

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

BY EMAIL ONLY

Ashlee Titus Bell, McAndrews & Hiltachk, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, Ca 95814 atitus@bmhlaw.com April 29, 2022

RE: MUR 7837

David Valadao

Valadao for Congress

and Melissa Allen, Treasurer

Vitoria PAC

and Melissa Allen, Treasurer

Andrew Renteria

Dear Ms. Titus:

On October 28, 2020, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your clients, David Valadao, Valadao for Congress and Melissa Allen in her official capacity as treasurer, Vitoria PAC and Melissa Allen in her official capacity as treasurer, and Andrew Renteria of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was forwarded to your clients at that time. Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, and information supplied by you, the Commission, on April 26, 2022, decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and voted to dismiss this matter. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Roy Q. Luckett

BY: Roy Q. Luckett

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:

General Counsel's Report

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
1 2 3	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM		
4	DISMISSAL R		
5			
6	MUR: 7837	despondents: Valadao for Congress and	
7		Melissa Allen in her official	
8		capacity as treasurer (the	
9 10		"Committee") Vitoria PAC and Melissa Allen	
11		in her official capacity as	
12		treasurer (the "PAC")	
13		David Valadao	
14		Andrew Renteria	
15			
16	Complaint Receipt Date: Oct. 26, 2020		
17	Response Date: Nov. 12, 2020		
18	EDC Deting.		
19 20	EPS Rating:		
21	Alleged Statutory	52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(C);	
22	Regulatory Violations:	11 C.F.R. § 110.1(d)	
23	FARTER MARKOTOTISTIC RESIDENCE AND A SALE OF THE SALE		
24	The Complaint alleges that David Valadao use	d funds from his leadership PAC to	
25	supplement the salary of his campaign manager, Andrew Renteria, and by doing so the PAC made		
26	excessive and unreported in-kind contributions to the Committee, in violation of the Federal		
27	Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations. 1 The		
28	Complaint states that the Committee's Federal Election Commission ("FEC") filings show the		
29	Committee paid Renteria \$6,404.81 per month for "campaign manager services" from January 202		
30	through June 2020, after which Renteria's monthly payment was reduced to \$1,500 from July 2020		
31	through September 2020. ² The Complaint further states that the PAC's FEC filings show that the		
32	PAC paid Renteria \$4,904.81 per month for "campaign manager services" from July 2020 through		
33	September 2020, and assert that the Committee's \$1,500 monthly payment to Renteria plus the		

1

¹ Compl. at 1 (Oct. 26, 2020).

² *Id.* at 2-3; *see, e.g.*, Valadao For Congress, 2020 Pre-Primary Report at 80-81 (Feb. 20, 2020), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/801/202002209186962801/202002209186962801.pdf; Valadao For Congress, 2020 October Quarterly Report at 502-503 (Oct. 15, 2020), https://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/647/202010159294994647/202010159294994647/202010159294994647/202010159294994647/202010159294994647.pdf.

MUR 7837 (Valadao for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 2 of 3

- 1 PAC's \$4,904.81 monthly payment to Renteria equals the \$6,404.81 that Renteria previously
- 2 received each month from the Committee before July.³ The Complaint argues that the PAC's salary
- 3 payment to Renteria were meant to supplement his Committee salary and therefore constituted an
- 4 excessive and unreported in-kind contribution from the PAC to the Committee.⁴

5 The Response asserts that Renteria works for both committees and each committee

6 appropriately compensated him in proportion to the services provided, with such compensation

allocated appropriately between the two committees based on the relative amount of time Renteria

devoted to each committee's activities.⁵ The Response asserts that any staff working for both the

Committee and the PAC are compensated according to the amount of time spent working on behalf

of each committee. In particular, regarding Renteria, the Response states that in July 2020,

fundraising efforts for the Committee reduced significantly as donors reached the allowable limit,

while fundraising efforts for the PAC increased significantly, therefore requiring an adjustment of

the portion of Renteria's compensation paid by each committee.

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement

Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and

16 assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

³ Compl. at 3; *see* Vitoria PAC 2020 October Quarterly Report at 15-16 (Oct. 15, 2020), https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/721/202010159294432721.pdf.

⁴ Compl. at 1, 5.

Resp. at 1, 2 (Nov. 12, 2020). Respondents state that the PAC has amended its reports to clarify the description of disbursements to Renteria and accurately reflect the nature of services provided to the PAC. *Id.* at 2,6,21,37. *See* Vitoria PAC Amended 2020 October Quarterly Report at 15-16 (Nov. 9, 2020), https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/440/202011099336977440/202011099336977440.pdf; *see also* Vitoria PAC Amended 2020 Pre-General Report at 7 (Nov. 9, 2020),

https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/464/202011099336977464/202011099336977464.pdf; Vitoria PAC Amended 2020 Post-General Report at 9 (May 23, 2021),

https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/302/202105239447381302/202105239447381302.pdf; Vitoria PAC Amended 2020 Year-End Report at 6 (May 23, 2021), https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/313/202105239447381313/202105239447381313.pdf.

Resp. at 2.

⁷ *Id.* at 2.

MUR783700066

MUR 7837 (Valadao for Congress, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 3 of 3

- criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity
 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the
 electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in
- 4 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for
- 5 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the
- 6 speculative nature of the allegations, and the low dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the
- 7 Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to
- 8 determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. 8 We also recommend
- 9 that the Commission close the file and send the appropriate letters.

10 11			Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel
12			
13			
14			Charles Kitcher
15			Associate General Counsel
16			$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$
17			/ / 1. V.
18	1/21/2022	BY:	Claudiofava
19	Date		Claudio J. Pavia
20			Acting Deputy Associate General Counsel
21			for Enforcement
22			
22 23			Roy Q / uckatt
24			Roy Q. Luckett
25			Roy Q. Luckett
26			Acting Assistant General Counsel
27			Acting Assistant General Counsel
28			A STABOUT
29			David I. Carriell
30			Donald E. Campbell
31			Attorney

_