



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20463

June 23, 2021

VIA EMAIL: bgibson@shutts.com

Benjamin Gibson
Shutts & Bowen LLP
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 804
Tallahassee, FL 32301

RE: MUR 7561, 7563
Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*

Dear Mr. Gibson:

The Federal Election Commission previously notified your clients, Ron DeSantis for Governor, Friends of Ron DeSantis, the Republican Party of Florida and Mike Moberley in his official capacity as treasurer, and Blaise Ingoglia, of complaints alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (“the Act”). Copies of these complaints and subsequent amendments were forwarded to your clients when relevant.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaints and information supplied by you, the Commission, on June 10, 2021, voted to dismiss these matters. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission’s decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters*, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016).

If you have any questions, please contact Amanda Andrade, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Jin Lee
Jin Lee
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENTS: Ron DeSantis for Governor
Parabellum Strategies
Bradley Herold
Friends of Ron DeSantis
BlueInsight
Susan Wiles
Rinat Akhmetshin
Venture Media Partners, Inc.
September Group, LLC
Blaise Ingoglia
Republican Party of Florida
and Mike Moberley in his
official capacity as treasurer

I. INTRODUCTION

The Complaints in these two related matters make numerous allegations that the authorized committee of Ron DeSantis for Governor (“DeSantis for Governor”) and the Republican Party of Florida (“RPOF”) accepted prohibited contributions or donations from foreign nationals in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the “FECA”). The Complaints allege that these contributions or donations were generally in the form of voter suppression activity and artificial social media support.¹ Specifically, in MUR 7561, the Complaint alleges that DeSantis for Governor, through its campaign manager Bradley Herold, interacted with foreign nationals in Russia, Romania, and possibly other nations in Eastern and Central Europe to provide social media services, masking the payments to a purported Romanian

¹ See Compl. at 1, MUR 7561 (Dec. 21, 2018); Am. Compl. at 2, MUR 7561 (Feb. 12, 2019); Second Am. Compl. at 3, MUR 7561 (Feb. 21, 2019); Compl. at 1, MUR 7563 (Feb. 5, 2019).

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 firm through payments to domestic vendors.² In addition, the Second Amended Complaint in
 2 MUR 7561 claims that Susan Wiles, DeSantis for Governor's campaign chair, obtained foreign
 3 donations from the Russian government through a foreign lobbyist, Rinat Akhmetshin.³ The
 4 MUR 7563 Complaint also claims that the RPOF made payments for social media services to
 5 foreign nationals through firms controlled by Herold⁴

6 DeSantis for Governor, RPOF, Akhmetshin, Wiles, and the September Group, LLC
 7 ("September Group") — one of the vendors alleged to have masked foreign payments —
 8 submitted responses denying the allegations. They contend that the Complaints rely entirely on
 9 speculation rather than any concrete evidence. Both DeSantis for Governor and RPOF deny
 10 soliciting, accepting, or receiving any contribution or donation from a foreign national or having
 11 paid foreign nationals for campaign messaging through an intermediary.⁵ Akhmetshin denies
 12 any involvement in the circumstances described in the Complaints,⁶ and Wiles submitted an
 13 affidavit denying ever soliciting or receiving a contribution, or ever interacting with a foreign
 14 agent for the purpose of obtaining campaign assistance.⁷ Two of the responses assert that the
 15 complainant has a history of sending unsubstantiated complaints to the Florida Elections
 16 Commission.⁸

² See, e.g., Compl. at 2, MUR 7561.

³ Second Am. Compl. at 1, MUR 7561.

⁴ Compl. at 2, MUR 7563.

⁵ See Resp. of DeSantis for Governor, RPOF, and Blaise Ingoglia at 3, MUR 7563 (Mar. 13, 2019) ("DeSantis/RPOF Resp., MUR 7563").

⁶ Akhmetshin Resp., MUR 7561 (Mar. 7, 2019).

⁷ Wiles Resp., Attach ¶¶ 4-5, MUR 7561 (Mar. 27, 2019).

