1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2 3	FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT			
4	FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL 5 REFORT			
5		MUR 7803		
6		DATE COMPLAINT FILED: Sept. 24, 2020		
7		DATE OF NOTIFICATION: Sept. 29, 2020		
8		LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: Nov. 6, 2020		
9		DATE ACTIVATED: Feb. 2, 2021		
10				
11		EXPIRATION OF SOL:		
12		July 15, 2024-April 15, 2025		
13		ELECTION CYCLE: 2020		
14				
15	COMPLAINANT:	Maine Democratic Party		
16				
17	RESPONDENTS:	Susan Collins		
18		Collins for Senator and Elizabeth McCandless		
19		in her official capacity as treasurer		
20				
21	RELEVANT STATUTES AND	52 H.C.C. (; 20104/;)		
22	REGULATIONS:	52 U.S.C. § 30104(i)		
23		52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(8)		
24		11 C.F.R. § 104.22		
2526		11 C.F.R. § 110.6		
27	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports		
28	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED.	Disclosure Reports		
29	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None		
30	I. INTRODUCTION			
31	The Complaint alleges that Susan	Collins and Collins for Senator and Elizabeth		
32	McCandless in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") failed to disclose certain			
33	contributions to the Committee as having been earmarked and bundled through the National			
34	Association of Broadcasters Political Action Committee ("NABPAC"), a lobbyist/registrant			
35	PAC, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act").			

¹ Compl. at 3-6 (Sept. 24, 2020).

_

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 8

- 1 Respondents admit making a "highly technical reporting mistake." After the Complaint was
- 2 filed, Respondents filed the missing bundling reports and corrected their Quarterly Reports to
- 3 indicate that those contributions were earmarked through a conduit, and forwarded by a
- 4 Lobbyist/Registrant PAC.³ As discussed below, we recommend that the Commission dismiss
- 5 the violations as a matter of prosecutorial discretion, but caution the Committee and close the
- 6 file.

7

12

13

14

15

16

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8 Collins was a candidate for U.S. Senate in Maine in 2020 and Collins for Senator is her

9 authorized committee. ⁴ NABPAC is a lobbyist/registrant PAC. ⁵

The Complaint alleges that the Committee failed to disclose the earmarked and bundled

11 nature of \$19,300 in contributions disclosed on the Committee's 2019 July Quarterly Report and

\$19,200 in contributions disclosed on its 2020 April Quarterly Report.⁶ First, the Committee

failed to include on Schedule A the required reference in memo text to NABPAC as the conduit

for the contributions. Second, the Committee failed to file a Form 3L disclosing the total

amount of bundled contributions the Committee received through NABPAC.⁸ The Complaint

also alleges a \$10,400 reporting discrepancy between the contributions NABPAC disclosed

Susan Collins and Collins for Senator Resp. ("Resp.") at 1 (Nov. 6, 2020).

Id.

⁴ Susan Collins Amended Statement of Candidacy (Oct. 9, 2019); Collins for Senator Amended Statement of Org. (Nov. 4, 2020).

⁵ NABPAC Amended Statement of Org. (Apr. 9, 2020).

⁶ Compl. at 3-5.

⁷ *Id.* at 8.

⁸ *Id.* at 6.

MUR780300018

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 8

- 1 forwarding to the Committee in 2020 and the contributions the Committee reported receiving on
- 2 its original 2020 April Quarterly Report.⁹
- The Committee acknowledges that it originally reported all of the contributions on
- 4 Schedule A, but failed to disclose that the contributions were earmarked through and bundled by
- 5 NABPAC. 10 After the Complaint was filed, the Committee filed amended Quarterly Reports
- 6 disclosing the earmarked nature of the contributions. 11 The Committee also filed Form 3Ls
- disclosing the total contributions it received through NABPAC. 12 According to Respondents,
- 8 after taking these steps, "the public record is accurate and complete." With regard to the
- 9 alleged \$10,400 reporting discrepancy, the Committee responds that NABPAC forwarded
- 10 \$10,400 comprised of contributions that were in excess of the respective contributors'
- 11 contribution limits; the Committee refunded those contributions and did not originally disclose
- 12 them. 14 After the Complaint was filed, the Committee disclosed the receipt of \$10,400 in
- excessive contributions and disclosed that it had refunded them. 15 Respondents state that the
- violations have been cured and request dismissal of the allegations. 16

Compl. at 5.

¹⁰ Resp. at 1.

¹¹ *Id.*; see also Collins for Senator, Amended 2019 July Quarterly Report at 280-292 (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator Amended 2020 April Quarterly Report at 301, 382, 457, 824, 974, 1052, 1053, 1304-1309, 1394, 2059, 2404-2405 (Nov. 5, 2020).

