

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

June 8, 2023

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AND EMAIL

Noah Bookbinder
Executive Director
Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in
Washington
455 Massachusetts Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.20001
nbookbinder@citizensforethics.org

RE: MUR 7464

Dear Mr. Bookbinder:

The Federal Election Commission has considered the allegations contained in your complaint dated August 9, 2018 and amended complaint dated May 29, 2020. Based on that complaint, on May 20, 2021, the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") found that there was reason to believe that Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. ("IFN"), LZP, LLC, Raymond McVeigh, Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer ("Honor PAC"), and Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122, as provision of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). The Commission also found reason to believe Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(e) and (g)(2), and it voted to take no action at this time as to James Ryan. The Commission then commenced an investigation.

Subsequently, on April 6, 2023, the Commission considered the General Counsel's and the respondents' briefs, but there were an insufficient number of votes to find probable cause to believe that IFN and LZP had violated the Act. The Commission further found no probable cause to believe that Honor PAC had violated the Act. On June 1, 2023, the Commission voted to: (1) substitute the name Ohio Works in place of "Unknown Respondents" in the Commission's previous findings that Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122; (2) find no probable cause to believe that Raymond McVeigh Violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122; (3) find no reason to believe that American Electric Power violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122; and (4) close the file in this matter. The Factual and Legal Analyses, which more fully explain the basis for the Commission's decision are enclosed. A Statement of Reasons further explaining the basis for the Commission's decision will follow.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC, et al.) Letter to Noah Bookbinder Page 2

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016), effective September 1, 2016.

The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8). Should you have any questions, please contact Aaron Rabinowitz, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 694-1774 or arabinowitz@fec.gov.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson General Counsel

Mark Shonkwiler

BY: Mark Shonkwiler

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures:

Factual and Legal Analysis - IFN, *et al*.

Factual and Legal Analysis - Honor PAC

Factual and Legal Analysis - LZP, LLC

Factual and Legal Analysis - Unknown Respondents

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4 5 6	RESPONDENTS: Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. Ray McVeigh, Director MUR 7464
7 8	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
9 10	This matter concerns allegations that Unknown Respondents made \$270,000 in
11	contributions in the name of another through LZP, LLC ("LZP"), a newly created LLC, which is
12	a disregarded member of the Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. ("IFN"), a 501(c)(4)
13	organization, to Honor and Principles PAC ("Honor PAC"), a federal independent expenditure-
14	only political committee ("IEOPC"). The events at issue took place over the course of a four-
15	day period: both LZP and Honor PAC were formed in the same week, then LZP made its first
16	contribution to Honor PAC, which subsequently made its first disbursement.
17	LZP represents that it received the funds for the federal contributions from its sole
18	nonprofit corporate member, but does not provide any details regarding the name of the entity
19	that funded the contributions to Honor PAC. For the reasons explained below, the Commission
20	finds IFN, and its sole corporate director Ray McVeigh, violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by making,
21	and allowing LZP's name to be used to make, contributions in the name of another.
22	II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>
23	A. Background
24	The key events in this matter took place during a four-day period in March 2018. Honor
25	PAC registered as an IEOPC with the Commission on March 26, 2018. LZP registered as a

Honor and Principles PAC, FEC Form 1, *Statement of Organization* (Mar. 26, 2018). As an IEOPC, Honor PAC accepts unlimited contributions from individuals and sources that are otherwise prohibited for authorized campaign committees. *See* Advisory Opinion 2010-11(Commonsense Ten).

_

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 11

- 1 nonprofit LLC with the state of Ohio on March 27, 2018.² LZP made its first contribution in the
- 2 amount of \$175,000, to Honor PAC on March 28, 2018.³ Honor PAC made its first
- 3 disbursements for political advertising in a nonfederal race on March 29, 2018.⁴
- 4 Honor PAC filed reports with the Commission disclosing \$270,000 in receipts for 2018,
- 5 all from LZP: \$175,000 on March 28, 2018, \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$10,000 on April 18,
- 6 2018, and \$35,000 on October 19, 2018.⁵ It has not reported any receipts for 2019 and 2020. Its
- 7 reports also disclose \$252,607.92 in disbursements in 2018: \$24,769.92 for operating
- 8 expenditures (for legal fees and compliance consulting) and \$227,838 for "other disbursements"
- 9 to media vendors for "non federal-direct mail," "non federal-media buys," and "non federal voter
- 10 calls." Honor PAC reported that it made its first disbursement on March 29, 2018, in the
- amount of \$163,838 to Target Enterprises LLC for a "non federal IE-Media Buy." According
- 12 to the Complaint, Honor PAC paid for an ad attacking Ohio State Representative Larry
- Householder and supporting his opponent, Kevin Black, in the May 8, 2018 primary election for

² See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880.

³ See 2018 April Quarterly Report at 6, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018) (disclosing only one receipt for the entire reporting period). According to the Commission's databases, LZP has made federal contributions to only Honor PAC.

⁴ *Id.* at 7 (disclosing only one disbursement for the reporting period).

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018, July 14, 2018, and Dec. 6, 2018). Honor PAC also filed 2018 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports and a 2019 Mid-Year Report but did not disclose any receipts for those time periods.

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC is also registered as a SuperPAC with the state of Ohio, but has only filed one report with the state (a Statement of Organization filed on March 29, 2018) and has disclosed no receipts or disbursements to the state of Ohio. See Honor and Principles PAC (Super PAC) filings, Ohio Sec. of State, https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39_ENTITY_ID,P39_LISTTYPE:14969,simple.

⁷ 2018 April Quarterly Report at 7, Honor and Principles PAC.

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 11

- 1 that state office.⁸ In 2019 and 2020, Honor PAC reported making \$17,392.08 in disbursements
- 2 for legal fees and compliance consulting.⁹
- Based in large part on the proximity between the dates of Honor PAC (March 26) and
- 4 LZP's (March 27) formations, along with the March 28 contribution from LZP and Honor PAC's
- 5 March 29 disbursement to Target Enterprises, the Complaint alleges that LZP made, and Honor
- 6 PAC knowingly accepted, a contribution in the name of another. 10 The Complaint states that
- 7 LZP "does not appear to conduct any business" and has no presence on the internet, and argues
- 8 that it was "virtually impossible that [LZP] generated sufficient income to pay for the
- 9 contribution in just one day."¹¹ Therefore, the Complaint also alleges that Unknown
- 10 Respondents must have provided the \$175,000 to LZP to make the initial contribution to Honor
- PAC and, as a result, LZP acted as a conduit by knowingly permitting its name to be used to
- 12 effect that contribution. 12
- On May 29, 2020, the Complainant filed a Supplement alleging the same violations by
- 14 IFN, a 501(c)(4) organization that controls LZP, and its director, Ray McVeigh. 13 IFN filed

https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435; Medium Buying, TWITTER (May 6, 2018, 4:43 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153.

See Compl. at 5 (Aug. 9, 2018) (citing, Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2018, 8:37 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336). It appears that Honor PAC paid for at least two other ads supporting Black and advocating the defeat of Householder that aired on April 23 and May 6, 2018. See Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 23, 2018, 10:18 AM),

See 2019 Mid-Year and Year-End Reports, 2020 April Quarterly Report, and Termination Reports (Jul. 13, 2020, Oct. 15, 2020, and Dec. 4, 2020), Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC's requests to terminate has been denied while this MUR remains pending.

¹⁰ Compl. at 4-5.

¹¹ *Id.* at 1, 5.

¹² *Id.* at 6.

Supp. Compl. at 4 (May 29, 2020) (citing IFN 2018 Form 990 (Nov. 2019) ("IFN 2018 Form 990"), https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6773070-Independence-and-Freedom-Network-Inc-2018-990.html).

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 11

- 1 articles of incorporation with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 13, 2017, listing Ryan as its
- 2 registered agent, but it dissolved on December 31, 2020. 14 IFN's 2018 Form 990 filed with the
- 3 IRS lists LZP as a disregarded entity with a total income of \$271,000 for 2018.¹⁵ The same form
- 4 also lists an unnamed contributor who gave \$271,000 to IFN, which the Supplemental Complaint
- 5 states supports the allegation that LZP's contribution was derived from a single source. 16
- 6 IFN's IRS filing discloses that it made \$1,120,000 in political campaign activity
- 7 expenditures to "other organizations for section 527 exempt function activities," and lists "Honor
- 8 and Principles PAC" and "Onward Ohio Inc." as those 527 organizations receiving funds from
- 9 IFN. 17 According to its filing, IFN provided \$270,000 to Honor and Principles PAC and
- 10 \$850,000 to Onward Ohio Inc. 18 On a separate schedule requiring a list of "Grants and Other
- 11 Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States," IFN again lists
- Honor PAC, Onward Ohio Inc., as well as two other groups, MORCC and Security is Strength

IFN's Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") form lists McVeigh as its only officer and states that he did not receive any compensation for his work for IFN, which averaged two hours a week. IFN 2018 Form 990 at 7.

See IFN, Articles of Incorporation, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Apr. 13, 2017), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238; IFN, Certificate of Dissolution, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Dec. 31, 2020), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238. Unlike with its articles of incorporation, IFN's certificate of dissolution was not filed by Ryan's law firm. Additionally, it appears that the dissolution was filed in an expedited manner, through payment of an additional expedited service fee.

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5 (answering "Yes" to question 33 asking "Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization"); *id.* at Schedule R (listing LZP as IFN's only disregarded entity and noting that IFN is the "Direct controlling entity").

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 20. The form lists six additional contributions that it received from individuals in the following amounts: \$352,000, \$950,000, \$800,000, \$183,702, \$105,000, and \$275,000.