⁸ Wiles Resp., MUR 7561, at 2; Supp. Resp. of DeSantis for Governor, Friends of Ron DeSantis and Nancy Watkins, MUR 7561, at 1-2 (Apr. 22, 2019).

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 As set forth below, there is not a sufficient factual basis to support these allegations. The
 2 Commission therefore dismisses the allegations that: (1) DeSantis for Governor and RPOF
 3 violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(g) by soliciting, accepting, or receiving
 4 a contribution or donation from a foreign national; (2) BlueInsight, a Romanian IT firm, violated
 5 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(1) by making a prohibited contribution or donation to DeSantis for
 6 Governor or RPOF; and (3) Wiles, Herold, Akhmetshin, and September Group, as well as
 7 Parabellum Strategies and Venture Media Partners, Inc. — two other firms alleged to have
 8 masked foreign payments — violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(h) by
 9 substantially assisting in making or accepting a foreign contribution or donation.

10 **II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

11 **A. Alleged Donations from Foreign Nationals to DeSantis for Governor and**
 12 **Friends of Ron DeSantis**

14 Ron DeSantis was the Republican candidate for Governor of Florida in the 2018 general
 15 election, and DeSantis for Governor was his campaign organization. Friends of Ron DeSantis
 16 was (and is) a state political committee supporting Governor DeSantis. The MUR 7561
 17 Complaint alleges that Bradley Herold served as campaign manager for DeSantis for Governor
 18 and held high-level positions in media and consulting firms named Parabellum Strategies and
 19 Something Else Strategies,⁹ both of which received disbursements from Friends of Ron
 20 DeSantis.¹⁰

21 During the 2018 gubernatorial campaign, DeSantis for Governor maintained an official
 22 Twitter account, @GovRonDeSantis. The Complaints allege that on December 12, 2017, a

⁹ Neither Herold nor Parabellum Strategies submitted a response to any of the Complaints to confirm or deny this information.

¹⁰ Compl. at Attach 7, MUR 7561.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 Twitter user with the handle @MihaiBasarab made an offer of foreign assistance to DeSantis by
 2 replying to @GovRonDeSantis and an account with the handle @repdesantis — which the
 3 Complaints allege is a handle connected to Herold or the DeSantis campaign — “Rs need to play
 4 the same game. We’re loaded with preeminent IT guys in Romania ready willing and able if
 5 called upon.”¹¹ The MUR 7561 Complaint attaches screenshots of the @MihaiBasarab Twitter
 6 profile identifying the accountholder as “Romania America.”¹²

7 The MUR 7561 Complaint also alleges that DeSantis accepted this offer of assistance
 8 through his purported campaign manager Herold, who allegedly enlisted a Romanian IT firm
 9 called BlueInsight. In support of this allegation, the Complaint observes that an account with the
 10 handle @blueinsight33 followed @repdesantis on Twitter.¹³ The MUR 7561 Complaint does
 11 not explain the basis for the assertion that the @blueinsight33 handle belongs to the Romanian
 12 company BlueInsight, and the screenshots of the Romanian company’s website attached to the
 13 Complaint do not show a link to any Twitter account. Nor does the MUR 7561 Complaint
 14 explain the basis for the conclusion that the @repdesantis handle, which a screenshot shows had
 15 10 followers at the time it was taken,¹⁴ is controlled by Herold, or that it otherwise tweeted or
 16 acted on behalf of the DeSantis campaign.

17 The Complaint in MUR 7561 also alleges that DeSantis for Governor’s and Friends of
 18 Ron DeSantis’s payments to vendors Parabellum Strategies and Something Else Strategies,

¹¹ *Id.* at 1, MUR 7561; Compl. at 2, MUR 7653. The @MihaiBasarab Twitter handle no longer appears to have an account on twitter.com.

¹² Compl. at Attach 1, MUR 7561.

¹³ *Id.* at Attach 2, 3.

