

July 15, 2024

SENT VIA EMAIL

Federal Election Commission
Office of Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
Wanda D. Brown, Assistant General Counsel
Attn: Christal Dennis, Paralegal
1050 First Street NE
Washington, DC 20463
cela@fec.gov

RE: Response to MUR 8248

Dear Ms. Brown:

On behalf of Campaign for a Conservative Majority (“CFCM”) and its treasurer, William Hartford (“Hartford”) (collectively “Respondents”), this Response to the complaint filed in MUR 8248 (the “Complaint”) explains why the Federal Election Commission (“Commission”) should take no action thereupon, other than to dismiss it.

This matter, filed by an organization known to target organizations with endless FEC complaints over broader ideological opposition,¹ lacks the factual basis required to support the alleged violations. Respondents deny all of the allegations contained therein and respectfully request the Commission conclude there is no reason to believe Respondents violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the “Act”), or otherwise dismiss the matter on alternative grounds, and admonish Complainant to make at least some modicum of basic due diligence before frivolously cluttering this Commission’s docket

Background

CFCM registered with the Commission on July 4, 2019, with the goal of advocating for Republican causes and federal candidates during the 2019-2020 election cycle. William Hartford served as Treasurer of CFCM at all times since its inception and managed CFCM’s operations. This is the first federal political action committee Mr. Hartford has established and operated.

In order to finance these efforts, CFCM engaged in targeted outreach efforts to like-minded potential donors through various mediums. Hartford, who works professionally in the call center industry, utilized robocalls as part of CFCM’s outreach efforts. The robocall scripts utilized by CFCM specifically sought contributions to CFCM, and the language utilized during those calls to

¹ Complainant is well known for filing complaints with the FEC. A search of closed enforcement matters on the Commission’s website reveals many tens of filings from Complainant, many of which target Republican candidates, committees, and other conservative-aligned organizations.

potential supporters and contributors highlighted the now-obvious dangers of a Biden–Harris administration.

CFCM was specifically named at the beginning of each fundraising call and every call included legally required disclaimers stating that the call was paid for by CFCM and not authorized by any candidate or candidate’s committee.

CFCM contributed \$55,000 to 11 different federal committees during the 2019-2020 election cycle. Exhibit A. Approximately 12% of funds raised were retained by Expert Vendor, a for-profit entity owned by Hartford, for below-market-rate services performed by Hartford, and the substantial majority of other funds collected were then paid to various subcontractors and expenses on behalf of CFCM as it engaged in its political activities, including amounts set aside for compliance costs and unexpected legal fees for responding to frivolous complaints from ideological adversaries.

The last robocall disseminated by CFCM during the 2019-2020 election cycle was on November 3, 2020, and the purpose of that call was to thank contributors for their support during the election cycle. At no time since November 3, 2020 has CFCM engaged in any additional or ongoing fundraising efforts, including through robocalls.

As Treasurer, Hartford participated in and oversaw the preparation and filing of CFCM’s financial disclosure reports with the Commission. The reports are accurate and comprehensive accountings of CFCM’s receipts and disbursements.

Discussion of CLC’s baseless and false allegations.

I. Fraudulent Misrepresentation

The Complaint alleges Respondents fraudulently misrepresented its fundraising efforts would benefit Donald Trump’s presidential campaign, principally relying on the alleged use of then-President Trump’s voice at the beginning of the robocall and language supportive of his reelection. An independent fundraising solicitation that properly identifies the sponsoring independent committee, highlights the dangers of one candidate’s policies, and contains supportive reelection language does not amount to a fraudulent misrepresentation in violation of 52 U.S.C. §30124(b); it amounts to a persuasive fundraising campaign. The Commission should find no reason to believe a violation occurred.

