1 BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2 3 ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM 4 **DISMISSAL REPORT** 5 6 Elder for America and Steve Baric in MUR 8345 **Respondent:** 7 his official capacity as treasurer 8 9 Complaint Receipt Date: Nov. 6, 2024 10 11 12 13 Alleged Statutory/ 14 **Regulatory Violations:** 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8) 15 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d) 16 The Complaint alleges that Elder for America and Steve Baric in his official capacity as 17 treasurer (the "Committee"), a hybrid PAC with both contribution and non-contribution accounts, 1 18 failed to disclose debt totaling \$27,627.26, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.² The Complainant alleges that it entered into a contract with the Committee to 19 20 send text messages and sent three invoices for the work it performed but that the Committee did not 21 remit payment or disclose the unpaid amount as an outstanding debt on its FEC disclosure reports.³ 22 The Committee has not submitted a response. 23 Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement 24 Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and 25 assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These 26 criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity 27 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the

Elder for America, Statement of Organization at 2 (Jan. 4, 2022), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/742/2022 01049474867742/202201049474867742.pdf.

² Compl. at 1 (Nov. 6, 2024).

³ *Id.* at 1-2.

MUR 8350 (Elder for America) EPS Dismissal Report Page 2 of 2

- electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in
- 2 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for
- 3 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and low
- 4 apparent dollar amount at issue we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint,
- 5 consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its
- 6 priorities and use of agency resources.⁴ We also recommend that the Commission close the file
- 7 effective 30 days from the date the certification of this vote is signed (or on the next business day
- 8 after the 30th day, if the 30th day falls on a weekend or holiday) and send the appropriate letters.

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	March 5, 2025 Date	BY:	Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel Laudio wi Claudio J. Pavia Deputy Associate General Counsel Wanda D. Brown Wanda D. Brown
-			
20			Assistant General Counsel
21			
22			$a \cdot b \cdot V$
23			Gordon King
24			Gordon King
25			Attorney

⁴ Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).