¹⁴ *Id.* at Attach 2, MUR 7561.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 which the Complaint alleges are associated with Herold, masked payments to BlueInsight.¹⁵ The
 2 MUR 7561 Complaint alleges that these payments “preceded increased messaging by foreign,
 3 Romanian and other potential BLUEINSIGHT accounts”¹⁶ on Twitter, including from
 4 @MihaiBasarab, who authored a number of disparaging tweets about DeSantis’s opponent,
 5 Andrew Gillum, and Democratic donor George Soros.¹⁷ The Complaint does not provide
 6 sufficient information to assess whether there was in fact any increase in messaging — foreign or
 7 otherwise — following the payments to Parabellum Strategies and Something Else Strategies.

8 The MUR 7561 Complaint also attempts to link the DeSantis campaign and Romanian
 9 nationals by citing an article from the publication *Foreign Policy* reporting that certain internet
 10 content critical of Soros was created by “right-wing groups” in Eastern and Central Europe.¹⁸
 11 The Complaint states that both the @MihaiBasarab handle and a number of other apparently
 12 foreign Twitter accounts authored negative tweets about Gillum and Soros,¹⁹ and that DeSantis
 13 for Governor used anti-Soros rhetoric in an email sent to supporters.²⁰ The MUR 7561
 14 Complaint offers no other factual basis to indicate that these messages were connected.

15 Finally, the Complaint in MUR 7561 alleges that Susan Wiles, who became chair of
 16 DeSantis for Governor in September 2018,²¹ was in contact with the Russian Intelligence Service

¹⁵ Am. Compl., MUR 7561 at 2 (Feb. 7, 2019).

¹⁶ Compl., MUR 7561, at 2.

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ *Id.* at 2 (citing Emily Tamkin, *Who’s Afraid of George Soros?*, FOREIGN POLICY (Oct. 10, 2017)).

¹⁹ See *id.* at 2; Am. Compl. at 2, MUR 7561.

²⁰ Am. Compl. at 2, Attach. 3, MUR 7561.

²¹ Wiles Resp., at Attach. ¶ 2, MUR 7561.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 because she followed a Twitter account that tweeted about Washington D.C.-based Russian
 2 lobbyist Rinat Akhmetshin in 2017,²² and because Akhmetshin reportedly received payments
 3 from Wiles's husband, Lanny Wiles, in 2017.²³ The MUR 7561 Complaint also suggests that
 4 Russia aided the DeSantis campaign,²⁴ though it does not provide any details to support this
 5 allegation. In response to these allegations, Wiles submitted a sworn affidavit denying the
 6 allegations.²⁵ Akhmetshin also submitted a response denying the allegations, stating that the
 7 payments from Lanny Wiles were for "an entirely unrelated energy project in Central Asia," and,
 8 in any case, that Susan and Lanny Wiles divorced months before she began working for the
 9 DeSantis campaign.²⁶

10 **B. Alleged Donations from Foreign Nationals to Republican Party of Florida**

11 RPOF is a state political party that participates in state and federal elections and is
 12 registered with the Florida Division of Elections and the Commission.²⁷ During the 2018
 13 campaign, Blaise Ingoglia was the Chairman of RPOF. The Complaint in MUR 7563 alleges

²² Second Am. Comp. at Attach. 2, 4, MUR 7561.

²³ *Id.* at 5 (citing Emma Loop, Anthony Cornier, Jason Leopold, Tanya Kozyreva & John Templon, *A Lobbyist At The Trump Tower Meeting Received Half A Million Dollars In Suspicious Payments*, BUZZFEED NEWS (Feb. 4, 2019, updated Apr. 17, 2019), <https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/emmaloop/trump-tower-meeting-russian-lobbyist-akhmetshin-suspicious-p> ("Akhmetshin continued receiving checks and wires from Wiles Consulting, a Florida-based company controlled by Lanny Wiles, a longtime Republican operator. Those payments, which began in January 2016, extended to April 2017, and totaled \$72,500. . . . Investigators, citing unspecified public information, said Wiles claimed he was paid by Akhmetshin to work on the Magnitsky lobbying issue, not the other way around.")).

