

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

February 1, 2023

By Email & Certified Mail

lbaglietto@yahoo.com

Louis G. Baglietto Buzz Patterson 4712 E. Second Street, #431 Long Beach CA 90803

RE: MUR 7796

Buzz Patterson for Congress and Louis G. Baglietto in his official capacity as

treasurer

Robert "Buzz" Patterson

Dear Messrs. Baglietto and Patterson:

On December 21, 2021, the Federal Election Commission notified Buzz Patterson for Congress and Louis G. Baglietto in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") that it had found reason to believe the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), (b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a), (b) by failing to file required reports of receipts and disbursements. At that time, the Commission took no action as to allegations that Robert "Buzz" Patterson and the Committee improperly converted campaign funds to Mr. Patterson's personal use.

On January 26, 2023, after conducting an investigation regarding the Committee's unreported receipts and disbursements, the Commission found no reason to believe that Robert "Buzz" Patterson and Buzz Patterson for Congress and Louis G. Baglietto in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b)(1) by converting campaign funds to personal use. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which provides the basis for the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information.

In order to expedite the resolution of the Committee's reporting violations, the Commission authorized the Office of General Counsel to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a finding of probable cause to believe. Pre-probable cause conciliation is not mandated by the Act or the Commission's regulations, but is a voluntary step in the enforcement process that the Commission is offering to you as a way to resolve this matter at an early stage and without the need for briefing the issue of whether or not the Commission should find probable cause to believe that you violated the law.

MUR 7796 (Buzz Patterson for Congress, *et al.*) Letter to Buzz Patterson for Congress Page 2 of 3

Enclosed is a conciliation agreement for your consideration

If you are interested in engaging in pre-probable cause conciliation, or have any questions, please contact Richard Weiss, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1021 or rweiss@fec.gov, within seven days of receiving this letter. During conciliation, you may submit any factual or legal materials that you believe are relevant to the Commission's consideration of this matter. Because the Commission only enters into pre-probable cause conciliation in matters that it believes have a reasonable opportunity for settlement, we may proceed to the next step in the enforcement process if a mutually acceptable conciliation agreement cannot be reached within sixty days. 1. Conversely, if you are not interested in preprobable cause conciliation, the Commission may conduct formal discovery or proceed to the next step in the enforcement process. Please note that once the Commission enters the next step in the enforcement process, it may decline to engage in further settlement discussions until after making a probable cause finding. Pre-probable cause conciliation, extensions of time, and other enforcement procedures and options are discussed more comprehensively in the Commission's "Guidebook for Complainants and Respondents on the FEC Enforcement Process," which is available on the Commission's website at https://www.fec.gov/resources/cmscontent/documents/respondent guide.pdf.

¹ See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a), 11 C.F.R. Part 111 (Subpart A).

MUR 7796 (Buzz Patterson for Congress, *et al.*) Letter to Buzz Patterson for Congress Page 3 of 3

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 52 U.S.C. §§ 30109(a)(4)(B) and 30109(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter would be made public. Please be advised that although the Commission cannot disclose information regarding an investigation to the public, it may share information on a confidential basis with other law enforcement agencies.²

We look forward to your response.

On behalf of the Commission,

Mark Shonkwiler

Assistant General Counsel

Mark Shonkwiler

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analysis

The Commission has the statutory authority to refer knowing and willful violations of the Act to the Department of Justice for potential criminal prosecution, 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(C), and to report information regarding violations of law not within its jurisdiction to appropriate law enforcement authorities. *Id.* 30107(a)(9).