Complainant relies on MURs 7011 (HC4P) and 7092 (Socially Responsible Government) for the conclusion Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. §30124(b). Both of these enforcement matters are factually distinguishable from the matter at hand. Those identified respondents crafted an online presence where the websites were identified as “Committee to Elect Hillary Clinton” (MUR 7011) and “Committee to Elect Bernie Sanders” (MUR 7092). Each of these websites displayed content from the official campaign websites of Hillary Clinton and Bernie Sanders, featured the official campaign logos for each of Clinton’s and Sanders’ campaigns, displayed the official campaign logos, included explicit calls for support of the candidates. E.g. “Support Hillary Today and Stand [w]ith the Middle Class.” “Donate today to help Hillary Clinton become our nation’s

45th President” and “Stand with Bernie and Donate to our Campaign.” When website visitors clicked on certain links from the website menus, they were then taken to official campaign website pages. Under the totality of those circumstances, the Commission found reason to believe the respondents’ actions violated 52 U.S.C. §30124(b).

Here the factual circumstances are substantively and materially different than those in MURs 7011 and 7092. The Commission should follow its prior decisions in MURs 6633, 6641, 6643, and 6645 and find no reason to believe a violation of 52 U.S.C. §30124(b)² occurred.

Allen West for Congress filed complaints against four separate independent committees alleging the respondents used “Representative West’s likeness to raise funds independently to support his candidacy.” MURs 6633, 6641, 6643, and 6645, at 2. The complaints argued that respondents’ “solicitations prey on civic-minded citizens who are led to believe that their contributions may actually be used in support of Allen West, and who presumably have no idea that [Respondents] simply engage[] in an endless cycle of fundraising that ultimately pays for little more’ than the officer’s own fees and benefits, and further fundraising efforts”. *Id.* at 14. The Commission’s Office of General Counsel (“OGC”) recognized that, “it appears that Respondents spent very little of the money they raised to support West. Rather, the funds appear to have been spent primarily on additional fundraising, much apparently to vendors in which some of Respondents’ officers may have held personal financial interests.” *Id.* at 2. Despite all of the foregoing, the OGC ultimately concluded “we cannot agree with Complainant that this conduct constitutes a fraud within the reach of the Act or Commission regulations.” *Id.*

OGC explained that “to violate section 441h(b), a person must fraudulently misrepresent that the person speaks, writes, or otherwise acts *on behalf of or for a candidate*. Some of the language in the Respondents’ solicitations is ambiguous as to how the contributions will be spent to support West. But, ultimately, despite the Respondents’ attempts to use West’s image and name to raise funds, the Respondents’ solicitations were made expressly in each instance on behalf of the individual PACs that sponsored the communication, not West.” *Id.* at 20 (emph. in original). OGC further explained that it weighed “two main factors” in finding against a violation of 2 U.S.C. 441h(b). *Id.* at 21. First, each of the respondents was registered with the Commission and each complied with reporting obligations, “including disclosure of its expenditures and disbursements.” *Id.* Second, with the exception of one of the respondents, the others “included adequate disclaimers in their communications to indicate that the Respondents – and not a federal candidate, authorized the solicitation.” *Id.* Based on these considerations, OGC recommended a finding of no reason to believe respondents violated 2 USC 441h(b) and the Commission adopted the recommendation.

The same conclusion should be reached in this matter. Not only are candidate’s names routinely used in fundraising efforts which are not authorized by that particular candidate or

² The Office of General Counsel’s First General Counsel’s Report regarding MURs 6633, 6641, 6643, and 6645 was dated April 22, 2013. At the time this Report was issued, the fraudulent misrepresentation provision was codified at 2 U.S.C. § 441h. For purposes of discussing the specific analysis in MURs 6633, 6641, 6643, and 6645, this Response will refer to 2 U.S.C. § 441h. The reclassification of the provision to 52 U.S.C. § 30124 did not change the substance of the provision.

candidate's committee³, including by well-meaning independent individuals seeking to support them, but the factors that led OGC to recommend not finding reason to believe in MURs 6633, 6641, 6643, and 6645 are present here. CFCM was properly identified early in each of the identified robocalls, all robocalls contained legally required disclaimers as declared by Hartford, and CFCM registered as required and filed financial disclosure reports with the Commission as mandated by law. These facts do not support a finding that Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. 30124(b) and the Commission should no find reason to believe a violation occurred.