²⁴ *Id.* The Complaint also appears to claim that September Group and Venture Media Partners, Inc., were conduits for payments to Russian operatives. *Id.* at 4. September Group denies this allegation. Resp. of September Group, MUR 7561.

²⁵ Wiles Resp., Attach., MUR 7561.

²⁶ Akhmetshin Resp. at 3, MUR 7561.

²⁷ Desantis/RPOF Resp. at 2, MUR 7563.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 that Ingoglia “engaged” with a foreign national by retweeting a post from WikiLeaks.²⁸
 2 Specifically, on October 28, 2017, the purported Ingoglia account tweeted, “[i]f anyone cares
 3 there’s a great Twitter exchange between @wikileaks and @CNN’s @jaketapper right now,” and
 4 retweeted a WikiLeaks post saying “Pompeo is not credible. Even so, parse it: ‘non state’=not a
 5 state. ‘abetted’=existing claim WL got some info from gov sources. Does CNN?”²⁹ The MUR
 6 7563 Complaint also notes that Ingoglia and his wife, Julie Ingoglia, follow WikiLeaks on
 7 Twitter, and attaches screenshots from the Ingoglitas’ purported Twitter accounts in support of
 8 this statement.³⁰

9 In addition, the Complaint in MUR 7563 alleges that RPOF accepted prohibited
 10 contributions and donations from @MihaiBasarah “and other foreign profiles” in the form of
 11 online support for 23 federal and state candidates,³¹ and by incorporating anti-Soros content
 12 originating in Eastern and Central Europe into RPOF’s official messaging.³²

13 **III. LEGAL ANALYSIS**

14 **A. Legal Standard**

15 The Act and Commission regulations prohibit any “foreign national” from directly or
 16 indirectly making a contribution or donation of money or another thing of value, or an
 17 expenditure, independent expenditure, or disbursement, in connection with a federal, state, or

²⁸ Compl. at 1, MUR 7563.

²⁹ Compl. at Attach 1, MUR 7563.

³⁰ *Id.* at Attach. 1, 2.

³¹ Compl. at 2-3, MUR 7563.

³² Compl. at 2, Attach 4, MUR 7563.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 local election.³³ The Act's definition of "foreign national" includes an individual who is not a
 2 citizen or national of the United States and who is not lawfully admitted for permanent residence,
 3 as well as a "foreign principal" as defined at 22 U.S.C. § 611(b), which, in turn, includes a
 4 "partnership, association, corporation, organization, or other combination of persons organized
 5 under the laws of or having its principal place of business in a foreign country."³⁴

6 The Act also prohibits persons from soliciting, accepting, or receiving a contribution or
 7 donation from a foreign national.³⁵ To solicit means "to ask, request, or recommend, explicitly
 8 or implicitly, that another person make a contribution, donation, transfer of funds, or otherwise
 9 provide anything of value."³⁶ The regulations also provide that no person shall "knowingly
 10 provide substantial assistance" in the solicitation, making, acceptance, or receipt of a prohibited
 11 foreign national contribution or donation, or the making of a prohibited foreign national
 12 expenditure, independent expenditure, or disbursement.³⁷ The Commission has recognized the
 13 "broad scope" of the foreign national prohibition and found that even where the value of a good

³³ 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(b), (c), (e), (f). Courts have consistently upheld the provisions of the Act prohibiting foreign national contributions on the grounds that the government has a clear, compelling interest in limiting the influence of foreigners over the activities and processes that are integral to democratic self-government, which include making political contributions and express-advocacy expenditures. *See Bluman v. FEC*, 800 F. Supp. 2d 281, 288-89 (D.D.C. 2011), *aff'd* 565 U.S. 1104 (2012); *United States v. Singh*, 924 F.3d 1030, 1040-44 (9th Cir. 2019).

³⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30121(b); 22 U.S.C. § 611(b)(3); *see also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(a)(3).

³⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2).

³⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(a)(6) (citing 11 C.F.R. § 300.2(m)).

³⁷ *Id.* § 110.20(h). The Commission has explained that substantial assistance "means active involvement in the solicitation, making, receipt or acceptance of a foreign national contribution or donation with an intent to facilitate successful completion of the transaction." *Assisting Foreign National Contributions or Donations*, 67 Fed. Reg. 66928, 66945 (Nov. 19, 2002). Moreover, substantial assistance "covers, but is not limited to, those persons who act as conduits or intermediaries for foreign national contributions or donations." *Id.* at 66945.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 or service “may be nominal or difficult to ascertain,” such contributions are nevertheless
 2 banned.³⁸

3 Commission regulations implementing the Act’s foreign national prohibition provide:

4 A foreign national shall not direct, dictate, control, or directly or
 5 indirectly participate in the decision-making process of any person,
 6 such as a . . . political committee, or political organization with
 7 regard to such person’s Federal or non-Federal election-related
 8 activities, such as decisions concerning the making of
 9 contributions, donations, expenditures, or disbursements . . . or
 10 decisions concerning the administration of a political committee.³⁹

11 The Commission has explained that this provision also bars foreign nationals from “involvement
 12 in the management of a political committee.”⁴⁰

13 In light of these provisions, Commission regulations permit any person or company —
 14 foreign or domestic — to provide goods or services to a political committee, without making a
 15 contribution, if that person or company does so as a “commercial vendor,” *i.e.*, in the ordinary
 16 course of business, and at the usual and normal charge, as long as foreign nationals do not
 17 directly or indirectly participate in any committee’s management or decision-making process in
 18 connection with its election-related activities.⁴¹

³⁸ Advisory Op. 2007-22 (Hurysz) at 6 (“AO 2007-22”) (citing *Explanation and Justification for Regulations on Contribution Limitations and Prohibitions*, 67 Fed. Reg. 69928, 69940 (Nov. 19, 2002) (“As indicated by the title of section 303 of BCRA, ‘Strengthening Foreign Money Ban,’ Congress amended [52 U.S.C. § 30121] to further delineate and expand the ban on contributions, donations, and other things of value by foreign nationals.”)).

³⁹ 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i).

⁴⁰ Contribution Limits and Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69,928, 69,946 (Nov. 19, 2002).

⁴¹ 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(f)(1); *see* 11 C.F.R. § 116.1(c) (defining “commercial vendor” as “any persons providing goods or services to a candidate or political committee whose usual and normal business involves the sale, rental, lease or provision of those goods or services). The Act defines a contribution to include “anything of value,” which in turn includes all “in-kind contributions,” such as “the provision of any goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and normal charge for such goods or services.” 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1); *see* 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8). Goods or services provided at the usual and normal charge do not constitute a contribution under the Act.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 **B. The Commission Dismisses the Allegations That DeSantis for Governor or**
 2 **Friends of Ron DeSantis Solicited, Accepted, or Received Donations from**
 3 **Foreign Nationals**

4 The MUR 7561 Complaint appears to allege that DeSantis for Governor and Friends of
 5 Ron DeSantis solicited and accepted foreign nationals' social media services in exchange for
 6 payments that were masked as payments to domestic commercial vendors. The MUR 7561
 7 Complaint does not allege that BlueInsight or any other foreign national made donations to
 8 DeSantis for Governor or Friends of Ron DeSantis by providing services outside their ordinary
 9 course of business or "at a charge that is less than the usual and normal charge,"⁴² and the
 10 available information does not support such a finding. Moreover, the MUR 7561 Complaint
 11 does not credibly allege that any foreign national directly or indirectly participated in DeSantis
 12 for Governor or Friends of Ron DeSantis's decision-making process in connection with its
 13 election-related spending. Therefore, as further explained below, the available information is
 14 insufficient to support finding reason to believe that DeSantis for Governor or Friends of Ron
 15 DeSantis violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121.

