



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

March 31, 2025

**VIA UPS**

Tonya Wingfield

Fort Washington, MD 20744

RE: MUR 8189  
 Angela D. Alsobrooks  
 Angela Alsobrooks for Senate and  
 Jay Petterson, Treasurer  
 Prince George's County, Maryland

Dear Ms. Wingfield:

The Federal Election Commission has considered the allegations contained in the complaint you filed on November 30, 2023, and decided on February 25, 2025, to dismiss the allegations that Prince George's County, Maryland made excessive and prohibited in-kind contributions in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1) and 30118(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b) and 114.2(b). The Commission also dismissed the allegation that Angela D. Alsobrooks and Angela Alsobrooks for Senate and Jay Peterson in his official capacity as treasurer knowingly accepted such contributions in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(f) and 30118(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.9 and 114.2(d). Accordingly, the Commission voted to close its file in this matter effective March 31, 2025.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record today. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). Any applicable Factual and Legal Analysis and Statements of Reasons available at the time of this letter's transmittal are enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action within 60 days of the dismissal, which became effective today. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al*)  
Letter to Tonya Wingfield, Complainant  
Page 2 of 2

If you have any questions, please contact Allen H. Coon, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

*Ana J. Peña-Wallace*

Ana J. Peña-Wallace  
Assistant General Counsel

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

## FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENTS: Angela D. Alsobrooks  
Alsobrooks for Senate and Jay Petterson  
in his official capacity as treasurer  
Prince George's County, Maryland

MUR 8189

## I. INTRODUCTION

8        This matter arises from a Complaint alleging that Angela D. Alsobrooks and her principal  
9        campaign committee, Alsobrooks for Senate and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as  
10      treasurer (the “Alsobrooks Committee”), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as  
11      amended (the “Act”), by accepting excessive and prohibited in-kind contributions from Prince  
12      George’s County, Maryland (“Prince George’s County” or the “County”), and that the County  
13      violated the Act by making such contributions. Specifically, the Complaint alleges that  
14      Alsobrooks, who at the time of the alleged violations held office as County Executive for Prince  
15      George’s County, used public funds to air a cable television and social media advertisement (the  
16      “Ad”) that promotes her character, qualifications, and fitness for office for purposes of  
17      influencing her election for U.S. Senate. The Complaint alleges that the Ad is a coordinated  
18      communication and thus an excessive in-kind contribution that violates the Act’s contribution  
19      limitations and prohibition on corporate contributions.<sup>1</sup> The Responses argue that the Ad is part

<sup>1</sup> The Complaint also alleges that the Ad violates the Prince George's County Code, but the Commission makes no findings as to that allegation because it is outside of the Commission's jurisdiction. *See Compl.* at 1, 3-4 (addressing Todd M. Turner, Executive Director of the Prince George's County Office of Ethics & Accountability, as an additional recipient of the Complaint and alleging violations of the Prince George's County Code); *see also* Angela D. Alsobrooks & Alsobrooks for Senate Resp. at 1 n.1 (Feb. 14, 2024) ("Alsobrooks Resp.") (stating that the alleged violations of the Prince George's County Code "are not discussed in this Response because they are outside of the Commission's jurisdiction"); Prince George's County, Maryland Resp. at 1 n.1 (Sept. 24, 2024) ("Cty. Resp.") (same).

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 2 of 13

1 of a public awareness and education campaign for a local economic development project and are  
 2 not coordinated communications under Commission regulations.

3 The available information indicates that the Ad does not satisfy the content prong of the  
 4 coordinated communications test under Commission regulations. Accordingly, the Commission  
 5 dismisses the allegations that the County made excessive and prohibited in-kind contributions in  
 6 violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1) and 30118(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b) and 114.2(b), and  
 7 that Alsobrooks and the Alsobrooks Committee knowingly accepted such contributions in  
 8 violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(f) and 30118(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.9 and 114.2(d).

9 **II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

10 Angela D. Alsobrooks is the Senator-Elect for Maryland and was a candidate for the U.S.  
 11 Senate during the 2024 election cycle.<sup>2</sup> Alsobrooks announced her federal candidacy on May 9,  
 12 2023.<sup>3</sup> The Alsobrooks Committee is her principal campaign committee.<sup>4</sup> Prior to her election  
 13 to the U.S. Senate, Alsobrooks was the County Executive for Prince George's County.<sup>5</sup> The  
 14 County is located in the State of Maryland and borders Washington, D.C.

