

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

BY EMAIL ONLY

mtoner@wiley.law
Michael E. Toner
bzehr@wiley.law
Brandis L. Zehr
Wiley Rein LLP
1776 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006

October 17, 2022

RE: MUR 7939

Carolina Senate Fund

Lisa Lisker

Dear Mr. Toner and Ms. Zehr:

On October 29, 2021, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your clients Carolina Senate Fund and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On October 12, 2022, based on the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by you, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Carolina Senate Fund and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Roy Q. Luckett

BY: Roy Q. Luckett

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:
General Counsel's Report

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT		
2			
4			
5			
6		Respondent: Carolina Senate Fund	
7 8		and Lisa Lisker in her official	
9		capacity as treasurer	
10			
11	•		
12			
13 14			
15		52 U.S.C. § 30104(b), (g)(2);	
16	·	11 C.F.R. § 104.4	
17			
18	The Complaint alleges that Carolina Senate F	und and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as	
19	treasurer ("CSF") failed to file a required 48-hour independent expenditure report for mailers that		
20			
20	were disseminated on October 16, 2021, opposing Rep. Ted Budd, a candidate for Senate in North		
21	Carolina. The Response states that CSF inadvertently did not file its independent expenditure		
22	notice within 48 hours of dissemination as a result of a miscommunication regarding the		
23	dissemination date. ² Additionally, CSF asserts that it filed the appropriate 48-hour notice within		
24	hours of learning of the error outlined in the Complai	nt. ³ The 48-hour notice indicated that the	
25	amount of the independent expenditure was \$25,994.40.4 The Response further states that CSF		
26	filed the 48-hour notice more than four months before	e North Carolina's 2022 primary election,	
27	which took place on March 8, 2022.5		
	Compl. at 1 (Oct. 28, 2021). The Complaint further all	leges that at the time of the Complaint, the 48-hour	
	report still had not been filed by the Committee. <i>Id</i> .		
	Response of CSF ("Response") at 1 (Nov. 12, 2021).		
	3 <i>Id</i>		

⁴ Carolina Senate Fund 48- Hour Report of Independent Expenditures (Oct. 29, 2021) https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/771/202110299468411771/202110299468411771.pdf.

5

Id.

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7939 (Carolina Senate Fund) Page 2 of 2

1	Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement	
2	Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and	
3	assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These	
4	criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity	
5	and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the	
6	electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in	
7	potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for	
8	Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the	
9	remedial actions of the respondents including filing the necessary report, and the relatively low	
10	dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with	
11	the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use	
12	of agency resources. ⁶ We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents	
13	and send the appropriate letters.	
14 15 16 17		Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel
18 19 20	0/20/2022	Charles Kitcher Associate General Counsel
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	9/29/2022 Date	Claudio J. Pavia Deputy Associate General Counsel Roy Q. Luckett Roy Q. Luckett Acting Assistant General Counsel
28 29 30		Donald E. Campbell

Attorney

31

⁶ Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).