II. Inaccurate Financial Disclosure Reports Regarding Federal Contributions

The Complaint alleges Respondents filed "false disclosure reports indicating [CFCM] made five federal contributions totaling \$12,200 that never occurred." The allegations are premised solely upon the contribution *recipients* not disclosing the funds, actions which have nothing to do with Respondents or Respondents' reporting obligations.

Bank records disclosed in Exhibit A⁴ evidence not only that Respondents issued the checks as reported, but also that the checks were cashed by the recipient committee. Additionally, had Complainant performed even a rudimentary search using CFCM's FEC ID number, they would have discovered that Kansans for Laturner did, indeed, report receiving two contributions from CFCM. CFCM's name was simply reported incorrectly. Exhibit B.

This count is a lazy smear by Complainant, indicative of the slipshod legal work they performed, and is patently, provably, false. The Commission should find no reason to believe a violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30104 occurred.

III. Failing to Disclose Operating Expenditures during the 2023-2024 Election Cycle

Similar to Court II, Complainant yet again alleges wrongdoing without adequate substantiation by assuming, without knowledge or assertion of the trustworthiness, the accuracy of an apparently deeply flawed third party web-based technology. Count III is solely premised on an alleged robocall capture by a third-party entity (Nomorobo) from September 2023, but fails to establish how such calls are captured, under what circumstances such calls are captured, and why the accuracy of both the timing and the content are reliable. It is, in effect, hearsay-upon-hearsay, except no one actually heard anything.

As declared by Hartford, CFCM has not run any robocall programs since November 3, 2020, and is without knowledge as to how Nomorobo could have allegedly captured a robocall on a date when it did not occur. The content of the alleged robocall includes explicit references to the unique circumstances of the 2019-2020 election cycle, which further supports the conclusion those

³ The commonplace use of a candidate's name in fundraising solicitations by either other candidates or non-connected committees is routine. In fact, the widespread use of former President Trump's name in fundraising solicitations caused the Co-Campaign Managers of Donald J. Trump for President to issue a guidance memorandum concerning the use of President Trump's name, image, and likeness in fundraising solicitations. <https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/24554377-trump-fundraising-memo> (last visited July 14, 2024).

⁴ Copies of the five checks identified in the Complaint that were cashed by the recipient are included with this Response, redacted to protect sensitive banking information.

calls were disseminated in advance of November 3, 2020. To disseminate this content more than three years after-the-fact would defy logic and commonsense.

Any supposed audio captures that allegedly occurred after the conclusion of the 2020 election cycle are simply incorrect. Before victimizing an innocent party with frivolous complaints, Complainant should actually engage in due diligence efforts instead of blindly relying on some unknown third party's flawed technology and applying faulty logic. Moreover, Complainant should be reprimanded for complaining about alleged violations of law based on calls it has no actual first-hand knowledge of or substantive documentation supporting the asserted conclusions.

As CFCM did not disseminate any robocalls beyond the 2020 election cycle, there were no related receipts or disbursements to report on CFCM's disclosure reports in 2023-2024. The reports filed by Respondents are comprehensive, complete, and accurate. The Commission should find no reason to believe Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104.

IV. Failing to Disclose Independent Expenditures

The FECA does not contemplate, nor does this Commission require, a committee report as an independent expenditure its own fundraising communications, including where the argument to contribute involves the worthiness of the candidates being supported. Complainant, in effect, argues that all fundraising must be an independent expenditure. The Commission should decline to radically re-write the FECA to satisfy Complainant's radically anti-speech ideology.