15 The Blue Line Corridor is an ongoing transit-oriented development project for public-  
 16 private investment in a five-mile area along the Washington Metrorail system (the "Metro") in

---

<sup>2</sup> See MD. STATE BD. OF ELECTIONS, OFFICIAL 2024 PRESIDENTIAL GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS FOR U.S. SENATOR, [https://elections.maryland.gov/elections/2024/General\\_Results/gen\\_results\\_2024\\_2.html](https://elections.maryland.gov/elections/2024/General_Results/gen_results_2024_2.html) (last updated Dec. 5, 2024, 2:20:03 PM); Angela Alsobrooks, Amended Statement of Candidacy at 1 (Apr. 12, 2024).

<sup>3</sup> Angela Alsobrooks, Statement of Candidacy at 1 (May 9, 2023); see Press Release, Gina Ford, Communications Director, Alsobrooks for Senate, Angela Alsobrooks Launches Campaign to Represent Maryland in U.S. Senate (May 9, 2023).

<sup>4</sup> Alsobrooks for Senate, Amended Statement of Organization at 2 (Apr. 12, 2024).

<sup>5</sup> See Alsobrooks Resp. at 2 ("Angela Alsobrooks was first elected as the County Executive of Prince George's County, Maryland in 2018 and was re-elected to a second term in 2022."); Cty. Resp. at 2 (same). Alsobrooks resigned as Prince George's County Executive on December 2, 2024. Letter from Angela D. Alsobrooks, Cty. Exec., Prince George's Cty., to Wes Moore, Governor, Maryland (Dec. 2, 2024), <https://www.princegeorgescountymd.gov/sites/default/files/media-document/Alsobrooks%20Resignation%20Letter.pdf>.

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 3 of 13

1 the County.<sup>6</sup> The project appears to have been planned since at least 2021, when the County  
 2 Executive's Office published the Prince George's County Economic Development Platform (the  
 3 "County Development Platform") describing the Blue Line Corridor as an "anchor initiative."<sup>7</sup>  
 4 The Blue Line Corridor is funded by \$400 million in state bonds appropriated in 2022,<sup>8</sup> as well  
 5 as federal grants and private investment, with reported investments totaling over \$1 billion.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., Lateshia Beachum & Erin Cox, *\$400 Million Investment Approved for Redevelopment near FedEx Field*, WASH. POST (Jan. 25, 2023), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/dc-md-va/2023/01/25/blue-line-alsobrooks-prince-georges/>.

<sup>7</sup> CTY. EXEC. ANGELA D. ALSOBROOKS, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PLATFORM 22 (June 2021); *see also* Rachel Chason, *Pr. George's Officials Say Long-Awaited Transformation is Coming*, WASH. POST (Apr. 18, 2022), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/dc-md-va/2022/04/18/prince-georges-blue-line/> (cited in Alsobrooks Resp. at 2 n.4; and Cty. Resp. at 2 n.2) ("The development of Alsobrooks's current plan dates back at least to 2020[.]"); Cty. Resp. at 2 ("The project's vision is a consolidation of over 15 different community planning processes dating back to 2010.").

<sup>8</sup> See H.B. 897, 2022 Leg., 444th Sess. (Md. 2022). The appropriations bill was approved by then-Governor Lawrence J. Hogan, Jr. on April 12, 2022. See Alsobrooks Resp. at 2; Cty. Resp. at 2; *see also* Chason, *supra* note 7 ("During last year's [2021] session, the county secured about \$17 million in state funding for the project." (alteration added)). The Maryland Board of Public Works approved the Maryland Stadium Authority to issue the bonds in January 2023. *Prince George's County Blue Line Corridor Sports and Entertainment Facilities – Feasibility Study & Design/Build Services*, MD. STADIUM AUTH., <https://mdstad.com/projects/prince-georges-county-blue-line-corridor-sports-and-entertainment-facilities-feasibility> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024); *see* Alsobrooks Resp. at 2; Cty. Resp. at 2.

