

75 State Street 16th Floor Boston, MA 02109-1466 £617 227 7940 £617 227 0781 www.hembar.com

M. Patrick Moore, Jr. Direct Dial (617) 557-9715 pmoore@hembar.com

December 17, 2021

### <u>VIA EMAIL</u>

Mr. Roy Q. Luckett
Federal Election Commission
Office of Complaints Examination
& Legal Administration
Attn: Trace Keeys, Paralegal
1050 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20463
cela@fec.gov

Re: Response to MUR 7934 (Xochitl for New Mexico, et al.)

Dear Mr. Luckett:

We write on behalf of Xochitl for New Mexico, and Joshua Orozco in his official capacity as Treasurer of Xochitl for New Mexico, in response to the Complaint filed by Carol A. Reynolds in MUR 7934.

The Complaint should be dismissed with no further action because it is based on a misunderstanding of the facts and of the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") to those facts. The Complaint does not allege any specific violation of the Act; instead, it implies that the Campaign impermissibly expended its funds. As described further below, the Complaint misstates several facts and fails to state a violation of the Act as a matter of law.

Because both the Campaign's payment of legal expenses and its disclosure of those payments were proper, the Commission should find no reason to believe a violation occurred, and dismiss the Complaint.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Complaint alleges that the Xochitl for New Mexico campaign committee (the "Campaign" or "Ms. Torres Small") misused campaign funds by paying the legal expenses of a campaign supporter who challenged the nominating petition of Ms. Torres Small's prospective primary opponent, Angel Peña ("Mr. Peña").

The Complaint conflates two different lawsuits that proceeded in tandem after candidates submitted nominating petitions to the New Mexico Secretary of State in early 2018; both lawsuits are matters of public record. The first action was brought by Mr. Peña, who filed suit



challenging the Secretary of State's disqualification of his candidacy. Mr. Peña had been disqualified by the Secretary of State because several pages of his nominating petition did not comply with New Mexico's statutory requirements. Accordingly, the Secretary did not count the signatures on those pages, and Mr. Peña's petition consequently lacked the requisite number of signatures required by New Mexico law.<sup>1</sup>

At the time, the Campaign was represented by counsel,<sup>2</sup> which assisted the Campaign in understanding New Mexico's ballot access requirements, as well as evaluating whether Ms. Torres Small's prospective opponents had adequately met the requirements imposed upon them by state law. As the Commission knows, this practice is common in jurisdictions throughout the country, as candidates evaluate—and, at times, seek to limit—their field of potential competitors.<sup>3</sup>

While the Campaign was aware of the Secretary of State's decision with respect to the deficiency of Mr. Peña's nominating petition, it also knew that the Secretary's decision was the subject of a legal challenge. Consequently, to protect against the possibility that Mr. Peña's legal challenge to the Secretary's decision might be successful, the Campaign, together with its counsel, evaluated whether Peña's nominating petition was vulnerable to challenge on any other ground. Only Mr. Peña and another candidate (L. Madeline Hildebrandt) had submitted nominating petitions that would have potentially qualified them for Democratic primary ballot.<sup>4</sup> If either candidate failed to qualify, Ms. Torres Small's path to the nomination became less difficult and less costly, better positioning her for what would be a competitive general election.

Accordingly, with the advice of counsel as to New Mexico's ballot access requirements, the Campaign evaluated the sufficiency of Mr. Peña's nominating petition, with particular focus on the signatures on the petition. Research comparing Mr. Peña's nominating petition signatures to the New Mexico database of registered voters identified over 200 petition signatories who were not qualified to sign (for numerous reasons, including that they were not registered voters or not members of the party from which Ms. Torres Small was seeking a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Press Release, *SOS Toulouse Oliver Qualifies Major Party Candidates for Pre-Primary Convention Delegation*, at 3-4 (Feb. 12, 2018), attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>; *see In re Angel Peña*, D-101-CV-201800527 (Las Cruces Dist., filed Feb. 16, 2018) (challenging Secretary of State's disqualification).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Campaign is describing the nature of legal work performed in connection with the ballot access litigation for the sole purpose of demonstrating that the identified legal expenses were made for a permissible purpose under the Act. The information provided regarding the representation is consistent with that which would be required under the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5) to demonstrate a claim of privilege. The substance of the legal advice provided by Campaign counsel to the Campaign, or to anyone with whom the Campaign had a common interest, is privileged. By describing the nature of the legal work performed in this response, the Campaign preserves and does not waive that privilege.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Janny Scott, "In Illinois, Obama Proved Pragmatic & Shrewd," *N.Y. Times* (Jul. 30, 2007) (describing that future-President Obama's first campaign for office was successful partially because of a ballot access challenge brought against his opponent).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Exhibit A, at 2, 3; Decl. of Brian Sowryda, ¶¶ 2-4 ("Sowyrda Decl.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Sowyrda Decl., ¶¶ 3, 5.



nomination).<sup>6</sup> Based on this information and analysis, the Campaign concluded that Mr. Peña had not submitted a sufficient number of valid signatures.

With the permission and assent of the Campaign, Campaign counsel then used the information she had developed with the Campaign to represent a supporter of Ms. Torres Small, William Thomas Morrow, in litigation challenging Peña's nominating petition.<sup>7</sup>

Ultimately, at the initial stages of the litigation, Mr. Peña stipulated that he did not have the required number of signatures to qualify as a candidate, and the parties stipulated to the dismissal of the litigation. Mr. Peña separately dismissed his appeal of the Secretary of State's disqualification to the New Mexico Supreme Court and withdrew his candidacy.