16 First, the MUR 7561 Complaint's allegation that DeSantis for Governor or Friends of
 17 Ron DeSantis paid BlueInsight (or other foreign nationals) through Herold via Parabellum
 18 Strategies and Something Else Strategies is not supported by the available information. The
 19 allegation is premised on a series of assumptions: that Herold was the DeSantis campaign
 20 manager; that the @repdesantis handle is Herold's; that the @blueinsight33 handle is the
 21 Romanian company BlueInsight's; that Herold owned or controlled Parabellum Strategies and
 22 Something Else Strategies; and, most importantly, that DeSantis for Governor and Friends of

⁴² 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).

MUR 7561, 7563
Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
Factual and Legal Analysis

1 Ron DeSantis's payments to Parabellum Strategies and Something Else Strategies were not for
2 legitimate services. Though DeSantis for Governor and Friends of Ron DeSantis appear to have
3 made a number of large payments to these vendors, there is no credible information in the record
4 that these payments were in fact diverted to other payees.⁴³ These alleged conduits are domestic
5 entities, with no information linking them to foreign nationals.

6 To the extent that the MUR 7561 Complaint alleges that DeSantis for Governor or
7 Friends of Ron DeSantis solicited donations from BlueInsight or other foreign nationals via
8 tweeted "signals" to engage in paid or unpaid social media campaigns to benefit DeSantis, the
9 Complaint provides insufficient information to support that allegation. The allegation appears to
10 rest on a handful of tweets from alleged foreign nationals either tagging the purported and actual
11 DeSantis campaign Twitter accounts or discussing subjects relevant to the DeSantis campaign.
12 But without more information indicating that there was actually a connection between the
13 DeSantis campaign and foreign nationals, the allegation that DeSantis for Governor or Friends of
14 Ron DeSantis used social media to solicit foreign nationals to provide services at less than the
15 usual or normal cost or to participate directly or indirectly in the decision-making process in
16 connection with election-related spending is speculative.

17 Similarly, the information before the Commission does not support the allegations that
18 Susan Wiles or anyone else from DeSantis for Governor solicited donations from, or paid
19 Akhmetshin or other Russian nationals through, Wiles's husband, September Group, LLC, or
20 Venture Media Partners, Inc. The only supporting information for these allegations is a news
21 article reporting that Lanny Wiles paid Akhmetshin on an apparently unrelated contract in 2017,

⁴³ See Am. Compl. at 2, MUR 7561.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 and the fact that disbursements were made to the September Group and Venture Media Partners,
 2 Inc., which the MUR 7561 Complaint suggests are shell companies. The September Group filed
 3 a response asserting that it is a “well-respected, reputable political consulting firm.”⁴⁴ There is
 4 no information before the Commission that would contradict the September Group’s Response,
 5 and the allegation that the September Group was used as a conduit for foreign payments is
 6 speculative and not credible. There is also insufficient information to support the inference that
 7 anyone connected with DeSantis for Governor or Friends of Ron DeSantis was funneling money
 8 to foreign nationals based on the news report about Akhmetshin’s business dealings with Lanny
 9 Wiles. That work appears to have been unconnected to the Florida gubernatorial race, and no
 10 information suggests the relationship extended to that election.

11 Finally, the “anti-Soros” messaging used by the DeSantis campaign does not raise a
 12 reasonable inference that the campaign accepted a donation from a foreign national. The text of
 13 the DeSantis campaign material included in the MUR 7561 Complaint reads: “[W]hile my
 14 radical left-wing Democrats can count on limousine liberal billionaires like George Soros to fill
 15 their campaign war chests . . .”⁴⁵ The MUR 7561 Complaint mentions that alleged foreign
 16 nationals also made attacks on Soros, but there is no indication in the record that these facts are
 17 connected. The allegedly foreign messages cited in the MUR 7561 Complaint bear no similarity
 18 to the purported DeSantis statement beyond generally disparaging Soros, and most of the
 19 examples are many months removed from the official DeSantis statement included in the

⁴⁴ Resp. of September Group, LLC at 2 (Apr. 22, 2019).