To decide otherwise would require the Commission reach a conclusion it has not previously reached when presented with similar fact patterns. In the audit of The Legacy Political Action Committee (Jan. 1, 2007 – Dec. 21, 2008), the committee's fundraising endeavors were explained as follows:

The Legacy Committee explained that it was formed in 2007 as a non-connected Political Action Committee . . . There was no permanent staff, office or office equipment. It was formed with the intention of raising funds to allow it to participate in the 2008 general election by making direct contributions to candidates for federal office. [The Legacy Committee] indicated that the committee was the epitome of a "grass roots" attempt to participate 'in the 2008 Federal elections.

[I]ts direct-mail advisors obtained lists of proven donors to Republican and conservative causes and tested various content appeals in letters to these donors. The various tests included content with references to elected officials and presidential candidates to clue the recipient audience that [The Legacy Committee] was a conservative Republican PAC worthy of their support. [The Legacy

Committee] stated that the purpose of these mailings was not to intervene in any election. [The Legacy Committee] indicated that the facts indicated that: the *timing* of all of its mailings had no reference to the timing of primary elections during 2008; the *content* of the letters, other than sometimes including some words considered “express advocacy” by the Commission, did not urge the recipient audience to vote for any particular candidate; and the *audience* was selected for its fundraising value, with no consideration for its electoral value. Thus the expenditures’ content, timing and distribution, and audience served a fundraising purpose but not an electoral one.

Final Audit Report on The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee, at 9.

The Audit Division recommended the Commission find The Legacy Committee had failed to report independent expenditures totaling \$102,801. This recommendation was not adopted as “[t]he Commission could not reach a consensus on whether communications totaling \$102,801 contained expressed advocacy and should be reported as independent expenditures.” *Id.* at 13.

The Audit Division again recommended the Commission find another non-connected committee, the National Campaign Fund, failed to report \$199,353 in independent expenditure activity when the supposed express advocacy language was again contained in fundraising communications. Final Audit Report of the Commission on the National Campaign Fund (February 4, 2008 – December 31, 2008). The facts at issue in National Campaign Fund are substantially similar to those in The Legacy Committee. *Id.* at 10. Again, the Commission failed to adopt the proposed recommendation. *Id.* at 15.

Most recently, the Commission was asked in Question 4 of Advisory Opinion 2023-08 (Cowboy Analytics) whether “the PAC [would] have to report its costs of soliciting donors to make earmarked contributions as independent expenditures”. AO 2023-08, at 7. Yet again, the Commission “was unable to reach a response by the required four affirmative votes.” *Id.*

Nowhere does the FECA embrace Complainant’s radical demand that a singular communication be treated as dual purpose (i.e. both fundraising and independent expenditure), as evidenced by the Commission’s inability to approve such a treatment in the above-described matters. The Commission should reject Complainant’s efforts to do so here and find there is no reason to believe a violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30104 occurred.

Conclusion

This Complaint contains utterly baseless, legally radical, and just plain lazy allegations asserted by a serial complainer targeting organizations purely for ideological lawfare. The allegations Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30124(b) and/or 52 U.S.C. § 30104 are factually unsupported for the simple reason there were no violations and there is no actual evidence thereof. In filing this Complaint, the Complainant has wasted the Commission’s time in furtherance of a

targeted political agenda and wasted the limited financial resources of a Committee that has been largely inactive since the 2019-2020 election cycle.

Respondents deny any and all alleged violations of the Act, and respectfully request the Commission dismiss the Complaint, and suggest that Complainant be admonished for their slipshod abuse of the legal process. Respondents expressly reserve any constitutional, statutory, or other defenses available under the law.

