1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2 3	In the Matter of)		
4 5 6 7 8	Ohio Works American Electric Power) MUR 7464))		
9 10 11	Raymond McVeigh)))		
12 13	SECOND GI	ENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
14 15	I. ACTIONS RECOMMENDE	CD CD		
16	We recommend that the Comm	nission: (1) substitute the names Ohio Works,		
17	_	in place of		
18	"Unknown Respondents" in the Com	mission's previous findings that Unknown Respondents		
19	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122; (2) find n	to reason to believe that American Electric Power ("AEP")		
20	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122; (3) take n	to further action as to Raymond McVeigh, Ohio Works,		
21		and (4) close the file.		
22	II. BACKGROUND			
23	On May 20, 2021, the Commis	ssion found reason to believe that Unknown Respondents		
24	and Raymond McVeigh violated 52 U	J.S.C. § 30122 in connection with \$270,000 in federal		
25	contributions made to Honor and Prin	ciples PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as		
26	treasurer ("Honor PAC") through and in the name of LZP, LLC, a disregarded entity of			
27	Independence and Freedom Network,	Inc. ("IFN").1		

Cert. at 1 (May 27, 2021).

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 20

1	Based upon the Commission's reason-to-believe findings, this Office conducted an
2	investigation to gather information regarding the source of the funds that were provided to IFN
3	and then transferred to LZP in order to make the contributions to Honor PAC and what
4	instructions, if any, were given, or commitments made, in connection with providing those funds
5	After obtaining bank records and written responses from IFN and LZP, we identified Ohio
6	Works as the source of funds that were contributed to Honor PAC through IFN. On May 3,
7	2022, we alerted the Commission that we intended to notify Ohio Works as a respondent in this
8	matter and subsequently did so. ² Information provided by Ohio Works identified
9	and AEP as the sources of funds that were used to donate to
10	IFN, and on August 5, 2022, we alerted the Commission that we intended to notify these persons
11	as respondents and thereafter did so. ³ Based on information subsequently provided by AEP, we
12	learned that was the source of the funds we previously understood to have come from AEP
13	and on November 29, 2022, we alerted the Commission that we intended to notify and did
14	so. ⁴
15	III. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION
16	The purpose of the investigation in this matter was to identify the ultimate source of
17	funds used to make federal contributions through and in the name of LZP, that were used by
18	Honor PAC to pay for advertisements and other activity in connection with the Ohio House of

Representatives primary race between Larry Householder and Kevin Black. The investigation

Memo. to Comm'n re Notification of Respondent (May 3, 2022).

Memo. to Comm'n re Notification of Respondent (Aug. 5, 2022).

⁴ Memo. to Comm'n re Notification of Respondent (Nov. 29, 2022).

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 20

- 1 consisted of a document subpoena to IFN and LZP, depositions of Lisa Lisker and Raymond
- 2 McVeigh, and informal discovery responses and documents provided by the respondents. Prior
- 3 to the investigation, the available information established that IFN was the direct source of funds
- 4 into LZP, but it was unclear whether those funds originally came from another source. The
- 5 investigation revealed that Ohio Works was the entity that provided funds to IFN that were
- 6 ultimately contributed to Honor PAC and that three entities and individuals —

general welfare of the citizens of the United States of America."8

- 7 provided the funds to Ohio Works that were
- 8 thereafter donated to IFN.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A. IFN, LZP, and Honor PAC

IFN is organized as a social welfare organization under section 501(c)(4) of the tax code in late 2017.⁵ IFN's 2018 IRS Form 990 annual tax return represents that its mission is "to promote solutions to pressing public policy problems related to individual liberty and the expansion of personal freedom." And it represented that its planned activities include research and policy analysis, public education, issue advocacy and grassroots lobbying activities. IFN's Articles of Incorporation state that one of its purposes is "to promote the common good and

⁵ IRS Form 990, IFN, 2018 Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax (Nov. 15, 2019) ("IFN 2018 990").

⁶ IFN 2018 990 at 1.

⁷ IFN Form 1024, Application for Recognition of Exemption under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code (Nov. 15, 2017), (McVeigh 000001-31).

⁸ IFN Directors' Action by Written Consent in Lieu of Organizational Meeting (McVeigh 000122-144).