The Campaign paid the legal fees associated with its own ballot access efforts; its analyses of Mr. Peña's submission; and, when those analyses demonstrated that Mr. Peña's petitions were legally deficient, the lawsuit brought to challenge those submissions. It disclosed the payments as disbursements for legal fees in its pre-primary report on July 14, 2018 and in its July and October quarterly filings. <sup>10</sup>

#### **ARGUMENT**

The Complaint should be dismissed because it fails to allege facts that amount to a violation of the Act. The Complaint fails to identify any particular violation of the Act, but suggests that the Campaign's payment of legal expenses in evaluating—and ultimately challenging—Mr. Peña's ballot access petition was somehow improper. Federal law is clear, however, that the Campaign's payment of these legal expenses was a permissible expenditure that was properly disclosed.

I. The Commission should find no reason to believe that Torres Small converted campaign funds to personal use because payment of the identified legal expenses was a proper use of campaign funds.

A candidate and the candidate's campaign committee have "wide discretion" in making expenditures to influence the candidate's election so long as campaign funds are not converted to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Sowyrda Decl., ¶ 5; see N.M. Stat. § 1-8-31(C) (enumerating grounds on which signatures are considered invalid).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Sowyrda Decl., ¶ 6; *Morrow v. Oliver*, D-307-CV-20180073 (Las Cruces Dist., filed Feb. 16, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Stipulation of Dismissal, *Morrow v. Oliver*, D-307-CV-20180073 (Mar. 9, 2018), attached as <u>Exhibit B</u>; Sowyrda Decl., ¶ 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In re Angel Peña, D-101-CV-201800527; Sowyrda Decl., ¶ 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Excerpts of the Campaign's Pre-Primary Report (as amended); July Quarterly Report (as amended); and October Quarterly Report reflecting payments to The Mendenhall Firm, P.C., are attached as <u>Exhibits C-E</u>. In the Campaign's July Quarterly Report, it disclosed both a payment and a debt incurred during the reporting period; that debt later was paid, as reflected in the October Quarterly Report.



personal use.<sup>11</sup> Campaign funds are converted to personal use when they are used to fulfill any commitment, obligation, or expense of any person "that would exist *irrespective* of the candidate's election campaign . . ."<sup>12</sup> The Act and Commission regulations enumerate expenses that constitute per se personal use, including expenses for vacation, rent, and household supplies.<sup>13</sup> The Commission assesses other categories of expenses, including legal expenses, on a case-by-case basis using the "irrespective" standard.<sup>14</sup> Specifically, campaign funds may be used to pay for legal expenses that "directly relate" to the candidate's campaign activities, *i.e.*, "expenses that "would not exist irrespective" of the candidacy.<sup>15</sup>

The Commission has considered use of campaign funds both to gain and to challenge ballot access. The Commission has advised that legal expenses paid in connection with a candidate's "attempt to gain ballot access for the primary election" were "directly related" to that candidate's campaign "and would not exist irrespective" of their candidacy; accordingly, expenditures for that purpose are permissible. <sup>16</sup> Similarly, the Office of General Counsel has noted that legal expenses incurred by a state party committee in defending the sufficiency of a third-party candidate's nominating petitions were incurred in an "attempt[] to influence an election" because they were made "for the express purpose of siphoning votes away" from one Presidential candidate to another. <sup>17</sup>

The Commission also has concluded that a candidate's *challenge* to an opponent's ballot access was undertaken "for the purposes of influencing an election," and therefore expenses incurred in connection with that challenge were not personal in nature.<sup>18</sup> In Advisory Opinion 1980-57 (Bexar County Democratic Party), the Commission advised that a "candidate's attempt to force an election opponent off the ballot so that the electorate does not have an opportunity to vote for that opponent is as much an effort to influence an election as is a campaign advertisement derogating that opponent." <sup>19</sup> Similarly, in MUR 5509 (Kerry-Edwards 2004,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30114(a)-(b); 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g); *see* Expenditures; Reports by Political Committees; Personal Use of Campaign Funds, 60 Fed. Reg. 7862, 7867 (Feb. 9, 1995) ("Personal Use E&J") (reaffirming the Commission's "long-standing opinion that candidates have wide discretion over the use of campaign funds"); *e.g.*, Advisory Opinion 1995-23 (Christopher Shays for Congress), at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b)(2); 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g) (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b)(2)(A)-(I); 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(1)(i)(A)-(J).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 11 C.F.R. 113.1(g)(1)(ii)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Advisory Opinion 2018-09 (Clements for Congress), at 3, *citing* Advisory Opinion 2013-11 (Citizens for Joe Miller), Advisory Opinion 2011-07 (Chuck Fleischmann for Congress), and Advisory Opinion 2009-20 (Visclosky for Congress).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Advisory Opinion 2018-09 (Clements for Congress), at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> MURs 5489, 5581, 5513, and 5533 (MURS 5533 and 5581, Michigan Republican State Central Committee et al.), First Gen. Counsel's Rept., at 37-39 (June 2, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Advisory Opinion 1980-57 (Bexar County Democratic Party), at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> *Id.* In Bexar County Democratic Party, the Commission opined on whether amounts raised by the Party to challenge the Democratic House candidate's opponent's nominating petitions constituted contributions to the candidate under the Act, and found they were because they were for the "purposes of influencing an election." In Advisory Opinion 1982-35 (Hopfman), the Commission, citing Bexar County, reiterated that funds raised on behalf



