



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20463

VIA ELECTRONIC AND CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

tom@tschroeder.info

Thomas Leland Schroeder

Pinehurst, NC 28374

October 17, 2022

RE: MUR 7939

Dear Mr. Schroeder:

On October 12, 2022, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint received October 28, 2021, and on the basis of the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by respondents, decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Carolina Senate Fund and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer. Accordingly, on October 12, 2022, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016), effective September 1, 2016. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson
Acting General Counsel

Roy Q. Luckett

BY: Roy Q. Luckett
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report

1 **BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**
23 **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM**
4 **DISMISSAL REPORT**
56 **MUR:** 79397 **Respondent:** Carolina Senate Fund
8 and Lisa Lisker in her official
9 capacity as treasurer10 **Complaint Receipt Date:** October 28, 202111 **Response Date:** November 12, 202112
13
14
15 **Alleged Statutory**
16 **Regulatory Violations:**52 U.S.C. § 30104(b), (g)(2);
11 C.F.R. § 104.417
18 The Complaint alleges that Carolina Senate Fund and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as
19 treasurer (“CSF”) failed to file a required 48-hour independent expenditure report for mailers that
20 were disseminated on October 16, 2021, opposing Rep. Ted Budd, a candidate for Senate in North
21 Carolina.¹ The Response states that CSF inadvertently did not file its independent expenditure
22 notice within 48 hours of dissemination as a result of a miscommunication regarding the
23 dissemination date.² Additionally, CSF asserts that it filed the appropriate 48-hour notice within
24 hours of learning of the error outlined in the Complaint.³ The 48-hour notice indicated that the
25 amount of the independent expenditure was \$25,994.40.⁴ The Response further states that CSF
26 filed the 48-hour notice more than four months before North Carolina’s 2022 primary election,
27 which took place on March 8, 2022.⁵

¹ Compl. at 1 (Oct. 28, 2021). The Complaint further alleges that at the time of the Complaint, the 48-hour report still had not been filed by the Committee. *Id.*

² Response of CSF (“Response”) at 1 (Nov. 12, 2021).

³ *Id.*

⁴ Carolina Senate Fund 48- Hour Report of Independent Expenditures (Oct. 29, 2021)
<https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/771/202110299468411771/202110299468411771.pdf>.

⁵ *Id.*

EPS Dismissal Report
MUR 7939 (Carolina Senate Fund)
Page 2 of 2

1 Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement
2 Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and
3 assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These
4 criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity
5 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the
6 electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in
7 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for
8 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the
9 remedial actions of the respondents including filing the necessary report, and the relatively low
10 dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with
11 the Commission’s prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use
12 of agency resources.⁶ We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents
13 and send the appropriate letters.

Lisa J. Stevenson
Acting General Counsel

Charles Kitcher
Associate General Counsel

BY: Claudio Pavia
Claudio J. Pavia
Deputy Associate General Counsel

Roy Q. Luckett
Roy Q. Luckett
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Donald E. Campbell
Donald E. Campbell
Attorney

⁶ *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).