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 4 of 20

Throughout its lifetime, IFN's sole director and officer has been Raymond McVeigh.⁹ 1 2 However, McVeigh played almost no substantive role in running the organization, which was controlled by two political consultants, Tom Norris and Joel Riter. 10 McVeigh signed paperwork 3 4 giving Norris and Riter authority to create a bank account and make disbursements on behalf of IFN. 11 IFN and LZP have also represented that McVeigh gave Norris and Riter general authority 5 to act on behalf of the organization in any way that would further its aims. 12 After the 6 7 organization was formed, Norris and Riter began running its operations without communicating any information to McVeigh about what IFN was doing. 13 McVeigh testified that he was under 8 9 the impression that the organization simply never got off the ground until Norris and Riter presented him with dissolution paperwork for IFN and LZP in 2020.¹⁴ 10

⁹ *Id*.

11

12

After forming IFN, Norris and Riter created LZP, LLC, a Domestic Nonprofit Limited

Liability Company organized and registered in Ohio and formed on March 27, 2018, as an IFN

Raymond McVeigh Dep. at 13:12-22; 14:12-25; at 19:2-22 (Jan 6, 2023); Email from James Tyrrell, counsel, to Aaron Rabinowitz, FEC (Jan. 24, 2023) ("Jan. 24 Tyrrell Email") ("In managing IFN, Mr. Riter and Mr. Norris did not have any regular correspondence with Mr. McVeigh regarding the group and never had any correspondence with Mr. McVeigh regarding the group's financial transactions, donors, activities, plans, etc.").

Directors' Action by Written Consent in Lieu of Organizational Meeting (McVeigh 000122).

Jan. 24 Tyrrell Email ("Pursuant to Article IV of IFN's bylaws, Mr. McVeigh, as sole Director, authorized Mr. Riter and Mr. Norris, as agents of IFN, to manage the group. Mr. McVeigh's authorization was general and not confined to specific instances."). In an interview, Riter represented that he recalled that McVeigh granted him and Norris authority to operate IFN. McVeigh has testified that he does not recall giving Norris and Riter authority outside of the banking authority described above. McVeigh Dep. at 52:2-22 2.

McVeigh Dep. at 19:2-22; Jan. 24 Tyrrell Email; Report of Investigation of Tom Norris at 2 (Feb. 6, 2023) ("Norris ROI") (Norris represented that, despite being IFN's sole officer and director, McVeigh did not have a particularly substantive role in its operations); Report of Investigation of Joel Riter at 1-2 (Feb. 10, 2023) ("Second Riter ROI") (Riter did not recall any conversations with McVeigh regarding forming LZP or any subsidiary, or in forming Honor PAC).

¹⁴ McVeigh Dep., at 47:23-48:15; 62:8-12.

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 5 of 20

- subsidiary. 15 Norris and Riter directed that LZP be formed and opened its bank account. 16 IFN
- 2 reported on its 2018 Form 990 that LZP is treated as a disregarded entity for federal tax purposes
- 3 and represented that LZP had a total income of \$271,000 for that year. 17
- 4 Honor PAC, is an independent-expenditure-only committee ("IEOPC") that first
- 5 registered with the Commission on March 26, 2018. Riter hired Lisa Lisker to serve as its
- 6 treasurer. 19 Honor PAC also filed a statement of organization with the state of Ohio as a Super
- 7 PAC but does not appear to have filed any other reports with the state.²⁰
- 8 Norris directed that LZP and Honor PAC be formed for the purpose of paying to create
- 9 and distribute advertisements in connection with the Ohio State Representative race between
- 10 Ohio State Representative Larry Householder and Kevin Black.²¹ LZP, in turn, was created for
- the specific purpose of transferring funds from IFN to it and then to Honor PAC.²² Norris and
- 12 Riter represented that they formed Honor PAC because they understood that Ohio did not have

See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880.

Jan. 24 Tyrrell email ("it would have either been Mr. Norris or Mr. Riter who reached out to Jamie Ryan at Bailey Cavalieri to create LZP. Once LZP was created by Bailey Cavalieri, it would have either been Mr. Norris or Mr. Riter who communicated with Chain Bridge Bank to open a bank account for LZP. Brad Elgin, a Certified Public Accountant who regularly works with Mr. Norris and Mr. Riter on various clients and projects, was the signer on the LZP account, and he would have been the one who initiated any wire transfers to Honor and Principles PAC.").

¹⁷ IFN 2018 990 at 5; *id.* at Schedule R.

Honor and Principles PAC, FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization (Mar. 26, 2018).

¹⁹ *Id.*; Lisa Lisker Dep. at 7:18-8:2; 9:1-16 (Dec. 19, 2022).

