot for that.) Like the bible said, the desire for money leads to all kinds of evil. Please keep a light on the money, if we can't get rid of the money from the system. The founders would be so mad knowing a foreign billionaire was essentially in charge of funding decisions. If you can put your money where your mouth is, you should be able to put your name where your money is. Doing otherwise is shameful. If they're not ashamed of supporting something, why would they want to hide their involvement? If the things they are supporting affect people, those people should get to know who made it happen.

Comments provided by :
de Pagter-Allison, Dirk

Please do not weaken disclosure rules. Transparency is essential.

Comments provided by :
de Yong, Ron

We need more transparency, not less, in our campaign finance laws.

Comments provided by :
DeCelle, Sharon

Dear FEC Decision Makers,

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Money already has too much influence in our elections.

I'm deeply worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is paying/funding/influencing our elections and politicians.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

The American people don't want oligarchs, big business and the 1% hiding behind weak campaign finance rules.

Sincerely,

Comments provided by :
DeGooyer, Stacey

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

This proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

I am a life long USA citizen and request your immediate attention.

Comments provided by :
Dello Buono, Carmen Joseph

A democracy survives when all political parties are open about their supporters. By not being transparent, the fear is that groups and individuals are buying elections for personal gain. It is important that ALL contributors are reported for public view.

Comments provided by :
Demchenko, Vera

Current FEC rules have worked well for decades. I think the question is not if rules should be loosened, but why and who is behind this desire for change? Frankly, exemptions can be granted under current rules. Making it a bit of a challenge helps weed out those who want to skirt the rules easily. I'm a week short of 72 and have voted in every election at federal and state levels since I became eligible to vote. While not perfect, our election processes work and current regulations are part of that.

Comments provided by :
Dennis, Amy

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Desens, Krista

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one under existing rules.

This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Any serious proposals should strengthen disclosure transparency, vs weaken existing rules.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your attention to this serious matter that affects all Americans and prioritizes the most effective, transparent, responsive government possible.

Comments provided by :
DiBenedetto, Carol

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Diehl, Patrick

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Benjamin Dierauf

Comments provided by :
Dierauf, Benjamin

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
DiFrancesco, Joseph

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you!

Comments provided by :
Dobson, Bruce

Who is the author of this request to lessen the requirements for nondisclosure of donor information? I bet I know: a committee of wealthy individuals who want to evade disclosure because such disclosure would prove what many people already know - wealthy individuals/corporations/etc. want to buy influence over governmental decision-making. You can bet this decision-making will always favor the rich, not the middle or lower classes. This nondisclosure is totally unfair. The individuals/corporations in question are not afraid of reprisals, they want unfettered influence over elected individuals; they want their way; they want power; they want immediate access - and they know money will buy what they want. Citizens/voters have a right to know who has the ear of - the influence over - our elected officials for they're OUR elected representatives also. Please do not weaken the current rules. Money already buys too much influence, meaning too few people have too much power now. The majority of the public is losing its representation as the wealthy gain too much of it.

Comments provided by :
Donaldson, Susan

I urge you to reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I am concerned that the proposal you are considering would weaken transparency rules with no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
Dorsey, Ann

Please do not implement any measures to weaken Contributor disclosure rules.
Transparency in campaign finance is crucial and must be strengthened.

Comments provided by :
Dray, Joseph

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Driskill, Anna

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Dunietz, Heidi

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Dunn, Brian

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Edelstein, Susan

Hello!

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Eisele, Connie

Dear FEC,

Kindly please do Not make any changes that would weaken your rules pertaining to requirements and justification for getting an exemption on disclosure of donor identity. American citizens deserve and need to know where campaign money comes from.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Elder, Valerie

Dear FEC,

Please maintain our current political spending disclosure rules.

REG 2024-6 will weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

Transparency is important.

The system works well now.

Please reject the proposal.

Thank you,

Mark Elfield

Comments provided by :
Elfield, Mark

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Eliassen, A. Henry

Good day,

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules!

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Further, transparency is very important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

I strongly urge you to reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Elkins, Cheryl

Hello. I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. I am worried that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one. The system works well now and this new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints - exemptions should remain rare and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Ellens, Harma

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Donation transparency is important for a healthy democracy.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Ellsworth , Linda

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Emlet PAc, Mark

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Epstein, Colin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Erickson, Chris

Hello,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

The American people, regardless of political party, strongly support transparency in campaign donations.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Eriksen, Melissa

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

The spending disclosure system should not be weakened. We need to make it stronger. All political contributions to political campaigns should be completely transparent as to who made the contribution, including all contributions made to PACs. The American people need to know who is attempting to influence our political system with contributions especially the millionaires and billionaires who hide behind PACs. This change must have been instigated by the Trump-Musk regime and the Republican party to deny the American people the right to know who is influencing their elections.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Espe, John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Essex, Jonathan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Evans, Jeffrey

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Federman, Ellen

Please reject any changes to the current rules which would reduce transparency when donors (individuals) donate large sums of money to influence politicians. We need to know who is spending how much. Everything matters.

Comments provided by :
Feitler, M

Hello! I'm an educator and biologist in North Carolina, writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Such transparency will help us maintain a democracy, and will help people hold others accountable. Without it, dealings will be in shadow, inviting immoral and even criminal activities--conflicts of interest, bribes, etc.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Feldman, Tracy

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

With all the changes taking place without consent of the people of this nation, let us please leave strict and transparent political spending disclosure rules as they are now.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ferguson, David

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Fernandez, Della

"Hello. Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Feryok, J. Allen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Fiedler, Brandan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules, or even strengthen them!

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Field, David

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Fifer, Gaye

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules and REJECT proposal, REG 2024-06.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important: people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians; a bipartisan position the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. But a lot of the American public wants to know how donors are trying to use political influence. And democracy requires it. The system works well AS-IS now and exemptions should remain rare. Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Again, please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Fitzgerald, Jim

Good evening. I'm writing you to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposed changes you are considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. People deserve transparency.

Transparency is very important. We, the people deserve to know who is influencing our elections.

The system works. Please reject the proposal REG2024-06 that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :

Flicek , Esther

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Foner, Carl

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Michelle

Comments provided by :
Force, Michelle

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain and strengthen our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Weakening transparency rules weakens the people's trust. People need to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a belief that American's agree upon.

If for some reason a donor needs an exemption and are able to prove it, they may get one.

If anything we need more transparency - not less!

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Forline, Katharine

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Francis, Philip

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Fraser, Robert

All political contributions must be made only by individuals and they must disclose their name and a physical address.

Comments provided by :
Freeman, Beth Jane

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Friedman, Esther

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Friedman, Leanne

It's bad enough that the current criminals are ruining the government. I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Frounfelter, Earl

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the misguided and dangerous proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Frye, Mary Ellen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Gallinger, Rob

We can not weaken the FEC rules on Campaign Finance. If anything, the rules need to updated and END CITIZENS UNITED!!!!

Comments provided by :
Gardner, Cari

Please do not unfund the Federal Election Commission. All donors including corporations must disclose who they are. Any foreign donors must not be allowed to contribute to political campaigns for any U.S. offices..

