

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

BY EMAIL ONLY

July 19, 2022

dross@compasslegal.org

Derek H. Ross Compass Legal Services, Inc. 300 Independence Ave. SE Washington, DC 20003

RE: MUR 7911

Rodney for Congress and
Thomas Charles Datwyler in his
official capacity as treasurer;
Restoring Our Democracy and
Thomas Charles Datwyler in his
official capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. Ross:

On July 8, 2021, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your clients of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On July 13, 2022, based on the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by you, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Rodney for Congress and Thomas Charles Datwyler in his official capacity as treasurer; Restoring Our Democracy and Thomas Charles Datwyler in his official capacity as treasurer. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Roy Q. Luckett

BY: Koy Q. Luckett

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:

General Counsel's Report

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION				
1 2 3 4	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT				
5	DISMISSAL	EFORI			
6 7	MUR: 7911 Responde	nts: Rodney for Congress and Thomas Charles Datwyler			
8		in his official capacity as treasurer			
9		Restoring Our Democracy (ROD PAC)			
10		and Thomas Charles Datwyler			
11		in his official capacity as treasurer			
12					
13					
14	Complaint Receipt Date: July 7, 2021				
15	Response Date: August 10, 2021				
16					
17	EPS Rating:				
18					
19	Alleged Statutory	52 U.S.C. §§ 30101(8)(B); 30104;			
20	Regulatory Violations:	11 C.F.R. §§ 116.3, 116.10			
21	TI C 1: 11 11 P 1 C C	(1 "C '' " 1 T ' C			
22	The Complaint alleges that Rodney for Congre	ess (the "Committee") and Restoring Our			
23	Democracy ("ROD PAC") failed to reimburse and compensate the Gula Graham Group ("GGG")				
24	over \$33,000 in fundraising and consulting costs. 1 Specifically, the Complaint alleges that the				
25	Committee directed GGG to provide third-party payment for \$16,018.45 in personal and campaign				
26	expenses, but has refused to reimburse GGG and has attempted to convert these expenses into				
27	unreported campaign contributions. ² The Complaint further asserts that the Committee and the				
28	PAC have refused to pay \$15,395 and \$2,400, respectively, owed to GGG for fundraising services. ³				
29	The Complaint alleges that the Respondents have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of				
30	1971, as amended (the "Act"), because the committee	s' failure to repay GGG has resulted in an			

Compl. at 1 (July 7, 2021).

Id. The Complaint alleges that in March 2020 the Committee and ROD PAC both stopped reimbursing GGG for expenses for which they had been reimbursing GGG for many years. Id. at 2.

 $^{^3}$ Id. at 1. GGG alleges that the Committee and ROD PAC failed to pay GGG a 12% commission based on its fundraising for the committees. Id. at 2.

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7911 (Rodney for Congress) Page 2 of 3

1 unintended extension of credit that is not in the ordinary course of business, and because the

2 committees have inaccurately reported these debts as disputed.⁴

The joint response of the Committee and the PAC asserts that the Complaint does not allege

4 any violation of the Act, but rather is an attempt to litigate a disputed debt. ⁵ The Response further

states that the Respondents have properly disclosed the amounts claimed by GGG as "disputed

6 debt" on their disclosure reports with the Commission.⁶

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, and the fact that the Committee has included the disputed debt in subsequent reports, we recommend that

the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to

17

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Id. at 2-3.

Committee and PAC Resp. at 1 (Aug. 10, 2021). The Response asserts that Respondents had agreements with GGG to provide fundraising services through December 31, 2020, but that on March 26, 2020, GGG's principal announced to the Respondents that GGG would cease providing services to its clients. *Id.* at 1-2.

Id. at 3. See also Rodney for Congress 2022 Pre-Primary Report at 441 (June 16, 2022), https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/279/202206169514980279/202206169514980279.pdf, and Restoring Our Democracy (ROD PAC) Amended 2021 April Quarterly Report at 25 (June 9, 2022). https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/937/202206099514816937/202206099514816937.pdf.

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7911 (Rodney for Congress) Page 3 of 3

- determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources.⁷ We also recommend
- 2 that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents and send the appropriate letters.

3			Lisa J. Stevenson
4			Acting General Counsel
5			
6			
7			Charles Kitcher
8			Associate General Counsel
9 10 11	6/30/2022	BY:	Claudio faves
12	Date		Claudio J. Pavia
13			Deputy Associate General Counsel
14			
15			0 0 1 14
16			Roy Q. Luckett Roy Q. Luckett
17			Rby Q. Luckett
18			Acting Assistant General Counsel
19			
20			A.B.
21			Balans Agran
22			Donald E. Campbell
23			Attorney

Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).