Resp. at 1; *see also* Collins for Senator, 2019 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator, 2020 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020).

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ *Id.* at note 2.

¹⁵ *Id; see also* Collins for Senator Amended 2020 April Quarterly Report at 2685, 2688 (Nov. 5, 2020).

¹⁶ *Id*.

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 4 of 8

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

For purposes of the Act, all contributions made by a person, either directly or indirectly, on behalf of a particular candidate, including contributions which are in any way earmarked or otherwise directed through an intermediary or conduit to such candidate, shall be treated as contributions from such person to such candidate. ¹⁷ Commission regulations define the term "earmarked" as "a designation, instruction, or encumbrance, whether direct or indirect, express or implied, oral or written, which results in all or any part of a contribution or expenditure being made to, or expended on behalf of, a clearly identified candidate or a candidate's authorized committee." ¹⁸ The intermediary must report the original source and the intended recipient of an earmarked contribution to both the Commission and the intended recipient. ¹⁹ The report by the conduit or intermediary shall contain the name and mailing address of each contributor, and for each earmarked contribution in excess of \$200, the contributor's occupation and name of his or her employer.²⁰ When a candidate committee receives an earmarked contribution, through an allowable conduit, each individual contribution should be itemized when the individual's total contributions to the committee aggregate over \$200 per election cycle.²¹ This itemization must

¹⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(8).

¹⁸ 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(b)(1).

¹⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(8); 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(c)(1).

²⁰ 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(c)(1).

²¹ 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(c)(2).

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 5 of 8

- 1 include the full name, address, occupation, and employer of the individual contributor along with
- 2 the date the contribution was received by the conduit.²²
- 3 A committee must file Form 3L if it has received two or more bundled contributions
- 4 forwarded by or received and credited to a person reasonably known by the reporting committee
- 5 to be a lobbyist/registrant or lobbyist/registrant PAC aggregated in excess of that calendar year's
- 6 bundling disclosure threshold during the covered period.²³ The lobbyist bundling disclosure
- 7 threshold was \$18,700 in 2019 and \$19,000 in 2020.²⁴ Reporting committees must file Form 3L
- 8 at the end of each "covered period" which is determined based on whether the reporting
- 9 committee files campaign finance reports on a semi-annual, quarterly, or monthly basis.²⁵

The available information indicates that the Committee failed to properly disclose that the

- 11 contributions it received through NABPAC were earmarked for the Committee and that the
- 12 Committee failed to file the required Form 3L in 2019 indicating that NABPAC had bundled
- \$19,300 in contributions. In response to the Complaint dated September 29, 2020, the
- 14 Committee amended its 2019 July Quarterly and 2020 April Quarterly Reports disclosing the
- earmarked nature of the contributions and filed the required 2019 3L report, all on November 5,
- $16 2020.^{26}$

²² *Id*.

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(i); 11 C.F.R. § 104.22(b).

Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold for 2019, 84 Fed. Reg. 2506 (Feb. 7, 2019); Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold for 2020, 85 Fed. Reg. 8774 (Feb. 20, 2020).

See 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.22(a)(5); 104.22(e). The Commission's Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") sent a Request for Additional Information ("RFAI") to the Committee stating that the Committee may have failed to file required Form 3L covering the second half of 2020, after the contributions at issue in this matter. Collins for Senator, RFAI (Feb. 19, 2021).

See Collins for Senator, Amended 2019 July Quarterly Report (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator Amended 2020 April Quarterly Report (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator 2019 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020). The Committee also filed a Form 3L for 2020 on November 5, 2020. See Collins for Senator, 2020 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020). In 2020, \$10,400 of the

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 6 of 8

12

This is the first enforcement matter before the Commission involving the bundling 1 disclosure provisions.²⁷ However, the Commission has considered a limited number of cases 2 3 dealing with earmarked contributions. In MUR 6134 (Cranley for Congress), the Commission found reason to believe that the candidate committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) by failing to 4 properly disclose \$508,122 in earmarked contributions. ²⁸ Similarly, in MUR 6624 (Gould for 5 Congress), the Commission found reason to believe that the candidate committee violated 6 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) by failing to properly disclose \$162,098 in earmarked contributions.²⁹ The 7 8 amount in violation in both MUR 6134 and 6624 is far greater than, and thus distinguishable 9 from, the \$19,300 the Committee failed to earmark in 2019 and the \$8,800 it failed to earmark in $2020.^{30}$ 10 11 In light of the modest amount of contributions not properly disclosed by the Committee,