<sup>9</sup> See Lateshia Beachum, *Washington-Area Trails Receive \$25 Million for Construction and Renewal*, WASH. POST (July 24, 2023), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/dc-md-va/2023/07/24/federal-grant-washington-trails/>; *Prince George's Secures Historic Investment Blue Line Corridor from Minority Developers*, MD. ASS'N CTYS. (Mar. 1, 2023), <https://conduitstreet.mdcounties.org/2023/03/01/prince-georges-secures-historic-investment-blue-line-corridor-from-minority-developers/>; Alsobrooks Highlights Blue Line Corridor Project's Minority Developers, WASH. INFORMER (Feb. 28, 2023), <https://www.washingtoninformer.com/alsobrooks-highlights-blue-line-corridor-projects-minority-developers/>.

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 4 of 13

1       “Strengthening Prince George’s” is a County-funded public awareness campaign for the

2       Blue Line Corridor.<sup>10</sup> An advertising agency, Hart, Inc.,<sup>11</sup> registered “strengtheningpgc.com” as

3       a domain name on August 21, 2023.<sup>12</sup> The website states the following:

4           Strengthening Prince George’s is County Executive Angela  
 5           Alsobrooks’ vision to build the commercial tax base by focusing  
 6           economic development around transit hubs in the County. She and  
 7           many other Prince George’s leaders are securing investments that  
 8           will bring new jobs, affordable housing, and amenities for all  
 9           Prince Georgians, because Strengthening Prince George’s begins  
 10          with the people who already call it home.<sup>13</sup>

11       The “Strengthening Prince George’s” website links to pages describing proposed

12       facilities for the Blue Line Corridor and embeds related project illustrations from the County

13       Development Platform.<sup>14</sup> The website provides the official government email and building

14       address for the County Executive’s Office as contact information<sup>15</sup> and links to the County’s

<sup>10</sup>       See Alsobrooks Resp. at 2; Cty. Resp. at 2.

<sup>11</sup>       See Compl. at 2; *About*, HART, INC., <https://www.hartinc.com/about/> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024).

<sup>12</sup>       Compl. at 2 (citing *strengtheningpgc.com*, WHOIS, <https://www.whois.com/whois/strengtheningpgc.com> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024)); *see Registration Data Lookup Tool*, ICANN, <https://lookup.icann.org/en/lookup> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (search “strengtheningpgc.com”); *see also* Alsobrooks Resp. at 2 (“As part of this campaign, the County created a website, <https://strengtheningpgc.com>, which provided an overview of the planned development projects and their economic impact on the County.”); Cty. Resp. at 2 (same).

<sup>13</sup>       *About*, STRENGTHENING PRINCE GEORGE’S, <https://strengtheningpgc.com/about> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (cited in Compl. at 2). The statement is located at the bottom of each page of the website. *See STRENGTHENING PRINCE GEORGE’S*, <https://strengtheningpgc.com/> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024). The same statement is reproduced verbatim on the County Executive Office’s official website, which also links to [www.strengtheningpgc.com](http://www.strengtheningpgc.com). *See Strengthening Prince George’s*, PRINCE GEORGE’S CTY., MD., <https://www.princegeorgescountymd.gov/departments-offices/county-executive/strengthening-prince-georges> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024).

<sup>14</sup>       *See generally* STRENGTHENING PRINCE GEORGE’S, <https://strengtheningpgc.com/> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (follow hyperlinks under “Projects”).

<sup>15</sup>       *See Contact*, STRENGTHENING PRINCE GEORGE’S, <https://strengtheningpgc.com/contact> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (cited in Compl. at 2) (providing “Strengthening Prince George’s” contact information as “countyexecutive@co.pg.md.us” and “1301 McCormick Drive[,] Largo, MD 20774”); *Office of the County Executive*, PRINCE GEORGE’S CTY., MD., <https://www.princegeorgescountymd.gov/staff-directory/office-county-executive> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (providing County Executive’s Office contact information as “countyexecutive@co.pg.md.us” and “1301 McCormick Drive[,] Suite 4000[,] Largo, MD 20774”).