Sincerely,

Dan Backer

Dan Backer
Counsel for Respondents

Caitlin P. Contestable

Caitlin P. Contestable
Counsel for Respondents

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM HARTFORD

The undersigned, William Hartford, hereby affirms and certifies, under the penalty of perjury, the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age, and I suffer from no legal disability.
2. I am competent to testify concerning the matters stated herein, and the statements contained in this Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge.
3. I have been the Chair and Treasurer of Campaign for a Conservative Majority (“CFCM”) since its inception on July 4, 2019.
4. CFCM registered with the Federal Election Commission on July 4, 2019, and is organized as a non-connected political action committee.
5. As Chair and Treasurer of CFCM, my duties include, but are not limited to, managing and overseeing the financial transactions of the organization, including reviewing contributions received and disbursements made, strategy development, and operations management.
6. On average, I spent more than forty hours per week during the 2019-2020 election cycle working on behalf of CFCM.
7. As compensation for the work I performed on behalf of CFCM, CFCM paid my business, Expert Vendor, a data brokerage/database administrator and committee management fee equal to approximately 12% of the gross contributions received during the 2019-2020 election cycle, an amount equal to \$58,877.11.
 - a. Based on my experience and personal research, the average database administrator earns approximately \$93,000 per year. I provided the following data broker/database administrator services on behalf of CFCM: locating appropriate data for calls, creating targeted databases from that data, querying the data to ensure adherence to a calling rotation, and loading leads daily into the dialing platform. By my estimate and for the ten months such services would have been required, I saved CFCM approximately \$77,862.50 by personally serving as the data broker and database administrator for CFCM instead of hiring a third-party to perform this function.
 - b. Based on my personal research, the average monthly salary for a congressional campaign manager during the 2020 election cycle was \$6,000-\$8,000. I provided

the following committee management services on behalf of CFCM: managing call staff, making strategic decisions, working with vendors/consultants, overseeing day-to-day operations, budget management, preparing and filing financial disclosure reports with the FEC, and ensuring the committee remains organized and efficient. By my estimate and for the eighteen months these services would have been necessary, I saved CFCM approximately \$126,000 by personally serving as the committee manager for CFCM instead of hiring a third-party to perform this function.

- c. By my best estimate, I have saved CFCM approximately \$200,000 in total by personally serving in these capacities at a highly discounted rate.
8. My management and operational duties as Treasurer also included ensuring CFCM's expenses, including those performed by subcontractors, were paid for services rendered. These payments were largely issued from funds paid to Expert Vendor, which selected, managed, and oversaw the work of the subcontractors, which is a customary business practice in the industry in which Expert Vendor operates.
9. I do not have extensive or substantial experience in managing a political organization but generally understand the compliance and reporting obligations related to a federal political action committees and performed my duties as Treasurer to the best of my ability.
10. I personally maintained CFCM's bank account, including monitoring receipts and disbursements, and prepared the financial disclosure reports that were submitted to the FEC on CFCM's behalf.
11. To the best of my recollection and knowledge, all receipts and expenses were properly reported to the FEC and properly corresponded with CFCM's bank activity.
12. After the conclusion of the 2019-2020 election cycle, CFCM significantly decreased its operations and has been inactive since the 2021-2022 election cycle. CFCM is likely to terminate upon conclusion of this matter.
13. I organized CFCM in order to advocate for political issues of importance and to support federal candidates advocating for conservative policies and ideals.
14. To raise funds and accomplish these objectives, CFCM utilized various fundraising methodologies, including fundraising robocalls and contribution mailers.
15. I developed content and scripts for use in furtherance of CFCM's fundraising efforts.
16. When developing content, I ensured that every content piece, whether robocall script or mailer, contained FEC-required disclaimers. Agents handling calls on behalf of CFCM were advised that the delivering the provided disclaimers was a mandatory portion of the call.

17. Throughout the 2019-2020 election cycle, CFCM engaged in targeted outreach efforts to like-minded potential donors through robocalls.
18. The last day any robocalls were disseminated on behalf of CFCM was November 3, 2020, the date of the 2020 General Election. No other robocalls have been disseminated since, and to the extent the Complaint alleges otherwise in paragraphs 11 and 12, such allegations are specifically denied.
19. I specifically deny the allegation in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint that CFCM has ever operated as a “scam PAC” and operated under false pretenses or defrauded donors.
20. I specifically deny the allegation in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint that I have committed numerous knowing or willful violations of any federal campaign finance statute or regulation.
21. I specifically deny the allegation in Paragraph 5 that I have violated and continue to violate any federal campaign finance statute or regulation.
22. Further, the declarant sayeth naught.