Inc.), the Office of General Counsel noted that Commission precedent supported the conclusion that efforts to deny ballot access to a third-party candidate should be viewed as "qualified campaign expenses" for purposes of the Presidential Election Campaign Fund Act, and recommended that the Commission find that Kerry-Edwards 2004 neither violated the Fund Act nor "any provision" of the FECA. <sup>20</sup> The Commission subsequently so found. <sup>21</sup>

Here, the identified legal expenses were, without question, directly related to Campaign activities, and would not have been incurred absent Ms. Torres Small's candidacy. The Campaign retained an attorney who worked with the Campaign to review Mr. Peña's nominating petition. Campaign counsel instructed campaign volunteers to compare the signatures on Mr. Pena's nominating petition against the voter file, including how to identify signatures that fell short of New Mexico's legal requirements. Campaign counsel also advised the Campaign concerning how to mount a legal challenge to the sufficiency of Mr. Peña's nominating petition, and then worked with a supporter of the Campaign to bring such a challenge. To ensure compliance with the Act, the Campaign took the prudent course of paying the legal fees associated with that lawsuit. In another matter, the Commission may very well determine that the Campaign *must* pay the costs associated with such a suit to avoid an excessive in-kind contribution; at the very minimum, the Campaign *may* do so, just as it did here.

These legal expenses are quite unlike those the Commission has concluded are clearly personal expenses, such as legal fees associated with divorce, charges of driving under the influence, or withdrawing a guilty plea in a disorderly conduct case.<sup>23</sup> But for Ms. Torres Small's candidacy, the Campaign would not have incurred these expenses.

The Commission has long recognized that if a candidate "can reasonably show that the expenses at issue resulted from campaign or officeholder activities, the Commission will not consider the use to be personal use." The Campaign has done so here. These legal expenses, incurred to prevent an opponent from appearing on the primary ballot, directly related to Ms. Torres Small's candidacy, and would not have existed but for that candidacy. The expenses were a proper use of campaign funds, not a conversion to Ms. Torres Small's personal use.

of a candidate to finance a lawsuit to remove an opponent from the ballot are contributions because "the object of the requestor's lawsuit was to eliminate the electorate's opportunity to cast a vote for [the candidate's] opponent." <sup>20</sup> MUR 5509 (Kerry-Edwards 2004, Inc.), First Gen. Counsel's Rept., at 6-7 (Feb. 24, 2005), *citing* Advisory Opinion 1995-45 (Hagelin for President) and Advisory Opinion 1984-11 (Independents for Dennis Serrette) (each concluding that efforts to attain ballot access are "qualified campaign expenses" under the Presidential Primary Matching Fund Act).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> MUR 5509 (Kerry-Edwards 2004, Inc.), Notification to Kerry-Edwards 2004, Inc. (Mar. 9, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Sowyrda Decl., ¶¶ 3-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See Personal Use E&J, 60 Fed. Reg. 7862, at 7868; see, e.g., Fed'l Elec. Comm'n v. Craig for U.S. Senate, et al., 816 F.3d 829, 839 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Personal Use E&J, 60 Fed. Reg. 7862, at 7867.



# II. The Commission should find no reason to believe that payment of the identified legal expenses was not properly disclosed or described.

The Campaign further notes that the expenses were properly disclosed and sufficiently described in the Campaign's reports filed with the Commission.

Commission regulations require that campaigns keep records of all disbursements, including their purpose. In disclosures to the Commission, an expenditure must be explained by a "brief statement or description as to the reasons for the expenditure." The regulations provide examples of statements or descriptions that meet this requirement, including, for example, "dinner expenses" and the similarly straightforward "travel expenses."

The Commission's website provides additional sample descriptions it considers "Adequate Purposes of Disbursement." Among the purpose descriptions identified as adequate are "Legal/Legal Fees/Legal Expenses." A search of the Commission's disbursement database reveals thousands of entries describing payments for legal expenses variously as "Legal Expenses," "Legal & Treasury Expenses," "Allocable Expenses to Legal Acct," "Retainer and Expenses for Legal Services." It is exceedingly rare that any additional detail regarding the nature of the legal expenses are provided.

Each of the payments from the Campaign to The Mendenhall Firm, P.C. are described as "Legal Services."<sup>28</sup> This description accurately reflects the disbursement and is consistent with the Commission's sample descriptions.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated above, the Campaign's payment for the legal expenses incurred in challenging its primary opponent's ballot access was an appropriate expenditure that was disclosed properly. The Commission should find no reason to believe a violation has occurred and dismiss the Complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 104.9(a) (incorporating definition of "purpose" in 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(3)(i)(A)); 11 C.F.R § 104.3(b)(4)(i)(A) (same definition).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 104.9(a); 11 C.F.R § 104.3(b)(4)(i)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Purposes of Disbursement, Fed'l Elec. Comm'n, <a href="https://www.fec.gov/help-candidates-and-committees/purposes-disbursements/">https://www.fec.gov/help-candidates-and-committees/purposes-disbursements/</a> (last revised Aug. 21, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Exhibits C-E, Excerpts of reports reflecting disbursements to The Mendenhall Firm, P.C.