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 5 of 13

1 official social media accounts.<sup>16</sup> The County's official Facebook page posted videos related to  
 2 the "Strengthening Prince George's" campaign from August 16, 2023 through March 13, 2024.<sup>17</sup>  
 3 The County Executive Office's YouTube page also includes a playlist of twenty-four videos  
 4 related to the "Strengthening Prince George's" campaign dating back to October 4, 2023.<sup>18</sup>

5 "Strengthening Prince George's" appears to have been promoted by an advertisement  
 6 (the "Ad") that aired on cable, radio, digital streaming, and social media platforms from  
 7 August 2023 to October 2023.<sup>19</sup> The Ad, which intercuts project illustrations from the County  
 8 Development Platform with apparent stock footage scenes, includes the following voice-over:

9 Everybody wants to do big things. But big things are the result of  
 10 hundreds of small things done well every day. And that takes  
 11 vision. County Executive Angela Alsobrooks's vision is of a  
 12 Prince George's County where everyone prospers. Which is why  
 13 she's teamed up with other County leaders to put the focus of more  
 14 than \$1 billion of public-private investment where it belongs: on  
 15 the people who live here. Because change only matters if you're a  
 16 part of it. Strengthening Prince George's. Progress. Growth.  
 17 Community.<sup>20</sup>

---

<sup>16</sup> STRENGTHENING PRINCE GEORGE'S, *supra* note 15 (follow Facebook and X hyperlinks); *see* Prince Georges County Government e-Community, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/PrinceGeorgesMD/> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024); PrinceGeorgesMD (@PrinceGeorgesMD), X, <https://x.com/PrinceGeorgesMD> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024).

<sup>17</sup> *See generally* Prince Georges County Government e-Community, *Videos*, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/PrinceGeorgesMD/videos> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024).

<sup>18</sup> *See generally* Prince George's County Executive, *Strengthening Prince George's*, YOUTUBE, <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLjE4s2cEQfNwrLUEw7gi7ojZPRpreaEKR> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) ("Explore the key economic development initiatives led by The Alsobrooks Administration in Prince George's County.").

<sup>19</sup> *See* Alsobrooks Resp. at 2; Cty. Resp. at 2; *see also* Compl. at 2 (alleging that the Ad "began running on cable outlets and social media" "[o]n or about September 29, 2023"). The Ad is available on the County's website. *See* PRINCE GEORGE'S CTY., MD., *supra* note 13.

<sup>20</sup> Compl. at 2-3 (quoting @princegeorgescountyexecutive, *Strengthening Prince George's*, YOUTUBE (Oct. 4, 2023), <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/xeTBEk3LjR8>); *see also* Alsobrooks Resp. at 3 (transcribing voiceover and describing corresponding images in Ad); Cty. Resp. at 3 (same). The final shot of the Ad includes text stating "strengtheningpgc.com" and a QR code linking to the website. *See* Alsobrooks Resp. at 3; Cty. Resp. at 3.

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 6 of 13

1        The Blue Line Corridor appears to have been described as Alsobrooks's "vision" since  
 2        the release of the County Development Platform in 2021.<sup>21</sup> This description has been repeated in  
 3        official government statements and news stories related to the Blue Line Corridor.<sup>22</sup> For  
 4        example, at an April 13, 2022 news conference with Alsobrooks at the Downtown Largo Metro  
 5        station, then-Governor Lawrence J. Hogan, Jr. described the Blue Line Corridor as "the County  
 6        Executive's . . . bold new vision for this area."<sup>23</sup>

7        The Complaint alleges that the Ad constitutes an in-kind contribution from the County  
 8        because it "contain[s] the functional equivalent of express advocacy" and has "no reasonable  
 9        interpretation other than as an appeal to support" Alsobrooks's federal candidacy.<sup>24</sup> In support  
 10       of its allegations, the Complaint argues that the Ad "has been running on outlets favored by  
 11       Democratic primary voters like MSNBC and CNN — but not on those unlikely to reach

---

<sup>21</sup>        See CTY. EXEC. ANGELA D. ALSO BROOKS, *supra* note 7, at 2 ("This platform represents the County Executive's vision for a new way of doing business in Prince George's County.").

<sup>22</sup>        See, e.g., MD. STADIUM AUTH., *supra* note 8 ("Prince George's County anticipates that all other proposed facilities would be constructed on land owned by the county and/or of public and quasi-public partners, all of whom were partners in creating the Blue Line Corridor vision."); Chason, *supra* note 7 ("But what is different this year is that there is a 'unified vision' behind the Blue Line revitalization efforts that's shared by the Alsobrooks administration, county council, legislative team and business community, said David Harrington, the outgoing president of the Prince George's Chamber of Commerce.").