¹⁷ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Id. The IRS's Tax Exempt Organization database does not show a record of Honor PAC ever having registered as a 527 organization. See Tax Exempt and Organization Search, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (entering search terms "Honor and Principles" and EIN 82-4933172, the employer identification number listed for Honor PAC on IFN's 2018 Form 990, does not yield any results). There is an "Onward Ohio Policy Institute" registered as a 527 organization with the IRS, but its filings do not list an \$850,000 contribution from IFN. See 2018 Form 990EZ and 2019 Form 990-N, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (reporting \$10,000 in receipts in 2018 and less than \$25,000 in 2019).

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 11

- 1 LLC.¹⁹ Onward Ohio is registered as an IEOPC with the Commission and disclosed receiving an
- 2 \$850,000 contribution from IFN on March 16, 2018.²⁰ Honor PAC did not disclose any
- 3 contributions from IFN on its disclosure reports filed with the Commission.
- 4 LZP has not indicated whether IFN was its nonprofit corporate member that provided the
- 5 assets used to make the contributions to Honor PAC. The Commission notified IFN, McVeigh
- and IFN's registered agent, James Ryan.²¹ According to publicly available information, Ryan
- 7 has been the registered agent for approximately 151 entities in Ohio. 22 During the 2018 and
- 8 2020 election cycles, Ryan registered three nonprofit corporations (IFN, CHTFA, Inc., and
- 9 American Exceptionalism Institute, Inc.) and fifty LLCs, including LZP and Security is Strength

Security is Strength LLC and MORCC are not listed as 527 organization with the IRS, but there is a Security is Strength PAC registered with the Commission. That PAC has not disclosed contributions from IFN.

 $^{^{19}}$ IFN 2018 Form 990 at Schedule I. As of the date of this report, IFN has not made any other filings with the IRS.

See 2018 April Quarterly Report, Onward Ohio. During the 2018 election cycle, Onward Ohio disclosed \$877,300 in receipts and \$1,803,448.23 in total disbursements, and an ending cash on hand of \$650.72. The committee terminated in 2020. See Termination Approval Ltr. (Oct. 20, 2020), Onward Ohio. Onward Ohio was also registered as nonprofit corporation with the state of Ohio but its articles of incorporation were canceled in October 2020 for its failure to file a statement of continued existence. See Onward Ohio filings, Ohio Sec. of State https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/2436941 (indicating a cancellation date of Oct. 20, 2020).

See Ltr. to James McVeigh and IFN (June 5, 2020); Corrected Notification Ltr. to Ray McVeigh and IFN, cc James Ryan (Dec. 15, 2020). See also IFN, Articles of Incorporation, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Apr. 13, 2017), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238 (listing attorney James G. Ryan of Columbus, OH as its registered agent).

See Agent or Registrant Name Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov/# (enter "James G. Ryan" in Agent/Registrant search field).

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 11

- 1 LLC.²³ Letters sent to McVeigh and IFN at the P.O. Box address listed on IFN's IRS filings
- 2 were returned as undeliverable with no forwarding address. However, Ryan was copied on the
- 3 notification letters to IFN, in his capacity as its registered agent.²⁴ Neither IFN, McVeigh, nor
- 4 Ryan submitted responses to the complaint notification.

B. Legal Analysis

5

- The Act provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or
- 7 deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any
- 8 election for Federal office."²⁵ The term "person" for purposes of the Act and Commission
- 9 regulations includes partnerships, corporations, and "any other organization or group of
- persons."²⁶ The Act prohibits a person from making a contribution in the name of another
- person, knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution, or
- 12 knowingly accepting such a contribution.²⁷ The Commission has included in its regulations
- illustrations of activities that constitute making a contribution in the name of another:

Id. IFN and American Exceptionalism Institute Inc., which Ryan registered and incorporated on the same date, have been the subject of press reports concerning contributions they made to IEOPCs. See, e.g., Eliana Johnson, New ad accuses Paul of siding with terrorists (Apr. 24, 2018), POLITICO, https://www.politico.com/story/2018/04/24/dark-money-group-pressures-paul-cia-haspel-546043 (discussing \$100,000 ad campaign paid for by American Exceptionalism Institute, Inc.) and Jim Provance, "Dark Money" makes way into governor campaigns (Apr. 17, 2018), The Blade, https://www.toledoblade.com/local/politics/2018/04/17/Dark-money-makes-way-into-governor-campaigns/stories/20180417150 (discussing IFN's \$850,000 contribution to Onward Ohio in 2018). See also Andrew J. Tobias, Dark Money flowing into 2018 Ohio Republican Primary Elections (Apr. 16, 2018), CLEVELAND.COM, https://www.cleveland.com/open/2018/04/dark_money_flowing_into_2018_o.html (discussing IFN and LZP's contributions to IEOPCs).

See Corrected Notification Ltr. to McVeigh and IFN, and cc to James Ryan (Dec. 15, 2020); Email to James Ryan (Dec. 15, 2020) (including copies of original complaint and the supplement); Amended Notification Ltr. to McVeigh and IFN, with cc to James Ryan (June 5, 2020).

²⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A).

²⁶ *Id.* § 30101(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.

²⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 11

- (i) Giving money or anything of value, all or part of which was provided to the contributor by another person (the true contributor) without disclosing the source of money or the thing of value to the recipient candidate or committee at the time the contribution is made; or
- (ii) Making a contribution of money or anything of value and attributing as the source of the money or thing of value another person when in fact the contributor is the source.²⁸

The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promotes

Congress's objective of ensuring the complete and accurate disclosure by candidates and

committees of the political contributions they receive. 29 Courts therefore have uniformly

rejected the assertion that "only the person who actually transmits funds . . . makes the

contribution," 30 recognizing that "it is implausible that Congress, in seeking to promote

transparency, would have understood the relevant contributor to be [an] intermediary who

merely transmitted the campaign gift." Consequently, both the Act and the Commission's

implementing regulations provide that a person who furnishes another with funds for the purpose

of contributing to a candidate or committee "makes" the resulting contribution. This is true

whether funds are advanced to another person to make a contribution in that person's name or

²⁸ 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i)–(ii).

United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[T]he congressional purpose behind [Section 30122] — to ensure the complete and accurate disclosure of the contributors who finance federal elections — is plain.") (emphasis added); Mariani v. United States, 212 F.3d 761, 775 (3d Cir. 2000) (rejecting constitutional challenge to Section 30122 in light of compelling governmental interest in disclosure).

³⁰ United States v. Boender, 649 F.3d 650, 660 (7th Cir. 2011).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 554; see also Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 371 (2010) ("The First Amendment protects political speech; and disclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages.").

See Boender, 649 F.3d at 660 (holding that to determine who made a contribution "we consider the giver to be the source of the gift, not any intermediary who simply conveys the gift from the donor to the donee." (emphasis added)); O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550; Goland v. United States, 903 F.2d 1247, 1251 (9th Cir. 1990) ("The Act prohibits the use of 'conduits' to circumvent . . . [the Act's reporting] restrictions." (quoting then-Section 441f)).

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 11

1 promised as reimbursement of a solicited contribution.³³ Because the concern of the law is the

2 true source from which a contribution to a candidate or committee originates, we look to the

structure of the transaction itself and the arrangement between the parties to determine who in

4 fact "made" a given contribution.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

5 The Complaint's allegation that LZP was used to make contributions by other persons is

6 supported by the fact that LZP purported to make a \$175,000 contribution in its own name to

Honor PAC two days after Honor PAC was formed and one day after LZP was formed, and by

the lack of public information regarding the LLC's activities or evidence indicating that LZP

could make such a contribution without an infusion of funds provided to it for that purpose.

When an LLC purports to make a political contribution in close temporal proximity to its

formation date, without evidence of activity or any specific information regarding the

provenance of its funds, the circumstances may support a reasonable inference that the LLC was

provided funds for the purpose of making a contribution, i.e., that the LLC was used as a conduit

to mask the identity of the true contributor. However, in determining whether such an inference

is supported, the Commission considers the overall record, including the amount of the

contribution, the LLC's stated purposes and activity, and whether other information, such as the

attribution of the LLC contribution, suggests an attempt to circumvent the Act's disclosure

18 requirements.

²²

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 555. Moreover, the "key issue . . . is the source of the funds" and, therefore, the legal status of the funds when conveyed from a conduit to the ultimate recipient is "irrelevant to a determination of who 'made' the contribution for the purposes of [Section 30122]." United States v. Whittemore, 776 F.3d 1074, 1080 (9th Cir. 2015) (holding that defendant's "unconditional gifts" to relatives and employees, along with suggestion they contribute the funds to a specific political committee, violated Section 30122 because the source of the funds remained the individual who provided them to the putative contributors).

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 11

1 The record in this matter supports a finding that there is reason to believe that IFN and 2 Ray McVeigh violated the Act by knowingly permitting LZP's name to be used to effect contributions in the name of another to Honor PAC.³⁴ LZP implies that it was provided funds by 3 4 its purported single member to make the contributions to Honor PAC and does not dispute the 5 assertion that it appears to have engaged in no activity other than making the contributions at 6 issue and appears to have been formed solely to make contributions using the funds of another. 7 The record supports a conclusion that that was, indeed, the case. 8 First, the temporal proximity between LZP's formation and its first contribution to Honor 9 PAC just two days later supports a conclusion that LZP was likely created to effect a 10 contribution, and that LZP was not the true contributor to Honor PAC but was, instead, provided 11 funds for the purpose of making the contribution. LZP has not explained the reason for the 12 LLC's formation, the quick turnaround for making its first political contribution as a newly 13 formed entity, or how the making of that contribution almost immediately after the LLC's organization was consistent with the LLC's organizational purpose or governing documents. 14 15 The absence of any public information of LZP's activity other than the making of contributions, 16 considered in conjunction with the timing between LZP's creation and first contribution, tend to support a conclusion that funds were directed to LZP for the purpose of making a political 17 18 contribution.