⁴⁵ Am. Compl. at Attach 3., MUR 7561 (showing screenshot of tweet attaching purported image of DeSantis campaign email).

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 Complaint.⁴⁶ Considering the overall context, the existence of messaging on a similar theme is
 2 insufficient in this case to support allegations that a foreign national participated directly or
 3 indirectly in the decision-making process in connection with DeSantis for Governor's election-
 4 related spending or otherwise made a prohibited donation.

5 For these reasons, the available information is insufficient to indicate that DeSantis for
 6 Governor or Friends of Ron DeSantis violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(g)
 7 by accepting a donation from a foreign national, that BlueInsight violated 52 U.S.C.
 8 § 30121(a)(1) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(c) by making a prohibited donation, or that Wiles, Herold,
 9 September Group, Venture Media Partners, Inc., or Akhmetshin violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a) or
 10 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(h) by substantially assisting in the making of a prohibited foreign donation.
 11 Accordingly, the Commission dismisses these allegations.

12 **C. The Commission Dismisses the Allegations That the RPOF Solicited,
 13 Accepted, or Received Contributions or Donations from Foreign Nationals**

14 The available information also does not support the allegations that RPOF solicited,
 15 accepted, or received contributions or donations from foreign nationals. The Complaint in MUR
 16 7563 makes very similar allegations with regard to payments RPOF made to the same general
 17 entities (BlueInsight and other unknown other eastern and central European nationals) in the
 18 same manner (secret payments through firms owned by Herold) and for the same purpose (social
 19 media support).

20 None of the activity that the MUR 7563 Complaint details is sufficient to support finding
 21 reason to believe that RPOF violated the ban on foreign contributions and donations. For

⁴⁶ Compare Am. Compl. at Attach 2, MUR 7561 to *id.* at Attach 3.

MUR 7561, 7563
 Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
 Factual and Legal Analysis

1 example, with respect to RPOF Chairman Ingoglia’s retweet of an exchange between WikiLeaks
 2 and Jake Tapper of CNN concerning Secretary of State Pompeo,⁴⁷ there does not appear to be
 3 anything election-related about either the WikiLeaks tweet cited in the Complaint or Ingoglia’s
 4 retweet about it. The MUR 7563 Complaint notes that RPOF used anti-Soros rhetoric — for
 5 example, “Billionaire Democrat extremist, George Soros, paid \$500K to the group that harassed
 6 Florida Attorney General Pam Bondi at a movie theater.”⁴⁸ But, like that of the DeSantis
 7 campaign, this messaging strategy does not suggest that a foreign national participated directly or
 8 indirectly in the decision-making process in connection with RPOF’s election-related spending
 9 or otherwise made a prohibited contribution or donation.

10 Moreover, for the same reasons detailed above with regard to DeSantis for Governor and
 11 Friends of Ron DeSantis, there is insufficient information to indicate that any of RPOF’s
 12 payments to Herold’s firms were diverted to other payees or that these firms are linked to foreign
 13 nationals, let alone foreign nationals providing services outside their ordinary course of business
 14 or at less than the usual and normal charge, or who directly or indirectly participated in RPOF’s
 15 decision-making process in connection with its election-related spending.

16 For these reasons, the information is insufficient to indicate that RPOF or Ingoglia
 17 violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a)(2) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(g) by soliciting or accepting prohibited
 18 contributions or donations from a foreign national, that BlueInsight violated 52 U.S.C.
 19 § 30121(a)(1) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(c) by making a prohibited contribution or donation, or that
 20 Herold violated 52 U.S.C. § 30121(a) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(h) by substantially assisting in the

⁴⁷ See *supra* nn.28-30 and accompanying text.

⁴⁸ Compl. at Attach 4, MUR 7563 (showing screenshot of tweet from verified RPOF account).

MUR 7561, 7563
Ron DeSantis for Governor, *et al.*
Factual and Legal Analysis

- 1 making of a prohibited foreign contribution or donation. Accordingly, the Commission
- 2 dismisses these allegations.