Respectfully submitted,

M. Patrick Moore, Jr. Jennifer Grace Miller Vanessa A. Arslanian Hemenway & Barnes LLP 75 State Street, 16th Floor Boston, MA 02109 (617) 227-7940

#### BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

	)	
In the Matter of	)	
Xochitl for New Mexico and Joshua	)	MUR 7934
Orozco, in his Official Capacity as	)	
Treasurer	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

## **DECLARATION OF BRIAN SOWYRDA**

- I, Brian Sowyrda, being on oath, hereby depose and state as follows:
- 1. I served as the Campaign Manager for the Xochitl for New Mexico campaign (the "Campaign") for the 2018 election.
- 2. In addition to Ms. Torres Small, two candidates filed nominating petitions before the New Mexico state deadline to be listed on the Democratic primary ballot for New Mexico's Second Congressional District.
- 3. Counsel to the Campaign, Karen Mendenhall, advised the Campaign on the legal sufficiency of those petitions.
- 4. Shortly after his filing, Mr. Angel Peña's nominating petition was found deficient by the Secretary of State's office because of issues related to how his name was listed on the petition and alterations made to the petition, and Mr. Peña brought suit to challenge that decision.
- 5. From advice provided to it by Campaign counsel, however, and from work done by Campaign volunteers, the Campaign was also aware of other deficiencies in Mr. Peña's

petitions, in particular that more than 200 of Mr. Peña's signatures either could not be verified or were from voters ineligible to sign a petition for a Democratic candidate.

- 6. With the assent of the Campaign, Campaign counsel then used the information she had developed with the Campaign to represent a supporter of Ms. Torres Small, William Thomas Morrow, in litigation challenging Mr. Peña's nominating petition. The litigation was filed on February 16, 2018. The Campaign paid the legal fees associated with that litigation.
- 7. Ultimately, the litigation was resolved shortly after it was filed, as the parties stipulated that Mr. Peña did not have sufficient signatures to qualify as a candidate and agreed to voluntarily dismiss the case on March 9, 2018. Mr. Peña withdrew as a candidate soon thereafter.

Executed under the pains and penalties of perjury this 17<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2021.

2A0AB7EB0802421....

# Exhibit A

### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

February 12, 2018

Contact: Joey Keefe (505) 469-2783

# SOS Toulouse Oliver Qualifies Major Party Candidates for Pre-Primary Convention Designation

SANTA FE – New Mexico Secretary of State Maggie Toulouse Oliver today qualified major party candidates for pre-primary convention designation for the offices of U.S. Senator, U.S. Representative, and all elected statewide offices. Secretary Toulouse Oliver's office sent a letter to the leadership of New Mexico's three major political parties – Democratic, Libertarian, and Republican – certifying the names of the candidates who have met the requirements outlined in state law.

"Major party candidates who qualified can now focus on their party's convention as they move forward with their campaigns," said Secretary Toulouse Oliver. "As always, my staff is available to answer any questions candidates may have as they navigate the electoral process."

Last week, major party candidates for federal and statewide elected offices filed declarations of candidacy and nominating petitions containing the signatures of qualified New Mexico voters with the Secretary of State's Office. Secretary Toulouse Oliver's office conducted a review of the documents submitted by candidates before qualifying them for pre-primary convention designation today.

Each of the three major parties must now convene a pre-primary convention to elect their candidates to appear on the Primary Election ballot in June.

Below are the names of the major party candidates who qualified for each federal and statewide elected office (parties listed alphabetically):

### U.S. Senate

- Martin T. Heinrich, DEM
- Aubrey Dunn, LIB
- Mick Rich, REP

## U.S. Representative, District 1

- Patrick M. Davis, DEM
- Debra A. Haaland, DEM
- Damian R. Lara, DEM
- Damon P. Martinez, DEM
- Paul D. Moya, DEM

- Antoinette Sedillo Lopez, DEM
- Lloyd J. Princeton, LIB
- Janice E. Arnold-Jones, REP

# U.S. Representative, District 2

- L. Madeline Hildebrandt, DEM
- Xochitl Torres Small, DEM
- Gavin Clarkson, REP
- Clayburn Griffin, REP
- Yvette Herrell, REP
- Monty Newman, REP
- John (Jack) S. Volpato, Jr., REP

# U.S. Representative, District 3

- Ben R. Luján, DEM
- Christopher Manning, LIB
- Jerald Steve McFall, REP

#### Governor

- Jeff Apodaca, DEM
- Joseph Cervantes, DEM
- Peter DeBenedittis, DEM
- Michelle Lujan Grisham, DEM
- Steve Pearce, REP

# Lieutenant Governor

- Jeff Carr, DEM
- Billy G. Garrett, DEM
- Javier M. Gonzales, DEM
- Rick S. Miera, DEM
- Howie C. Morales, DEM
- Michelle Garcia Holmes, REP

# **Secretary of State**

- Maggie Toulouse Oliver, DEM
- Sandra D. Jeff, LIB
- JoHanna Cox, REP

### **State Auditor**

• Brian S. Colón, DEM

- Bill McCamley, DEM
- Wayne A. Johnson, REP

### **State Treasurer**

- Tim Eichenberg, DEM
- Arthur L. Castillo, REP

# **Attorney General**

- Hector Balderas, DEM
- A. Blair Dunn, LIB
- Michael Eugene Hendricks, REP

### **Commissioner of Public Lands**

- Stephanie Garcia Richard, DEM
- George K. Muñoz, DEM
- Garrett O. VeneKlasen, DEM
- Michael G. Lucero, LIB
- Patrick H. Lyons, REP

# Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 1

- Kristina Bogardus, DEM
- Stephen G. French, REP

# Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 2

- Jacqueline R. Medina, DEM
- Hank Bohnhoff, REP

# Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 3

- Briana H. Zamora, DEM
- Emil J. Kiehne, REP

# Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 4

- Megan P. Duffy, DEM
- Daniel Jose Gallegos, REP

# Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 5

• Jennifer L. Attrep, DEM

Angel D. Peña, who filed a declaration of candidacy to run for U.S. Representative in the Second Congressional District, was disqualified because a number of his submitted petition

pages failed to meet the requirements set forth in state statute. As a result, Mr. Peña did not meet the minimum number of signatures required for qualification.