Comments provided by :
Gardner, Roger

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Garner, Lauren

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Gaskill, Eric

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."
Howard Gelman

Comments provided by :
Gelman, Howard

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Gerkin, David

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Jody Gibson

Comments provided by :

Gibson, Jody

Hello, I am writing to you to express my opposition to this new rule. I feel strongly that if you want to donate to a particular candidate, no matter who you are or how much you donate, you should disclose who you are under any and all circumstances. A coward should not get some special exemption. With rampant corruption in politics these days, let us not add more ways for shady people to not take responsibility for their choices.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Comments provided by :
Giese, Gayle

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Giesy, Daniel

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Gioumouisis, Elizabeth

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Glover, Tim

I am writing to urge that contributor transparency rules remain in full force and effect. Who contributes to elections is essential to help voters make informed decisions. Any modification is a solution searching for a problem. If a contributor has a real, supportable claim of fear for untoward retaliation for being a contributor, the regulations already make accommodation.

Keep the current regulations in place.

Comments provided by :
Goldin, Marrha

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Goldman, Irene

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Sincerely,

Maria Gomez Murphy
Arizona

Comments provided by :
Gomez Murphy, Maria

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Grabill, Aurora

I am writing about the proposal that the Federal Election Commission (FEC) is currently considering that would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

In particular, the FEC “will not undertake an independent investigation to verify” these requests. Instead, it will trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they’ll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now the FEC is proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight.

The problem with this proposal is that it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements. Currently, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees, and this should not be changed. Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Grande, Paula

I am worried that the change being considered would weaken transparency rules without any clear benefit. We need to know who is influencing our elections and our politicians. Currently, if a donor needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now--exemptions should be rare.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Grant, Dale

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. The proposal under consideration would weaken transparency protections for no clear benefit. Americans should remain informed about who is donating what amounts to which candidates. I strongly urge you to reject the proposal REG 2024-06, which would weaken spending disclosure rules. Thank you for your attention to this vital issue for the future of election integrity.

Comments provided by :
Gray, George

Concerning REG 2024-06:

PLEASE maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Even better - strengthen them.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Gregory, Rick

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Greif, Jeffrey

I do not agree with the proposal to allow large donors to be anonymous. This information is crucial to decision making when determining who or what to vote for. Thank for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Griswold, Wallace

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Grossman, Janet

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Gruber, Lee

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules

Comments provided by :
Guggemos, Cynthia

Please REJECT any changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. We need MORE oversight. We need more transparency not less. There is already too much dark money in politics.

THank you,
Madelaine Haberman

Comments provided by :
Haberman, Madelaine

I'm writing to encourage the FEC to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal the FEC is currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hahn, Todd

The current spending disclosure rules under the FEC support transparency that we can all understand and follow. Please continue to maintain these rules to ensure we know who is spending to influence our elections, with limited exemptions under the current system.

I am very worried about the state of our democracy that is clearly trending toward oligarchy with unelected billionaires making decisions that harm most Americans while enriching themselves.

Anything that can help us understand the background and motivations behind the cash flow is essential to holding onto our democracy. Please reject this new proposal that would reduce transparency.

Comments provided by :
Hale, Laura

Please DO NOT make it easier for large political contributors to make their contributions anonymously!

Comments provided by :
Hamilton, William

I strongly urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am very worried that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal is Not coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

I strongly urge you to Reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Comments provided by :
Hanson, Art

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Hanson, Jean

Do not modify election rules. We need transparency! Abolish Citizens United.

Comments provided by :
Harman, Susan

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Harpole, Thane

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politician!

Comments provided by :
Harris, Shirlene

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Harrison , Nicholas

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hart, Burtin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Harter, Jana

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Heathfield , MaryAnn

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hebley, Sandi

I urge you to not make changes to the rules that would reduce transparency when large donors make contributions. This proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

Comments provided by :
Heesch, Karen

There is every reason to keep information about money flowing into election campaigns as visible as possible. Exemptions from disclosure have been sufficiently rare that there is no need to change the existing system.

Comments provided by :
Heller, David

The FEC must do its oversight job to protect strong disclosure rules to stop the corrupting influence of money in politics.

Comments provided by :
hemingway, nancy

I want to strongly encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Henry, Amy

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Hermanson , Johanna

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Higgins, Alfred

It is important for the public to know who is contributing to which candidates, and how much they are contributing. We need this information in order to be able to judge the performances of our elected representatives. Do not modify the current rules on contributor information.

Comments provided by :

Ho, Marjorie

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hollis , Robert

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency is important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

I'm worried that the proposal you're considering now would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Holmes , Katherine

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Holy, Jessica

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am worried that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and attention to my input on this matter

Comments provided by :
Horvitz, Richard A

Hello!

You MUST maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

THE PROPOSAL that you're currently considering WOULD WEAKEN transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please REJECT the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Hoyt, Susan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Huddleston, Laura

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules. Transparency is best for democracy.

I believe that the proposal you're currently considering would terribly weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. The proposal will inevitably lead to widespread claims that won't be verified and destroy transparency.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Hult, Philip

Transparency is extremely important in campaign finance disclosure rules!!

Comments provided by :
Humbert, Lisa

The agency to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Humphries, Peter

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

My concern is that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you,

Catherine Hunt

Comments provided by :
Hunt, Catherine

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Hunter, Kylara

We lost our way with the passage of Citizens United. We can't afford to lose any more transparency, and at this point in time, it's important to know who is buying their way into our elections. Those of us who make small contributions aren't afraid to let that be known.

Free and fair elections, for an informed citizenry is what we've been taught is the American way. Let's try to make them so.

Comments provided by :
Huntington, Jane

Reject changes in the disclosure rules. We need to continue to have donor identification transparency. Outside influences could have a negative effect on our elected officials and our country.

Comments provided by :
Hurd , Kathryn

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Hutchinson, Melissa

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Hwang, Cindy

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

R.Innis

Comments provided by :

Innis, Ralph

I'm writing to encourage you to retain our current strong rules relating to identifying donors of political contributions.

Comments provided by :
Isley, Stan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Jackson, Jane

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Jackson, Mary Elizabeth

I encourage you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules.

There is no public benefit to weakening transparency rules. We the people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now. Voters have a right to remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Jackson, Paula

The disastrous Citizens United decision has made our legislative offices for sale to the highest bidder. To further weaken whatever safeguards remain will help destroy what is left of our democratic system.

Comments provided by :
Jacobs, Eric

Large contributions are distorting the election process and giving significant advantage to candidates that receive such contributions from either individuals or corporations.

Because elections are extremely expensive, candidates who receive large donations have a strong advantage when it comes to winning elections. It is also the case that large donations may influence the way a candidate who gets elected votes. Because of the role that large donations play in elections and potentially in the decisions that elected officials make, it is imperative that voters know who made those donations. Knowing the source of donations helps voters understand what interests a candidate may be influenced by if elected. That's why transparency with regard to donations is fundamental to a fair election system. As a voter, I am opposed to any voting regulation that would reduce or deny information about who donated to a candidate and the size of that donation

Thank-you for this opportunity to comment

Comments provided by :
Jacques, Karen

as I understand it there exists protocol to protect political donors from harassment through selective redaction of their personal information. If a problem exists that needs regulatory correction it is to eliminate the use of large amounts of undisclosed or under disclosed money in political funding. Americans have the right, and democracy has the need, to know who influences their government.
Thank you

Comments provided by :
James, David

There are so many ways for someone to contribute to political campaigns without disclosing one's identity that, for all practical purposes, it's optional.