\$19,200 contributions the Committee received through NABPAC were refunded for exceeding those contributors' contribution limits. The excessive contributions were refunded between one and 30 days of receipt, within the refund timeframe set forth at 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3). Therefore, the Committee only received \$8,800 in bundled contributions through NABPAC and it appears that the Committee was not required to file a Form 3L in 2020 because the bundled contributions were well under the 2020 lobbyist bundling disclosure threshold. *See* Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold for 2020, 85 Fed. Reg. 8774 (Feb. 20, 2020).

barely surpassing the Form 3L disclosure threshold in 2019, 31 and the Committee's remedial

Section 204(a) of the Honest Leadership and Open Government Act of 2007, amended section 30104 by adding subsection (i)—disclosure of bundled contributions. This amendment went into effect for reports filed after May 18, 2009. Explanation and Justification, Regulations on Reporting Contributions Bundled by Lobbyists, Registrants and the PACs of Lobbyists and Registrants, 74 Fed. Reg. 7285, 7286 (Feb. 17, 2009).

²⁸ Conciliation Agreement ¶20, MUR 6134 (Cranley for Congress).

²⁹ Factual & Legal Analysis at 4, 6, MUR 6624 (Gould for Congress).

The violation here consisted of 29 contributions not properly reported in 2019 and 2020. *See* Compl. at 3-5. This activity does not meet a RAD referral threshold for OGC or ADRO. *See* 2019-2020 RAD Review and Referral Procedures (Standard 23) at 159-160.

See Factual & Legal Analysis at 6, MUR 6163 (Houghton Co. Dem. Comm.) (dismissing allegation that Committee triggered political committee status by exceeding the threshold by \$482 because such a "modest amount" would not warrant the further use of Commission resources); First General Counsel's Rept. at 3-4, MUR 6205 (Fort Bend Democrats) (EPS dismissal where the federal portion of the expenses was "modest" and may have exceeded the \$1,000 political committee threshold for expenditures by approximately \$500).

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 7 of 8

- 1 actions 37 days after notification of the Complaint, we recommend that the Commission dismiss
- 2 the allegations as a matter of prosecutorial discretion, but caution the Committee.³²

3 IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

- 1. Dismiss the allegation that Susan Collins and Collins for Senator and Elizabeth McCandless in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(8) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(c)(2) by failing to report a conduit that forwarded earmarked contributions and send a letter of caution;
 - 2. Dismiss the allegation that Susan Collins and Collins for Senator and Elizabeth McCandless in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(i) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.22(b) by failing to file reports disclosing bundled contributions and send a letter of caution;
 - 3. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis;
- 164. Close the file; and17

MUR780300023

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) First General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 8

1	5.	Approve the appropriate letters	S.
2			
2 3 4 5			Lisa J. Stevenson
4			Acting General Counsel
6			Charles Kitcher
7			Acting Associate General Counsel
8 9			for Enforcement
9			
10	0= 40 04		Stacker Our
11	05.13.21		Stephen Gura Stephen Gura
12	Date		Stephen Gura
13			Deputy Associate General Counsel
14			for Enforcement
15			
16			Mark Allen
17			
18			Mark Allen
19			Assistant General Counsel
20			
21			Richard Weiss
22			
23			Richard L. Weiss
24			Attorney
25			
26	Attachmen		
27	Factual ar	nd Legal Analysis	

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS			
3 4 5 6	RESPONDENTS:	Susan Collins MUR 7803 Collins for Senator and Elizabeth McCandless in her official capacity as treasurer		
7	I. INTRODUCT	TION		
8	The Complaint	alleges that Susan Collins and Collins for Senator and Elizabeth		
9	McCandless in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") failed to disclose certain			
10	contributions to the Committee as having been earmarked and bundled through the National			
11	Association of Broadcasters Political Action Committee ("NABPAC"), a lobbyist/registrant			
12	PAC, in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). 1			
13	Respondents admit making a "highly technical reporting mistake." After the Complaint was			
14	filed, Respondents filed the missing bundling reports and corrected their Quarterly Reports to			
15	indicate that those contributions were earmarked through a conduit, and forwarded by a			
16	Lobbyist/Registrant PAC. ³ As discussed below, the Commission dismisses the violations as a			
17	matter of prosecutorial discretion, but cautions the Committee and closes the file.			
18	II. FACTUAL BA	ACKGROUND		
19	Collins was a c	andidate for U.S. Senate in Maine in 2020 and Collins for Senator is her		
20	authorized committee. ⁴ NABPAC is a lobbyist/registrant PAC. ⁵			
	Compl. at 3-6 (Sep	pt. 24, 2020).		
	² Susan Collins and	Collins for Senator Resp. ("Resp.") at 1 (Nov. 6, 2020).		