I HEREBY AFFIRM AND CERTIFY, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, THAT THE ABOVE STATEMENTS ARE TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION, AND BELIEF.

SIGNATURE: 
NAME: William Hartford
TITLE: Treasurer
ORGANIZATION: Campaign for a Conservative Majority

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed:	1
Account number:	██████████
Date range:	
Check number(s):	
Amount:	

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY 1051
 DATE 11/23/20
 PAY TO THE ORDER OF JOHN W JAMES FOR SENATE \$ 5000.00
FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS
 CHASE
 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
 www.Chase.com
 MICR 600711119 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number: [REDACTED]

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020
Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]
Check Number: 1051

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

1052

DATE 10/20/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF TEAM GRAHAM INC \$ 5000.00

FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

⑆ 000711119 [REDACTED]

FOR DEPOSIT ONLY
TRUIST
TEAM GRAHAM, INC.

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

3-17710 1053

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/30/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF DONALD J. TRUMP FOR PRESIDENT VC \$ 5000.00

FIVE THOUSAND + 00/100

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.Chase.com

MEMO FOR CDO 711119 [REDACTED]

for deposit only

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

2-UT-0 1077

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/23/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF JIM 2020 COMMITTEE \$ 2800.00

TWENTY EIGHT HUNDRED + 00/100

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.Chase.com

MEMO [REDACTED]

BMO HARRIS BANK N A
10/26/2020
Mobile Deposit

PLEASE KEEP FOR RECORDS - RETURN TO BANK ONLY
DO NOT WRITE ON THIS CHECK

ENDORSE HERE
[Signature]

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):


Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account:

Check Number:



1051

2-VT10 1078

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 11/23/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF OTM 2020 COMMITTEE \$ 2200.00

TWENTY TWO HUNDRED & 00/100 DOLLARS

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.chase.com

11/23/20

BMO HARRIS BANK N A
10/26/2020
Mobile Deposit

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
MICROFILM
MICROFILM

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

2-1713 1080

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/26/00

PAY TO THE ORDER OF MARY MURPHY FOR CONGRESS \$ 2000.00

TWO THOUSAND

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.chase.com

[REDACTED]

LONGVIEW BANK

CREATED TO THE ACCOUNT OF
THE WITHHOLDING PAYEE
As per the With Hold Payee's Instructions
Absence of Endorsement Guaranteed
LONGVIEW BANK

[REDACTED]

Longview Bank
2020-10-29

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

2-10710 1081

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/23/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF LAUREN BOBERT FOR CONGRESS \$ 2800.00

TWENTY EIGHT HUNDRED + 00/100

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.Chase.com

[REDACTED]

ALPINE BANK

11/23/20

Lauren Bobert for Congress
FOR DEPOSIT ONLY
Alpine Bank
Acc: [REDACTED]

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY 1082

DATE 10/06/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF LAUREN ROBERT FOR CONGRESS \$ 5000.00

FIFTY TWO HUNDRED AND 00/100

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.Chase.com

MEMO [REDACTED]

ALPINE BANK

FOR DEPOSIT ONLY
Alpine Bank

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

3-1/2" x 5" 1083

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/23/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF THE NCHS For Congress \$ 2822.00

TWENTY EIGHT HUNDRED AND 00/100 DOLLARS

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.chase.com

MEMO [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

NCHS FOR CONGRESS
 FOR DEPOSIT ONLY

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

2-1776 1084

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/26/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF NEALS FOR CONGRESS \$ 2200.00

TWENTY TWO HUNDRED AND 00/100 DOLLARS

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.Chase.com

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

NEALS FOR CONGRESS
 FOR DEPOSITION ONLY
 DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

2-1710 1085

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/23/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF KANSAS FOR LAMARCA \$ 2,500.00

