



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

April 11, 2025

**VIA UPS DELIVERY AND ELECTRONIC MAIL**

[REDACTED]  
Lee M. Diamond

[REDACTED]  
Margate City, NJ 08402

RE: MUR 8338  
Van Drew for Congress, *et al.*

Dear Mr. Diamond:

This is in reference to the complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission on October 28, 2024, concerning Van Drew for Congress, *et al.* Based on that complaint, and after considering the circumstances of this matter and information provided in response to the complaint, the Commission determined to dismiss this matter and close the file effective April 11, 2025.

The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed. Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record today. *See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters*, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016).

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action within 60 days of the dismissal, which became effective today. *See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8)*.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson  
Acting General Counsel

*Wanda D. Brown*

BY: Wanda D. Brown  
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure  
General Counsel's Report

1 **BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**2  
3 **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM**  
4 **DISMISSAL REPORT**  
56 **MUR 8338****Respondents:** Van Drew for Congress and Bradley  
7 T. Crate in his official capacity as  
8 treasurer  
9 Jeff Van Drew10  
11 **Complaint Receipt Date:** Oct. 28, 202412 **Response Date:** Nov. 22, 202413  
14 15  
16 **Alleged Statutory/**17 **Regulatory Violations:**

52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)

18 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)

19 The Complaint alleges that Van Drew for Congress and Bradley T. Crate in his official  
20 capacity as treasurer (the “Committee”), the principal campaign committee of Representative Jeff  
21 Van Drew,<sup>1</sup> 2024 candidate for New Jersey’s 2nd Congressional District,<sup>2</sup> distributed a television  
22 advertisement without an adequate disclaimer, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of  
23 1971, as amended.<sup>3</sup> The Complaint alleges that the television advertisement, which began airing on  
24 October 22, 2024, included a verbal approval by the candidate but only a partial written disclaimer  
25 at the end which states that it was “Paid for by Van Drew for Congress” but did not specifically  
26 identify the candidate or state that he approved the advertisement.<sup>4</sup>

27 In Response, Van Drew and the Committee acknowledge that the advertisement at issue did  
28 not strictly follow the disclaimer requirements but state that the omission was inadvertent and argue

---

<sup>1</sup> Van Drew for Congress, Amended Statement of Organization at 2 (Dec. 11, 2024), <https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/599/202412119739859599/202412119739859599.pdf>.

<sup>2</sup> Jeff Van Drew, Amended Statement of Candidacy at 1 (Oct. 13, 2023), <https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/560/202310139597545560/202310139597545560.pdf>.

<sup>3</sup> Compl. at 1 (Oct. 28, 2024).

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 2.

1 that any voter who watched the advertisement would clearly understand on whose behalf it was  
2 made because it identifies the candidate by name and title, displays the candidate on screen several  
3 times, displays the Committee’s logo, and includes a verbal disclaimer and partial written  
4 disclaimer.<sup>5</sup> Respondents state that upon learning of the advertisement’s deficiency, prior to  
5 receiving the Complaint, the Committee worked “within hours” with its vendor to correct the error.<sup>6</sup>  
6 Respondents therefore request that the Commission dismiss the Complaint.<sup>7</sup>

7 The Committee disclosed disbursements totaling \$120,408 to the vendor responsible for  
8 placing the advertisement in question during the 2024 election cycle.<sup>8</sup>

9 Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement  
10 Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and  
11 assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These  
12 criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity  
13 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the  
14 electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in  
15 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for  
16 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the  
17 unlikelihood that the general public would have been confused as to whether the Committee paid for  
18 the communications at issue, remedial action taken by the Committee, and the Committee’s  
19 admission of the violation, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint, consistent

---

<sup>5</sup> Resp. at 2 (Nov. 22, 2024).

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 2-3.


<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 5.

<sup>8</sup> Van Drew for Congress, Filtered Disbursements, FEC.GOV, [https://www.fec.gov/data/disbursements/?data\\_type=processed&committee\\_id=C00661868&recipient\\_name=strategic+media+services&two\\_year\\_transaction\\_period=2024&disbursement\\_description=media](https://www.fec.gov/data/disbursements/?data_type=processed&committee_id=C00661868&recipient_name=strategic+media+services&two_year_transaction_period=2024&disbursement_description=media) (last visited Feb. 25, 2025).

MUR 8338 (Van Drew for Congress, *et al.*)  
 EPS Dismissal Report  
 Page 3 of 3

1 with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and  
 2 use of agency resources.<sup>9</sup> We also recommend that the Commission close the file effective 30 days  
 3 from the date the certification of this vote is signed (or on the next business day after the 30th day,  
 4 if the 30th day falls on a weekend or holiday) and send the appropriate letters.

Lisa J. Stevenson  
 Acting General Counsel



BY:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Claudio J. Pavia  
 Deputy Associate General Counsel

5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9 February 26, 2025

10 Date

11  
 12  
 13  
 14 Wanda D. Brown

15 Wanda D. Brown  
 16 Assistant General Counsel

17  
 18  
 19 Gordon King

20 Gordon King  
 21 Attorney

<sup>9</sup> Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).