Honor and Principles PAC (Super PAC) filings, Ohio Sec. of State, https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39_ENTITY_ID,P39_LISTTYPE:14969,simpl.

Norris ROI at 2-3.

Norris ROI at 2-4.

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 6 of 20

- an equivalent state organization as a federal IEOPC but that it would accept FEC filings from a
- 2 federal IEOPC that made expenditures in connection with state races.²³
- 3 According to Norris, he initially developed the idea of creating advertisements connected
- 4 to the race between Householder and Black and asked a media vendor he knew, Nick Everhart,
- 5 to see if he could find funding for those advertisements through Everhart's connections in the
- 6 Ohio political sphere.²⁴ Everhart thereafter called Norris back and told him that he had secured
- 7 funding.²⁵ Norris did not recall whether Everhart was told that these independent expenditures
- 8 were going to be done through a federal PAC, or whether Everhart would have conveyed such
- 9 information to donors.²⁶
- In December 2020, Norris and Riter sent McVeigh dissolution paperwork for IFN and
- 11 LZP.²⁷ McVeigh testified that this was the first time that he had heard of LZP or learned that
- 12 IFN had engaged in any activity. 28 McVeigh signed the Certificate of Dissolution for IFN on

Norris ROI at 3; Report of Investigation of Joel Riter at 2 (Nov. 16, 2022) ("First Riter ROI").

Norris ROI at 3-4.

²⁵ *Id.* at 4.

²⁶ Id. Everhart did not respond to our requests to interview him regarding these activities.

²⁷ IFN Ohio Certificate of Dissolution (Dec. 29, 2020) (McVeigh 000079-85), Email from Joel Riter to Raymond McVeigh (Dec. 15, 2020) (McVeigh 000115-6), Email from Joel Riter to Raymond McVeigh (Dec. 16, 2020) (McVeigh 000117).

McVeigh Dep. 10:13-11:2; 47:23-48:15 ("Q: Did you have any other communications around that time with Mr. Riter about dissolving LZP, LLC? A: I'm sure I had a conversation. When I got the email with the dissolution documents, know we talked about it. But I don't recall specifically a date or a specific conversation. Q: Well, do you recall the substance of those conversations? A: Yes. Q: And what was the substance of those conversations? A: The substance was me saying, what's this all about? What is LZP? And what's going on here? And finding out at that point that IFN had been active for a period of time and being told that LZP was there for accounting purposes to simplify accounting and that everything was being -- everything -- that IFN and LZP needed to be dissolved.").

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 7 of 20

- 1 December 17, 2020, and it was filed with the Ohio of Secretary State's Office on December 30,
- 2 2020.²⁹ McVeigh also signed dissolution paperwork for LZP around that same time.³⁰

3 B. Transfers from IFN to LZP to Honor PAC

- 4 IFN made the following transfers into LZP on the following dates: \$180,000 on March,
- 5 28, 2018, \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$6,000 on April 17, 2018 and \$35,000 on October 17,
- 6 2018.³¹ LZP then transferred the following amounts to Honor PAC on the following dates:
- 7 \$175,000 on March 28, 2018; \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$10,000 on April 18, 2018, and \$35,000
- 8 on October 19, 2018.³² Honor PAC made a \$163,838 disbursement on March 29, 2018, to
- 9 Target Enterprises, LLC, for a "non Federal IE-Media Buy." Honor PAC also reported
- additional disbursements of \$49,000 to SOM Media, LLC for "non federal voter calls" and "non
- federal-direct mail" as well as \$15,000 to Target Enterprises LLC for "non federal-media buy."³⁴
- 12 Honor PAC's disbursements paid for a series of advertisements criticizing Householder and
- endorsing Black, which aired in Ohio around this time.³⁵

²⁹ IFN Certificate of Dissolution, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (December 30, 2020), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238.

³⁰ McVeigh Dep at 46:17-48:15.

Subpoena Resp. at IFN003, IFN005, IFN009.

Id. at IFN006; Honor and Principles PAC 2018 April Quarterly Report at 6 (Apr. 15, 2018); Honor PAC 2018 July Quarterly Report at 6 (July 14, 2018); Honor PAC 2018 Post-General Report at 6, 7 (Dec. 6, 2018).

Honor and Principles PAC 2018 April Quarterly Report at 8.

Honor PAC 2018 July Quarterly Report at 7-8.

See Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2018, 8:37 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336; Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 23, 2018, 10:18 AM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435; Medium Buying, TWITTER (May 6, 2018, 4:43 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153; see also Report of Investigation of Melissa McNulty at 1 (Sep. 21, 2022) ("McNulty ROI") at 2 (noting that she remembered seeing the advertisements around the time that they were aired); Donelon ROI at 3 (same).

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

LZP made no other contributions and engaged in no other activity other than the transfers to Honor PAC.³⁶ Honor PAC has never reported receiving any other funds, although it amended its reports to attribute the contributions to IFN after the Commission found reason to believe in

C. Ohio Works

this matter.³⁷

Ohio Works represents that it is a 501(c)(4) organization that was formed in the state of Ohio on March 29, 2017; it further represents that its purpose is promoting entrepreneurship, economic growth, and the development of 21st century jobs in Ohio for the common good and general welfare of all people residing within the state. ³⁸ Ohio Works transferred \$30,000 to IFN on March 7, 2018, \$200,000 to IFN on March 28, 2018, \$120,000 April 6, 2018, and \$2,000 on May 8, 2018. ³⁹ In its Response, Ohio Works contended that it "did not have any knowledge or control of IFN's operation or its use of these funds prior to and/or following the transfers. ⁴⁰ According to information provided by representatives of Ohio Works, the organization

was run by three individuals: Tod Bowen, who was responsible for fundraising, Terry Donelon, who was responsible for authorizing expenditures, and Melissa McNulty, whose responsibilities were administrative.⁴¹ Ohio Works represented that, after its formation, these three individuals

Letter from James E. Tyrrell III, counsel, to Ana J Peña-Wallace, FEC, at 2 (Nov. 24, 2021); Resp. to Mar. 18, 2022, Subpoena to IFN ("Subpoena Resp.") at IFN007-9.

See FEC Receipts: Filtered Results, FEC.GOV, https://www.fec.gov/data/receipts/?data_type=processed&committee_id=C00674291 (reflecting receipts to Honor PAC from formation to the present) (last visited April 27, 2023).

³⁸ Ohio Works Resp. at 1 (June 2, 2022).

Subpoena Resp. at 3, IFN001, IFN003, IFN004.

Ohio Works Resp. at 2.

McNulty ROI at 1.

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 9 of 20

1 never communicated with one another about the activities they were engaging in, except that 2 Bowen might inform McNulty that funds would be coming in, and Donelon would instruct McNulty to wire funds to specific parties. 42 Donelon said this was done because of concerns that 3 4 they believed they otherwise could be accused of impropriety or illegality.⁴³ 5 Ohio Works received the funds it transferred to IFN from three sources: 6 which provided \$50,000 to Ohio Works on March 14, 2018; , which 7 Ohio Works understood to be affiliated with AEP and which provided \$150,000 to Ohio Works who provided \$100,000 to Ohio Works on April 3, 2018.⁴⁴ A 8 on March 27, 2018; and 9 review of receipts and disbursements from Ohio Works' bank account establishes that it would not have had sufficient funds to transfer \$200,000 to IFN on March 28, 2018, without receiving 10 11 \$200,000 from earlier that and month. 45 And it would not have had sufficient funds to transfer \$120,000 to IFN on April 6, 12 three days prior.⁴⁶ 13 2018, without receiving \$100,000 from

\$180,000 to LZP on March 28, 2018 had it not received \$200,000 from Ohio Works earlier that

IFN's bank records also establish that it would not have had sufficient funds to transfer

14

same day.⁴⁷ In total, Ohio Works transferred \$352,000 to IFN, IFN transferred \$271,000 to LZP,

McNulty ROI at 1-2; Report of Investigation of Terry Donelon at 2-3 (Sep. 22, 2022) ("Donelon ROI").

Donelon ROI at 3.

Letter from Christopher N. Slagle, counsel for Ohio Works, to Charles Kitcher, FEC, at 3 (July 27, 2022) ("Slagle Letter").

⁴⁵ *Id.* at Ex. 1.

⁴⁶ *Id*.

Subpoena Resp. at 3, IFN001, IFN003, IFN004.

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 10 of 20

51

52

Donelon ROI at 2-3.

