

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

| 2 3 | <u>MEMORAN</u> | <u>IDUM</u> |
|--------|----------------|--|
| 4 | TO: | The Commission |
| 5 6 | FROM: | Lisa J. Stevenson |
| 7 | | Acting General Counsel |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | Charles Kitcher |
| 10 | | Associate General Counsel for Enforcement |
| 11 | | \sim . |
| 12 | BY: | Jin Leo |
| 13 | | Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement |
| 14 | | 1 5 |
| 15 | | Peter Blumberg ρ_{QB} |
| 16 | | Assistant General Counsel for Enforcement |
| 17 | | Assistant General goodiser for Enforcement |
| 18 | | Aaron Rabinowitz An |
| | | 40 |
| 19 | | Attorney |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | SUBJECT: | MUR 7745 (Casey Askar for Congress) |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | RE: | Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis and Conciliation Agreement |
| 24 | | |

26 I. INTRODUCTION

On April 28, 2022, the Commission voted to find reason to believe that Casey Askar for Congress and Rob Phillips III in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv), (d)(4) by failing to disclose complete and accurate information in its reports regarding a line of credit from Northern Bank that formed the basis of a loan to Askar for Congress.¹ It directed the Office of General Counsel to draft an appropriate Factual and Legal Analysis. It further authorized conciliation prior to a finding of probable cause to believe and instructed the Office of General Counsel to draft a conciliation agreement

MUR 7745 (Casey Askar for Congress) Page 2 of 2

1 II. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2 1. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis;
- 3 2. Approve the attached Conciliation Agreement; and
- 4 3. Send the appropriate letters.

$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \qquad \underline{\text{Attachments:}} \\ \end{array}$

7 1. Proposed Factual and Legal Analysis

8

9

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | I. INTRODUCTION | | |
| | This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by | | |
| | 12 | Stanley Carter. ¹ In January 2020, six weeks before announcing his candidacy for Florida's 19th | |
| 13 | Congressional District, Casey Askar obtained a \$5,000,000 line of credit from Northern Bank & | | |
| 14 | Trust Company ("Northern Bank"). After his announcement of candidacy, Askar withdrew | | |
| 15 | \$3,000,000 on the line of credit and loaned it to his campaign committee, Casey Askar for | | |
| 16 | Congress and Rob Phillips III in his official capacity as Treasurer ("Casey Askar for Congress" | | |
| 17 | or the "Committee"). The Complaint alleges that Northern Bank's involvement in the loan to the | | |
| 18 | Committee violated the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended, (the "Act") and | | |
| 19 | Commission regulations because: (1) the loan, as reported by the Committee, did not bear the | | |
| 20 | bank's usual and customary interest rate; and (2) Askar collateralized the line of credit with | | |
| 21 | property of insufficient worth. ² The Committee contends that the loan was properly disclosed in | | |
| 22 | its filings with the Commission. ⁴ | | |
| 23 | The available information indicates that the Committee incorrectly reported information | | |
| 24 | about the transaction, such as failing to provide the interest rate Northern Bank charged on the | | |

25 line of credit or report that other persons acted as guarantors for the transaction. Accordingly,

⁴ Askar & Comm. Resp. at 4-9 (July 29, 2020) ("Askar Resp.").

¹ See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(1).

² Compl. at 1-2 (June 11, 2020).

MUR 7745 (Casey Askar for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 5

the Commission finds reason to believe that the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) 1 2 and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv), (d)(4) by failing to disclose complete and accurate information 3 regarding the line of credit from Northern Bank in the Committee's reports. 4 II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND 5 On January 15, 2020, Askar and four LLCs secured a \$5,000,000 line of credit at 6 Northern Bank at an interest rate that was the greater of 6.5% or the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate plus 1.5%.⁵ Mortgages on four parcels of land — each owned by one of the four LLCs — 7 served as collateral for the line of credit.⁶ The loan documents also provided that Askar and the 8 9 LLCs were jointly and severally liable for any amounts withdrawn under the line of credit.¹⁰ 10 On March 19, 2020, Askar announced his candidacy for Florida's 19th Congressional 11 District, designating Casey Askar for Congress as his principal campaign committee. Askar then 12 withdrew \$3,000,000 on the line of credit, which he loaned to the Committee on March 30, 2020 at no interest.¹³ Neither Askar nor the LLCs had drawn on the line of credit before then.¹⁴ 13 14 In June 2020, the Committee disclosed the loan and line of credit in Schedules C and C-1 in its first disclosure report filed with the Commission.¹⁵ The information in the C-1 appears to 15 16 relate to the line of credit in some instances and the loan from Askar to the Committee in others. The Committee lists the amount of the loan as \$3,000,000 and the interest rate as 0%, and it 17

¹⁰ Promissory Note § 9.6.

¹⁴ Askar Resp. at 3.

⁵ Askar Resp. Ex. A at 1, 8 ("Promissory Note").

⁶ Askar Resp. Ex. B ("Mortgage Loan Agreement").