Major party candidates for all other offices subject to the New Mexico Campaign Reporting Act must file on March 13, 2018. Those who will file with the Secretary of State on March 13 include: candidates for legislative offices elected from multicounty districts; all candidates for the Public Regulation Commission; all elective judicial offices in the judicial department, except magistrates; and, all offices representing a district composed of more than one county. Those who will file with their county clerk include: all elective county offices; magistrate judges; and, legislative offices elected from a district located wholly within one county.

On June 28, 2018, minor party candidates will be certified by their party leadership to the Secretary of State or their county clerk, depending on the proper filing officer for each office. On that day, minor party and independent candidates will also be required to submit their petition signatures totaling not less than one percent of the total number of votes cast for governor in the state at the last preceding general election in their respective district.

###

Follow Secretary Toulouse Oliver on Facebook and Twitter.

# Exhibit B

MUR793400033

3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT DONA ANA COUNTY NM FILED IN MY OFFICE 3/9/2018 3:03 PM DAVID S. BORUNDA Veronica Uribe

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF DOÑA ANA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case No. D-307-CV-2018-00373

WILLIAM THOMAS MORROW,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAGGIE TOULOUSE OLIVER, in her Official Capacity as New Mexico Secretary of State,

And

ANGEL D. PEÑA, Real Party in Interest,

Defendants.

## STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

COME NOW THE PARTIES, William Thomas Morrow, Plaintiff, by and through his counsel of record, Karen Mendenhall, Mendenhall Law Firm, Maggie Toulouse Oliver, in her Official Capacity as New Mexico Secretary of State, by and through Sean Cunniff and Dylan Lange, Assistant Attorneys General for the State of New Mexico, and Angel Peña, the Real Party in Interest (hereinafter "Peña"), by and through his attorney, Erika E. Anderson, Law Offices of Erika E. Anderson, and hereby agree that Peña does not have six hundred twenty-three (623) valid signatures as required by NAMSA § 1-8-33(B) to qualify as a candidate for the United States Representative, Congressional District 2.

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Amended Complaint Challenging the Decision to Disqualify Angel Peña as a Candidate is dismissed and the hearing scheduled for March 9, 2018, at 1:00 p.m. is hereby vacated.

Honorable Manual L. Arrieta Third Judicial District

## SUBMITTED BY:

### LAW OFFICES OF ERIKA E. ANDERSON

By: /s/ Erika E. Anderson
Erika E. Anderson
2025 Rio Grande Blvd. N.W.
Albuquerque, NM 87104
(505) 944-9039 / (505) 243-3534 Fax
erika@eandersonlaw.com

## APPROVED:

THE MENDENHALL FIRM, P.C.

By: /s/ Karen S. Mendenhall (approved via email 3/9/18) 500 Tijeras Ave., NW Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 243-3357/(505 842-7129 (fax) karenm@mendenhallfirm.com

and

### ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW MEXICO

By: /s/ Sean Cunniff (approved via email 3/9/18)
Sean Cunniff and Dylan Lange
Assistant Attorneys General
P.O. Drawer 1508
Santa Fe, NM 87504
scunniff@nmag.gov
dlange@nmag.gov

# Exhibit C

PAGE 1 / 181

# FEC FORM 3

# REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

FORM 3	For An Au	thorized Com	mittee	Offic	e Use Only
NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)	TYPE OR PRINT		ample: If typing, type er the lines.	12FE4M5	
Xochitl for New Mexic	co				1
Leranaaaaa					
ADDRESS (number and street)	PO Box 2250				
▼ Check if different					
than previously reported. (ACC)	Las Cruces	1111		NM 8800	04
2. FEC IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER ▼	CITY ▲		STATE ▲	ZIP CODE ▲
C C00666149		3. IS THIS REPORT	NEW (N) OR	AMENDED (A)	STATE ▼ DISTRICT  NM   02
4. TYPE OF REPORT (0 (a) Quarterly Reports:  April 15 Quarterly	y Report (Q1)	(b) 12-Day <b>PRE</b>	-Election Report for Primary (12P) Convention (12C)	the:  General (12G)  Special (12S)	Runoff (12R)
July 15 Quarterly October 15 Quar		Election on	M06 <sup>M</sup> / D05	D / Y " Y " Y " Y 2018	in the NM State of
January 31 Year-	End Report (YE)	(c) 30-Day <b>POS</b>	T-Election Report for	r the:	
Termination Repo	ort (TER)	Election on	General (30G)	Runoff (30R)	in the State of
5. Covering Period	04 / D 01 /	Y 2018 Y	through	M M / D D / Y 05 16	Y Y Y Y 2018
I certify that I have examined Type or Print Name of Treasu	Orozco, Joshua		nowledge and belief i	t is true, correct and cor	nplete.
Signature of Treasurer	rozco, Joshua, , ,		[Electronically Filed]	Date 07	14 / 2018
NOTE: Submission of false, erro	oneous, or incomplete	information may	subject the person sig	ning this Report to the pe	nalties of 52 U.S.C. §30109
Office Use Only					EC FORM 3 (Revised 05/2016)

