MUR774600013

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT

MUR: 7746 Respondents: Maureen for Congress

and Kevin G. McArdle, as

Complaint Receipt Date: June 12, 2020 Treasurer

Response Date: July 6, 2020

Alleged Statutory 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)

Regulatory Violations: 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.26, 102.9(d), 104.7(b), 110.11(a)(1)

The Complaint alleges that Maureen for Congress and Kevin G. McArdle in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively, "the Committee") failed to include disclaimers stating that the Committee had paid for its Facebook posts, the Committee's website, including the donation page on that website, and a fundraiser solicitation.<sup>1</sup> The Complaint further alleges that the Committee failed to include other required notices on its donation page and fundraising solicitation regarding contribution limits, authorized contributions, or that the Committee is required to use its best efforts to obtain and report certain information about contributors.<sup>2</sup> The Response asserts that none of the items required a disclaimer because they were not placed for a fee on another website.<sup>3</sup>

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity

Resp. at 1-2 (July 6, 2020). As of the date of this report, the Committee's website contained a compliant disclaimer, even though Respondents assert one was not required. *See* https://www.maureen4congress.com/.

Compl. at 2, Ex. A-F (June 12, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 2, Ex. C-D.

MUR774600014

EPS Dismissal Report—MUR 7746 (Maureen for Congress, *et al.*) Page 2 of 2

and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the unlikelihood that the public was misled as to who paid for the Facebook posts, website, or solicitation, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985). We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all respondents and send the appropriate letters.

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Charles Kitcher Acting Associate General Counsel

11.16.20

Date

BY:

Stephen Gura

Deputy Associate General Counsel

Jeff S. Jordan

**Assistant General Counsel** 

Kristina Portner

Kristina M. Portner

Attorney