Although it is not alleged in the Complaint or the Supplement, the facts in this matter raise the question whether IFN's activities in providing over \$1 million in funding to IEOPCs constituted federal political activity, requiring it to register as a committee with the Commission. 52 U.S.C. § 30101(4)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.5 (defining a "political committee" as "any committee, club, association, or other group of persons which receives contributions aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year or which makes expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year"). However, not enough information is available regarding IFN's overall activities to determine whether its major purpose was the nomination or election of a federal candidate. *See Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 U.S. 1, 79 (1976) (per curiam). Therefore, we do not make any findings regarding IFN's political committee status.

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 10 of 11

1	Second, while LZP states that its corporate member had sufficient assets from which to
2	make the contribution to Honor PAC, it does not reveal the identity of that corporate member or
3	describe the origin of those assets. There is no available information concerning the nature of
4	the company, or how its corporate member procured the assets that LZP used for its
5	contributions to Honor PAC totaling \$270,000. Instead, information from the Supplemental
6	Complaint reveals that IFN directly controlled LZP's activities, and it is possible that IFN was
7	actually LZP's nonprofit corporate member. IFN's IRS filing states that it owned 100% of LZP
8	and further discloses that it received a \$271,000 contribution from an individual, which could
9	have been the source of LZP's \$270,000 in total contributions to Honor PAC. ³⁵ Indeed, IFN's
10	2018 Form 990 lists LZP's total income as only \$271,000.36 Additionally, in disclosing its
11	"Political campaign activity expenditures" IFN's IRS filing lists contributions to purported 527
12	organizations; however, both of those entities, Honor PAC and Onward Ohio, were actually
13	committees registered with the Commission. ³⁷ In fact, both committees disclosed those
14	contributions in their reports filed with the Commission, albeit Honor PAC listed the
15	contributions as coming from LZP and not IFN. Therefore, we are unable to conclude that those
16	assets were provided to LZP for any other lawful purpose and not for the purpose of making a
17	political contribution. ³⁸
18	Third, the timing of Honor PAC's formation and its first disbursement, in relation to

19

LZP's formation and first contribution, also raises an inference that the IEOPC may have been

IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5, Schedule B, and Schedule R.

³⁶ *Id.* at Schedule R.

³⁷ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Without information about LZP's single member we cannot determine whether the funds came from a lawful or prohibited source, such as from a foreign national in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30121.

MUR746400509

MUR 7464 (Independence and Freedom Network, Inc., *et al.*) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 11 of 11

- 1 involved in the alleged conduit contribution scheme. As described above, Honor PAC's
- 2 registration with the Commission, LZP's registration as an LLC, LZP's first contribution to
- 3 Honor PAC, and Honor PAC's first independent expenditure all took place within a four-day
- 4 time period.³⁹ All of Honor PAC's receipts came exclusively from IFN, through LZP, and the
- 5 political committee apparently failed to seek information from either entity for the proper
- 6 attribution of any of the contributions on its disclosure reports. These facts support an inference
- 7 that Honor PAC was aware of the true purpose behind LZP's creation, namely, concealing the
- 8 identity of the true contributor.
- 9 The available information suggests that LZP's creation was an attempt to circumvent the
- 10 Act's disclosure requirements. Because IFN and Ray McVeigh controlled LZP, and may have
- directed the contributions to Honor PAC, the Commission finds reason to believe that
- 12 Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. and its director, Ray McVeigh, violated 52 U.S.C.
- 13 § 30122.

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS: Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer MUR 7464	
8 9	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
10	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission	
11	the "Commission") alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as	
12	amended (the "Act"), that Unknown Respondents made \$270,000 in contributions in the name	of
13	another through LZP, LLC ("LZP"), a newly created LLC, which is a disregarded member of the	ne
14	Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. ("IFN"), a 501(c)(4) organization, to Honor and	
15	Principles PAC ("Honor PAC"), a federal independent expenditure-only political committee	
16	"IEOPC"). The events at issue took place over the course of a four-day period: both LZP and	l
17	Honor PAC were formed in the same week, then LZP made its first contribution to Honor PAC	·•
18	which subsequently made its first disbursement.	
19	LZP represents that it received the funds for the federal contributions from its sole	
20	nonprofit corporate member, but does not provide any details regarding the name of the entity	
21	hat funded the contributions to Honor PAC. Honor PAC did not attribute the contributions to	
22	he LZP's single member.	
23	For the reasons explained below, the Commission finds reason to believe that Honor PA	١C
24	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by knowingly accepting contributions in the name of another and	
25	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) and (g)(2) by failing to properly attribute	ıte
26	LLC contributions.	

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 14

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2 A. Background

1

- The key events in this matter took place during a four-day period in March 2018. Honor
- 4 PAC registered as an IEOPC with the Commission on March 26, 2018. LZP registered as a
- 5 nonprofit LLC with the state of Ohio on March 27, 2018. LZP made its first contribution in the
- 6 amount of \$175,000, to Honor PAC on March 28, 2018. Honor PAC made its first
- disbursements for political advertising in a nonfederal race on March 29, 2018.⁴
- 8 Honor PAC filed reports with the Commission disclosing \$270,000 in receipts for 2018,
- 9 all from LZP: \$175,000 on March 28, 2018, \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$10,000 on April 18,
- 2018, and \$35,000 on October 19, 2018.⁵ It has not reported any receipts for 2019 and 2020. Its
- reports also disclose \$252,607.92 in disbursements in 2018: \$24,769.92 for operating
- expenditures (for legal fees and compliance consulting) and \$227,838 for "other disbursements"
- to media vendors for "non- federal-direct mail," "non- federal-media buys," and "non- federal

Honor and Principles PAC, FEC Form 1, *Statement of Organization* (Mar. 26, 2018). As an IEOPC, Honor PAC accepts unlimited contributions from individuals and sources that are otherwise prohibited for authorized campaign committees. *See* Advisory Opinion 2010-11(Commonsense Ten).

² See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880.

³ See 2018 April Quarterly Report at 6, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018) (disclosing only one receipt for the entire reporting period). According to the Commission's databases, LZP has made federal contributions to only Honor PAC.

⁴ *Id.* at 7 (disclosing only one disbursement for the reporting period).

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018, July 14, 2018, and Dec. 6, 2018). Honor PAC also filed 2018 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports and a 2019 Mid-Year Report but did not disclose any receipts for those time periods.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 14

- 1 voter calls." Honor PAC reported that it made its first disbursement on March 29, 2018, in the
- 2 amount of \$163,838 to Target Enterprises LLC for a "non- federal IE-Media Buy." According
- 3 to the Complaint, Honor PAC paid for an ad attacking Ohio State Representative Larry
- 4 Householder and supporting his opponent, Kevin Black, in the May 8, 2018 primary election for
- 5 that state office. 8 In 2019 and 2020, Honor PAC reported making \$17,392.08 in disbursements
- 6 for legal fees and compliance consulting.⁹
- Based in large part on the proximity between the dates of Honor PAC (March 26) and
- 8 LZP's (March 27) formations, along with the March 28 contribution from LZP and Honor PAC's
- 9 March 29 disbursement to Target Enterprises, the Complaint alleges that LZP made, and Honor
- 10 PAC knowingly accepted, a contribution in the name of another. ¹⁰ The Complaint states that
- 11 LZP "does not appear to conduct any business" and has no presence on the internet, and argues
- that it was "virtually impossible that [LZP] generated sufficient income to pay for the

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC is also registered as a SuperPAC with the state of Ohio, but has only filed one report with the state (a Statement of Organization filed on March 29, 2018) and has disclosed no receipts or disbursements. See Honor and Principles PAC (Super PAC) filings, Ohio Sec. of State, https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39_ENTITY_ID.P39_LISTTYPE:14969.simple.

⁷ 2018 April Quarterly Report at 7, Honor and Principles PAC.

See Compl. at 5 (Aug. 9, 2018) (citing, Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2018, 8:37 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336). It appears that Honor PAC paid for at least two other ads supporting Black and advocating the defeat of Householder that aired on April 23 and May 6, 2018. See Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 23, 2018, 10:18 AM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435; Medium Buying, TWITTER (May 6, 2018, 4:43 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153.

See 2019 Mid-Year and Year-End Reports, 2020 April Quarterly Report, and Termination Reports (Jul. 13, 2020, Oct. 15, 2020, and Dec. 4, 2020), Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC's requests to terminate has been denied while this MUR remains pending.

¹⁰ Compl. at 4-5.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 14

- 1 contribution in just one day." Therefore, the Complaint also alleges that Unknown
- 2 Respondents must have provided the \$175,000 to LZP to make the initial contribution to Honor
- 3 PAC and, as a result, LZP acted as a conduit by knowingly permitting its name to be used to
- 4 effect that contribution. 12
- 5 On May 29, 2020, the Complainant filed a Supplement alleging the same activity by IFN,
- 6 a 501(c)(4) organization that controls LZP, and its director, Ray McVeigh. 13 IFN filed articles of
- 7 incorporation with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 13, 2017, listing Ryan as its registered
- 8 agent, but it dissolved on December 31, 2020. 14 IFN's 2018 Form 990 filed with the IRS lists
- 9 LZP as a disregarded entity with a total income of \$271,000 for 2018. The same form also lists
- an unnamed contributor who gave \$271,000 to IFN, which the Supplemental Complaint states
- supports the allegation that LZP's contribution was derived from a single source. 16
- 12 IFN's IRS filing discloses that it made \$1,120,000 in political campaign activity
- expenditures to "other organizations for section 527 exempt function activities," and lists "Honor

¹¹ *Id.* at 1, 5.

¹² *Id.* at 6.