It follows that there is no need to redact contributor information under current law.

If someone is legitimately concerned about disclosure on grounds of potential political or other reprisal, all that is necessary is to contribute through a PAC.

If that's not good enough, they can skip making the contribution.

Comments provided by :
Jansen, Robert

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Jarboe, JoLynn

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. In fact, if anything, make them stricter. Eliminate corporate and "dark money".

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

Comments provided by :
Jerome, Maynard

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Johnson, Deanna

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Johnston, Philip

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Jones, Andrew

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to strengthen our current political spending disclosure rules rather than weaken them as this proposal would.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Our political system is already seriously broken with the atrocious Citizens United ruling by the Supreme Court allowing almost unlimited "dark money" to flow into spending in support of or opposition to political races. Allowing rich donors to hide their direct contributions to political campaigns would affirm that US politicians really are for sale to the highest bidders, only now we wouldn't even know who they are.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Jones, Kenneth

Money in politics is destroying our democracy. Elections have become a multi-billion-dollar industry. Citizens United should never have happened. We need our elections to be decided on the issues, not on which side spends the most money. Those of us who have very little are constantly being pressured to make political donations to help counteract those who have millions or billions with which to impact our elections. This system must change. Citizens United must be revoked and huge money removed from our elections

Comments provided by :
Jordan, Michelle

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Comments provided by :
Jorgensen, Walter

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. We need these rules to protect all American citizens.

I'm extremely worried that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important to all Americans and we as citizens of this great country deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that we as Americans have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, that get one. The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to complaints as exemptions have been rare and should remain rare. Therefore Americans should remain informed about who is donating and how much to which politicians they are donating to.

Please reject the proposal, RG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. These rules are needed and I am asking that they continue for the protection of all of us here in America. Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Joy, Veda

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Justus-Rusconi , Valerie

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Kafka, Mo

The current law works just fine. Don't change it!

Comments provided by :
Kaplan , Ira

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Don Kauffold

Comments provided by :
Kauffold, Don

I am writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The current system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and it is important that Americans remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Keeling, Charles

Hello!

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Keenan, Marjory

To Whom It May Concern:

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no reason. Transparency is critical for a democracy to be a true one.

Sincerely,
Catherine Keim

Comments provided by :
Keim, Catherine

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove the need, they can get one.

The current system works well, and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Keiser, John

Greetings! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Kelech, Susan

I am writing to encourage you to maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I believe the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, an exemption will be granted.

The system works well. This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. The perception that "dark money" mega-donors are buying election outcomes is undermining faith in our electoral process. Concealing these facts will only exacerbate this problem

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Kemp, Thomas

Please do not weaken the campaign contribution transparency regulations to make it easier for influencers with large contributions to hide. We voters want to know who is contributing to our politicians.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :

Kennedy, Sharon

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Kerr, Betsy

As a very concerned American citizen and taxpayer, I strongly encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

I very strongly urge you to reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Khalsa, Mha Atma S

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Kim, Earl

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Kimber, Greg

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Kistler, Andrew

I'm writing to STRONGLY encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm very concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. And seems is a bedrock of truly free and fair elections for a strong democracy. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. Legitimate proof of harassment is essential.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Sincerely

Comments provided by :
Kitman, Lorraine

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Klausing, Michael

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Klein, Lucas

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency. The American people should know who is trying to influence politicians with large donations as Elon Musk did in the last election. The system works fine as it is and does not require changing. Anyone can get an exception if it is needed and they provide proof of harassment. The proposed change is a step backwards. Please reject REG 2024-06.

Comments provided by :
Klemetsen, Susan

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Our electoral system is already deeply mired in corruption as is, thanks largely to Citizens United, and desperately requires stricter rules, regulations, and disclosure requirements - this proposal is aiming to accomplish the exact opposite.

American citizens have a right to crystal-clear transparency when it comes to campaign finance and political spending in general, instead of the murky swamp that so many seem disturbingly intent on making even more opaque.

The mere fact that such a proposal is even being given serious consideration demonstrates that the FEC itself apparently no longer takes its duties to the people, those it exists to serve, seriously, in favor of acting in the benefit of the select few.

If you have any honor, or the slightest respect for the position you occupy, you know well enough that there is no legitimate reason to hesitate even in the slightest in rejecting this proposal. We, the people, can only pray that is the case.

Comments provided by :
Kloeppinger-Todd, Christopher

REG 2024-06 is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements. In a free country, there is no good reason for evading disclosure requirements.

Comments provided by :
Knowles, Sheryl

I urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that this proposal would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Transparency is very important. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Kojm, Sheila

The current political spending disclosure rules should not be changed.

It is very important for the public to continue to know who is influencing our elections and politicians to help identify payments for favors and avoid political corruption.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Koppenheffer, Ellen

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Kotch, Brant

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians.

Comments provided by :
Kramer, Peggy

I believe transparency is the basis of democracy. Please keep the transparency laws for donations to politicians robust. Until Citizens United is overturned Americans deserve to know who is behind the money. There is a reason "Follow the money." became a catchphrase.

Comments provided by :
Krell, June

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Kuech , Janet

I am strongly opposed to any and all proposed changes. If anything we need more stringent and tough guidelines when it comes to the rich and their influence on our political system and the politicians.

CITIZENS UNITED NEEDS TO BE STRUCK DOWN AND THROWN INTO THE TRASH. THE Supreme Court as we currently know it is deeply flawed and corrupted.

The U.S. is at a very dangerous stage in its history with Trump and his corrupt criminal administration and this Musk ; and unelected arrogant son of a bitch!

THE TRUMP CRIME FAMILY NEEDS TO BE JAILED.

Comments provided by :
Kuehler, Thomas

Right now, campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees. That transparency is important because large donations can sway politicians – so we need to know who the biggest donors are.

However, the proposal the FEC is currently considering would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals. Notably, the FEC “will not undertake an independent investigation to verify” these requests. Instead, it will trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they’ll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now the FEC is proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight. I prefer to either keep the rules the way they have been or to tighten the rules so that nobody can donate more than a minimal amount of money for their campaign, with a limit of less than 100 dollars a person, lobbyist or group or corporation.

Comments provided by :
Kurland, Miriam And Mike

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Kurz, Robert

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lake , Randall

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politics

Comments provided by :
Lamb, Patrick

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lamy, Jennifer

I'm writing to encourage maintaining our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – The People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Leggett, Daniel

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Leibowitz, Susan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Lemoine, Kathryn

To Whom This May Concern:

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Larry

Comments provided by :
Leon, Larry

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Levin, Bruce

I urge you to reject any changes that would reduce transparency about who is contributing money to politicians and issues. There is already much too much money, influencing political decisions in our country. At the very least, we need to know where this money is coming from and who is paying to influence our government.

Comments provided by :
Lieberman, Yehudit

This proposal is a bad joke. We've just watched as the world's richest men and women enacted their program of STATE CAPTURE and what do we need? LESS SCRUTINY? How dare poor people imagine that we live in a democracy as we all watch our long-loved experiment in self-rule goes up in flames? Do all rich fascists think they will escape to Mars???

Money is ALWAYS about morality, and this proposal is utterly bankrupt.
Repeal Citizens United to earn back our trust, however shattered it now is.