¹³ Askar Resp. at 3 & fn. 3; *Id.* Ex. E § 2, 4 ("Loan Agreement").

¹⁵ Amended Apr. 2020 Quarterly Rpt. at 98 (June 8, 2020).

MUR774500342

MUR 7745 (Casey Askar for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 5

| 1 | represents that no other party is secondarily liable for the loan. ¹⁶ But it also lists the lender as |
|----------------------|---|
| 2 | Northern Bank and the date of the loan as January 15, 2020, and it represents that the loan was |
| 3 | collateralized by real property. ¹⁷ Also of relevance, the C-1 indicates that the lender's interest in |
| 4 | the collateral was not perfected. ¹⁸ A representative of Northern Bank certified that the |
| 5 | information in the C-1 was accurate and that the loan was made in a manner that complies with |
| 6 | certain specified Commission regulations. ¹⁹ And although the C-1 was not submitted until April |
| 7 | 15, 2020, the signature of Northern Bank's representative is dated January 15, 2020. ²⁰ |
| 8 | III. LEGAL ANALYSIS |
| | |
| 9 | Under the Act, an authorized committee of a federal candidate must report the total |
| 9 10 | Under the Act, an authorized committee of a federal candidate must report the total amount of loans made by or guaranteed by the candidate as well as all other loans. ²⁶ |
| | - |
| 10 | amount of loans made by or guaranteed by the candidate as well as all other loans. ²⁶ |
| 10 11 | amount of loans made by or guaranteed by the candidate as well as all other loans. ²⁶ Commission regulations further provide that a candidate's principal campaign committee must |
| 10 11 12 | amount of loans made by or guaranteed by the candidate as well as all other loans. ²⁶ Commission regulations further provide that a candidate's principal campaign committee must report all loans derived from an advance on the candidate's brokerage account, credit card, home |
| 10 11 12 13 | amount of loans made by or guaranteed by the candidate as well as all other loans. ²⁶ Commission regulations further provide that a candidate's principal campaign committee must report all loans derived from an advance on the candidate's brokerage account, credit card, home equity line of credit, or other line of credit available to the candidate. ²⁷ If the candidate finances |

- ¹⁶ *Id.* at 95, 98.
- ¹⁷ *Id.* at 98.
- ¹⁸ Id.
- ¹⁹ *Id.*
- ²⁰ *Id*.
- ²⁶ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(2)(G), (H).
- ²⁷ 11 C.F.R. § 100.83(e).

MUR774500343

MUR 7745 (Casey Askar for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 5

| 1 | the lending institution; and (3) types and value of collateral or other sources of repayment that |
|----------|--|
| 2 | secured the loan. ²⁸ In addition, in conjunction with the reporting of receipts, Section |
| 3 | 104.3(a)(4)(iv) requires reporting committees to disclose the identification of any endorser or |
| 4 | guarantor of a loan. ²⁹ |
| 5 | Askar secured the line of credit with Northern Bank and funded his campaign through a |
| 6 | loan from him to the Committee with funds withdrawn on that line of credit. ³⁰ Accordingly, in |
| 7 | its first report, the Committee was required to provide the information required by Sections |
| 8 | 104.3(d)(4) and 104.3(a)(4)(iv). ³¹ While the Committee reported some of the required |
| 9 | information, its filing failed to disclose the interest rate Northern Bank charged for withdrawals |
| 10 | on the line of credit, failed to list the LLCs as co-borrowers or guarantors, and incorrectly |
| 11 | represented that no other party was secondarily liable for the amount of the loan, despite the fact |
| 12 | that the LLCs were jointly and severally liable for any amounts withdrawn on the line of credit. ³² |
| 13 14 | The Commission therefore finds reason to believe that the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. |
| 15 | § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv), (d)(4) by failing to disclose complete and |

²⁸ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4); *see* Factual & Legal Analysis at 6-9, MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, and 7009 (Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.*) (finding reason to believe committee misreported the source of funds received to be the candidate's personal funds rather than bank loans); Factual & Legal Analysis at 5-7, MUR 6556 (Broun) (finding same but dismissing matter as outside statute of limitations).

²⁹ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv).

³⁰ See supra fn. 5-6, 9 and accompanying text.

³¹ See Askar Resp. at 8-9 & n.1 (acknowledging obligation to disclose information described in these sections).

³² See Amended Apr. 2020 Quarterly Rpt. at 95, 98. It is also unclear whether the Committee accurately reported the amount of the loan to be \$3,000,000. As noted, the available information indicates that Askar may have established the line of credit for use in his campaign. *See supra* fn. 20-25 and accompanying text. If the entire \$5,000,000 line of credit was established for campaign-related purposes, rather than only the \$3,000,000 that was withdrawn, then the Committee should have reported the full amount of the line of credit in its filings.

MUR 7745 (Casey Askar for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 5

- 1 accurate information regarding the line of credit from Northern Bank in the Committee's
- 2 reports.