Supp. Compl. at 4 (May 29, 2020) (citing IFN 2018 Form 990 (Nov. 2019) ("IFN 2018 Form 990"), https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6773070-Independence-and-Freedom-Network-Inc-2018-990.html). IFN's Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") form lists McVeigh as its only officer and states that he did not receive any compensation for his work for IFN, which averaged two hours a week. IFN 2018 Form 990 at 7.

See IFN, Articles of Incorporation, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Apr. 13, 2017), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238; IFN, Certificate of Dissolution, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Dec. 31, 2020), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238. Unlike with its articles of incorporation, IFN's certificate of dissolution was not filed by Ryan's law firm. Additionally, it appears that the dissolution was filed in an expedited manner, through payment of an additional expedited service fee.

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5 (answering "Yes" to question 33 asking "Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization"); *id.* at Schedule R (listing LZP as IFN's only disregarded entity and noting that IFN is the "Direct controlling entity").

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 20. The form lists six additional contributions that it received from individuals in the following amounts: \$352,000, \$950,000, \$800,000, \$183,702, \$105,000, and \$275,000.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 14

- and Principles PAC" and "Onward Ohio Inc." as those 527 organizations receiving funds from
- 2 IFN.¹⁷ According to its filing, IFN provided \$270,000 to Honor and Principles PAC and
- 3 \$850,000 to Onward Ohio Inc. 18 On a separate schedule requiring a list of "Grants and Other
- 4 Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States," IFN again lists
- 5 Honor PAC, Onward Ohio Inc., as well as two other groups, MORCC and Security is Strength
- 6 LLC. 19 Onward Ohio is registered as an IEOPC with the Commission and disclosed receiving an
- 7 \$850,000 contribution from IFN on March 16, 2018.²⁰ Honor PAC did not disclose any
- 8 contributions from IFN on its disclosure reports filed with the Commission.
- 9 Honor PAC denies the allegations made in the original Complaint, stating that its donor
- 10 form requests contributor identification information and "also requires the donor's assurances
- that the contribution, whether personal or corporate, will not be reimbursed by another person or

Security is Strength LLC and MORCC are not listed as 527 organization with the IRS, but there is a Security is Strength PAC registered with the Commission. That PAC has not disclosed contributions from IFN.

¹⁷ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Id. The IRS's Tax Exempt Organization database does not show a record of Honor PAC ever having registered as a 527 organization. See Tax Exempt and Organization Search, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (entering search terms "Honor and Principles" and EIN 82-4933172, the employer identification number listed for Honor PAC on IFN's 2018 Form 990, does not yield any results). There is an "Onward Ohio Policy Institute" registered as a 527 organization with the IRS, but its filings do not list an \$850,000 contribution from IFN. See 2018 Form 990EZ and 2019 Form 990-N, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (reporting \$10,000 in receipts in 2018 and less than \$25,000 in 2019).

¹⁹ IFN 2018 Form 990 at Schedule I. As of the date of this report, IFN has not made any other filings with the IRS.

See 2018 April Quarterly Report, Onward Ohio. During the 2018 election cycle, Onward Ohio disclosed \$877,300 in receipts and \$1,803,448.23 in total disbursements, and an ending cash on hand of \$650.72. The committee terminated in 2020. See Termination Approval Ltr. (Oct. 20, 2020), Onward Ohio. Onward Ohio was also registered as nonprofit corporation with the state of Ohio but its articles of incorporation were canceled in October 2020 for its failure to file a statement of continued existence. See Onward Ohio filings, Ohio Sec. of State https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/2436941 (indicating a cancellation date of Oct. 20, 2020).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 14

- entity."²¹ Honor PAC further asserts that "[t]here was nothing suspicious on the face of [LZP's]
- 2 contribution" and that Honor PAC "was not under any further obligations with respect to the
- 3 contribution."²² Honor PAC apparently did not request information regarding the proper
- 4 attribution of the contribution from the LLC, which was the only contributor it reported.

B. Legal Analysis

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

1. Contributions in the Name of Another

The Act provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." The term "person" for purposes of the Act and Commission regulations includes partnerships, corporations, and "any other organization or group of persons." The Act prohibits a person from making a contribution in the name of another person, knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution, or knowingly accepting such a contribution. The Commission has included in its regulations illustrations of activities that constitute making a contribution in the name of another:

(i) Giving money or anything of value, all or part of which was provided to the contributor by another person (the true contributor) without disclosing the source of money or the thing of value to the recipient candidate or committee at the time the contribution is made; or

Honor PAC Resp. at 2 (Oct. 29, 2018). Honor PAC, which does not appear to have a website, does not attach a copy of the donor form to the response or explain where this form can otherwise be found. Moreover, Honor PAC does not represent that it provided its donor form to LZP.

²² *Id*.

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A).

²⁴ *Id.* § 30101(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.

²⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 14

1

2

3

(ii) Making a contribution of money or anything of value and attributing as the source of the money or thing of value another person when in fact the contributor is the source.²⁶

4 The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promotes 5 Congress's objective of ensuring the complete and accurate disclosure by candidates and committees of the political contributions they receive.²⁷ Courts therefore have uniformly 6 7 rejected the assertion that "only the person who actually transmits funds . . . makes the contribution,"²⁸ recognizing that "it is implausible that Congress, in seeking to promote 8 9 transparency, would have understood the relevant contributor to be [an] intermediary who merely transmitted the campaign gift."²⁹ Consequently, both the Act and the Commission's 10 implementing regulations provide that a person who furnishes another with funds for the purpose 11 of contributing to a candidate or committee "makes" the resulting contribution. ³⁰ This is true 12 13 whether funds are advanced to another person to make a contribution in that person's name or

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i)–(ii).

United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[T]he congressional purpose behind [Section 30122] — to ensure the complete and accurate disclosure of the contributors who finance federal elections — is plain.") (emphasis added); Mariani v. United States, 212 F.3d 761, 775 (3d Cir. 2000) (rejecting constitutional challenge to Section 30122 in light of compelling governmental interest in disclosure).

²⁸ United States v. Boender, 649 F.3d 650, 660 (7th Cir. 2011).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 554; see also Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 371 (2010) ("The First Amendment protects political speech; and disclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages.").

See Boender, 649 F.3d at 660 (holding that to determine who made a contribution "we consider the giver to be the source of the gift, not any intermediary who simply conveys the gift from the donor to the donee." (emphasis added)); O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550; Goland v. United States, 903 F.2d 1247, 1251 (9th Cir. 1990) ("The Act prohibits the use of 'conduits' to circumvent . . . [the Act's reporting] restrictions." (quoting then-Section 441f)).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 14

promised as reimbursement of a solicited contribution.³¹ Because the concern of the law is the

2 true source from which a contribution to a candidate or committee originates, we look to the

structure of the transaction itself and the arrangement between the parties to determine who in

4 fact "made" a given contribution.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

5 The Complaint's allegation that LZP was used to make contributions by other persons is

6 supported by the fact that LZP purported to make a \$175,000 contribution in its own name to

Honor PAC two days after Honor PAC was formed and one day after LZP was formed, and by

the lack of public information regarding the LLC's activities or evidence indicating that LZP

could make such a contribution without an infusion of funds provided to it for that purpose.

When an LLC purports to make a political contribution in close temporal proximity to its

formation date, without evidence of activity or any specific information regarding the

provenance of its funds, the circumstances may support a reasonable inference that the LLC was

provided funds for the purpose of making a contribution, *i.e.*, that the LLC was used as a conduit

to mask the identity of the true contributor. However, in determining whether such an inference

is supported, the Commission considers the overall record, including the amount of the

contribution, the LLC's stated purposes and activity, and whether other information, such as the

attribution of the LLC contribution, suggests an attempt to circumvent the Act's disclosure

18 requirements.

²

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 555. Moreover, the "key issue . . . is the source of the funds" and, therefore, the legal status of the funds when conveyed from a conduit to the ultimate recipient is "irrelevant to a determination of who 'made' the contribution for the purposes of [Section 30122]." United States v. Whittemore, 776 F.3d 1074, 1080 (9th Cir. 2015) (holding that defendant's "unconditional gifts" to relatives and employees, along with suggestion they contribute the funds to a specific political committee, violated Section 30122 because the source of the funds remained the individual who provided them to the putative contributors).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 14

I	The record in this matter supports a finding that there is reason to believe that Honor
2	PAC violated the Act when it knowingly accepted contributions in the name of another through
3	LZP. LZP implies that it was provided funds by its purported single member to make the
4	contributions to Honor PAC and does not dispute the assertion that it appears to have engaged in
5	no activity other than making the contributions at issue and appears to have been formed solely
6	to make contributions using the funds of another. The record supports a conclusion that that was,
7	indeed, the case.
8	First, the temporal proximity between LZP's formation and its first contribution to Honor
9	PAC just two days later supports a conclusion that LZP was likely created to effect a
10	contribution, and that LZP was not the true contributor to Honor PAC but was, instead, provided
11	funds for the purpose of making the contribution. LZP has not explained the reason for the
12	LLC's formation, the quick turnaround for making its first political contribution as a newly
13	formed entity, or how the making of that contribution almost immediately after the LLC's
14	organization was consistent with the LLC's organizational purpose or governing documents.
15	The absence of any public information of LZP's activity other than the making of contributions,
16	considered in conjunction with the timing between LZP's creation and first contribution, tend to
17	support a conclusion that funds were directed to LZP for the purpose of making a political
18	contribution.
19	Second, while LZP states that its corporate member had sufficient assets from which to
20	make the contribution to Honor PAC, it does not reveal the identity of that corporate member or
21	describe the origin of those assets. There is no available information concerning the nature of
22	the company, or how its corporate member procured the assets that LZP used for its
23	contributions to Honor PAC totaling \$270,000. Instead, information from the Supplemental

