

April 25, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC AND CERTIFIED MAIL

Caleb Slater

Liverpool, NY 13090

RE: MUR 8054

Steve Wells for Congress

Dear Mr. Slater:

This is in reference to the complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission on August 18, 2022, concerning Steve Wells for Congress. Based on that complaint, after considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission determined to dismiss this matter and close the file on March 26, 2024.

The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed. Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016).

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Wanda Brown P

BY: Wanda D. Brown

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure General Counsel's Report

1 2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
3	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT			
5 6 7 8 9	MUR: 8054	Respondents:		
10 11 12	Complaint Receipt Date: August 18 Response Date: September 1, 2022	3, 2022		
13 14 15	EPS Rating:			
16 17 18	Alleged Statutory/ Regulatory Violations:		52 U.S.C. § 30120(a) 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(1), (b)(1)	
19	The Complaint alleges that St	eve Wells for Congress	and Janna Rutland in her official	
20	capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), the principal campaign committee of Steven M. Wells, a			
21	2022 candidate in New York's 22nd Congressional District, and Benedicte Doran, Chairwoman of			
22	the Onondaga County Republican Party and paid Committee staffer who allegedly acted on behalf			
23	of the Committee, produced and distr	ibuted a mailer without	a required disclaimer, in violation of	
24	the Federal Election Campaign Act of	f 1971, as amended (the	e "Act"). ² The Complaint includes a	
25	copy of the mailer at issue which asks	s the recipient to "Vote	for Steve Wells" in the Republican	
26	Primary and states that Wells has bee	n endorsed by all Repul	blican county chairs in the district,	
27	including Doran, along with a persona	alized note "From the D	Desk of Benedicte Doran." ³	
28	In response, Respondents adm	nit that "the mailer in qu	nestion lacked the appropriate 'Paid for	
29	by Steve Wells for Congress' disclair	mer," but claim that the	omission was the result of "vendor	

² Compl. at 1 (Aug. 11, 2022).

³ *Id.*, Attach. at 1-2. The mailer appears to list Doran's name and address as the sender. *Id.*

MUR 8054 (Steve Wells for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 2 of 3

1 error." Respondents state that the production was managed by two vendors "who do not normally

2 produce mailers for federal campaigns." According to Respondents, the total cost of producing

3 and distributing the mailer was \$3,399.84.6 The Committee disclosed a disbursement of \$3,399.84

to IMS, Inc. for "Printing/Postage" on its 2022 October Quarterly Report. Respondents request

that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the Complaint.⁸

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and apparent low dollar amount at issue we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint, consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. We also recommend that the Commission close the file and send the appropriate letters.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

¹⁸

Resp. at 1 (Sept. 1, 2022) ("However, the mailer featured the Wells campaign logo on both sides along with a photo of Mr. Wells.").

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ Steve Wells for Congress, 2022 October Quarterly Report at 51 (Oct. 15, 2022), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/822/202210159532800822.pdf.

⁸ Resp. at 1-2.

⁹ *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).

MUR805400020

MUR 8054 (Steve Wells for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 3 of 3

1			Lisa J. Stevenson
2			Acting General Counsel
3			
4			
5			
6			Charles Kitcher
7			Associate General Counsel
8			1, 1)
9	Eshmany 20, 2024		laudio fais
10	February 29, 2024	BY:	
11	Date		Claudio J. Pavia
12			Deputy Associate General Counsel
13			. 1 1 = 2
14			Wanda D. Brown
15			
16			Wanda D. Brown
17			Assistant General Counsel
18			
19			On Low King
20			Gordon King
21			Gordon King
22			Attorney