1	LZP transferred \$270,000 to Honor PAC, and Honor PAC reported making \$227,838 in media		
2	related disbursements.		
3	Terry Donelon, of Ohio Works, stated that he had a meeting with Riter at which Riter		
4	represented that IFN shared similar broad goals as Ohio Works and that Ohio Works should		
5	donate to IFN on that basis. 48 Riter, however, represented that he did not generally fundraise for		
6	IFN and did not recall this conversation. ⁴⁹ Neither Ohio Works nor Honor PAC provided any		
7	written communications regarding Ohio Works' decision to provide funds to IFN. Donelon,		
8	Norris, and Riter all represented that there were no other substantive communications about Ohio		
9	Works' decision to donate to IFN either before or after Ohio Works made transfers totaling		
10	\$350,000 to IFN. ⁵⁰ Donelon also represented that he did not discuss Honor PAC with Riter and		
11	was not aware of what IFN would use the money for until after learning of the advertisements		
12	that Honor PAC paid for. ⁵¹		
13	D.		
14	is an Ohio company that is affiliated with the		
15	and is taxed as a 501(c)(4) corporation. ⁵² describes itself as an		
16	organization that "represent[s] more than 1300 assisted living communities, home care and		
17	hospice service providers, providers of care and services to individuals with intellectual and		
18	developmental disabilities (ID/DD), and skilled nursing care facilities," which engages in		
	Donelon ROI at 2.		
	First Riter ROI at 2.		
	Donelon ROI at 1-2. First Riter ROI at 2.		

Resp. at 1 (Sep. 6, 2022).

MUR746401080

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 11 of 20

1	advoc	acy work, among other things.	As described above,	transferred
2	\$50,00	00 to Ohio Works on March 14,	2018, which was used to make Ohio Wor	rks' transfer to
3	IFN o	n March 28, 2018 of \$200,000.5	4	
4			represented that it did not have knowledge	ge "of any specific
5	expen	ditures that Ohio Works planned	I to make or did make" with the funds that	nt were provided
6	and th	at	did not have "any knowledge of the exi	stence of LZP,
7	IFN, o	or Honor PAC or of any of the ac	ctivities alleged in the letter."55 It further	represented that
8	it did	not provide instruction regarding	g how Ohio Works should use these fund	s and was not told
9	that de	onating to Ohio Works would re-	sult in any particular activity or further tr	ansfers. ⁵⁶
10				
11		is an individua	l who lives in Ohio and who donated \$10	00,000 to Ohio
12	Work	s on April 3, 2018, which Ohio V	Works used to donate \$120,000 to IFN or	April 6, 2018. ⁵⁷
13		who has made prior donations,	, represented that she was asked to make	a donation to
14	Ohio '	Works by Ohio State Representa	tive Ryan Smith; at the time, Smith was	working to build
15	suppo	rt to become the next Speaker of	f the Ohio House of Representatives over	Householder,
16	anothe	er person seeking this role.	had been a longtime public supporter	r of Smith and
17	oppon	ent of Householder and represer	nted that she therefore agreed to support S	Smith by making a
	54	Slagle Letter Ex. 1.		
	55	Resp. a	at 1.	
	56	Email from	Executive Director, to Aaron Rabinowitz, FEC (Sep. 28, 2022).
	57	Slagle Letter Ex. 1.		

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 12 of 20

- 1 \$100,000 donation to Ohio Works.⁵⁹ She represented that she did not anticipate that the funds
- 2 she provided would be used for any specific purpose.
- 3 F. American Electric Power and Empowering Ohio's Economy
- 4 American Electric Power, Inc., is a publicly traded power company; its subsidy, AEP
- 5 Ohio, provides power services through areas in Ohio. 61 is a social welfare organization
- 6 created in 2014 pursuant to Section 501(c)(4) of the U.S. Tax Code. 62 Together, the two AEP
- 7 entities contributed the following amounts to : \$3 million on January 15, 2015; \$5 million
- 8 on December 29, 2016; \$200,000 on July 31, 2019; and \$500,000 on October 9, 2019.⁶³
- 9 In 2017 and 2018, donated \$350,000 to Ohio Works, including a \$150,000 donation
- 10 on March 27, 2018, the day before Ohio Works donated \$200,000 to IFN.⁶⁴ In 2018,
- 11 five-member board included AEP's then-Vice President of External Affairs, Tom Froehle, and
- 12 JB Hadden, an attorney and outside political consultant for AEP, while AEP Ohio's Director of
- 13 Government Affairs, Maria Haberman, also assisted with matters. 65

Id. ¶¶ 10-13; see also Jim Siegel, Campaign Money Report Shows Larry Householder is Baaaack, DISPATCH.COM (July 31, 2017), https://www.dispatch.com/story/news/politics/state/2017/07/31/campaign-money-reports-show-larry/20044222007/ (discussing Ragan's public financial support of Smith and the competing efforts of Smith and Householder to become speaker).

