



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20463

VIA EMAIL

tyron1991@hotmail.com

July 13, 2023

Rhonda Gore
2170 Co Rd. 138
Henagar, AL 35978

RE: MUR 7984

Dear Ms. Gore:

On April 28, 2022, the Federal Election Commission (“Commission”) notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On July 7, 2023, based on the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by you, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Rhonda Gore. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the General Counsel’s Report, which more fully explains the Commission’s decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters*, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). If you have any questions, please contact Rocelyn Halili, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1190.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson
Acting General Counsel

Wanda D. Brown

BY: Wanda D. Brown
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:
General Counsel’s Report

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM

DISMISSAL REPORT

MUR 7984

Respondents: Rhonda Gore
Rhonda Gore for District 4¹

Complaint Receipt Date: April 21, 2022

Response Date: May 26, 2022

Alleged Statutory/

Regulatory Violations: 52 U.S.C. § 30102(a), (b)(3), (e)(1), (g)
52 U.S.C. § 30103(c)
52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), (b)
52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)(1)
11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a)
11 C.F.R. § 100.26
11 C.F.R. § 102.15
11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(2)
11 C.F.R. § 102.7(a)-(b)
11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a)
11 C.F.R. § 110.11

The Complaint alleges several violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the “Act”), and Commission regulations by Rhonda Gore and her principal campaign committee, Rhonda Gore for District 4 (the “Committee”).² First, the Complaint alleges that the Committee failed to file disclosure reports, and that Gore failed to file a Statement of Candidacy after Gore exceeded the \$5,000 threshold for contributions received and expenditures made.³ Second, the Complaint asserts that the Committee failed to timely designate a new treasurer and

¹ On July 19, 2021, former committee treasurer James Michael Edmondson filed a Miscellaneous Form 99 resigning as treasurer. Rhonda Gore for District 4, Misc. Form 99 (July 19, 2021). The Committee has not filed an updated Statement of Organization designating a replacement. Rhonda Gore for District 4, Statement of Organization (June 7, 2021) (current Statement of Organization still listing Edmondson as treasurer). It is unclear whether the Committee is without a treasurer or if it has failed to timely update its Statement of Organization to reflect a new treasurer.

² Compl. at 1 (Apr. 21, 2022).

³ *Id.* The Complaint alleges, without citing any source, that Gore raised \$7,000 by February 11, 2022, thereby exceeding the \$5,000 threshold and triggering reporting obligations. *Id.*

MUR 7984 (Rhonda Gore for District 4, *et al.*)

EPS Dismissal Report

Page 2 of 4

1 update its official address with the Commission after its prior treasurer resigned in July 2021.⁴

2 Third, the Complaint contends that Gore received contributions into her personal accounts via

3 several payment platforms and therefore commingled campaign and personal funds.⁵ Lastly, the

4 Complaint alleges that the Committee failed to include disclaimers on unspecified campaign

5 materials.⁶

6 In response to the Complaint, Gore asserts that her campaign did not raise enough

7 contributions to obligate financial filings with the Commission.⁷ Specifically, she contends that her

8 campaign did not raise contributions in excess of \$5,000 during the election cycle and that the

9 Complaint was politically motivated.⁸ The Committee did not file a Response.

10 The Committee's total contributions and expenditures cannot be verified due to the lack of

11 reporting by the Committee, but Gore's personal Facebook page demonstrates some of her

12 fundraising efforts.⁹ Specifically, there are three postings on Gore's Facebook page, where she

13 requested \$10 donations from her supporters.¹⁰ As of December 5, 2022, Gore had 1,329

14 connections (a.k.a. "Friends") on Facebook.¹¹ Given the frequency of Gore's solicitations, the

15 number of her connections, and the low-dollar requests, any contributions raised through these

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.* A review of publicly available communications by the Committee reveals only a few campaign posters on Gore's personal Facebook page that did not include complete disclaimers. Rhonda L. Gore, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100073208409842> (solicitations posted on Mar. 9, 2022, Mar. 12, 2022, May 14, 2022) (last visited Mar. 24, 2023).

⁷ Gore Resp. at 1-2 (May 26, 2022).

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ Rhonda L. Gore, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100073208409842> (solicitations posted on Mar. 9, 2022, Mar. 12, 2022, May 14, 2022) (last visited Mar. 24, 2023).

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.*

MUR 7984 (Rhonda Gore for District 4, *et al.*)

EPS Dismissal Report

Page 3 of 4

1 solicitations were likely modest. In addition, the Committee apparently received contributions
 2 through ActBlue and from The PAC of International Brotherhood of Teamsters totaling \$2,658.¹²
 3 As for expenditures, publicly available information on Gore’s personal Facebook page shows that
 4 the Committee produced digital posters and an official website for the campaign (Gorefor4.com),
 5 but it is unclear how much, if anything, they cost; again, the amounts at issue appear to be modest.¹³
 6 Queries of the Facebook Ad Library, Google Political Ad Database, and YouTube show no
 7 advertising by Respondents.¹⁴ Additionally, the campaign likely incurred a \$3,480 cost to run on
 8 the Alabama ballot.¹⁵

9 Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement
 10 Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and
 11 assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These
 12 criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity
 13 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the
 14 electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in
 15 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for

¹² FEC Disbursement Filings, https://www.fec.gov/data/disbursements/?data_type=processed&recipient_name=C00781617

¹³ Rhonda L. Gore, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100073208409842> (Campaign solicitations and posters circulated on Mar. 9, 2022, Mar. 12, 2022, May 14, 2022, and May 15, 2022, bore the Committee’s logo. Other posters dated May 8, 2022, May 13, 2022, and May 23, 2022, identify Gore as the only authorizing and funding source.) (last visited Mar. 24, 2023). Gorefor4.com, the campaign website, which was printed on the campaign posters, no longer exists (last visited on Mar. 24, 2023).

¹⁴ Facebook Ad Library, [¹⁵ See 2022 Candidate Qualifying, Alabama Democrats, <https://aldemocrats.org/2022-candidate-qualifying> \(last visited Mar. 24, 2023\).](https://www.facebook.com/ads/library/?active_status=all&ad_type=all&country=US&q=Rhonda%20Gore%20for%20District%204&sort_data[direction]=desc&sort_data[mode]=relevancy_monthly_grouped&search_type=keyword_unordered&media_type=all (query for “Rhonda Gore for District 4” reveals no results) (last visited Mar. 24, 2023); Google Political Ads Database, https://adstransparency.google.com/political?political=®ion=US (query for “Rhonda Gore for District 4” reveals no results) (last visited Mar. 24, 2023); YouTube, https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=Rhonda+Gore (query for “Rhonda Gore for District 4” reveals no results) (last visited Mar. 24, 2023).</p>
</div>
<div data-bbox=)

MUR 7984 (Rhonda Gore for District 4, *et al.*)
 EPS Dismissal Report
 Page 4 of 4

1 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, and the
 2 low dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaints consistent
 3 with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and
 4 use of agency resources.¹⁶ We also recommend that the Commission close the file and send the
 5 appropriate letters.


6 Lisa J. Stevenson
 7 Acting General Counsel

8 Charles Kitcher
 9 Associate General Counsel


10
 11
 12
 13
 14 April 5, 2023

15 Date

16 BY:

17 

18 Claudio J. Pavia
 19 Deputy Associate General Counsel for
 20 Enforcement

21 

22 Roy Q. Luckett
 23 Acting Assistant General Counsel

24 

25 Rocelyn Halili
 26 Attorney
 27

¹⁶ *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).