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 10 of 14

1	Complaint reveals that IFN directly controlled LZP's activities, and it is possible that IFN was
2	actually LZP's nonprofit corporate member. IFN's IRS filing states that it owned 100% of LZP
3	and further discloses that it received a \$271,000 contribution from an individual, which could
4	have been the source of LZP's \$270,000 in total contributions to Honor PAC. ³² Indeed, IFN's
5	2018 Form 990 lists LZP's total income as only \$271,000.33 Additionally, in disclosing its
6	"Political campaign activity expenditures" IFN's IRS filing lists contributions to purported 527
7	organizations; however, both of those entities, Honor PAC and Onward Ohio, were actually
8	committees registered with the Commission. ³⁴ In fact, both committees disclosed those
9	contributions in their reports filed with the Commission, albeit Honor PAC listed the
10	contributions as coming from LZP and not IFN. Therefore, we are unable to conclude that those
11	assets were provided to LZP for any other lawful purpose and not for the purpose of making a
12	political contribution. ³⁵
13	Third, the timing of Honor PAC's formation and its first disbursement, in relation to
14	LZP's formation and first contribution, also raises an inference that Honor PAC may have been
15	involved in the alleged conduit contribution scheme. As described above, Honor PAC's
16	registration with the Commission, LZP's registration as an LLC, LZP's first contribution to
17	Honor PAC, and Honor PAC's first independent expenditure all took place within a four-day
18	time period. ³⁶ All of Honor PAC's receipts came exclusively from IFN, through LZP, and the

³² IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5, Schedule B, and Schedule R.

³³ *Id.* at Schedule R.

³⁴ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Without information about LZP's single member we cannot determine whether the funds came from a lawful or prohibited source, such as from a foreign national in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30121.

³⁶ *Supra* at 2.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 11 of 14

- 1 political committee apparently failed to seek information from either entity for the proper
- 2 attribution of any of the contributions on its disclosure reports. These facts support an inference
- 3 that Honor PAC was aware of the true purpose behind LZP's creation, namely, concealing the
- 4 identity of the true contributor.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 5 The available information suggests that LZP's creation was an attempt to circumvent the
- 6 Act's disclosure requirements. Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe
- 7 that Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer violated
- 8 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by knowingly accepting contributions in the name of another.³⁷

2. Attribution of LLC Contributions

Whether or not LZP was provided funds to make the contributions, Honor PAC failed to report the proper attribution of the contributions to LZP's unknown single member. Under the Act, all political committees, including IEOPCs, are required to file periodic disclosure reports with the Commission, which accurately report all contributions received and disbursements made. Commission regulations state that "[a] contribution by an LLC that elects to be treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue Service pursuant to 26 CFR 301.7701-3, *or does not elect treatment as either a partnership or a corporation*, pursuant to that section, shall be considered a contribution from a partnership pursuant to 11 CFR 110.1(e)." Thus,

Although Honor PAC appears to have spent money only on state races, as a federally registered IEOPC Honor PAC must still comply with applicable provisions of the Act and Commission regulations.

³⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), (b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a), (b).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2) (emphasis added). The regulations further specify that a "contribution by an LLC with a single *natural person* member that does not elect to be treated a corporation by the Internal Revenue Service... shall be attributed only to that single member." *Id.* § 110.1(g)(4) (emphasis added); *see also id.* § 110.1(g)(3) (governing contributions by LLCs electing IRS treatment as corporations and LLCs with publicly-traded shares). The current record does not support a finding that LZP is a publicly-traded LLC. *See* 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(3).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 12 of 14

- 1 Revenue Service and does not have a single natural-person member are treated as partnership
- 2 contributions, which must be attributed to both the LLC and its member or members. 40
- 3 Furthermore, when such an LLC makes a contribution, it must affirm to the recipient, at the time
- 4 the LLC makes the contribution that the LLC is eligible to make a contribution and indicate how
- 5 the contribution is to be attributed.⁴¹
- The Commission's regulations concerning the attribution of LLC contributions apply on
- 7 their face to all such LLC contributions irrespective of recipient. 42 The Commission has
- 8 recognized that because LLCs are a product of state law and many states have very minimal LLC
- 9 disclosure requirements, LLCs must affirmatively provide attribution information when making
- 10 political contributions so that the recipient committees can accurately disclose those
- 11 contributions to the public.⁴³
- According to available information, it appears that LZP has a single corporate member
- and has not elected treatment as a corporation. Assuming that LZP is, in fact, a single member
- 14 LLC with a non-natural person member, LZP's contributions totaling \$270,000 to Honor PAC
- are considered, under Commission regulations, as contributions by a partnership. Further, these
- 16 regulations direct that the partnership contribution must be attributed to both the partnership and

⁴⁰ 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e), (g).

⁴¹ 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(5).

See 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1), 30118(a); Treatment of Limited Liability Companies Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, 64 Fed. Reg. 37,397, 37,398 – 37,399 (July 12, 1999) ("LLC E&J") (discussing role of LLC attribution rules in identifying prohibited contributions from foreign national or government contractor sources, concerns that apply to all LLC contributions, including contributions to IEOPCs).

LLC E&J at 37,399 ("The Commission further notes that the recipient committee would have no way of knowing how to attribute a contribution made by an eligible multi-member or single member LLC, unless that information was provided.").

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 13 of 14

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

all partners in proportion to their shares.⁴⁴ Because there would not be multiple partners under

2 the LZP's current organizational structure, the share of the contributions attributable to LZP's

3 single member would be 100%. If, for example, IFN was LZP's single member, then its

4 contribution would have been attributable to IFN. However, LZP did not provide the required

5 attribution information when it made three separate contributions to Honor PAC.

The LLC attribution rules apply on their face to all LLC contributions other than in certain situations not presented here (such as those from LLCs electing corporate treatment or that have publicly-traded shares), without regard to the LLC's membership structure or the tax status of the single member. Indeed, the regulations require an LLC to provide attribution information not only for single natural person member LLCs and LLCs that elect to be treated as a partnership, but also for all LLCs that "[do] not elect treatment as either a partnership or corporation."⁴⁵

Further, in its explanation of the LLC rules, the Commission noted that an LLC's provision of attribution information is necessary so that the recipient may make proper attribution of "a contribution made by an eligible multi-member or single member LLC." The Commission has also noted in the context of advisory opinions dating back to 2004 that an LLC

⁴⁴ See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e), (g).

⁴⁵ 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2).

⁴⁶ LLC E&J at 37,399.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 14 of 14

- 1 with a sole corporate member that has not elected treatment as a corporation would be treated as
- 2 a partnership under Commission regulations.⁴⁷
- Honor PAC states that "there was nothing suspicious on the face" of LZP's March 28,
- 4 2018, contribution, but does not explain why it did not seek attribution information for a
- 5 contribution it received from an LLC, pursuant to Commission regulations, or after it received
- 6 LZP's subsequent contributions on April 6, 2018 and October 19, 2018. This omission is
- 7 pronounced by LZP's status as Honor PAC's sole reported source of contributions.
- 8 The available information indicates that Honor PAC did not seek attribution information
- 9 at the time LZP made its contributions and, as a result, failed to properly attribute the
- 10 contributions to LZP's single nonprofit corporate member in order to accurately report all
- 11 contributions received. Therefore, the Commission finds reason to believe that Honor and
- 12 Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C.
- § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) and (g)(2), by failing to properly report LLC attribution
- information for the \$35,000 contribution that LZP made on October 19, 2018.

Advisory Opinion 2004-42 at 3 (Pharmavite LLC) (noting that LLC with a sole corporate member was considered a "disregarded entity" by the IRS but that "Commission regulations provide that a non-publicly traded LLC that does not affirmatively elect treatment for tax purposes as a corporation is treated by the Commission as a partnership"); Advisory Opinion 2009-14 at 1, 5 (Mercedes-Benz USA LLC) (stating that the LLC, which had a single corporate member, "is treated as a partnership under Commission regulations because it is a non-publicly traded LLC that has not affirmatively elected treatment as a corporation for tax purposes").

Honor PAC Resp. at 2.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	
2	
3	

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENTS: LZP, LLC MUR 7464

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

This matter was generated by Complaints filed with the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, (the "Act") that Unknown Respondents made \$270,000 in contributions in the name of another through LZP, LLC ("LZP"), a newly created LLC, which is a disregarded member of the Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. ("IFN"), a 501(c)(4) organization, to Honor and Principles PAC ("Honor PAC"), a federal independent expenditure-only political committee ("IEOPC"). The events at issue took place over the course of a four-day period: both LZP and Honor PAC were formed in the same week, then LZP made its first contribution to Honor PAC, which subsequently made its first disbursement.

LZP represents that it received the funds for the federal contributions from its sole nonprofit corporate member, but does not provide any details regarding the name of the entity that funded the contributions to Honor PAC, or explain why it did not attribute the contributions to its single member. For the reasons explained below, the Commission finds reason to believe that LZP violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by allowing its name to be used to make contributions in the name of another and violated 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(5) by failing to provide the required attribution information to Honor PAC when making its contributions.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 16

1

II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

2 A. BACKGROUND

- The key events in this matter took place during a four-day period in March 2018. Honor
- 4 PAC registered as an IEOPC with the Commission on March 26, 2018. LZP registered as a
- 5 nonprofit LLC with the state of Ohio on March 27, 2018. LZP made its first contribution in the
- 6 amount of \$175,000, to Honor PAC on March 28, 2018.³ Honor PAC made its first
- disbursements for political advertising in a nonfederal race on March 29, 2018.⁴
- 8 Honor PAC filed reports with the Commission disclosing \$270,000 in receipts for 2018,
- 9 all from LZP: \$175,000 on March 28, 2018, \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$10,000 on April 18,
- 10 2018, and \$35,000 on October 19, 2018.⁵ It has not reported any receipts for 2019 and 2020. Its
- reports also disclose \$252,607.92 in disbursements in 2018: \$24,769.92 for operating
- expenditures (for legal fees and compliance consulting) and \$227,838 for "other disbursements"
- to media vendors for "non-federal-direct mail," "non-federal-media buys," and "non-federal

Honor and Principles PAC, FEC Form 1, *Statement of Organization* (Mar. 26, 2018). As an IEOPC, Honor PAC accepts unlimited contributions from individuals and sources that are otherwise prohibited for authorized campaign committees. *See* Advisory Opinion 2010-11(Commonsense Ten).