AEP Resp. at 2 (Nov. 18, 2022).

⁶² *Id.*

⁶³ *Id.* & Decl. of Jeffrey Hoersdig (Nov. 18, 2022).

⁶⁴ *Id.*; Resp. at 5-6 (Jan. 24, 2023).

AEP Resp. at 2.

MUR746401082

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, et al.) Second General Counsel's Report Page 13 of 20

1	On November 21, 2017, Hadden emailed Haberman and another board member and
2	former Speaker of the Ohio House of Representatives, Jo Ann Davison, 66 in which Hadden wrote
3	that he understood that "Ohio Works is the c4 supportive of Rep Ryan Smith." ⁶⁷ similarly
4	acknowledges that "[w]hen the grants referenced above were made to Ohio Works, board
5	of directors was generally aware that Ohio Works was supportive of Ohio Representative Ryan
6	Smith and his legislative agenda."68 But represents that its Board of Directors "did not
7	discuss or correspond with any agents of Ohio Works regarding any subsequent transfer of funds
8	provided by to Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., LZP, LLC, or Honor and
9	Principles PAC."69 According to publicly available information, AEP is reported to have also
10	paid \$700,000 to an organization supporting Householder's campaign during the same time
11	period that financed Honor PAC's advertisements attacking Householder and supporting
12	his primary opponent. ⁷⁰

Ohio Statehouse, Jo Ann Davidson, https://www.ohiostatehouse.org/museum/ladies-gallery/jo-anndavidson (last visited April 27, 2023).

AEP Resp. Ex. K, Email from JB Hadden, AEP, to Maria Haberman, AEP and Empowering Ohio's Economy, and Jo Ann Davison, Empowering Ohio's Economy (Nov. 21, 2017).

Resp. at 6

⁶⁹ Id.

Betsy Reed, Ohio Republicans Accused of Taking \$60m in Bribes as Corruption Trial Opens, THE GUARDIAN, available at https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2023/jan/23/ohio-republican-larry-householdercorruption-trial ("Another company that paid \$700,000 into Generation Now, American Electric Power, was allowed to charge Ohio electricity customers \$1.50 a month to subsidize ailing coal plants it owned. It has not been charged. A spokesman for the company said it 'actively participate(s) in the political process in an ethical and lawful manner'.").

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 14 of 20

IV. ANALYSIS

1

18

19

20

21

2 A.	Contributions	in the	Name of Anotho
2 A.	Contributions	in the	Name of Anoth

3 The Act provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any 4 election for Federal office."71 The term "person" for purposes of the Act and Commission 5 6 regulations includes partnerships, corporations, and "any other organization or group of persons."72 The Act prohibits a person from making a contribution in the name of another 7 8 person, knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution, or knowingly accepting such a contribution.⁷³ The Commission has included in its regulations 9 10 illustrations of activities that constitute making a contribution in the name of another: 11 (i) Giving money or anything of value, all or part of which was provided to the contributor by another person (the true contributor) 12 without disclosing the source of money or the thing of value to the 13 14 recipient candidate or committee at the time the contribution is 15 made; or Making a contribution of money or anything of value and 16 (ii) 17

attributing as the source of the money or thing of value another person when in fact the contributor is the source.⁷⁴

The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promotes Congress's objective of ensuring the complete and accurate disclosure by candidates and committees of the political contributions they receive.⁷⁵ Courts therefore have uniformly

⁷¹ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A).

⁷² *Id.* § 30101(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.

⁷³ 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

⁷⁴ 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i)–(ii).

United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[T]he congressional purpose behind [Section 30122] — to ensure the *complete and accurate disclosure* of the contributors who finance federal elections

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 15 of 20

- 1 rejected the assertion that "only the person who actually transmits funds . . . makes the
- 2 contribution,"⁷⁶ recognizing that "it is implausible that Congress, in seeking to promote
- 3 transparency, would have understood the relevant contributor to be [an] intermediary who
- 4 merely transmitted the campaign gift."⁷⁷ Consequently, both the Act and the Commission's
- 5 implementing regulations provide that a person who furnishes another with funds for the purpose
- of contributing to a candidate or committee "makes" the resulting contribution. This is true
- 7 whether funds are advanced to another person to make a contribution in that person's name or
- 8 promised as reimbursement of a solicited contribution.⁷⁹
- 9 Because the concern of the law is the true source from which a contribution to a
- candidate or committee originates, regardless of the mechanism by which the funds are
- transmitted, the Commission will examine the structure of the transaction itself and the
- arrangement between the parties to determine who in fact "made" a given contribution.