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2		FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
3 4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS:	Robert "Buzz" Patterson Buzz Patterson for Congress and Louis G. Baglietto in his official capacity as treasurer	MUR 7796
8	I. INTRODUCTION		
9	The Complai	int alleges, among other things, that 2020 California 7th Co	ngressional
10	District candidate Robert "Buzz" Patterson and his authorized campaign committee, Buzz		
11	Patterson for Congress and Louis G. Baglietto in his official capacity as treasurer ("the		
12	Committee") converted campaign funds to personal use by having the Committee pay Patterson		
13	a salary to which he was not entitled.		
14	In the course of investigating the other allegations, the Commission obtained information		
15	as to the salary payments made to Patterson. Based on this information, the Commission finds		
16	no reason to believe that Patterson and the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b)(1) by		
17	converting campaign funds to personal use in the form of salary payments to the candidate.		
18	II. FACTUAL	BACKGROUND	
19	Buzz Patterso	on was a 2020 candidate for U.S. Congress in California's	7th Congressional
20	District. Buzz Patterson for Congress (the "Patterson Committee") is Patterson's authorized		
21	committee, and Louis G. Baglietto is the Patterson Committee treasurer. 1		

_

Buzz Patterson Statement of Candidacy (July 1, 2019); Buzz Patterson for Congress Amended Statement of Organization (Feb. 4, 2020). Patterson came in second in the Mar. 3, 2020 Bipartisan Primary, but lost the Nov. 3, 2020 general election. Statement of Primary Vote at 16, CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE (Mar. 3, 2020), https://elections.cdn.sos.ca.gov/sov/2020-primary/sov/complete-sov.pdf; Statement of General Election Vote at 25, CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE (Nov. 3, 2020), https://elections.cdn.sos.ca.gov/sov/2020-general/sov/complete-sov.pdf.

MUR 7796 (Robert "Buzz" Patterson, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 5

The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Patterson converted campaign funds to 1 2 personal use by paying Patterson a salary, which was greater than the salary he received the previous year. In support of this allegation, the Complaint cites a press article citing a breach of 3 4 contract lawsuit filed by a campaign consultant, which alleges that instead of paying the consultant, the Patterson Committee used its funds to pay Patterson a candidate salary.³ The 5 6 Complaint pairs the underlying allegation in the lawsuit with Patterson's 2019 Financial 7 Disclosure Report filed with the Clerk of the United States House of Representatives, which did 8 not disclose any earned income in 2019, and concludes that the salary being paid to Patterson 9 was a prohibited personal use because it exceeded his income from the prior year.⁴ 10 During the investigation as to other allegations, the Committee provided the 11 Commission's Office of General Counsel ("OGC") with its bank records and reimbursement 12 information for expenses paid for by Patterson. Patterson also provided his personal financial 13 information including his tax returns to establish his salary. During the investigation, OGC held 14 several conference calls with both Baglietto and Patterson in which they spoke at length about how the Committee operated. Patterson explained that he did receive a small number of stipends 15 16 from the Committee, but could not recall the exact amounts of the stipends nor did he maintain records as to the exact amounts.⁵ Patterson stated that he believes that he received \$5,000 in 17

Compl. at 2.

Id. (citing Brooke Staggs, Medical Importer Launched By GOP Consultants Prompts Complaints, Federal Investigation, ORANGE COUNTY REGISTER (May 7, 2020), https://www.ocregister.com/2020/05/07/medical-importer-launched-by-gop-consultants-prompts-complaints-federal-investigation (citing the lawsuit which "claims Patterson owes him nearly \$50,000 in unpaid fees and also accuses Patterson of trying to pay himself out of campaign funds and of having issues with alcohol.")).

⁴ Compl., Ex. 5.

Email from Buzz Patterson to Richard Weiss Attorney, FEC (May 8, 2022, 6:21 PM EST).

MUR 7796 (Robert "Buzz" Patterson, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 5

- stipends.⁶ Patterson kept a log of all reimbursable campaign expenses that he provided during
- 2 the investigation, which totals \$56,719.08. This log consisted of reimbursements for travel and
- 3 meals for campaign staff and volunteers. According to the Committee bank records, Baglietto
- 4 disbursed \$68,227.08 to Patterson in 2020 and 2021.8 This leaves an \$11,508 discrepancy
- 5 between the amount the bank records show disbursed to Patterson and the amount of
- 6 reimbursements on Patterson's log. While Patterson states that he believes that the stipends were
- 7 less than \$11,508, there is no documentation to determine what exactly that \$11,508 was spent
- 8 on. 9 Therefore, the stipends, at most, could have totaled \$11,508. These stipend disbursements
- 9 occurred after the filing deadline for access to the primary election ballot in California.
- Although Patterson did not disclose any income on his Financial Disclosure Report, he provided
- documentation that he received \$16,750 in earned income in 2019 from book royalties, book
- sales, and appearances on Facebook and speaking events. 10