Thank you for your attention.

Now please give a bit of it to the real problems we all face to ensure free and fair elections for rich and poor alike.

Our lives, not just our democracy, depends on it.

Comments provided by :
Locke, Elizabeth

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.
Don London

Comments provided by :
London, Don

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you

Comments provided by :
Lorenson, Ray

To Whom It May Concern,

I'm writing to ask you to please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules!!

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is VERY important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Geness Lorien

Comments provided by :
Lorien, Geness

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Loudis, Catherine

I can't imagine why any voter wouldn't want to know where the political money comes from.

I certainly CAN imagine why someone doesn't want us to know that, and that's something they should be ashamed of.

So, transparency must be maintained for the sake of fairness and respect for citizens.

Modifying and redacting contributor information amounts to so much sneaking around; I can't endorse it, and I hope FEC will not advance it.

Comments provided by :
Lowenthal, Sreven

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lowney, Kathleen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Lunsford, Jimmie

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Luongo, Stephen

I'm writing to demand you maintain OR STRENGTHEN our current political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is crucial to maintaining free and fair elections – people have a right to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one (though frankly the requirements to receive such an exemption ought to be stricter).

This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Lusty, Jonathan

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
MacGregor, Susie

Hello, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Mackaman, Margaret

It is my opinion, and the opinion of many US citizens, that we currently have too much money in our elections and too little transparency. If the FEC wishes to make our elections fair and free and available to all our citizens (as they should be), it is time to allow less money into the campaign coffers and make it easier to find out who is contributing to who, how much is being contributed, and work to end Super PAC's.

As things stand now, we continue down the path of destruction of our system and a sure and certain path to rule by oligarchs. This is not what I want for our children and grandchildren. Is this what the members of the FEC want for our country?

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Mackie, Rev. Sandra

Greetings,

I'm communicating to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm extremely concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

Transparency is very important to me, as your constituent – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

At this juncture, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Please let me know how you're voting!

Thank you very much.

Comments provided by :
Marcus, Jack David

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Laurence Margolis

Comments provided by :
Margolis, Laurence

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Cynthia Marrs

Comments provided by :
Marrs, Cynthia

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. We need more transparency, not less transparency.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Marston, Laurie

You must reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. If you do not you're allowing the buying of politicians. The best example is what is going on right now, there is a South African running and ruining the country. Dismantling programs that are effective and necessary to the people of this country!!

Comments provided by :
Martinez, Daniela

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain and strengthen our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Henry Martinez

Comments provided by :
Martinez, Henry

Please don't make it even easier for people and corporations to buy our government!

Comments provided by :
Martinez, Patricia

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.
Respectfully,
Geraldine Maslanka

Comments provided by :
Maslanka, Geraldine

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important and people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians -- this is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare -- and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Mason, Martin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Mastryukov, Paulina

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Allowing large donors to dominate our elections is ruining government.

Comments provided by :
Matheny, Albert R.

I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is essential. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians -- a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to fear of retaliation, and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Mausner, Pamela

Greetings:

I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current disclosure rules on political spending. We need to keep these strong rules; people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. I think that the proposal you're considering would weaken transparency rules without any clear benefit. Transparency has historically been agreed upon by all parties.

Currently, if a donor definitely needs an exemption and can prove it, they get one. This system has been serving us well. The new proposal is not coming in response to donors' complaints; exemptions need to remain rare, and we should keep informed about the amount and source of donations to our politicians.

Please reject proposal REG 2024-06 as it would weaken our political spending disclosure rules.

Respectfully,
GElizabete Mayhew

Comments provided by :
Mayhew, GElizabete

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Respectfully,

Comments provided by :
McCarty, Erin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
McClure , Norma

Please do not make changes in the rules governing identifying those responsible for making large contributions to political campaigns. Thank you .

Comments provided by :
McDougall, Alec and Sandy

I am in favor of keeping the donation process to candidates as transparent as possible. Because of this I oppose the proposed REG 2024-06.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
McDowell, Hugh

Dear FEC,

I want complete, no excuses transparency, for campaign finance disclosure. Nothing less will do. REJECT ALL non-disclosure loopholes. NO expanding the exemption process.

Larry McFall

Comments provided by :
McFall, Larry

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
McGovern, Judith

Hello! I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Brian McKee

Comments provided by :
McKee, Brian

I urge you not to expand the exemptions for non disclosure of donors. The current amount of scrutiny of exemptions to disclosure are working well and shouldn't be expanded.

Comments provided by :
McKee, John

I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you are now considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now as is. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
McKey, Monica

As a concerned voter I always check who or what organizations are funding candidates and propositions. Unidentified contributions and "dark money" corrupts our political system. Please do not weaken current regulations. Actually, I urge you to strengthen disclosure regulations.

Comments provided by :
McLaughlin, Joe

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Merkel, Karynn

I believe that campaign finance rules should be as strong as possible. Current campaign finance disclosure rules let the American people know who is giving how much to which political candidates and committees. However, the proposal the FEC is currently considering would allow donors to evade this basic level of disclosure by claiming they need exemption from the rules out of fear of retaliation or reprisals.

Notably, the FEC “will not undertake an independent investigation to verify” these requests. Instead, it will trust the individual requestor to provide accurate information for the exemption.

The current system already grants rare exemptions if donors can legitimately prove that they’ll likely face extraordinary harassment as a result of disclosure. This process for legitimate but rare exemptions has worked well for decades. But now the FEC is proposing expanding the exemption process to anybody and with little oversight.

Simply put, this proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

Comments provided by :
Meyers, Paul

Hello,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to Gondor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians

PLEASE REJECT the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Mikotowicz , James

I find it VERY disturbing that the FEC is considering a change in the rules that would make it easier for wealthy donors to hide their contributions and involvement in political campaigns. Our elections have become too much like auctions instead of elections and the new proposed rules would make the barely revealing donations more hidden. I urge the FEC to strongly resist these changes.

Comments provided by :
Milkowski, George

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely, Ron Mittan

Comments provided by :
Mittan, Ron

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Morrell, Diane

Maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Morrison, David

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Please stand fast for the people.

Thank you for your time." Thomas Morrow

Comments provided by :
Morrow, Thomas

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Moss-Racusin, Lauren

I encourage the Federal Election Commission to maintain its current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal currently being considered would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, it is my understanding that if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now; this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare. Americans should continue to remain informed about who is donating, how much is being donated, and which politicians are the recipients of the donations.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. The country is already divided and distrustful. Any weakening of the spending disclosure rules would only exacerbate an already fraught citizenry.

Comments provided by :
Murphy, Linda

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. We, as informed voters, need to know who gives how much to our decision makers. We need more transparency, not less.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
murphy, liz

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Myers, Linda

Transparency is key to democracy! Halt this undemocratic behaviour, for the sake of our country!

Comments provided by :
Myren, Margaret

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Navilliat, Saskia

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Nepomnyashchy, Victor

regarding changes to campaign finance rules -reject changes to disclosure rule which are about reducing transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians -please keep the transparency that voters want and need in order to see what donations are being made to candidates and by which donors; this transparency is very much needed since so much money is going into campaigns to influence politics.

There is already in effect an opportunity for privacy if need is proven.