TWENTY EIGHT HUNDRED + 00/100

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.Chase.com

ENCLOSURE

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES

ENCLOSURE HERE

CHASE USE FOR DEPOSIT ONLY

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

1086

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE: 10/26/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF: KANSANS FOR LAVERNE \$ 2000.00

TWO THOUSAND HUNDRED

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ENDORSE HERE

CHASE

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):



Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051



Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY 1089
 DATE 11/24/20
 PAY TO THE ORDER OF J Banks For Congress \$ 5000.00
TWENTY TWO HUNDRED AND NO/100 DOLLARS
CHASE
 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
 www.chase.com
 MEMO: [REDACTED]

FOR DEPOSIT ONLY

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

2-1/713 1091

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/26/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF LADNEY FOR CONGRESS \$ 5000.00

Twenty Thousand Hundredths

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.Chase.com

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

FOR DEPOSIT ONLY

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Check Search Results

The details presented in this document were requested by the customer. Go to subsequent pages to see check details.

Check Search Results

Checks displayed: 1

Account number:

Date range:

Check number(s):

Amount:

Post date: 11/23/2020

Amount: \$ 5000.00

Account: [REDACTED]

Check Number: 1051

2-1/70 1092

CAMPAIGN FOR A CONSERVATIVE MAJORITY

DATE 10/31/20

PAY TO THE ORDER OF IL REPUBLICAN PARTY FEDERAL \$ 5000.00

FIVE THOUSAND 00/100 DOLLARS

CHASE
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
www.chase.com

MEMO PR C20711119 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SCHEDULE A (FEC Form 3) ITEMIZED RECEIPTS

Use separate schedule(s)
for each category of the
Detailed Summary Page

FOR LINE NUMBER: (check only one)		PAGE 58 OF 130	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11a 12	<input type="checkbox"/> 11b 13a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11c 13b	<input type="checkbox"/> 11d 14
		<input type="checkbox"/> 15	

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)
Kansans for LaTurner

A. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)
MY COMMITTEE

Mailing Address 712 H STREEET NE

City WASHINGTON	State DC	Zip Code 20002-
--------------------	-------------	--------------------

FEC ID number of contributing federal political committee. **C** C00711119

Name of Employer	Occupation
------------------	------------

Receipt For: 2020
 Primary General
 Other (specify) ▼

Election Cycle-to-Date ▼
5000.00

Date of Receipt
M M / D D / Y Y Y Y Y Y
10 / 27 / 2020

Transaction ID : SA11C.4758

Amount of Each Receipt this Period
2200.00

Memo Item CONTRIBUTION

B. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)
MY COMMITTEE

Mailing Address 712 H STREEET NE

City WASHINGTON	State DC	Zip Code 20002-
--------------------	-------------	--------------------

FEC ID number of contributing federal political committee. **C** C00711119

Name of Employer	Occupation
------------------	------------

Receipt For: 2020
 Primary General
 Other (specify) ▼

Election Cycle-to-Date ▼
5000.00

Date of Receipt
M M / D D / Y Y Y Y Y Y
10 / 27 / 2020

Transaction ID : SA11C.4759

Amount of Each Receipt this Period
2800.00

Memo Item CONTRIBUTION

C. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)
NATIONAL SHOOTING SPORTS FOUNDATION, INC. POLITICAL ACTION C

Mailing Address 400 N. CAPITOL STREET NW
SUITE 475

City WASHINGTON	State DC	Zip Code 20001-1593
--------------------	-------------	------------------------

FEC ID number of contributing federal political committee. **C** C00480863

Name of Employer	Occupation
------------------	------------

Receipt For: 2020
 Primary General
 Other (specify) ▼

Election Cycle-to-Date ▼
1000.00

Date of Receipt
M M / D D / Y Y Y Y Y Y
10 / 19 / 2020

Transaction ID : SA11C.2876

Amount of Each Receipt this Period
500.00

Memo Item CONTRIBUTION

SUBTOTAL of Receipts This Page (optional)..... ▶

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only)..... ▶

5500.00