SCHEDULE B (FEC Form 3)	Line concrete achadule(a)	FOR LINE NUMBER: PAGE 174 OF 181		
TEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS	Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the	(check only one)		
IEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS	Detailed Summary Page	<b>X</b> 17 18 19a 19b		
	70 have 809 886			
Any information copied from such Reports and Stateme or for commercial purposes, other than using the name				
NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)				
Xochitl for New Mexico				
/ XOCITILI TOT INCW MICKIES				
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)				
A. Strategies 360		Date of Disbursement		
1000   1000		M = M / D = D / Y = Y = Y		
Mailing Address 1505 Westlake Ave N Ste 1000		04 27 2018		
City	te Zip Code	TERMIN OF STATE OF ST		
Seattle W.		FEC Identification Number		
Purpose of Disbursement		C		
Consultant - Research				
Candidate Name	Category	// Amount of Each Disbursement this Period		
	Type			
Office Sought: House Disbursemen	nt For. 2018	2000.00		
Senate × Pr	mary General	Transaction ID: VVAHB9SJ988		
President Ot	her (specify) ▼	Memo Item		
State: District:				
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	NI DOLUTICO DA C			
3. STUDENTS FOR A NEW AMERICA	N POLITICS PAC	Date of Disbursement		
Matter and January State of the		M M / D D / Y Y Y Y		
Mailing Address PO Box 206886		05 01 2018		
City	te Zip Code			
New Haven C	[11] [12] [12] [12] [12] [12] [12] [12]	FEC Identification Number		
Purpose of Disbursement		C C00412122		
Staff Time		O		
Candidate Name	Category	// Amount of Each Disbursement this Period		
	Туре			
Office Sought: House Disbursemen	t For: 2018	1800.00		
	mary General	Transaction ID : VVBGKDXW6T2I		
	ner (specify) ▼	Memo Item * In-Kind Received		
State: District:				
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)				
The Mendenhall Firm, P.C.		Date of Disbursement		
Mailing Address		M M / D D / Y Y Y Y		
Mailing Address 500 Tijeras Ave NW		04 02 2018		
City	te Zip Code	Claring No. W. Art. of Control of State Property Control of Contro		
Albuquerque	100	FEC Identification Number		
Purpose of Disbursement		C		
Legal Services				
Candidate Name	Category	// Amount of Each Disbursement this Period		
Office Sought: House Disbursemen	Type at For: 2018	9000.00		
	mary General			
	ner (specify)	Transaction ID: VVAHB9SH489		
State: District:	To (openit)	Memo Item		
DIGITOR .		N 001 G 11 12 17 10 2012 T 11 27		
SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional)		▶ 12800.00		
50 av 52 35W				

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only).....

SCHEDULE B (FEC Form 3)	Line concepts askedula/s	FOR LINE NUMBER: PAGE 175 OF 181			
	Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the	(check only one)			
TEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS	Detailed Summary Page	<b>x</b> 17 18 19a 19b			
Any information copied from such Reports and Statement or for commercial purposes, other than using the name ar					
NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)					
Xochitl for New Mexico					
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)		*			
The Mendenhall Firm, P.C.		Date of Disbursement			
Mailing Address 500 T jeras Ave NW		05 01 / Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y			
City State	Zip Code	FEC Identification Number			
Albuquerque	87102-3133				
Purpose of Disbursement Legal Services		C			
Candidate Name	Category	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period			
Office Sought: House Disbursement	Type For: 2018	3000.00			
Senate × Prim		Transaction ID : VVAHB9SJ9F3			
	r (specify) ▼				
State: District:		Memo Item			
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)					
3. The New Media Firm		Date of Disbursement			
Mailing Adalasa		M M / D D / Y Y Y Y			
Mailing Address 1730 Rhode Island Ave NW Ste 1014		04 26 2018			
City State	Zip Code	FEC Identification Number			
Washington DC	20036-3112	CO. A. CA. A. CA. A. CA.			
Purpose of Disbursement Consultant - Strategy		C			
Candidate Name	Category Type	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period			
Office Sought: House Disbursement	For: 2018	13000.00			
Senate x Prim		Transaction ID: VVAHB9SJ970			
	r (specify) 🔻	Memo Item			
State: District:					
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)  The New Media Firm		Date of Disbursement			
To accompany and a company		M M / D D / Y Y Y Y			
Mailing Address 1730 Rhode Island Ave NW		05 03 2018			
Ste 1014 City State	Zip Code				
Washington DC	20036-3112	FEC Identification Number			
Purpose of Disbursement Consultant - Strategy	anaandi.ta	C			
Consultant - Strategy					
Candidate Name	Category Type	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period			
Office Sought: House Disbursement		68640.78			
Senate × Prim	The state of the s	Transaction ID : VVAHB9SJ9Q6			
President Othe	r (specify)	Memo Item			
State: District:	5 <b>3</b> .				
SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional)		84640.78			

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only).....

# Exhibit D

PAGE 1 / 394

# FEC FORM 3

# REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

FORIVI 3	For An Auth	orized Committee	)	Offic	e Use Only
NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)	TYPE OR PRINT ▼	Example: over the l	If typing, type lines.	12FE4M5	
Xochitl for New Mex	ico		T F F F F		
ADDRESS (number and street)	PO Box 2250		T F T F F		
<b>V</b>					
Check if different than previously reported. (ACC)	Las Cruces	111111		NM 8800	04
2. FEC IDENTIFICATION	NUMBED W	CITY ▲		STATE ▲	ZIP CODE ▲
C C00666149		B. IS THIS REPORT	NEW (N) OR	AMENDED (A)	STATE ▼ DISTRICT
	rly Report (Q1)  ly Report (Q2)  arterly Report (Q3)  r-End Report (YE) (c)	Prima Conve	ention (12C)  i M / D D  tion Report for the ral (30G)	General (12G) Special (12S)	in the State of  Special (30S)  in the State of
5. Covering Period	M M / D D / Y	<sup>2</sup> 2018 th	rough	M / D D / Y	Y Y Y Y 2018
certify that I have examined	Orozco, Joshua, ,		e and belief it is	s true, correct and cor	mplete.
Signature of Treasurer	Orozco, Joshua, , ,	[Electro	onically Filed]	Date 07	20 / Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
NOTE: Submission of false, er	roneous, or incomplete in	formation may subject	the person signir	ng this Report to the pe	enalties of 52 U.S.C. §30109
Office Use Only				- 1	FEC FORM 3 (Revised 05/2016)

