1 BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2 3 **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM** 4 **DISMISSAL REPORT** 5 6 **MUR:** 7844 **Respondents:** Kirby-Smith Machinery, Inc. 7 Restore Oklahoma and 8 Complaint Receipt Date: October 28, 2020 Cabell Hobbs in his official 9 capacity as treasurer¹ 10 Response Date: November 24, 2020 11 12 13 Alleged Statutory and 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a) 14 **Regulatory Violations:** 11 C.F.R. § 115.2(a), (c) 15 16 17 The Complaint alleges that Kirby-Smith Machinery, Inc. ("Kirby-Smith") made a prohibited 18 \$10,000 contribution as a federal contractor to Restore Oklahoma, an independent-expenditure-only 19 political committee ("IEOPC"), in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act").² The Complaint alleges that Kirby-Smith held at least two government 20 21 contracts with the Department of Defense at the time that it made a contribution to Restore Oklahoma.³ During the timeframe that Kirby-Smith was allegedly a federal contractor, it made a 22 contribution to Restore Oklahoma on September 10, 2020, in the amount of \$10,000.4 The 23 24 Complaint also raises questions as to whether Restore Oklahoma knowingly solicited a prohibited 25 federal contractor contribution.

Restore Oklahoma is an independent-expenditure-only political committee registered with the Commission. Restore Oklahoma Statement of Organization at 2 (July 30, 2020).

² Compl. at 2, 6 (Oct. 28, 2020).

³ *Id.* Specifically, the Complaint asserts that Kirby-Smith held one contract covering the period of February 14, 2020, through October 6, 2020, in the amount of \$276,591, and a second contract from February 26, 2020, through November 23, 2020, in the amount of \$103,151. *Id.*

Id. at 3; Restore Oklahoma 2020 October Quarterly Report at 11 (Oct. 13, 2020).

MUR 7844 (Kirby-Smith Machinery, Inc., *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 2 of 3

Kirby-Smith did not respond to the Complaint. In its Response, Restore Oklahoma states that it immediately refunded Kirby-Smith's contribution upon learning of Kirby-Smith's federal contractor status, and argues that the Complaint does not present any facts to suggest that a knowing solicitation occurred.⁵ As disclosed on its 2020 30-Day Post-General Report, Restore Oklahoma refunded the contribution on November 20, 2020.⁶

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the low dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources.⁷ We also recommend that the Commission close the file and send the

⁵ Restore Oklahoma Resp. at 2 (Nov. 24, 2020).

Restore Oklahoma 2020 30-Day Post-General Report at 11 (Dec. 3, 2020).

⁷ *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).

MUR784400018

MUR 7844 (Kirby-Smith Machinery, Inc., *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 3 of 3

1	appropriate letters.	
2		Lisa J. Stevenson
3		Acting General Counsel
4		
5		Charles Kitcher
6		Associate General Counsel
7		
8 9		
10	2/25/2022	BY: Caudio are
11	Date	Claudio J. Pavia
12		Acting Deputy Associate General Counsel
13		for Enforcement
14		0 0 1 14
15		Roy Q. Luckett Roy Q. Luckett
16		Rby Q. Luckett
17		Acting Assistant General Counsel
18		
19		Eways And SH
20		Donald E. Campbell
21		Attorney