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

13

14

15

16

17

The Act prohibits any person from converting campaign funds to personal use, defining "personal use" as using funds "to fulfill any commitment, obligation, or expense of a person that would exist irrespective of the candidate's election campaign or individual's duties as a holder of Federal office."¹¹ The Act and the Commission's regulation enumerate certain expenses that are

⁶ *Id.* Patterson provided some personal documentation showing \$5,000 in stipends in 2020, but did not have any clear explanation for the remaining \$6,508 that was unaccounted for on his list of campaign expenses. *Id.*

⁷ Id.; see also 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b); see also 11 C.F.R. § 113(g).

⁸ Of the \$11,508, \$9,763.12 was disbursed in 2020 and \$1,744.88 was disbursed in 2021. *Id.*

Email from Buzz Patterson to Richard Weiss Attorney, FEC (May 8, 2022, 6:21 PM EST).

Buzz Patterson, 2019 Income Tax Return Schedule C.

¹¹ 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b); accord 11 C.F.R. § 113(g).

MUR 7796 (Robert "Buzz" Patterson, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 5

- 1 considered per se "personal use" and thus are prohibited, including salary payments to a
- 2 candidate that do not meet specified criteria. 12

A candidate's principal campaign committee may pay a salary to the candidate that will

4 not constitute personal use of campaign funds so long as it does not exceed the lesser of the

minimum salary paid to a Federal officeholder holding the Federal office that the candidate seeks

or the earned income that the candidate received during the year prior to becoming a candidate. 13

7 Any earned income that a candidate receives from salaries or wages from any other source,

8 however, shall count against the foregoing limit of the minimum salary paid to a federal

officeholder holding the seat sought by the candidate. ¹⁴ Moreover, a committee shall not pay a

salary to a candidate before the filing deadline for access to the primary election ballot for the

federal office that the candidate seeks, as determined by state law. 15 The payment of salary to

candidates that do not meet these conditions is considered per se personal use. 16

The Complaint alleges that the Committee paid Patterson a salary and that it exceeded the

permissible amount.¹⁷ During the investigation, Patterson provided information from his 2019

tax returns demonstrating that his earned wages from 2019 (\$16,750) exceeded the stipends he

received from the Committee (at most 9,763.12 in 2020 and 1,744.88 in 2021.) Because

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

¹² 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b)(2); 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(l)(i)(I).

¹³ 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(l)(i)(I).

¹⁴ *Id*.

Id. If the candidate wins the primary election, his or her principal campaign committee may pay him or her a salary from campaign funds through the date of the general election, up to and including the date of any general election runoff. If the candidate loses the primary, withdraws from the race, or otherwise ceases to be a candidate, no salary payments may be paid beyond the date he or she is no longer a candidate. *Id*.

Id.; *see also* Disclaimers, Fraudulent Solicitation, Civil Penalties, and Personal Use of Campaign Funds; Final Rule, 67 Fed. Reg. 76,962, 76,972 (Dec. 13, 2002).

¹⁷ Compl. at 2; First General Counsel's Rpt. at 3-4.

As of OGC's last correspondence with Patterson he has not filed his 2020 taxes yet and therefore does not have documentation to share regarding his 2020 income.

MUR779600101

MUR 7796 (Robert "Buzz" Patterson, *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 5

- 1 Patterson's earned income from the prior year exceeded the amount of the stipends paid to him
- 2 during the campaign and because those stipends were paid after the filing deadline for the
- 3 California primary ballot and prior to the general election, the Commission concludes that the
- 4 salary payments were permissible.
- 5 Therefore, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Patterson and the Committee
- 6 violated 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b)(1) by converting campaign funds to personal use in the form of
- 7 salary payments to the candidate.