Susan Collins Amended Statement of Candidacy (Oct. 9, 2019); Collins for Senator Amended Statement of Org. (Nov. 4, 2020).

⁵ NABPAC Amended Statement of Org. (Apr. 9, 2020).

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 6

The Complaint alleges that the Committee failed to disclose the earmarked and bundled 1 2 nature of \$19,300 in contributions disclosed on the Committee's 2019 July Quarterly Report and \$19,200 in contributions disclosed on its 2020 April Ouarterly Report.⁶ First, the Committee 3 4 failed to include on Schedule A the required reference in memo text to NABPAC as the conduit 5 for the contributions. Second, the Committee failed to file a Form 3L disclosing the total amount of bundled contributions the Committee received through NABPAC.⁸ The Complaint 6 7 also alleges a \$10,400 reporting discrepancy between the contributions NABPAC disclosed forwarding to the Committee in 2020 and the contributions the Committee reported receiving on 8 its original 2020 April Quarterly Report.9 9 The Committee acknowledges that it originally reported all of the contributions on 10 11 Schedule A, but failed to disclose that the contributions were earmarked through and bundled by NABPAC.¹⁰ After the Complaint was filed, the Committee filed amended Quarterly Reports 12 disclosing the earmarked nature of the contributions. 11 The Committee also filed Form 3Ls 13 disclosing the total contributions it received through NABPAC. 12 According to Respondents, 14

⁶ Compl. at 3-5.

⁷ *Id.* at 8.

⁸ *Id.* at 6.

⁹ Compl. at 5.

¹⁰ Resp. at 1.

¹¹ *Id.*; *see also* Collins for Senator, Amended 2019 July Quarterly Report at 280-292 (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator Amended 2020 April Quarterly Report at 301, 382, 457, 824, 974, 1052, 1053, 1304-1309, 1394, 2059, 2404-2405 (Nov. 5, 2020).

Resp. at 1; *see also* Collins for Senator, 2019 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator, 2020 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020).

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 6

- after taking these steps, "the public record is accurate and complete." With regard to the
- 2 alleged \$10,400 reporting discrepancy, the Committee responds that NABPAC forwarded
- 3 \$10,400 comprised of contributions that were in excess of the respective contributors'
- 4 contribution limits; the Committee refunded those contributions and did not originally disclose
- 5 them. 14 After the Complaint was filed, the Committee disclosed the receipt of \$10,400 in
- 6 excessive contributions and disclosed that it had refunded them. 15 Respondents state that the
- 7 violations have been cured and request dismissal of the allegations. ¹⁶

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

For purposes of the Act, all contributions made by a person, either directly or indirectly, on behalf of a particular candidate, including contributions which are in any way earmarked or otherwise directed through an intermediary or conduit to such candidate, shall be treated as contributions from such person to such candidate. Commission regulations define the term "earmarked" as "a designation, instruction, or encumbrance, whether direct or indirect, express or implied, oral or written, which results in all or any part of a contribution or expenditure being made to, or expended on behalf of, a clearly identified candidate or a candidate's authorized committee." The intermediary must report the original source and the intended recipient of an

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ *Id.* at note 2.

¹⁵ Id; see also Collins for Senator Amended 2020 April Quarterly Report at 2685, 2688 (Nov. 5, 2020).

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(8).

¹⁸ 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(b)(1).

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 6

- 1 earmarked contribution to both the Commission and the intended recipient. 19 The report by the
- 2 conduit or intermediary shall contain the name and mailing address of each contributor, and for
- 3 each earmarked contribution in excess of \$200, the contributor's occupation and name of his or
- 4 her employer.²⁰
- When a candidate committee receives an earmarked contribution, through an allowable
- 6 conduit, each individual contribution should be itemized when the individual's total
- 7 contributions to the committee aggregate over \$200 per election cycle.²¹ This itemization must
- 8 include the full name, address, occupation, and employer of the individual contributor along with
- 9 the date the contribution was received by the conduit.²²

A committee must file Form 3L if it has received two or more bundled contributions

- forwarded by or received and credited to a person reasonably known by the reporting committee
- to be a lobbyist/registrant or lobbyist/registrant PAC aggregated in excess of that calendar year's
- bundling disclosure threshold during the covered period.²³ The lobbyist bundling disclosure
- threshold was \$18,700 in 2019 and \$19,000 in 2020.²⁴ Reporting committees must file Form 3L
- at the end of each "covered period" which is determined based on whether the reporting
- 16 committee files campaign finance reports on a semi-annual, quarterly, or monthly basis.²⁵

¹⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(8); 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(c)(1).