As an American I want to remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. This suggested rule change is not because donors or voters are requesting it.

thank you
Meredith

Comments provided by :
Neria, Meredith

In order for our democracy to function effectively, we citizens need information on who is being influenced by contributions. There is no acceptable reason I shouldn't have this information readily accessible.

Comments provided by :
Nessim, Gary

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Netusil, Paul

Hello,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our political spending disclosure rules at their current strength.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they are able to get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal (REG 2024-06) which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my comment.

Comments provided by :
Newhard, Jay

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Newman, Barbara

Please reject the proposal REG 2024-06, which weakens political spending disclosure rules. Instead, maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Voters have the right to know who is influencing our elections and candidates. REG 2024-06 would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements. We witness the corrupting influence of oligarchs' money on elections and the candidates they effectively buy. As there is no control on the amount of money that can be poured into candidates' campaigns, it is all the more critical that voters know the source of the money.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. There is no justification for allowing more exemptions from disclosure.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and voters should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Comments provided by :
Ng, Du

Kindly do NOT weaken anything about elections in the United States. There are already too many actions either accomplished or pending that weaken the voices and choices of Americans. These need to either be overturned or not approved. We DO NOT NEED more incursions on our ability to vote.

Comments provided by :
Nicolson, Barbara

I recently learned you are proposing to weaken regulation concerning reporting requirements for campaign finance contributions.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Sincerely,

Mark Nielsen

Comments provided by :
Nielsen, Mark

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Nothwehr, Dawn M

Money in politics has corrupted the American system. Please don't let it get even worse.

Comments provided by :
Ogonowski, Leslie

Please do everything possible to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. We deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politician. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Ogurick, Arlene

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. If they must be changed, demand more transparency to help root out dark money donations that allow wealthy individuals avoid accountability.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Olcott, Lori

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Olson, Elizabeth

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
OMalley, Susan

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

This is common sense and very much needed these days! Please help our democracy.

I very much appreciate your help.

Sincerely,
Nancy Bond O'Neal, ARM

Comments provided by :
Oneal, Nancy

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
ONeil, Bob

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Orengo-McFarlane , Michelle

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Owczarz, Linda

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Pace, Rosemarie

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Palone, Edward

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Parry, David

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Patton, Lisa

Hello. I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important and people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians. This is a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints, exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Paul, Adam

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. It is critical that we maintain a truly representative government that does not allow huge contributions to change the rule of law.

Comments provided by :
Pearson, Anne

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal does not fix a real problem with the current rules since it would actually make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

The agency must reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :

Pence, K

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Penchoen, Gregory

Weakening the transparency rules is a bad idea. We already have some of the worst election laws in the democratic world. We need to strengthen the transparency rules, not weaken them.

Comments provided by :
Peniston, Gary

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Craig Pettibone
St. Louis, Missouri

Comments provided by :
PETTIBONE, CRAIG

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Pisano, Raymond

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Pitt, Kathryn

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Polish, Bret

Please reject the proposed changes to disclosure rules now under consideration. These would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence election outcomes. This is the last thing we need. Ever since the horrendous Supreme Court ruling on Citizens United this country has labored under the immense over-influence of big money on our elections. We do not need to make this problem even worse.

Comments provided by :
Polk, John

Hello! As a U.S. citizen who has been voting in elections for 58 years, I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Popkins, Edward

Dear Federal Election Commission Representatives,

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I am very concerned that the proposal you are currently considering would significantly weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Strong transparency rules are critical to our democratic election process – the U.S. voting public continues to deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they receive one.

Our present system continues to work well and this new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating, and how much they are donating, to politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Potthoff, Marilee

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Poxon, Judith

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Price, Michael

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Raithel, Jonathan

Stop the FEC from weakening rules about money in politics

Comments provided by :
Ramos, Nina

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

If anything, we need more transparency in our election financing, not less. And we certainly don't need a change, such as the one under consideration, that provides easy pathways for abuse.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ramsey, Herschel

I encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one. The system works better now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. Weakening disclosure will just make it easier for the billionaires' coup to destroy democracy and the rule of law. Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Your agency is already failing to protect the public from the corrosive effect of bought elections. Please do not make things even worse.

Comments provided by :
Rattman, Joseph

Hello! I'm writing to advocate against changing the campaign finance disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Ray, Lea

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Please reject REG 2024-06.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Redman, Margaret

Hello,

I would like to comment on the proposed rule to give certain people the ability to shield their identity when giving campaign contributions.

I believe there are too many wealthy individuals already putting too much money into political campaigns, in effect buying the politicians for their own use and gain, and silencing the voices of common people like myself. We should know not only who they are but how much money they are pouring into our elections. I also believe there should be limits on how much they are allowed to contribute, and those limits should be strictly enforced.

The US citizens should absolutely know who are buying our elections and our representation, and it is wrong that millions of dollars is pushing out and silencing regular citizens voices. For these people to be able to do this without us knowing who they are is very frightening to me. I really don't believe anyone who is donating money to any candidate or their campaign should remain a secret from the public, whether they donate \$1.00 or \$1 million, it should be a matter of public knowledge. It should be our right as citizens to have open access to this information. For any person to be able to shield this information would seem rather shady to me, the feeling would be what are they hiding from everyone else and why do they not want us know about it.

There doesn't seem to be any good reason to me why anyone should be able to be shielded from the public knowing who is contributing to which political campaigns and how much are they giving. It would give the appearance to these actions as bribery, not as wanting to fund the better candidates to serve our citizens and communities. Our representatives should not be appearing to be bought or sold to give anyone an advantage over the rest of us, or giving them special treatment or consideration the rest of us won't have. We should all be treated the same and expect that we are given fair representation, no matter how much we can afford to give to get people into office.

Thank you so much for listening and for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Redman, Melissa

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Renfro, Robert

Please reject changes to disclosure rules. Maintain maximum transparency for all donors of large sums of money donated to politicians. Offices in our government must not be for sale to the highest bidder, which is to say, the biggest donor.

Comments provided by :
Renshaw, Arlene

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Rice, Robert

To whom it may concern at the FEC:

Transparency and accountability are crucial to fair governance, and any action that weakens those essential qualities weakens our country.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I appreciate your time.

Comments provided by :
Rice, Susan

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. We deserve to know who is trying to manipulate our politics.

Comments provided by :
Rich, Robyn

I am writing to demand to maintain our strong disclosure rules concerning political contributions.

I am concerned that the proposal currently under consideration would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they can prove it, they get one. No additional ways to obtain an exemption are needed or warranted.

The system works well now and this new proposal is not in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Richman, Bruce

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Ringquist, Matt

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Rittenhouse, Jonathan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

It is critical in a democracy where elections are funded that citizens know who is funding candidates and political parties. Non-disclosed funding could invite abuse from corporations, individuals, criminals, foreign countries, even enemies, trying to buy government access and influence.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Roberson, William

I urge you to maintain current practices regarding donor disclosure rules. It is important to have as much transparency as possible.

Comments provided by :
Robertson, Don

Hi,

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules and reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now, and exemptions should remain rare. Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Laura (and Mark) Robichek

Comments provided by :
Robichek, Laura

I'm writing to encourage the Federal Election Commission to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is critical. We, the people, deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they are able to prove it, they get one. That need should be extremely rare. We should always know who is contributing to a campaign.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you,

Bonnie Robinson

Comments provided by :
Robinson, Bonnie

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. As you know, transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system currently works well. This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, as it would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Rogers, Dennis

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Romesburg, Denise

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm deeply concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Romo, Roberto

Please do not weaken regulations requiring political donors to disclose their identity and contributions. It is critical for the public to be informed about pressure major donors are using against our elected officials.