SCHEDULE B (FEC Form 3) TEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS		Use separate sch for each category Detailed Summar	of the	FOR LINE NUMBER: PAGE 386 OF 394 (check only one)    X   17
Any information copied from such Reports and Stator for commercial purposes, other than using the n				
NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)  Xochitl for New Mexico				
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)  1. Tapia, Edna, T, ,				Date of Disbursement
Mailing Address 280 N Carapa Ave. Apt. 317				06 29 2018
City Andrew	State IA	Zip Code 52030		FEC Identification Number
Purpose of Disbursement Salary			C	
Candidate Name			Category/ Type	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period
Office Sought: House Disburs Senate President	ement For Primary Other (s	: 2018 ★ General pecify) ▼	25	1151.88  Transaction ID: VVAHB9V7437  Memo Item
State: District: Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) The Mendenhall Firm, P.C.  Mailing Address 500 Tijeras Ave NW				Date of Disbursement  M M / D D / Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
City Albuquerque Purpose of Disbursement Legal Services Candidate Name	State NM	<b>Zip Code</b> 87102-3133	Category/ Type	FEC Identification Number  C  Amount of Each Disbursement this Period
Office Sought:    House   Disburs				3000.00  Transaction ID: VVAHB9T7077  Memo Item
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)  The New Media Firm				Date of Disbursement
Mailing Address 1730 Rhode Island Ave NW Ste 1014		1		05 17 2018
City Washington	State DC	Zip Code 20036-3112		FEC Identification Number
Purpose of Disbursement Media Advertising			W W	C
Candidate Name			Category/ Type	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period
Office Sought: House Disburs Senate President	ement For Primary Other (s	General		24634.82  Transaction ID: VVAHB9V74H8  Memo Item
State: District:		5 1950		
SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional	l)			···· ▶ 28786.70 —

SCHEDULE	D (F	EC	Form	3
DEBTS AND	OBL	.IG/	TIONS	S

NA )

(Use separate schedule(s) for each

PAGE 394 OF FOR LINE NUMBER: 

394

xcluding Loans			numbered line)	(check only one)	<b>x</b> 10
NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)  Xochitl for New Mexic	0				
A. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) of De The Mendenhall Firm, P.C.  Mailing Address 500 Tijeras Ave NW	3177720	ditor	Nature of D Legal Serv	ebt (Purpose): rices	
City Albuquerque	State NM	Zip Code 87102-3133			
Outstanding Balance Beginning This Period		NO. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10		on ID : VV8JV9H71S3	
Amount Incurred This Period 3073.02		Payment This Period 0.0	Outstandi	ng Balance at Close of 307	This Period 73.02
B. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) of Del	btor or Cred	litor	Nature of D	ebt (Purpose):	
Mailing Address			8		
City	State	Zip Code			
Outstanding Balance Beginning This Period  Amount Incurred This Period		Payment This Period	Outstandi	ng Balance at Close of T	This Period
				y y	
C. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) of De	ebtor or Cre	ditor	Nature of D	ebt (Purpose):	
Mailing Address			d		
City	State	Zip Code			
Outstanding Balance Beginning This Period					
Amount Incurred This Period		Payment This Period	Outstandi	ng Balance at Close of	This Period
1) SUBTOTALS This Period This Page (optional	ıl) ·····		- F	307	73.02
2) TOTALS This Period (last page this line num	nber only) ····		-	, 633	30.53
3) TOTAL OUTSTANDING LOANS from Sched	ule C (last p	page only)		10 01 0 10 0	0.00

4) ADD 2) and 3) and carry forward to appropriate line of Summary Page (last page only)

6330.53

# Exhibit E

# FEC FORM 3

# REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

FORM 3	For An A	uthorized Com	mittee		Off	fice Use Only
NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)	TYPE OR PRINT		ample: If typing er the lines.	g, type	12FE4M5	
Xochitl for New Mexi	со					1
<u> </u>				<u>P P E E</u>		
				FFEE		
ADDRESS (number and street)	PO Box 2250			TFFF		
Check if different	1-0			<u> </u>	99	004
than previously reported. (ACC)	Las Cruces				NM 880	004
2. FEC IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER ▼	CITY A			STATE A	ZIP CODE ▲
C C00666149		3. IS THIS REPORT	NEW (N)	OR	AMENDED (A)	STATE ▼ DISTRICT
4. TYPE OF REPORT (	Choose One)	(b) 12-Day <b>PRE</b>	-Election Repo	rt for the:		
(a) Quarterly Reports:		Ī	Primary (12P)		General (12G	) Runoff (12R)
April 15 Quarter	ly Report (Q1)	Ē	Convention (1	The state of the s		
July 15 Quarterl	y Report (Q2)		Convention (	20)	Special (12S)	
Cottober 15 Qua	rterly Report (Q3)	Election on	M " M /	D " D /	Y " Y " Y " Y	in the State of
January 31 Year	-End Report (YE)	(c) 30-Day <b>POS</b>	T-Election Rep	ort for the:	55 20 20 20	
			General (30G)		Runoff (30R)	Special (30S)
Termination Rep	ort (TER)	Election on	M = M /	D ** D /	Y " Y " Y " Y	in the State of
5. Covering Period	M M / D D /	Y	through	м <sup>=</sup> м 09	7 D D Y	Y Y Y Y 2018
I certify that I have examined	Orozco, Joshu		nowledge and b	pelief it is ti	rue, correct and co	omplete.
Signature of Treasurer	Orozco, Joshua, , ,		[Electronically I	Filed]	Date 10	15 / Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
NOTE: Submission of false, err	oneous, or incomplet	e information may	subject the pers	on signing	this Report to the p	penalties of 52 U.S.C. §30109
Office Use Only					7	FEC FORM 3 (Revised 05/2016)