See LZP Resp. at 2 (Mar. 11, 2019) (stating that "LZP is treated as a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes"); LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880.

³ See 2018 April Quarterly Report at 6, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018) (disclosing only one receipt for the entire reporting period). According to the Commission's databases, LZP has made federal contributions to only Honor PAC.

⁴ *Id.* at 7 (disclosing only one disbursement for the reporting period).

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018, July 14, 2018, and Dec. 6, 2018). Honor PAC also filed 2018 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports and a 2019 Mid-Year Report but did not disclose any receipts for those time periods.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 16

- 1 voter calls." Honor PAC reported that it made its first disbursement on March 29, 2018, in the
- 2 amount of \$163,838 to Target Enterprises LLC for a "non-federal IE-Media Buy." According
- 3 to the Complaint, Honor PAC paid for an ad attacking Ohio State Representative Larry
- 4 Householder and supporting his opponent, Kevin Black, in the May 8, 2018 primary election for
- 5 that state office. 8 In 2019 and 2020, Honor PAC reported making \$17,392.08 in disbursements
- 6 for legal fees and compliance consulting.⁹
- Based in large part on the proximity between the dates of Honor PAC (March 26) and
- 8 LZP's (March 27) formations, along with the March 28 contribution from LZP and Honor PAC's
- 9 March 29 disbursement to Target Enterprises, the Complaint alleges that LZP made, and Honor
- 10 PAC knowingly accepted, a contribution in the name of another. 10 The Complaint states that
- 11 LZP "does not appear to conduct any business" and has no presence on the internet, and argues
- that it was "virtually impossible that [LZP] generated sufficient income to pay for the

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC is also registered as a SuperPAC with the state of Ohio, but has only filed one report with the state (a Statement of Organization filed on March 29, 2018) and has disclosed no receipts or disbursements. See Honor and Principles PAC (Super PAC) filings, Ohio Sec. of State, https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39_ENTITY_ID.P39_LISTTYPE:14969,simple.

⁷ 2018 April Quarterly Report at 7, Honor and Principles PAC.

See Compl. at 5 (Aug. 9, 2018) (citing, Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2018, 8:37 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336). It appears that Honor PAC paid for at least two other ads supporting Black and advocating the defeat of Householder that aired on April 23 and May 6, 2018. See Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 23, 2018, 10:18 AM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435; Medium Buying, TWITTER (May 6, 2018, 4:43 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153.

See 2019 Mid-Year and Year-End Reports, 2020 April Quarterly Report, and Termination Reports (Jul. 13, 2020, Oct. 15, 2020, and Dec. 4, 2020), Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC's requests to terminate has been denied while this MUR remains pending.

¹⁰ Compl. at 4-5.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 16

- 1 contribution in just one day." Therefore, the Complaint also alleges that Unknown
- 2 Respondents must have provided the \$175,000 to LZP to make the initial contribution to Honor
- 3 PAC and, as a result, LZP acted as a conduit by knowingly permitting its name to be used to
- 4 effect that contribution. 12
- On May 29, 2020, the Complainant filed a Supplement alleging the same activity by IFN,
- 6 a 501(c)(4) organization that controls LZP, and its director, Ray McVeigh. 13 IFN filed articles of
- 7 incorporation with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 13, 2017, listing Ryan as its registered
- 8 agent, but it dissolved on December 31, 2020. 14 IFN's 2018 Form 990 filed with the IRS lists
- 9 LZP as a disregarded entity with a total income of \$271,000 for 2018.¹⁵ The same form also lists
- an unnamed contributor who gave \$271,000 to IFN, which the Supplemental Complaint states
- supports the allegation that LZP's contribution was derived from a single source. 16
- 12 IFN's IRS filing discloses that it made \$1,120,000 in political campaign activity
- expenditures to "other organizations for section 527 exempt function activities," and lists "Honor

¹¹ *Id.* at 1, 5.

¹² *Id.* at 6.

Supp. Compl. at 4 (May 29, 2020) (citing IFN 2018 Form 990 (Nov. 2019) ("IFN 2018 Form 990"), https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6773070-Independence-and-Freedom-Network-Inc-2018-990.html). IFN's Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") form lists McVeigh as its only officer and states that he did not receive any compensation for his work for IFN, which averaged two hours a week. IFN 2018 Form 990 at 7.

See IFN, Articles of Incorporation, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Apr. 13, 2017), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238; IFN, Certificate of Dissolution, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Dec. 31, 2020), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238. Unlike with its articles of incorporation, IFN's certificate of dissolution was not filed by Ryan's law firm. Additionally, it appears that the dissolution was filed in an expedited manner, through payment of an additional expedited service fee.

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5 (answering "Yes" to question 33 asking "Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization"); *id.* at Schedule R (listing LZP as IFN's only disregarded entity and noting that IFN is the "Direct controlling entity").

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 20. The form lists six additional contributions that it received from individuals in the following amounts: \$352,000, \$950,000, \$800,000, \$183,702, \$105,000, and \$275,000.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 16

- and Principles PAC" and "Onward Ohio Inc." as those 527 organizations receiving funds from
- 2 IFN.¹⁷ According to its filing, IFN provided \$270,000 to Honor and Principles PAC and
- 3 \$850,000 to Onward Ohio Inc. 18 On a separate schedule requiring a list of "Grants and Other
- 4 Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States," IFN again lists
- 5 Honor PAC, Onward Ohio Inc., as well as two other groups, MORCC and Security is Strength
- 6 LLC. 19 Onward Ohio is registered as an IEOPC with the Commission and disclosed receiving an
- 7 \$850,000 contribution from IFN on March 16, 2018.²⁰ Honor PAC did not disclose any
- 8 contributions from IFN on its disclosure reports filed with the Commission.
- 9 According to available information, Honor PAC states that its donor form requests
- 10 contributor identification information and "also requires the donor's assurances that the
- 11 contribution, whether personal or corporate, will not be reimbursed by another person or entity."
- 12 Honor PAC apparently did not request information regarding the proper attribution of the
- contribution from the LLC, which was the only contributor it reported.

Security is Strength LLC and MORCC are not listed as 527 organization with the IRS, but there is a Security is Strength PAC registered with the Commission. That PAC has not disclosed contributions from IFN.

¹⁷ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Id. The IRS's Tax Exempt Organization database does not show a record of Honor PAC ever having registered as a 527 organization. See Tax Exempt and Organization Search, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (entering search terms "Honor and Principles" and EIN 82-4933172, the employer identification number listed for Honor PAC on IFN's 2018 Form 990, does not yield any results). There is an "Onward Ohio Policy Institute" registered as a 527 organization with the IRS, but its filings do not list an \$850,000 contribution from IFN. See 2018 Form 990EZ and 2019 Form 990-N, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (reporting \$10,000 in receipts in 2018 and less than \$25,000 in 2019).

¹⁹ IFN 2018 Form 990 at Schedule I. As of the date of this report, IFN has not made any other filings with the IRS.

See 2018 April Quarterly Report, Onward Ohio. During the 2018 election cycle, Onward Ohio disclosed \$877,300 in receipts and \$1,803,448.23 in total disbursements, and an ending cash on hand of \$650.72. The committee terminated in 2020. See Termination Approval Ltr. (Oct. 20, 2020), Onward Ohio. Onward Ohio was also registered as nonprofit corporation with the state of Ohio but its articles of incorporation were canceled in October 2020 for its failure to file a statement of continued existence. See Onward Ohio filings, Ohio Sec. of State https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/2436941 (indicating a cancellation date of Oct. 20, 2020).

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 16

1 In its response, LZP represents that it has a single member that is a 501(c)(4) nonprofit corporation but does not identify this nonprofit corporate member. ²¹ LZP asserts it contributed 2 3 to Honor PAC "using its own assets that are legally indistinguishable from those of its nonprofit corporate member" under Ohio law. 22 It asserts that, under Ohio law, a nonprofit LLC with a 4 5 single nonprofit member shares its assets and liabilities with its single member and need not, therefore, show that it generated any income prior to making a contribution.²³ The response also 6 states that LZP and its single member had "more than enough of such assets to cover the 7 8 contribution to [Honor PAC]," but does not identify the source of LZP's (or its member's) assets.²⁴ LZP further argues that because it and its member had "indistinguishable assets," LZP 9 10 could not have served as a conduit or intermediary to effect a contribution from its member because, under Ohio law, it was required to make the contribution in LZP's own name.²⁵ 11

LZP Resp. at 2. Other than the representation that it has a single nonprofit corporate member, LZP provides no information about itself, such as its organizational purpose or other activities. LZP does not appear to have a website or other online presence and its public profile appears to include only the fact of its contributions to Honor PAC.

²² *Id.* at 3.

Id. at 2 (citing Ohio Rev. Code § 5701.14, a provision of the state's tax code, which provides that "a single member limited liability company that operates with a nonprofit purpose . . . shall be treated as part of the same legal entity as its nonprofit member, and all assets and liabilities of that single member limited liability company shall be considered to be that of the nonprofit member." LZP does not elaborate on its apparent position that a provision that treats all of *the LLC's assets* as those of the LLC's member can be inverted to treat of all of the member's assets as those of the LLC. LZP also does not represent that it had assets other than by asserting rights to the assets of its member.

