

VIA ELECTRONIC AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Kelly Lawler The KAL Group January 17, 2024

Hilmar, CA 95324 kellylawler@thekalgroup.com

RE: MUR 8106

Dear Ms. Lawler:

On January 30, 2023, the Federal Election Commission notified John Duarte for Congress and you in your official capacity as treasurer of a Complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On January 10, 2024, based on the information provided in the Complaint and information provided by you, the Commission determined to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations contained in the Complaint. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). If you have any questions, please contact Justine A. di Giovanni, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Wanda D. Brown

BY: Wanda D. Brown

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:

General Counsel's Report

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2 3	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT			
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MUR 8106 Complaint Receipt Date: Jan. 24,	-	John Duarte for Congress and Kelly Lawler in her official capacity as treasurer Matt Stoll for Congress and Jen Slater in her official capacity as treasurer John Duarte Matthew Stoll	
12	Response Dates: Feb. 14, 2023			
13				
14 15 16 17 18 19	Alleged Statutory and Regulatory Violations:	18 U.S.C. § 201 18 U.S.C. § 597 26 U.S.C. § 9012 (e) 26 U.S.C. § 9042 (b), (d) 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3) 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)		
20	The Complaint alleges that John Duarte, a candidate in California's 13th Congressional			
21	District in 2022, bribed his opponents to withdraw from the primary election, and that Duarte is the			
22	subject of an FBI investigation into that conduct. ² Duarte's principal campaign committee is John			
23	Duarte for Congress and Kelly Lawler in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Duarte			
24	Committee"). ³ According to the Complaint, Duarte allegedly promised to make a \$2,900			
25	contribution to one of his opponents, Matt Stoll, and provide him with an endorsement if Stoll			
26	changed his candidacy from the 13th District to the 21st District, which Stoll did on March 7,			

This Respondent filed a request to terminate prior to the filing of the Complaint in this matter, which the Commission accepted on July 25, 2022. Matt Stoll for Congress, Termination Report (July 7, 2022), https://doc_query.fec.gov/pdf/412/202207159518702412/202207159518702412.pdf; Letter from Mary Seiler, Campaign Fin. Analyst, FEC, to Jen Slater, Treasurer, Matt Stoll for Congress re: Termination Report (05/19/2022 – 06/30/2022) (July 25, 2022), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/459/202207250300147459/202207250300147459.pdf.

² Compl. at 1 (Jan. 24, 2023).

³ John Duarte for Congress, Amended Statement of Organization at 2 (June 6, 2023), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/574/202306069581747574/202306069581747574.pdf.

MUR 8106 (John Duarte for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 2 of 4

- 1 2022. Stoll's authorized committee, Matt Stoll for Congress and Jen Slater in her official capacity
- 2 as treasurer (the "Stoll Committee"), filed a disclosure report reflecting a \$2,900 contribution from
- 3 Duarte received March 25, 2022.⁵ The Complaint asserts that another of Duarte's opponents, David
- 4 Giglio, told the FBI that Duarte had met with him and attempted to intimidate Giglio to drop out of
- 5 the race. There is no record of Duarte making a contribution to Giglio's authorized committee.
- 6 The Complaint further claims that the FBI is investigating whether Duarte also offered a bribe to a
- 7 further opponent, Elizabeth Heng, as the Complaint states that Heng "quietly withdrew from the
- 8 race . . . without any public comment" despite her prior insistence on running, even without the
- 9 support of local Republican leadership.⁸ There is no record of Duarte making a contribution to
- 10 Heng's authorized committee.⁹
- Duarte and the Duarte Committee filed a joint Response denying the allegations and stating
- that, as reflected in the committees' 2022 Pre-Primary and April Quarterly Reports, which pre-dated
- the June 7, 2022 primary election, the Duarte Committee had significantly more cash on hand than
- either Stoll's or Heng's authorized committees, and that, "[a]s a result, some candidates elected to
- drop out and re-file their candidacy in other districts, presumably for a higher likelihood of

Compl. at 1. *Compare* Matthew Stoll, Amended Statement of Candidacy (Feb. 21, 2022), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/140/202202219493671140.pdf (reflecting that Stoll was running for office in California's 13th District) *with* Matthew Stoll, Amended Statement of Candidacy (Mar. 7, 2022), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/094/202203079493743094/202203079493743094.pdf (reflecting that Stoll was running for office in California's 21st District).

⁵ Compl. at 2.

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ FEC Receipts: Filtered Results, FEC.GOV, https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/?data_type=processed&comm <a href="https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/receipts/?data/

⁸ Compl. at 2-3.

FEC Receipts: Filtered Results, FEC.GOV, https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/?data_type=processed&committee_id=C00771824&contributor_name=Duarte (last visited Dec. 20, 2023) (reflecting no contributions from any individuate named "Duarte" to Heng for Congress).

MUR 8106 (John Duarte for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 3 of 4

1 success."¹⁰ Duarte and the Duarte Committee confirm that Duarte made the \$2,900 contribution

2 discussed in the Complaint, but state that it was "legally permissible" for him to do so. 11 The joint

Response states that the contribution could not have violated 18 U.S.C. § 201(b) because that

4 provision prohibits individuals from corruptly providing funds to "any public official or person who

has been selected to be a public official," which none of Stoll, Heng, or Duarte's other opponents

was at the time of the alleged conduct. 12 The joint Response further states that Duarte's

contribution could not have violated 18 U.S.C. § 597 because that provision prohibits offering,

making, soliciting, accepting, or receiving an "expenditure . . . either to vote or withhold [a] vote, or

to vote for or against any candidate," and encouraging a candidate to withdraw from a race is not

within those terms. 13

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Stoll and the Stoll Committee filed separate Responses. Stoll's Response states that he received the Duarte contribution, and that he "ran where [he] intended to run as a congressional candidate." The Stoll Committee's Response states in its entirety that "[a]ll donations received by the [Stoll Committee] were compliant with FEC laws." 15

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the

Duarte & Duarte Comm. Resp. at 1 (Feb. 14, 2023).

¹¹ *Id.* at 2.

¹² *Id*.

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ Stoll Resp. at 1 (Feb. 14, 2023).

¹⁵ Stoll Comm. Resp. at 1 (Feb. 14, 2023).

MUR 8106 (John Duarte for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 4 of 4

1 electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in 2 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, we 3 recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's 4 5 prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. 16 We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents and send 6 the appropriate letters. 7 8 9 Lisa J. Stevenson 10 Acting General Counsel 11 12 13 Charles Kitcher 14 15 Associate General Counsel 16 17 December 21, 2023 BY: 18 19 Date Claudio J. Pavia 20 Deputy Associate General Counsel 21 22 Wanda D. Brown 23 24 Wanda Brown 25 **Assistant General Counsel**

Justine A. di Giovanni

Attorney

16

26 27 28

29 30