[—] is plain.") (emphasis added); *Mariani v. United States*, 212 F.3d 761, 775 (3d Cir. 2000) (rejecting constitutional challenge to Section 30122 in light of compelling governmental interest in disclosure).

United States v. Boender, 649 F.3d 650, 660 (7th Cir. 2011).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 554; see also Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 371 (2010) ("The First Amendment protects political speech; and disclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages."); Doe v. Reed, 561 U.S. 186, 199 (2010) ("Public disclosure also promotes transparency and accountability in the electoral process to an extent other measures cannot.").

See Boender, 649 F.3d at 660 (holding that to determine who made a contribution "we consider the giver to be the source of the gift, not any intermediary who simply conveys the gift from the donor to the donee") (emphasis added); O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550; Goland v. United States, 903 F.2d 1247, 1251 (9th Cir. 1990) ("The Act prohibits the use of 'conduits' to circumvent [the Act's reporting] restrictions[.]").

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 555. Moreover, the "key issue . . . is the *source* of the funds" and, therefore, the legal status of the funds when conveyed from a conduit to the ultimate recipient is "irrelevant to a determination of who 'made' the contribution for the purposes of [Section 30122]." *United States v. Whittemore*, 776 F.3d 1074, 1080 (9th Cir. 2015) (holding that defendant's "unconditional gifts" to relatives and employees, along with suggestion they contribute the funds to a specific political committee, violated Section 30122 because the source of the funds remained the individual who provided them to the putative contributors).

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 16 of 20

1	Accordingly, Section 30122's prohibition of contributions in the name of another applies to
2	LLCs such that an LLC is prohibited from being used as a "straw donor" to transmit the funds of
3	another but must instead be the true source of any contribution it purports to make. ⁸⁰
4 5 6 7	B. There Is Insufficient Evidence to Warrant a Finding of Probable Cause to Believe that Ohio Works, Made Contributions in the Name of Another in Violation of 55 U.S.C. § 30122.
8	The available evidence indicates that Ohio Works and the donors to Ohio Works
9	understood that the funds they provided to IFN likely would support the efforts of Smith, who at
10	the time was working to build support to become the next Speaker of the Ohio House of
11	Representatives over Householder, who was also seeking this role. represented in its
12	Response that it understood Ohio Works to have been supportive of Smith, ⁸¹ and an AEP-
13	provided email from an board member similarly describes Ohio Works as "the c4
14	supportive of Rep Ryan Smith."82 , similarly, was asked by Smith himself to donate to
15	Ohio Works to support his efforts.

It is also possible that some of these respondents were made aware that their funds would

be used to pay for the specific advertisements that Honor PAC paid for. Norris stated that after

he developed the idea for these advertisements he asked a media vendor, Everhart, to fundraise

16

17

See, e.g., MUR 7903 (Tomfoolery, LLC, et al.) (conciliating with respondent LLC, a disregarded entity for federal tax purposes, and single member for violating 52 U.S.C. § 30122 when single member provided funds and caused LLC to send a contribution to IEOPC).

⁸¹ Resp. at 6.

AEP Resp. Ex. K, Email from JB Hadden, AEP, to Maria Haberman, AEP and Empowering Ohio's Economy, and Jo Ann Davison, Empowering Ohio's Economy (Nov. 21, 2017).

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 17 of 20

for the advertisement idea and that Everhart later called back to say that he had found funding.⁸⁴ 1 2 Given that the only source of funds used for these advertisements are the donations to IFN from 3 Ohio Works, this would appear to indicate that Everhart either directly communicated with Ohio 4 Works or the sources of funds into Ohio Works or else to a third party who coordinated or 5 facilitated the transactions. However, these Respondents generally have directly disputed that 6 they received information about how their funds would be used after donating to Ohio Works or IFN.85 7 8 However, the available evidence does not indicate that Ohio Works or the donors to Ohio 9 Works would have had reason to know that their funds would be contributed to Honor PAC 10 specifically or to a federal political committee more generally. There is no information in the 11 record indicating that Ohio Works, were directly 12 told of the existence of Honor PAC or that funds would be transferred from IFN to a federal 13 political committee such as Honor PAC, rather than an Ohio state political committee. 14 It therefore appears that the available evidence does not establish probable cause to 15 believe that these Respondents made a contribution under the Act, meaning a payment or other

Norris ROI at 3-4.