Comments provided by :
Rose, Susanne

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. We need to know where money in politics comes from. It can't be shrouded in mystery. That lets people lie and hide their intentions and influence, which undermines democracy.

There is no reason to weaken political spending disclosure rules and every reason to maintain them and support democracy.

Comments provided by :
Rosenberry Chase, Joy

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you,

Dr. Rosenthal

Comments provided by :
Rosenthal, Leah

This serves to request rejection of the proposal REG 2024-06 that would weaken political spending disclosures. Large sums of money donations lends itself to inequality in elections. Until this can be remedied via justifiable means, what is available in the interim is to reject changes to disclosure rules that reduce transparency when those large sums may influence politicians, and serve no clear benefit to the public. The political spending disclosure rules currently in existence are effective.

Please review this request carefully and in the name of democracy do reject proposal 2024-06.

Respectfully yours,
Mary Ross

Comments provided by :
Ross, Mary

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Rossman, Ann

"Hello! A crucial fundamental for our democracy is hanging by a thread, dependent on your actions. Please do not weaken the transparency rules. I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Rovedo, Nivo

Please stop 2024-6. Our government is out of control and we have to stop the dismantling of our constitution.

Comments provided by :
Ruday, LAURIE

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Rumiantseva, Elena

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Rushford, Robert

Please reject REG 2024 06.
Transparency is essential for democracy.
Please retain current guidelines.

Comments provided by :
Russ, Kirsten

The current U.S. campaign finance rules now allow anonymity in donations with a DOCUMENTED risk of harassment. Do not proceed with the proposal to weaken these rules.

For the sake of our democracy, we need to know WHO is influencing elections and politicians (as well as other political action). Allowing individuals to self-declare a problem with being known is to risk loss of democracy and control by wealthy donors.

Please reject the proposal REG 2024-06, as this would harm the balance of power between different parties by hiding who the influencers are.

Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Comments provided by :
Rutenber, Nancy

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Ryan, Bart

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Rys, Joseph

we need absolute and complete clarity on who is giving money to which candidates and causes. Currently, money has too much influence in our local, state, and federal government drowning out the voices of citizens.

We are a Government of the people, by the people, and for the people, and the people need to know which people, companies, PACs, etc are giving money and what those groups EXPECT for their contributions.

More transparency on political contributions and funds.

Comments provided by :
Saarinen, Tamara

There cannot be no more money in politics!! Citizens United has already corrupted our system so bad. We do not need to weaken any more rules, we need to do the opposite, and strengthen the rules, and stop letting money into politics!!!

Comments provided by :
Salaz, Antonia

The FEC needs to keep rules available to maintain transparency of monetary donations to campaigns. It is so important for citizens to be able to find out who is donating to different campaigns.

Comments provided by :
Salvat, Susan

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Sang, Jillian

Greetings. I am worried that a change weakening our election finance laws will be deleterious to already lax regulations. If I get on a soapbox and tell you who I am and try to convince you how you should vote ... that is free speech. If I pay someone to try to convince you how you should vote ... that is propaganda. You wouldn't know who I was or where I lived, so you wouldn't know if I had any standing to vote in your district's election. I may be vying for more representation than I am allowed. As voters we should not be so naive to fall for the deception presented in The Wizard of Oz: "Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain". The benefit of all of a democratic society should not be subjugated to the questionable whims of a few. No one is forcing donations of the proponents of this proposal. They want the high dollar ramifications of monetary "free speech" without putting their name to that speech. Simply put, that is not free speech; it is unfettered propaganda they seek with their proposal. I strongly urge you to vote "no" to the REG 2024-06 proposal. Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Saour, John

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Sarapa, George

As an American citizen who remains very concerned regarding the amount of money used to "buy" our elections, I consider it essential that we, the public know exactly who is donating money. And I think we also must know how much money is being donated.

In 1906 a newspaper in Great Falls, Montana employed an analogy that compared the power of sunlight and publicity that I think remains true today:

"Turn on the light and let us have a glimpse of the secret things done in the dark places in Washington. Sunlight is the great germicide and disinfectant, and publicity is healthful to the morals of government."

Therefore, if people are afraid to donate money to a political campaign due to potential public retaliation or reprisal, perhaps they should not donate that money.

Comments provided by :
Savage, Vandy

Dear FEC,

We need MORE transparency, not less. We need fewer restrictions, not more restrictions to voting rights an accessibility. Get rid of dark money, require electors to file conflict-of-interest agreements.

Comments provided by :
Savage-Zimmerman, Carrie

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Saval, Maureen

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Scarim, Nick

I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules while providing no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain clearly informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal REG 2024-06, which would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Scheihagen, Eric

I'm writing to ask you to maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and I understand that this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you,

Dr. Stephen Scholl-Buckwald

Comments provided by :
Scholl-Buckwald, Stephen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Schonfeld, David

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Schrader, Barry

I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. Full transparency and accountability is so important.

I believe the proposal you are currently considering would weaken transparency rules with no clear benefit. Transparency is important and vital in a true democracy. I believe that people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

For me, the system as it is works well. As I understand, this new proposal is not in response to donor complaints. I feel exemptions should remain rare, and that Americans should remain fully informed about who is donating what exact amount to which politicians.

I urge you to please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, which would weaken necessary political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Comments provided by :
Schroeder, Janice

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time

Comments provided by :
Schulz, Andrea

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Schulz, Ellen

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Seff, Joshua

Hello! I'm writing because I believe it is extremely important that our current strong political spending disclosure rules are maintained.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Seggerson, Ruth

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Sells, Greg

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Shabanian, Shahin

We need to have full disclosure of donors to see who is bribing our representatives and sponsoring judges who are supposed to be impartial. Do not further institutionalize corruption. Don't sell out the people and democracy. Do not allow insatiably greedy billionaires and corporations to be able to bribe politicians in secret!

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Shaffer, Greg

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is more than important – it's essential. People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Shakarjian, Michael

Please reject changes to the disclosure rules that would reduce transparency of the people who donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

Comments provided by :
Sharee, Donna

As a concerned citizen, I strongly urge you to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians.

While all citizens bear responsibility for strengthening our democracy, our nation's social fabric & national security are especially being eroded by wealthy elites further rigging a system already strongly biased in their favor.

Weakening disclosure regulations will only increase the toxic influence of dark money in politics. "Sunshine is the best disinfectant."

Comments provided by :
Shen, Bern

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration and time.

Comments provided by :
Shenton, Barbara

Transparency about financial donations is very important as these donations may influence politicians. We need to maintain strong finance disclosure rules so we know who is donating, how much is donating, and to whom. Donors should not be able to evade disclosure!

Comments provided by :
Shulman, Norma

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Shulman, Norma

We need more transparency in our election system, not less. If people feel like they will be harassed for their political contributions then maybe they should think critically how their contribution matters. If they can confidently contribute then they should have no qualms about to defend their contributions and who they support. Allowing those to redact their information provides futher cover for those wishing to make illegal contributions by hiding their identities.