<sup>23</sup>        Gov. Larry Hogan, *Blue Line Corridor Project - April 13, 2022* at 2:29, YOUTUBE (Apr. 13, 2022), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gDD99msxPvU>; see Chason, *supra* note 7. Hogan was Alsobrooks's opponent in the 2024 Maryland general election for U.S. Senate. See Larry Hogan, Statement of Candidacy at 1 (Mar. 6, 2024); MD. STATE BD. OF ELECTIONS, *supra* note 2.

<sup>24</sup>        Compl. at 3.

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 7 of 13

1 Democratic primary voters, like Fox News.”<sup>25</sup> The Complaint also asserts that the Ad contrasts  
 2 with previous County-funded advertising campaigns.<sup>26</sup>

3 The Complaint argues that the County is subject to the Act’s limitations and prohibitions  
 4 on contributions and the County’s spending on the Ad exceeded the \$3,300 contribution limit.<sup>27</sup>  
 5 The Complaint requests that the Commission investigate whether Alsobrooks directed the  
 6 County to spend funds for the Ad or coordinated the “Strengthening Prince George’s”  
 7 advertising campaign with the County and take action to prevent future County spending in  
 8 violation of the Act and Commission regulations.<sup>28</sup>

9 Alsobrooks and the Alsobrooks Committee filed a joint response; the County filed a  
 10 substantively similar response.<sup>29</sup> In addition to detailing the Blue Line Corridor project and the  
 11 related “Strengthening Prince George’s” advertising campaign, both Responses acknowledge

---

<sup>25</sup> *Id.* The Complaint also alleges that “[s]imilar advertisements are running on social media platforms like Facebook and Instagram,” but these ads are unavailable for our review. *Id.* (citing META AD LIBRARY, <https://www.facebook.com/ads/library/?id=6826133040766200> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (“This ad is no longer available[.]”)). The Complaint quotes the social media ads as stating the following: “Jobs. Housing. Amenities. Angela Alsobrooks is making sure \$1 billion in economic investments include everyone.” *Id.* The Responses do not substantively address the social media ads, stating only that “[t]o the extent an ad with such text was in fact disseminated by the County, . . . the Commission should find no reason to believe a violation of the Act has occurred and should dismiss the Complaint.” Alsobrooks Resp. at 2 n.6; *accord* Cty. Resp. at 2 n.4. Because the social media ads are unavailable, the Commission limits its analysis of the alleged violations to the Ad discussed above.

<sup>26</sup> The Complaint states, “in the past, the County has run advertisements under the brand ‘Experience Prince George’s County,’ which are plainly crafted to encourage tourism, business location, and residence, with lower production values — and without mentioning Ms. Alsobrooks.” Compl. at 3 (citing Experience Prince Georges, *Prince George’s County, MD Experience, Expand, Explore!*, YOUTUBE (Oct. 29, 2014), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=evFmSwsPPL0>). Experience Prince George’s is a marketing organization independent of the County. *See Business Entity Search, MD. DEP’T OF ASSESSMENTS & TAX’N*, <https://egov.maryland.gov/BusinessExpress/EntitySearch> (search “Experience Prince George’s” in field “Business Name”) (last visited Dec. 17, 2024).

<sup>27</sup> Compl. at 4 (citing Advisory Opinion 2002-05 at 4 n.8 (Hutchinson) (“AO 2002-05”); and AO 1999-07 at 2 n.3 (Minnesota Secretary of State)); *id.* at 5.

<sup>28</sup> Compl. at 1, 5-6. The Complaint contends that “the public interest requires the County immediately to pull the advertisements” “or at least to remove their references to Ms. Alsobrooks,” *id.* at 5-6, and that “if the County continues to run the ads in their present form, the County would invite a knowing and willful violation, and potential criminal liability,” *id.* at 6.

<sup>29</sup> Compare Alsobrooks Resp. with Cty. Resp.

