1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2 3 4	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT			
5 6 7 8 9	MUR 7765 Respondents: Michele Fiore Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. and Bradley T. Crate in his official capacity as treasurer			
10 11 12 13 14	Complaint Receipt Date: July 28, 2020 Response Dates: Aug. 5, Aug. 7, 2020			
15 16 17 18	Alleged Statutory 52 U.S.C. §§ 30104, 30116, 30118; Regulatory Violations: 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(b), 104.4, 114.2(a)			
19	The Complaint alleges that, on June 18, 2020, Michele Fiore, a Las Vegas, Nevada			
20	councilwoman, used municipal resources to advocate for the election of presidential candidate			
21	Donald J. Trump, and in doing so, the city of Las Vegas, Nevada, made a prohibited in-kind			
22	corporate contribution as a municipality to Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. (the "Trump			
23	Committee"), which the Trump Committee failed to report. The Complaint further alleges that the			
24	Trump Committee failed to report the in-kind contribution. ² Specifically, the Complaint cites to a			
25	Facebook and YouTube video that allegedly shows Fiore advocating for Trump's election while			
26	using municipal resources. ³			
27	Fiore's Response asserts that Fiore used no public resources for any campaign activity, did			
28	not advocate for Trump or any other candidate, and made the relevant comments at public activities			
29	concerning city business, not campaign events. ⁴ Further, Fiore attests that the statements that			
30	appear to be the basis of the Complaint were made during a public press conference and follow-up			
	Complet 2 (July 28, 2020). The Compleint estimates the amount of the alleged in kind contributions in a			

Compl. at 2 (July 28, 2020). The Complaint estimates the amount of the alleged in-kind contributions in a range of \$680 - \$4,080. *Id.*

² Id.; see 52 U.S.C. § 30104(c); 11 C.F.R. § 104.4.

Compl. at 2.

⁴ Fiore Resp. at 2 (Aug. 7, 2020); *see* Michele Fiore Aff. at 2-3, ¶¶ 15, 21, 26, 29 (Aug. 1, 2020).

MUR 7765 (Michele Fiore, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 2 of 3

taped interview with an independent journalist, during which she addressed her official actions as a

2 Las Vegas City Councilwoman and her decision to step down as Mayor Pro Tem.⁵ In its Response,

3 the Trump Committee asserts that the Complaint does not allege that the Trump Committee had any

involvement in the video in question, and notes that the video appears to have been posted online at

5 no cost.⁶

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the lack of information indicating any coordination between Fiore and the Trump Committee regarding the alleged activity, and the fact that any potential independent expenditure in support of the Trump Committee appears to have been *de minimis*, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper

Fiore Resp. at 1; see Michele Fiore Aff. at 2-3, ¶¶ 12-14, 16-17, 20-25. Fiore further asserts that any mention of Trump was only in response to a question. Fiore Resp. at 2; see Michele Fiore Aff. at 2-3, ¶¶ 14, 15, 21, 29.

Trump Committee Resp. at 1 (Aug. 5, 2020).

MUR776500021

MUR 7765 (Michele Fiore, *et al.*) EPS Dismissal Report Page 3 of 3

- ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. We also recommend that the Commission
- 2 close the file and send the appropriate letters.

3			Lisa J. Stevenson
4			Acting General Counsel
5			8
6			Charles Kitcher
7			Associate General Counsel
8			Tissociate General Counsel
9			
10			
11	April 27, 2022	BY:	(laudio aux
12	Date	D 1.	Claudio J. Pavia
13	Date		Deputy Associate General Counsel for
14			Enforcement
			Emorcement
15			
16			Roy Q. Luckett
17			Roy Q. Luckett Roy Q. Luckett
18			
19			Assistant General Counsel
20			
21			1
22			Calans Capton
23			Donald E. Campbell
24			Attorney

⁷