²⁴ *Id.* at 3.

Id. at 3 (citing Ohio Rev. Code § 1705.34, a provision of the state's corporations code, which states that "[r]eal and personal property owned or purchased by a limited liability company shall be held and owned in the name of the company," and "[c]onveyance of that property shall be made in the name of the company"). LZP does not represent that it "owned or purchased" any property or that it conveyed such property to make the contributions. LZP's response also addresses whether Unknown Respondents made an earmarked contribution, but that issue is not alleged in the Complaint. See id. at 5 (representing that LZP "never received an express or implied, or written or oral instructions or designations from any mysterious 'Unknown Respondent' with respect to the funds it used to make its contributions" to Honor PAC).

MUR746400530

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 16

- 1 LZP has not submitted any additional clarification since being notified of the
- 2 Supplemental Complaint in this matter and has not indicated whether IFN was its nonprofit
- 3 corporate member that provided the assets used to make the contributions to Honor PAC. James
- 4 Ryan is both IFN and LZP's registered agent and, according to publicly available information,
- 5 has been the registered agent for approximately 151 entities in Ohio. 26 During the 2018 and
- 6 2020 election cycles, Ryan registered three nonprofit corporations (IFN, CHTFA, Inc., and
- 7 American Exceptionalism Institute, Inc.) and fifty LLCs, including LZP and Security is Strength
- 8 LLC.²⁷
- 9 Finally, LZP argues that the Commission has never provided any guidance on the
- attribution requirements that would apply to contributions to IEOPCs from a single-member
- 11 nonprofit LLC with a nonprofit corporate member.²⁸ It asserts that none of the Commission's
- regulations regarding LLC attribution apply because LZP had only one member who was not a
- natural person and did not have profits to share amongst a number of individuals.²⁹ LZP

See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880 (listing attorney James G. Ryan of Columbus, OH as its registered agent); Agent or Registrant Name Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov/# (enter "James G. Ryan" in Agent/Registrant search field).

Id. IFN and American Exceptionalism Institute Inc., which Ryan registered and incorporated on the same date, have been the subject of press reports concerning contributions they made to IEOPCs. See, e.g., Eliana Johnson, New ad accuses Paul of siding with terrorists (Apr. 24, 2018), POLITICO, https://www.politico.com/story/2018/04/24/dark-money-group-pressures-paul-cia-haspel-546043 (discussing \$100,000 ad campaign paid for by American Exceptionalism Institute, Inc.) and Jim Provance, "Dark Money" makes way into governor campaigns (Apr. 17, 2018), The BLADE, https://www.toledoblade.com/local/politics/2018/04/17/Dark-money-makes-way-into-governor-campaigns/stories/2018/04/17150 (discussing IFN's \$850,000 contribution to Onward Ohio in 2018). See also Andrew J. Tobias, Dark Money flowing into 2018 Ohio Republican Primary Elections (Apr. 16, 2018), CLEVELAND.COM, https://www.cleveland.com/open/2018/04/dark money flowing into 2018 o.html (discussing IFN and LZP's contributions to IEOPCs).

LZP Resp. at 5-8.

²⁹ *Id.* at 6-7.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 16

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

- 1 requests that the Commission dismiss the Complaint, which it characterizes as a matter of first
- 2 impression, under principles of due process and fair notice.³⁰

B. LEGAL ANALYSIS

1. Contributions in the Name of Another

The Act provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." The term "person" for purposes of the Act and Commission regulations includes partnerships, corporations, and "any other organization or group of persons." The Act prohibits a person from making a contribution in the name of another person, knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution, or knowingly accepting such a contribution. The Commission has included in its regulations illustrations of activities that constitute making a contribution in the name of another:

- (i) Giving money or anything of value, all or part of which was provided to the contributor by another person (the true contributor) without disclosing the source of money or the thing of value to the recipient candidate or committee at the time the contribution is made; or
- (ii) Making a contribution of money or anything of value and attributing as the source of the money or thing of value another person when in fact the contributor is the source.³⁴

The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promotes

Congress's objective of ensuring the complete and accurate disclosure by candidates and

³⁰ *Id.* at 8.

³¹ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A).

³² *Id.* § 30101(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.

³³ 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

³⁴ 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i)–(ii).

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 16

- 1 committees of the political contributions they receive.³⁵ Courts therefore have uniformly
- 2 rejected the assertion that "only the person who actually transmits funds . . . makes the
- 3 contribution,"³⁶ recognizing that "it is implausible that Congress, in seeking to promote
- 4 transparency, would have understood the relevant contributor to be [an] intermediary who
- 5 merely transmitted the campaign gift."³⁷ Consequently, both the Act and the Commission's
- 6 implementing regulations provide that a person who furnishes another with funds for the purpose
- 7 of contributing to a candidate or committee "makes" the resulting contribution. 38 This is true
- 8 whether funds are advanced to another person to make a contribution in that person's name or
- 9 promised as reimbursement of a solicited contribution.³⁹ Because the concern of the law is the
- true source from which a contribution to a candidate or committee originates, we look to the
- structure of the transaction itself and the arrangement between the parties to determine who in
- 12 fact "made" a given contribution.

United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[T]he congressional purpose behind [Section 30122] — to ensure the complete and accurate disclosure of the contributors who finance federal elections — is plain.") (emphasis added); Mariani v. United States, 212 F.3d 761, 775 (3d Cir. 2000) (rejecting constitutional challenge to Section 30122 in light of compelling governmental interest in disclosure).

³⁶ United States v. Boender, 649 F.3d 650, 660 (7th Cir. 2011).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 554; see also Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 371 (2010) ("The First Amendment protects political speech; and disclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages.").

See Boender, 649 F.3d at 660 (holding that to determine who made a contribution "we consider the giver to be the source of the gift, not any intermediary who simply conveys the gift from the donor to the donee." (emphasis added)); O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550; Goland v. United States, 903 F.2d 1247, 1251 (9th Cir. 1990) ("The Act prohibits the use of 'conduits' to circumvent . . . [the Act's reporting] restrictions." (quoting then-Section 441f)).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 555. Moreover, the "key issue . . . is the *source* of the funds" and, therefore, the legal status of the funds when conveyed from a conduit to the ultimate recipient is "irrelevant to a determination of who 'made' the contribution for the purposes of [Section 30122]." *United States v. Whittemore*, 776 F.3d 1074, 1080 (9th Cir. 2015) (holding that defendant's "unconditional gifts" to relatives and employees, along with suggestion they contribute the funds to a specific political committee, violated Section 30122 because the source of the funds remained the individual who provided them to the putative contributors).

The Complaint's allegation that LZP was used to make contributions by other persons is

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 10 of 16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

supported by the fact that LZP purported to make a \$175,000 contribution in its own name to Honor PAC two days after Honor PAC was formed and one day after LZP was formed, and by the lack of public information regarding the LLC's activities or evidence indicating that LZP could make such a contribution without an infusion of funds provided to it for that purpose. When an LLC purports to make a political contribution in close temporal proximity to its formation date, without evidence of activity or any specific information regarding the provenance of its funds, the circumstances may support a reasonable inference that the LLC was provided funds for the purpose of making a contribution, i.e., that the LLC was used as a conduit to mask the identity of the true contributor. However, in determining whether such an inference is supported, the Commission considers the overall record, including the amount of the contribution, the LLC's stated purposes and activity, and whether other information, such as the attribution of the LLC contribution, suggests an attempt to circumvent the Act's disclosure requirements.40 The record in this matter supports a finding that there is reason to believe that LZP violated the Act by knowingly permitting its name to be used to effect contributions in the name of another to Honor PAC. In its response, LZP implies that it was provided funds by its purported single member to make the contributions to Honor PAC. 41 LZP's arguments that state

Code § 1705.34 governs an LLC's conveyance of property it owns or has purchased, but LZP

law entitles, or even requires, it to have made contributions in LZP's name using its member's

funds are not persuasive. The plain language of the state corporate law provision at Ohio Rev.

See also Section II.B.2., *infra*, regarding the proper attribution of LLC contributions.

LZP Resp. at 3.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 11 of 16

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

making a political contribution.

- 1 makes no representations that it owns or has purchased any property. To the extent LZP claims
- 2 ownership over all of its member's property pursuant to the Ohio tax provision at Ohio Rev.
- 3 Code § 5701.14, that provision states on its face that all of the LLC's assets are deemed to be
- 4 those of its nonprofit member but the member's assets are not deemed to be those of the
- 5 nonprofit LLC.⁴² In making its argument, LZP neither addresses nor disputes the assertion that it
- 6 appears to have engaged in no activity other than making the contributions at issue and appears
- 7 to have been formed solely to make contributions using the funds of another. The record
- 8 supports a conclusion that that was, indeed, the case.

First, the temporal proximity between LZP's formation and its first contribution to Honor PAC just two days later supports a conclusion that LZP was likely created to effect a contribution, and that LZP was not the true contributor to Honor PAC but was, instead, provided funds for the purpose of making the contribution. In its response, LZP does not explain the reason for the LLC's formation, the quick turnaround for making its first political contribution as a newly formed entity, or how the making of that contribution almost immediately after the LLC's organization was consistent with the LLC's organizational purpose or governing documents. The absence of any public information of LZP's activity other than the making of contributions, considered in conjunction with the timing between LZP's creation and first contribution, tend to support a conclusion that funds were directed to LZP for the purpose of

The legislative history of the tax provision indicates that it was intended to extend state property tax exemptions available to nonprofit corporations to nonprofit LLCs with single nonprofit corporate members as well as to property conveyed *from a nonprofit LLC to its nonprofit corporate member. See* Ohio Legis. Serv. Comm'n, OH Comm'n Syn., 2007-2008 H.B. 160 ¶ 5 (Feb. 3, 2009); *cf.* I.R.S. Prov. Ltr. Rul. 2001-34-025 (Aug. 24, 2001) (determining that real property debt conveyance to nonprofit LLC with single nonprofit corporate member is entitled to the exempt treatment used by its member because LLC is a tax-disregarded entity and, therefore, the corporate member "treat[s] the operations and finances of the limited liability company as its own").

