2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
3 4	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT		
5 6 7 8 9	MUR: 7633	Respondent: Tom Norton for Congress and Jeff Fuss as Treasurer ¹ ("the Committee")	
10 11	Complaint Receipt Date: Augus Response Date: N/A ²		
12 13 14	Complaint Supplement Receipt Response Date: N/A	Date: November 13, 2019	
15 16	EPS Rating:		
17 18 19	Alleged Statutory Regulatory Violations:	52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), (b)(4)-(6), (8); 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(b), (d); 104.11(a)-(b), 116.10(a)	
20	The Complainant, the form	er campaign manager for the Committee, alleges that he and	
21	other vendors issued invoices totaling \$16,574.88 to the campaign that were not paid and were not		
22	included in reports filed with the Commission. ³ The Supplement states that the Complainant has		
23	filed three small claims actions against the Committee, and has received a default judgment for two		
24	of the claims, with the third still po	ending. ⁴	
25	Based on its experience a	nd expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement	
26	Priority System using formal, pre-	determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and	
27	assess whether particular matters v	varrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These	

Norton is a 2020 candidate for Michigan's Third Congressional District.

The Committee did not respond to either the Complaint or the Supplement to the Complaint.

Compl. at 1-4 (August 7, 2019). The Complaint specifies that the total of unreported invoices from the Complainant's company is \$10,574.88, and that additional unreported invoices from other parties total \$6,000. Reports filed with the Commission indicate the Committee has disbursed \$4,319 to the Complainant's company and, thus, may have reported some, but not all, of the allegedly unreported transactions. *See, e.g.*, Tom Norton for Congress 2019 July Quarterly at 10-13, available at https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/110/201907159150994110/201907159150994110.pdf.

⁴ Compl. Supp. at 1-3 (November 13, 2019).

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7633 (Tom Norton for Congress) Page 2 of 2

- 1 criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity
- 2 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the
- 3 electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in
- 4 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for
- 5 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the
- 6 lower dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent
- 7 with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and
- 8 use of agency resources. 5 We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all
- 9 Respondents and send the appropriate letters.

10 11 12			Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel
13			Charles Kitcher
14			Acting Associate General Counsel
15 16	12/20/19	BY:	Stephen Gura by
17	Date		Stephen Gura
18			Deputy Associate General Counsel
19 20			Joh Ja
21			leff S. Jordan
22			Assistant General Counsel
23			13
24			Balan & Anoth
25			Donald E. Campoell
26			Attorney

⁵ Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).