Comments provided by :
Siu, Jason

Hi, I'm writing to plead you to leave our current strong political spending disclosure rules as they are.

The proposal you're currently considering is destined to weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is Democracy's watchdog – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon.

Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules. Thank you for protecting democracy.

Comments provided by :
SKABELUND, MIHOKO

Hello! I'm writing to urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Sklar, Michael

I am writing to urge you to reject Proposed Regulation 2024-06 and thereby not weaken our current political spending disclosure rules.

This proposal now under consideration would weaken transparency rules without any clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our the politicians they are choosing This is a bipartisan position that the American people have long agreed upon regardless of viewpoint. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to likely harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This proposed Regulation is not a response to donor complaints. Exemptions should continue to require proof of a reasonable fear of retaliation or harassment. Only by rejecting this proposal will voters continue to know who is donating how much to which politicians and to know who they are beholden to.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that, if adopted, will render political spending disclosure rules a nullity.

Thank you for considering my comment.

Comments provided by :
Skrainka, Stephen W.

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Smith, David

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Smith, Eric

Do not loosen and expand the exemptions allowed donors to political campaigns, especially relying only on the assertions of the donor without independent investigation. Dark money is severely undermining our democracy. If anything, disclosures should be required in all cases.

Comments provided by :
Smith, Margaret

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Please leave our disclosure rules intact.

Thank you, k. sneden

Comments provided by :
sneden, kathleen

As a stakeholder, I urge the FEC to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Snyder, Todd

Since Citizens United, our Democracy has been eroding rapidly, but after Elon Musk's Quarter Billion Dollar purchase of Trump's presidency...we are running straight into Oligarchy! Citizens deserve to know who is donating what amount to whom! #DefendDemocracy !!!

Comments provided by :
Song, Joon

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Sparta, J

Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Do not weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Transparency is very important! People deserve to know who is influencing our elections.

Comments provided by :
Species, Scott

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Spencer, Martha

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Speranza, Ilya

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Stacy , Madeline

I am opposed to relaxing the rule, REG 2024-06, requiring that donors to elections be identified. I believe that we citizens deserve to know who is influencing candidates and support for issues in our elections. If you want to exempt small donations, that is fine with me, but make it easy to identify large donors, as these are more and more common attempts to influence our elections.

Comments provided by :
Stansbury, Kendyll

We should be able to see who is donating where. Our government is for the people and by the people.

Comments provided by :
Staruch, Stephen

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Stauch, Leo

Hello!

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules. These rules ensure that the people that will be affected - all Americans - know who is influencing our elections and politicians.

I'm concerned about the proposal you're currently considering. Donors who can prove they need an exemption due to harassment can get one.

The system works well now and exemptions should remain rare. This new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Staudt, Erin

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Stein, Carl

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Stewart, Leslie

Dear FEC:

I urge you to maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules. PLEASE reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system now works well, and this new proposal is not in response to any donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Again, please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you!

Comments provided by :
Still, Stephen

Please don't add to the ability of political donors to conceal their identities. I have made modest donations over the years, and while I don't broadcast that information, I'm certainly not ashamed of it. It's kind of like walking the talk. Except for the rare cases in which granting anonymity is merited, there ought to be forthright transparency in this matter.

Comments provided by :
stone, mary

Please reject changes to disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Sucklal, Sirina

If elections are to be fair, then knowing who is financially supporting the candidates is a requirement.

Any ability that allows people or corporations to skirt around that requirement for transparency only limits the capability of engaged citizens and watchdog groups to ascertain exactly who it is that is funding candidates for elected office.

Instituting this will only continue to muddy the waters on who our elected officials are actually beholden to.

If there are threats of violence that is the responsibility of law enforcement, not the responsibility of the FEC to uphold by limiting the amount of information voters have.

Comments provided by :
Swett, William

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
T Gordon Jr, Robert

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
tackett, troy

We need all the information we can get to avoid the problem we have now with a few wealthy people running the government as proxies for the person who was elected

Comments provided by :
Tanzi, Nancy

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Tarbet, Shari

Please do not decrease regulations regarding political contributions!

Comments provided by :
Tetrault, Aline

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Thomasian, Betti

Disclosure of contributions is needed so that the public can understand who is funding our elected officials.

Comments provided by :
Thompson , Don

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians. This is especially important if the donation is a large one.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Thornburn, Cathy

I'm writing to strongly urge you to maintain our current political spending disclosure rules, which provide reasonable transparency and accountability for elected officials.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Tilton-Jones, Carrie

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Ideally, we should overturn "Citizens United", but in the meantime, please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Tischler, Barbara

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Ideally, we should overturn "Citizens United", but in the meantime, please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Tischler, Mark

Hello. I am writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concern about the proposal being considered to weaken transparency rules. We, the people, need transparency to know who is influencing our elected officials. Currently, a donor can request an exemption if they can prove harassment and get it. The system works wells and exemptions should be rare. The American people should remain informed about who is donating to which politicians and how much, especially since the Supreme Court ruled on "Citizens United" in 2010.

Please reject REG 2024-06 that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Joyce L Trent

Comments provided by :
Trent, Joyce

Hello.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Tripp, Jack

I urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

This proposal is not fixing a real problem with the current rules – and it would make it easy for wealthy donors to donate large sums of money to influence politicians while evading the disclosure requirements.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Trivisonno, Susan

Please reject Reg 2024-06 and maintain our current political spending disclosure rules which are strong enough as stands. This proposal you are considering would weaken transparency rules. And we need openness & transparency.

Comments provided by :
Troutt-Ervin, Eileen

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Tullius, Michael

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Tunstall, Jean

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Turner, Julie

Stop the FEC from weakening rules about money in politics

Comments provided by :
Unger, Pamela

Hello. I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Utley, Michael

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

Dark money has already corrupted elections. All one has to do to win, is be rich and have rich friends. Please, don't let our democracy slide further into an oligarchy.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Amy Valentine

Comments provided by :
Valentine, Amy

Please maintain the current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Vassilakidis, Sophia

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Visioli, Lori

NO CHANGE is needed due to the fact that Americans have always had the right to see what money is donated and by who/whom. If a donor says that they will be "harassed" due to the amount of donation...then it NEEDS to be A LEGITIMATE REASON or forget it...you MUST disclose the donation!

Comments provided by :

Voci, Linda

Greetings:

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit to the voting public. Transparency is very important--people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints--exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.
Steven Vogel

Comments provided by :
Vogel, Steven

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Vu, Tien

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Nikolas Wachlarowicz

Comments provided by :
Wachlarowicz, Nikolas

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Waddell, Kendra

Do not give donors to political campaigns any way to avoid the disclosure and transparency we need to know who is contributing. Anything less will lead to more corruption than we already have in our government. Keep our campaign contribution laws as they are or make them stronger.

Comments provided by :
Wagner, John

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Wagner, Patrice

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Wakefield, Marie

Hello.

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Wakiji, Dana

A healthy Democracy requires transparency in regard to financing campaigns and elections! I don't support making any chany changes that would reduce our eliminate these requirements.

Comments provided by :
Watkins, Samuel

Dear Sirs/Mesdames,

I am concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your consideration.