²⁰ 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(c)(2).

²¹ *Id*.

²² *Id*.

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(i); 11 C.F.R. § 104.22(b).

Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold for 2019, 84 Fed. Reg. 2506 (Feb. 7, 2019); Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold for 2020, 85 Fed. Reg. 8774 (Feb. 20, 2020).

See 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.22(a)(5); 104.22(e). The Commission's Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") sent a Request for Additional Information ("RFAI") to the Committee stating that the Committee may have failed to file

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 6

1 The available information indicates that the Committee failed to properly disclose that the 2 contributions it received through NABPAC were earmarked for the Committee and that the 3 Committee failed to file the required Form 3L in 2019 indicating that NABPAC had bundled 4 \$19,300 in contributions. In response to the Complaint dated September 29, 2020, the 5 Committee amended its 2019 July Quarterly and 2020 April Quarterly Reports disclosing the 6 earmarked nature of the contributions and filed the required 2019 3L report, all on November 5, $2020.^{26}$ 7 8 This is the first enforcement matter before the Commission involving the bundling disclosure provisions.²⁷ However, the Commission has considered a limited number of cases 9 dealing with earmarked contributions. In MUR 6134 (Cranley for Congress), the Commission 10 found reason to believe that the candidate committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) by failing to 11 properly disclose \$508,122 in earmarked contributions. ²⁸ Similarly, in MUR 6624 (Gould for 12 13 Congress), the Commission found reason to believe that the candidate committee violated

required Form 3L covering the second half of 2020, after the contributions at issue in this matter. Collins for Senator, RFAI (Feb. 19, 2021).

See Collins for Senator, Amended 2019 July Quarterly Report (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator Amended 2020 April Quarterly Report (Nov. 5, 2020); Collins for Senator 2019 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020). The Committee also filed a Form 3L for 2020 on November 5, 2020. See Collins for Senator, 2020 Quarterly Semi-Annual Lobbyist Bundling Report (Nov. 5, 2020). In 2020, \$10,400 of the \$19,200 contributions the Committee received through NABPAC were refunded for exceeding those contributors' contribution limits. The excessive contributions were refunded between one and 30 days of receipt, within the refund timeframe set forth at 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3). Therefore, the Committee only received \$8,800 in bundled contributions through NABPAC and it appears that the Committee was not required to file a Form 3L in 2020 because the bundled contributions were well under the 2020 lobbyist bundling disclosure threshold. See Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold for 2020, 85 Fed. Reg. 8774 (Feb. 20, 2020).

Section 204(a) of the Honest Leadership and Open Government Act of 2007, amended section 30104 by adding subsection (i)—disclosure of bundled contributions. This amendment went into effect for reports filed after May 18, 2009. Explanation and Justification, Regulations on Reporting Contributions Bundled by Lobbyists, Registrants and the PACs of Lobbyists and Registrants, 74 Fed. Reg. 7285, 7286 (Feb. 17, 2009).

²⁸ Conciliation Agreement ¶20, MUR 6134 (Cranley for Congress).

MUR780300029

MUR 7803 (Collins for Senator, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 6

- 1 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) by failing to properly disclose \$162,098 in earmarked contributions.²⁹ The
- 2 amount in violation in both MUR 6134 and 6624 is far greater than, and thus distinguishable
- 3 from, the \$19,300 the Committee failed to earmark in 2019 and the \$8,800 it failed to earmark in
- 4 2020.
- In light of the modest amount of contributions not properly disclosed by the Committee,
- 6 barely surpassing the Form 3L disclosure threshold in 2019,³⁰ and the Committee's remedial
- 7 actions 37 days after notification of the Complaint, the Commission dismisses the allegations as
- 8 a matter of prosecutorial discretion, but cautions the Committee.³¹

²⁹ Factual & Legal Analysis at 4, 6, MUR 6624 (Gould for Congress).

See Factual & Legal Analysis at 6, MUR 6163 (Houghton Co. Dem. Comm.) (dismissing allegation that Committee triggered political committee status by exceeding the threshold by \$482 because such a "modest amount" would not warrant the further use of Commission resources); First General Counsel's Rept. at 3-4, MUR 6205 (Fort Bend Democrats) (EPS dismissal where the federal portion of the expenses was "modest" and may have exceeded the \$1,000 political committee threshold for expenditures by approximately \$500).

³¹ See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).