Ohio Works Resp. at 1 ("Ohio Works did not have any knowledge or control of IFN's operation or its use of these funds prior to and/or following the transfers.");

Resp. at 1 ("Neither nor had any knowledge at the time the contributions were made or at any time afterward of any specific expenditures that Ohio Works planned to make or did make.");

Resp. at 5-6 Board of Directors did not discuss or correspond with any agents of Ohio Works regarding any subsequent transfer of funds provided by to Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., LZP, LLC, or Honor and Principles PAC"). represented that was told by Smith that her funds would be used generally to support his efforts to become house speaker, but denies that was told that the funds would be routed through other entities and represents that she had been under the impression that Ohio Works itself was a political action committee.

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, et al.) Second General Counsel's Report Page 18 of 20

1	thing of value made "for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office," 86 given
2	that, at most, these Respondents would appear to have understood that the funds would be used
3	for Ohio state, rather than federal, activity. Due to the insufficiency of the information indicating
4	that these Respondents understood themselves to have been making federal contributions, it
5	follows that they therefore should not be considered to have "ma[de] a contribution in the name
6	of another person."87
7	Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission substitute the names Ohio Works,
8	in place of
9	"Unknown Respondents" in the Commission's previous findings that Unknown Respondents
10	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 and take no further action with respect to these respondents.
11 12	C. The Commission Should Find No Reason to Believe that American Electric Power Violated 55 U.S.C. § 30122.
13	We notified AEP as a respondent in this matter on the basis that Ohio Works' records and
14	written responses indicated that AEP was the source of funds that were thereafter transferred
15	from Ohio Works to IFN. The investigation has established that those funds actually came from
16	a 501(c)(4) organization with unofficial ties to AEP and
17	that received substantial donations from AEP. ⁸⁸ Although executives at AEP also acted as

⁵² U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A)(i) (emphasis added); accord 11 C.F.R. § 100.52.

⁵² U.S.C. § 30122; cf. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550 ("In a straw donor situation, the person who actually transmits the money acts merely as a mechanism, whereas it is the original source who has made the gift by arranging for his money to finance the donation. To identify the individual who has made the contribution, we must look past the intermediary's essentially ministerial role to the substance of the transaction. Accordingly, the statutory language [defining who makes a contribution] applies when a defendant's funds go to a campaign either directly from him or through an intermediary.").

Supra Part III.F.

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 19 of 20

- directors at ,⁸⁹ we did not develop information in the investigation that would establish that
- 2 the funds transferred to Ohio Works necessarily originated with AEP or that AEP provided
- 3 specific instruction to regarding the use of any funds AEP provided to . Accordingly,
- 4 the available information indicates that AEP was not one of the "Unknown Respondents" as to
- 5 whom the Commission found reason to believe violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 in this matter.
- 6 Further, based on this lack of information confirming the company's direct involvement in the
- 7 transfers of funds at issue, we recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe that
- 8 AEP violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122.
- 9 D. There Is Insufficient Evidence to Warrant a Finding of Probable Cause to Believe that Raymond McVeigh Violated 55 U.S.C. § 30122.
- The Commission found reason to believe that Raymond McVeigh, the sole officer and
- director of IFN, violated 52 U.S.C § 30122 by allowing LZP's name to be used to make
- contributions in the name of another. 90 However, the investigation revealed that McVeigh was
- not the source of the funds that IFN transferred to LZP to be contributed to Honor PAC. 91 The
- 15 investigation further established that McVeigh was unaware of the transactions at the time and
- only learned of them years later. 92 We therefore recommend that the Commission take no
- 17 further action concerning the allegation that Raymond McVeigh violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

⁸⁹ *Id.*

⁹⁰ Cert. at 1 (May 27, 2021).

Subpoena Resp. at IFN003, IFN005-06, IFN009.

⁹² Supra Part III.A.

MUR 7464 (Ohio Works, *et al.*) Second General Counsel's Report Page 20 of 20

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

2 3 4 5	1.	Substitute the names Ohio Works, — in place of "Unknown Respondents" in the Commission's previous findings that Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122;
6 7	2.	Find no reason to believe that American Electric Power violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122;
8 9	3.	Take no further action as to Raymond McVeigh, Ohio Works,
10	4.	Approve the appropriate letters; and
11	5.	Close the file.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Date:	Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel Charles Kitcher Charles Kitcher Associate General Counsel for Enforcement Mark Shonkwiler Assistant General Counsel Aaron Rabinowitz Assistant General Counsel