Comments provided by :
Webb, MD, David

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.
Randall Webb

Comments provided by :
Webb, Randall

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you very much for your time

Comments provided by :
Wechsler, Susan

Please reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. The story that their identities must be hidden in order to protect them from retaliation is bogus and untrue. Their goal is not their own personal safety, but to make everyone unsafe by removing a layer of protection against elections being illegally and dishonestly influenced by huge private infusions of money.

Comments provided by :
Wei, Kim

I'm writing to ask you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you.

Comments provided by :
Weiner, Robert

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering, REG 2024-06, would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Weiss, Ben

Reject changes to disclosure rules that would work to reduce transparency of large donors influencing politics.

Comments provided by :
Wellman, Mary

Please maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Wetherbee, Beth

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Wheeler, John

We need less money in politics, not more. The more money allowed, including untraceable money, the harder it is for normal citizens to run for office. We need less money, and what is allowed should be traceable/identifiable so that foreign entities are unable to influence elections and so you can see exactly who is supporting a specific candidate. Thank you!

Comments provided by :
Wiggins, Reynold

Hello. I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm concerned that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important: People deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints; exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Wilcox, Martin

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Wiley, Rayburn

As a concerned citizen and voter, I urge you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

The proposal you are currently considering, REG 2024-06, would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and he or she is able to prove it, the donor gets the exemption.

The system works well now and this new proposal is not coming in response to donor complaints. Exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Comments provided by :
Wilk, Rebecca B.

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Williams, Anita

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Comments provided by :
Williams, Mark

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Willoughby, Jeff

Please reject REG 2024-06. This would weaken the current strong rules on political spending. Our elections are already too dominated by wealthy entities seeking to control our political behavior and institutions.

Comments provided by :
Wills, Fred

As a registered voter since the 1980's, I am writing to acknowledge my opposition to the above referenced REG 2024-06 Requests to Modify or Redact Contributor Information. I Oppose because we have an exorbitant amount of money being "donated" to political campaigns that is untraceable. As an active voter I demand and expect to know who is buying a government office with monies for beyond the normal \$5 to \$100.00, etc that is currently allowed. Most of us are aware of the "Dark Money" being "donated" to certain types of campaigns and it must be stopped. Rumor has it that the current person elected sitting behind the Resolute Desk had far beyond the allowed by law funds "donated" to their campaign for the presidency of the United States. Dark Money in our campaigns for governmental positions both local, state and our nation must be stopped immediately and prosecuted.

It is imperative that our elections are completely transparent.

Comments provided by :
Wilson, Debra

I'm writing to encourage you to keep the current strong rules on political spending disclosures. I'm worried there is no clear benefit by weakening transparency. Transparency is important. People deserve to know who is attempting to influence our elections & politicians. If a donor truly needs to get an exception to avoid harassment, & they can prove it...they can get it. Americans should be able to know who is attempting to donate to their politicians , & how much.

Please reject the proposal REG 2024-26 that would weaken political spending disclosure rullles.

Comments provided by :
Wilson, Jeri

I encourage the Commission to reject changes to disclosure rules that would reduce transparency when people donate large sums of money to influence politicians. Donors' fears should not be a motivator for the Commission.

Comments provided by :
Winholtz, Betty

I am deeply concerned about the prospect of weakening campaign finance laws. It is self-evident that large donations give the donors undue influence over people in elected office. And groups (PACS) that enable individuals to make large contributions anonymously enable special interest groups to benefit from legislation that favors those special interests at the expense of the great majority of Americans.

We need to strengthen campaign finance laws, not relax them.

Comments provided by :
Witte, Mary

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Wolfsohn, Thomas

Do NOT change the rule to allow donors to easily exempt themselves from revealing their identity and the amount donated. The public always needs to know.

Comments provided by :
Woodward, Doug

Please do not weaken rules on disclosure of large political donations. Wealthy persons in our country already have far too much influence over politicians. We are in danger already of becoming a Russian-style oligarchy where extremely rich people control the government to the detriment of the majority of the citizenry. The USA was founded as, and should remain a democracy. Please do all you can to assure that the wealthy do not further increase their power over our government.

Comments provided by :
Wooldridge, John

I am writing in reference to the proposed amendment to the FEC rule requiring the disclosure of political donors. In these times, many chose to direct their business that support their values. The political donation data is often key to making that determination. It is critical that this data be made available to all.

Comments provided by :
Wozniak, Agnes

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time.

Comments provided by :
Wyzenbeek, Mark

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Yale, David

Generally, I would view the Citizens United decision as one of the more disastrous ones for quality of life in this nation. Whereas previously, contributions were limited and it gave voters a similar stake-- financial resources notwithstanding to either max out or contribute nothing at all-- the more recent cycle of elections have seen contributions not merely as support of a candidate and their policies, but effectively investment with expected return. Across the country, we find various individuals and organizations donating in the millions of dollars with the hopes of reducing their tax loads by an even greater percentage. For those individuals, it may mean little more than the occasional splurge on a lottery ticket for working folk, but when we imagine these hundreds of millions of dollars in aggregate not going to the improvement of the general welfare or any service or product, but effectively spending money so that more money might be hoarded in the long run, it seems fair to question its utility to a nation that still calls itself a democracy.

Moreover, it has contributed to a cycle in which legislation appears to take a backseat to a neverending fundraising cycle in which the amount of money on hand is never adequate to the tasks ahead. As there has been in the air a preoccupation with cutting spending and leaner operations, we might ask whether governance at large has been improved by this greater influx of money. I doubt that many would claim that this investment has yielded better or more equitable laws or a decreased burden placed upon the average voter, to say nothing of the exhaustion that resulting from incessant political ads during campaign season.

While my preference would be to reinstate the earlier limits to which individual donors were beholden and abolish PACs entirely, I believe that if such donors are to hold such an outsized sway over the state of our politics, we are obligated to know who they are and what they aim to receive by spending tens of millions of dollars not own their enterprises and job creation, but on a system in which the inability to "pay to play" further alienates lower and middle-class voters. I hold to the ideal of one person, one vote, and do not believe that a select group of individuals with advantages far beyond the comprehension of most wage earners should be able to exercise undue influence so that the needs of a small fraction of the population be put ahead of the nation itself.

Comments provided by :
Yencich, Joseph A

Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
YORK, PEGGY

I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank You

Comments provided by :
Young, Diona

"Hello! I'm writing to encourage you to maintain our current strong political spending disclosure rules.

I'm worried that the proposal you're currently considering would weaken transparency rules for no clear benefit. Transparency is very important – people deserve to know who is influencing our elections and politicians, a bipartisan position that the American people have historically agreed upon. Currently, if a donor truly needs an exemption to the rules due to harassment and they're able to prove it, they get one.

The system works well now and this new proposal isn't coming in response to donor complaints – exemptions should remain rare, and Americans should remain informed about who is donating how much to which politicians.

Please reject the proposal, REG 2024-06, that would weaken political spending disclosure rules.

Thank you for your time."

Comments provided by :
Zajdowicz, Thaddeus

Please do not allow changes to current FEC regulations.

Comments provided by :
Zorc, Scott

Please do not weaken our already weak standards on campaign finance disclosure.

Please reject weakening disclosure rules for campaign contributions. Big money already has too much influence upon our political system. Many Americans realize that elections are for sale these days and they both oppose that and want a more democratic government.

Comments provided by :
Zotter, Mike