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 8 of 13

1 that the County paid for the Ad.<sup>30</sup> However, the Responses deny the allegations and assert that,  
 2 because the Ad does not satisfy the content or conduct prongs of the Commission regulations on  
 3 coordinated communications, it is not an in-kind contribution prohibited under the Act.<sup>31</sup> The  
 4 Responses also request that the Commission dismiss the allegations.<sup>32</sup>

5 **III. LEGAL ANALYSIS**

6 The Act prohibits corporations from making contributions to federal candidates, and  
 7 prohibits candidates, political committees (other than independent expenditure-only political  
 8 committees and committees with hybrid accounts), and other persons from knowingly accepting  
 9 or receiving corporate contributions.<sup>33</sup> The Act also prohibits any person from making excessive  
 10 contributions to any candidate or candidate's authorized committee, and prohibits candidate  
 11 committees from knowingly accepting excessive contributions.<sup>34</sup>

12 Under the Act, a "contribution" includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit  
 13 of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election  
 14 for Federal office."<sup>35</sup> The term "anything of value" includes "all in-kind contributions."<sup>36</sup> An  
 15 in-kind contribution is an expenditure made by any person in "cooperation, consultation, or  
 16 concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate, [her or] his authorized political

---

<sup>30</sup> Alsobrooks Resp. at 2; Cty. Resp. at 2.

<sup>31</sup> Alsobrooks Resp. at 2-6; Cty. Resp. at 2-5.

<sup>32</sup> Alsobrooks Resp. at 6; Cty. Resp. at 6.

<sup>33</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); *accord* 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(a), (d).

<sup>34</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A), (f); *accord* 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b), 110.9. For the 2024 election cycle, contributions by persons (other than multicandidate committees) to any candidate and the candidate's authorized committees were limited to \$3,300 per election. Price Index Adjustments for Contribution and Expenditure Limitations and Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold, 88 Fed. Reg. 7,088, 7,090 (Feb. 2, 2023).

<sup>35</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A); *accord* 11 C.F.R. § 100.52.

<sup>36</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d).

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 9 of 13

1       committees, or their agents.”<sup>37</sup> A communication that is coordinated with a candidate or the  
 2       candidate’s committee is considered an in-kind contribution to that candidate or committee and  
 3       subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act and Commission  
 4       regulations.<sup>38</sup>

5           Commission regulations provide a three-part test for determining whether a  
 6       communication is coordinated.<sup>39</sup> A communication is coordinated if it: (1) is paid for by a  
 7       person other than the candidate, authorized committee, or political party committee (the  
 8       “payment prong”);<sup>40</sup> (2) satisfies a content standard under 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c) (the “content  
 9       prong”);<sup>41</sup> and (3) satisfies a conduct standard under 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(d) (the “conduct  
 10       prong”).<sup>42</sup> All three prongs must be satisfied for a communication to be coordinated under  
 11       Commission regulations.<sup>43</sup> As discussed below, the available information indicates that the Ad  
 12       does not satisfy the content prong of the coordinated communications test.

---

<sup>37</sup>       52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(7)(B)(i); *accord* 11 C.F.R. § 109.20(a).

<sup>38</sup>       See 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(7)(B)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(a), (b); *see also id.* § 100.52(d).

<sup>39</sup>       11 C.F.R. § 109.21(a)-(b).

<sup>40</sup>       *Id.* § 109.21(a)(1).

<sup>41</sup>       *Id.* § 109.21(c).

<sup>42</sup>       The conduct standards listed in 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(d) are: (1) request or suggestion; (2) material involvement; (3) substantial discussion; (4) common vendor; (5) former employee; and (6) republication.

<sup>43</sup>       11 C.F.R. § 109.21(a); *see* Explanation and Justification for Coordinated and Independent Expenditures, 68 Fed. Reg. 421, 453 (Jan. 3, 2003).

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 10 of 13

1        To satisfy the content prong, a communication must be (1) an electioneering  
 2 communication;<sup>44</sup> (2) a public communication that disseminates, distributes, or republishes, in  
 3 whole or in part, campaign material prepared by a candidate or the candidate's authorized  
 4 committee; (3) a public communication that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a  
 5 clearly identified candidate for Federal office; (4) a public communication that refers to a clearly  
 6 identified House or Senate candidate and is publicly distributed or otherwise publicly  
 7 disseminated in that candidate's jurisdiction 90 days or fewer before the election in which that  
 8 candidate is participating; or (5) a public communication that is the functional equivalent of  
 9 express advocacy.<sup>45</sup> The Ad does not satisfy any of these standards.