SCHEDULE B (FEC Form 3)		Use separate schedule(s)		FOR LINE NUMBER: PAGE 1608 OF 1633 (check only one)		
TEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS		for each category		The second secon		
I EINITED DISBORSEMENTS		Detailed Summar	100000	<b>x</b> 17 18 19a 19b		
		u	, 5 -	20a 20b 20c 21		
Any information copied from such Reports and S or for commercial purposes, other than using the						
NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)	71000					
Xochitl for New Mexico						
/ Addition New Mexico						
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)						
The Mendenhall Firm, P.C.				Date of Disbursement		
Same and lease of the				M M / D D / Y Y Y Y Y		
Mailing Address 500 T jeras Ave NW				07 03 2018		
City	State	Zip Code		FEC Identification Number		
Albuquerque	NM	87102-3133		i de identification Number		
Purpose of Disbursement Legal Services	3'			C		
Candidate Name			Category/	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period		
Office Sought: House Disbursement For: 2018			3037.02			
Office Sought: House Disbursement For: 2018 Senate Primary General						
President		x General Decify) ▼		Transaction ID : VVAHB9XY9P4		
State: District:	Outer (S			Memo Item		
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)						
The New Media Firm				Date of Disbursement		
3. Horton Modici IIII				NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O		
Mailing Address 1730 Rhode Island Ave NW				07 10 7 2018		
Ste 1014 City	State	Zip Code				
Washington	DC	20036-3112		FEC Identification Number		
Purpose of Disbursement Media Production	3 00000	Section of the Control of the Contro		C		
wedia Froduction						
Candidate Name			Category/	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period		
A			Type			
Office Sought: House Disbu	rsement For:			13389.02		
Senate	Primary	★ General		Transaction ID : VVAHB9XY9Q2		
President	Other (sp	oecify) ▼		Memo Item		
State: District:						
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)				The second secon		
c. The New Media Firm				Date of Disbursement		
STATE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE				M M / D D / Y Y Y Y		
Mailing Address 1730 Rhode Island Ave NW				08 13 2018		
Ste 1014 City	State	Zip Code				
Washington	DC	20036-3112		FEC Identification Number		
Purpose of Disbursement Media Production				C		
Media Production			5. 0	<u> </u>		
Candidate Name			Category/	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period		
g			Type			
	rsement For.			23000.00		
Senate	Primary	★ General		Transaction ID: VVAHB9XY9R0		
President	Other (sp	oecify) ▼		Memo Item		
State: District:						
SUPTOTAL of Dishursements This Base Jentice	nal)			20,400,01		
SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (option	ııdı)			··· ▶ 39426.04		
TOTAL This Period fleet negs this line number	only					
TOTAL This Period (last page this line number	OHIY)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		w <b>≥</b>		

# SCHEDULE D (FEC Form 3) **DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS**

**Excluding Loans** 

(Use separate schedule(s) for each numbered line)

PAGE 1633 OF 1633 FO (ch

OR LINE NUMBER:		
neck only one)		9
	×	10

auding Loans			Manibered line) X 10
ME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)			
Cochitl for New Mexi	CO		
A. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) of I	CITETOOCTO	ditor	Nature of Debt (Purpose):
The Mendenhall Firm, P.C.	Legal Services		
			10007
Mailing Address 500 Tijeras Ave NW			
City	State	Zip Code	
Albuquerque	NM	87102-3133	
Outstanding Balance Beginning This Perio	nd .	H .	Transaction ID : VV8JV9H71S3
3037.02			
Amount Incurred This Period		Payment This Period	Outstanding Balance at Close of This Period
0.00		3037.02	
		7	
B. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) of D	ebtor or Cred	itor	Nature of Debt (Purpose):
			350- 13 80
Mailing Address			
maining / taurooo			
City	State	Zip Code	
	4	9	
Outstanding Balance Beginning This Period	od		
1717		B	0.11 5 71 10 771 7
Amount Incurred This Period		Payment This Period	Outstanding Balance at Close of This Period
		g g	
C. Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) of	Debtor or Cre	ditor	Nature of Debt (Purpose):
Mailing Address			
an conserve menerolation and mass are un	Laboration	T. desired constraints	
City	State	Zip Code	
en. 22 M. Thai 535- 25 100- 55 10 100-1005 100 50	ten	3	
Outstanding Balance Beginning This Period	od		
Amount Incurred This Period		Payment This Period	Outstanding Balance at Close of This Perio
H /H H: H : H : H : H : H : H : H : H :	100 0		
		y y w	
		g	
SUBTOTALS This Period This Page (option	al)	7	<b>&gt;</b> 0.00
			0.00
			0.00
TOTALS This Period (last page this line nu	mber only) ·····		7 7 7
SUBTOTALS This Period This Page (option TOTALS This Period (last page this line nu TOTAL OUTSTANDING LOANS from Sche	mber only) ·····		7 7 7