10       The available information does not indicate that the Ad or other "Strengthening Prince  
 11 George's" ads were publicly distributed or disseminated within 30 days before the Maryland  
 12 Primary Election held on May 14, 2024, within 60 days before the Maryland General Election  
 13 held on November 5, 2024, or within 90 days of either election.<sup>46</sup> According to the Responses,  
 14 the Ad was broadcast on cable, radio, and online video and social media platforms from

---

<sup>44</sup>       11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c)(1). An "electioneering communication" means "any broadcast, cable, or satellite communication that: (1) refers to a clearly identified candidate for Federal office; (2) is publicly distributed within 60 days before a general election for the office sought by the candidate; or within 30 days before a primary or preference election, or a convention or caucus of a political party that has authority to nominate a candidate, for the office sought by the candidate, and the candidate referenced is seeking the nomination of that political party; and (3) is targeted to the relevant electorate, in the case of a candidate for Senate or the House of Representatives." *Id.* § 100.29(a). "[C]ommunications over the Internet" are exempt from the definition of "electioneering communication." *Id.* § 100.29(c)(1).

<sup>45</sup>       11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c)(2)-(5); *see also* 52 U.S.C. § 30101(22) (defining "public communication" as a "communication by means of any broadcast, cable, or satellite communication, newspaper, magazine, outdoor advertising facility, mass mailing, or telephone bank to the general public, or any other form of general public political advertising."); 11 C.F.R. § 100.26 (explaining that "the term *general public political advertising* shall not include communications over the Internet, except communications placed for a fee on another person's Web site").

<sup>46</sup>       *See* 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.29(a)(2), 109.21(c)(4)(i).

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 11 of 13

1 August 2023 through October 2023.<sup>47</sup> There is no information that indicates the County publicly  
2 disseminated the Ad or similar ads after this period.

3 The Ad also does not appear to disseminate, distribute, or republish campaign materials  
4 prepared by Alsobrooks or the Alsobrooks Committee.<sup>48</sup> The Ad reproduces project illustrations  
5 from the County Development Platform,<sup>49</sup> which the County Executive's Office published  
6 approximately two years before Alsobrooks announced her federal candidacy.<sup>50</sup> The Responses  
7 deny that Alsobrooks or the Alsobrooks Committee prepared any materials in the Ad,<sup>51</sup> and the  
8 available information does not indicate that the Ad is similar to any campaign materials prepared  
9 by the Alsobrooks Committee.<sup>52</sup>

10 The Ad also does not expressly advocate for Alsobrooks's election or the defeat of her  
11 electoral opponents; nor does it contain the functional equivalent of express advocacy.<sup>53</sup> A  
12 communication contains express advocacy if (1) it uses words, phrases, or slogans that "in  
13 context can have no other reasonable meaning than to urge the election or defeat of one or more  
14 clearly identified candidate(s)" or (2) "[t]he electoral portion of the communication is

---

<sup>47</sup> *Supra* note 19 and accompanying text.

<sup>48</sup> See 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c)(2).

<sup>49</sup> *Supra* note 20 and accompanying text.

<sup>50</sup> See *supra* notes 3, 7 and accompanying text.

<sup>51</sup> Alsobrooks Resp. at 4; Cty. Resp. at 4.

<sup>52</sup> See, e.g., Angela Alsobrooks (@AlsobrooksforMD2024), YOUTUBE, <https://www.youtube.com/@AlsobrooksforMD2024> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024); GOOGLE ADS TRANSPARENCY CTR., <https://adstransparency.google.com/advertiser/AR17664781780676247553?topic=political&region=US> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (showing all ads paid for by Alsobrooks for Senate since May 11, 2023); META AD LIBRARY, [https://business.facebook.com/ads/library/?active\\_status=all&ad\\_type=political\\_and\\_issue\\_ads&country=US&is\\_targeted\\_country=false&media\\_type=all&search\\_type=page&source=fb-logo&start\\_date\[min\]=2023-05-09&start\\_date\[max\]&view\\_all\\_page\\_id=103171994635775](https://business.facebook.com/ads/library/?active_status=all&ad_type=political_and_issue_ads&country=US&is_targeted_country=false&media_type=all&search_type=page&source=fb-logo&start_date[min]=2023-05-09&start_date[max]&view_all_page_id=103171994635775) (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) (showing all ads paid for by Alsobrooks for Senate since May 9, 2023). But see also The Economy, ALSO BROOKS FOR SENATE, <https://www.angelaalsobrooks.com/priority/the-economy> (last visited Dec. 17, 2024) ("She has attracted more than a billion dollars to the Blue Line Corridor[.]").

<sup>53</sup> See 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c)(3), (5).

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 12 of 13

1     unmistakable, unambiguous, and suggestive of only one meaning; and . . . [r]easonable minds  
 2     could not differ as to whether it encourages actions to elect or defeat one or more clearly  
 3     identified candidate or encourages some other kind of action.”<sup>54</sup>

4                 The Ad states that “County Executive Angela Alsobrooks’s vision is of a Prince George’s  
 5     County where everyone prospers” and that “she’s teamed up with other County leaders to put the  
 6     focus of more than \$1 billion of public-private investment where it belongs: on the people who  
 7     live here.”<sup>55</sup> The Ad could thus be interpreted as beneficial to Alsobrooks’s federal candidacy  
 8     by raising her public profile. However, in context, the Ad has a reasonable meaning other than  
 9     express advocacy. The purpose of the “Strengthening Prince George’s” advertising campaign  
 10    appears to be to inform County residents of “\$1 billion of public-private investment” in the Blue  
 11    Line Corridor and its related projects.<sup>56</sup> The Ad does not refer to Alsobrooks’s status as a federal  
 12    candidate, identify her electoral opponents, or contain any apparent electoral content regarding  
 13    her candidacy.<sup>57</sup> Insofar as the Ad refers to Alsobrooks, it does so only in her capacity as  
 14    County Executive for Prince George’s County.<sup>58</sup> Additionally, the Blue Line Corridor has been

---

<sup>54</sup>                 *Id.* § 100.22.

<sup>55</sup>                 *Supra* note 20 and accompanying text; *see also supra* note 25 (“Angela Alsobrooks is making sure \$1 billion in economic investments include everyone.”).

<sup>56</sup>                 *Supra* note 20 and accompanying text; *see supra* note 9 and accompanying text.

<sup>57</sup>                 See Factual & Legal Analysis (“F&LA”) at 7-9, MUR 6376 (Lori Edwards) (dismissing allegations where a county officeholder and simultaneous federal candidate appeared in public service announcements disseminated by the county because the communications did not focus on her role as a federal candidate and did not contain any electoral content regarding her candidacy); Statement of Reasons (“SOR”), Chairman Lenhard & Comm’rs. Von Spakovsky, Walther & Weintraub at 2-3, MUR 5770 (Laffey US Senate, *et al.*) (dismissing allegations where a city mayor and simultaneous federal candidate was identified in communications disseminated by the city listing accomplishments achieved by the city while the candidate was mayor). *But see* F&LA at 3, MUR 5410 (Oberweis) (finding content prong satisfied despite ad not clearly identifying individual as a federal candidate or containing any political message).

<sup>58</sup>                 *See supra* note 20 and accompanying text.

MUR 8189 (Angela D. Alsobrooks, *et al.*)

Factual and Legal Analysis

Page 13 of 13

1 described as Alsobrooks's "vision" since at least 2021, two years before Alsobrooks announced  
2 her federal candidacy.<sup>59</sup>

3 Therefore, the Ad does not satisfy the content prong of the coordinated communications  
4 test. Because the content prong is not satisfied, the Commission does not reach the issue of  
5 whether the Ad satisfies the conduct prong.<sup>60</sup> Additionally, because the ads are not coordinated  
6 communications, they did not result in excessive and prohibited in-kind contributions.

7 Accordingly, the Commission dismisses the allegations that the County made excessive and  
8 prohibited in-kind contributions in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1) and 30118(a) and  
9 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b) and 114.2(b), and that Alsobrooks and the Alsobrooks Committee  
10 knowingly accepted such contributions in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(f) and 30118(a) and  
11 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.9 and 114.2(d).

---

<sup>59</sup> *See supra* notes 3, 21-23 and accompanying text.

<sup>60</sup> *See supra* note 42 and accompanying text.