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 12 of 16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Second, while LZP asserts that its corporate member had sufficient assets from which to make the contribution to Honor PAC, it does not reveal the identity of that corporate member or describe the origin of those assets. In its response, LZP provides no information concerning the nature of the company, or how its corporate member procured the assets that LZP used for its contributions to Honor PAC totaling \$270,000.43 Instead, information from the Supplemental Complaint reveals that IFN directly controlled LZP's activities, and it is possible that IFN was actually LZP's nonprofit corporate member. IFN's IRS filing states that it owned 100% of LZP and further discloses that it received a \$271,000 contribution from an individual, which could have been the source of LZP's \$270,000 in total contributions to Honor PAC.⁴⁴ Indeed, IFN's 2018 Form 990 lists LZP's total income as only \$271,000.⁴⁵ Additionally, in disclosing its "Political campaign activity expenditures" IFN's IRS filing lists contributions to purported 527 organizations; however, both of those entities, Honor PAC and Onward Ohio, were actually committees registered with the Commission. 46 In fact, both committees disclosed those contributions in their reports filed with the Commission, albeit Honor PAC listed the contributions as coming from LZP and not IFN. Therefore, we are unable to conclude that those

In contrast, in other matters, LLCs have provided detailed statements describing the nature of their activity, source of income and assets and averring that the funds held were not provided for the purpose of making political contributions. *See*, *e.g.*, MUR 6930 (Michel) (recommending no reason to believe based on, *inter alia*, detailed affidavit concerning source of LLC's assets and purpose of LLC provided to rebut the allegations); *but see* Indictment, *United States v. Prakazrel Michel et al.*, Case No. 1:19-CR-148 (D.D.C. May 3, 2019).

⁴⁴ IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5, Schedule B, and Schedule R.

⁴⁵ *Id.* at Schedule R.

⁴⁶ *Id.* at Schedule C.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 13 of 16

1 assets were provided to LZP for any other lawful purpose and not for the purpose of making a

2 political contribution.⁴⁷

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Third, the timing of Honor PAC's formation and its first disbursement, in relation to

LZP's formation and first contribution, also raises an inference that the IEOPC may have been

involved in the alleged conduit contribution scheme. As described above, Honor PAC's

6 registration with the Commission, LZP's registration as an LLC, LZP's first contribution to

7 Honor PAC, and Honor PAC's first independent expenditure all took place within a four-day

8 time period. 48 All of Honor PAC's receipts came exclusively from IFN, through LZP, and the

political committee apparently failed to seek information from IFN or LZP for the proper

attribution of any of LZP's contributions on its disclosure reports. These facts support an

inference that Honor PAC was aware of the true purpose behind LZP's creation, namely,

12 concealing the identity of the true contributor.

The available information suggests that LZP's creation was an attempt to circumvent the Act's disclosure requirements. Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe that LZP, LLC violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by allowing its name to be used to make contributions in the name of another.

2. Attribution of LLC Contributions

Whether or not LZP was provided funds to make the contribution, it failed to provide to Honor PAC the proper attribution of the contributions to LZP's unknown single member. Under the Act, all political committees, including IEOPCs, are required to file periodic disclosure

Without information about LZP's single member we cannot determine whether the funds came from a lawful or prohibited source, such as from a foreign national in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30121.

Supra at 2.

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 14 of 16

- 1 reports with the Commission, which accurately report all contributions received and
- disbursements made.⁴⁹ Commission regulations state that "[a] contribution by an LLC that elects
- 3 to be treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue Service pursuant to 26 CFR 301.7701-3, or
- 4 does not elect treatment as either a partnership or a corporation, pursuant to that section, shall
- 5 be considered a contribution from a partnership pursuant to 11 CFR 110.1(e)."50 Thus,
- 6 contributions by an LLC that does not elect to be treated as a corporation by the Internal
- 7 Revenue Service and does not have a single natural-person member are treated as partnership
- 8 contributions, which must be attributed to both the LLC and its member or members. 51
- 9 Furthermore, when such an LLC makes a contribution, it must affirm to the recipient, at the time
- 10 the LLC makes the contribution that the LLC is eligible to make a contribution and indicate how
- 11 the contribution is to be attributed.⁵²
- The Commission's regulations concerning the attribution of LLC contributions apply on
- their face to all such LLC contributions irrespective of recipient.⁵³ The Commission has
- 14 recognized that because LLCs are a product of state law and many states have very minimal LLC
- disclosure requirements, LLCs must affirmatively provide attribution information when making

⁴⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), (b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a), (b).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2) (emphasis added). The regulations further specify that a "contribution by an LLC with a single *natural person* member that does not elect to be treated a corporation by the Internal Revenue Service... shall be attributed only to that single member." *Id.* § 110.1(g)(4) (emphasis added); *see also id.* § 110.1(g)(3) (governing contributions by LLCs electing IRS treatment as corporations and LLCs with publicly-traded shares). The current record does not support a finding that LZP is a publicly-traded LLC. *See* 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(3).

⁵¹ 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e), (g).

⁵² 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(5).

See 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1), 30118(a); Treatment of Limited Liability Companies Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, 64 Fed. Reg. 37,397, 37,398 – 37,399 (July 12, 1999) ("LLC E&J") (discussing role of LLC attribution rules in identifying prohibited contributions from foreign national or government contractor sources, concerns that apply to all LLC contributions, including contributions to IEOPCs).

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 15 of 16

1 political contributions so that the recipient committees can accurately disclose those

2 contributions to the public.⁵⁴

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

3 LZP asserts it has a single corporate member and has not elected treatment as a

4 corporation. Assuming that LZP is, in fact, a single member LLC with a non-natural person

member, LZP's contributions totaling \$270,000 to Honor PAC are considered, under

6 Commission regulations, as contributions by a partnership. Further, these regulations direct that

the partnership contribution must be attributed to both the partnership and all partners in

8 proportion to their shares.⁵⁵ Because there would not be multiple partners under the LZP's

current organizational structure, the share of the contributions attributable to LZP's single

member would be 100%. If, for example, IFN was LZP's single member, then its contribution

would have been attributable to IFN. However, LZP did not provide the required attribution

information when it made three separate contributions to Honor PAC and also did not provide

that information in response to the Complaint in this matter.

LZP argues that because the Commission's LLC regulations do not specifically address

15 LLCs comprised of a single nonprofit corporate member, then the regulations do not apply or, in

the alternative, that the Commission should dismiss the matter as a matter of discretion.⁵⁶ These

arguments are not persuasive because the LLC attribution rules apply on their face to all LLC

contributions other than in certain situations not presented here (such as those from LLCs

LLC E&J at 37,399 ("The Commission further notes that the recipient committee would have no way of knowing how to attribute a contribution made by an eligible multi-member or single member LLC, unless that information was provided.").

⁵⁵ See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e), (g).

LZP Resp. at 5-7. LZP argues that as a nonprofit LLC with a nonprofit corporate member, LZP's member (unlike a for-profit corporate member) has no partnership "profits" by which to measure the partner's share. LZP Resp. at 6-7 (citing 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e), concerning attribution per partner's "share of the partnership profits").

MUR 7464 (LZP, LLC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 16 of 16

- 1 electing corporate treatment or that have publicly-traded shares), without regard to the LLC's
- 2 membership structure or the tax status of the single member. Indeed, the regulations require an
- 3 LLC to provide attribution information not only for single natural person member LLCs and
- 4 LLCs that elect to be treated as a partnership, but also for all LLCs that "[do] not elect treatment
- 5 as either a partnership or corporation."57
- 6 LZP's argument that this is a case of first impression, and that it should not, therefore, be
- 7 subject to treatment under partnership attribution rules, is unpersuasive. In its explanation of the
- 8 LLC rules, the Commission noted that an LLC's provision of attribution information is necessary
- 9 so that the recipient may make proper attribution of "a contribution made by an eligible
- multi-member or single member LLC."58 The Commission has also noted in the context of
- advisory opinions dating back to 2004 that an LLC with a sole corporate member that has not
- 12 elected treatment as a corporation would be treated as a partnership under Commission
- 13 regulations.⁵⁹
- 14 The available information indicates that LZP failed to provide attribution information to
- Honor PAC at the time it made its contributions, as required under 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(5).
- 16 Therefore, the Commission finds reason to believe LZP, LLC violated 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(5)
- by failing to provide attribution information for the \$35,000 contribution it made to Honor PAC
- 18 on October 19, 2018.

⁵⁷ 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2).

⁵⁸ LLC E&J at 37,399.

Advisory Opinion 2004-42 at 3 (Pharmavite LLC) (noting that LLC with a sole corporate member was considered a "disregarded entity" by the IRS but that "Commission regulations provide that a non-publicly traded LLC that does not affirmatively elect treatment for tax purposes as a corporation is treated by the Commission as a partnership"); Advisory Opinion 2009-14 at 1, 5 (Mercedes-Benz USA LLC) (stating that the LLC, which had a single corporate member, "is treated as a partnership under Commission regulations because it is a non-publicly traded LLC that has not affirmatively elected treatment as a corporation for tax purposes").

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
4 5	RESPONDENTS: Unknown Respondents	MUR 7464	
6 7	I. INTRODUCTION		
8 9	This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Elec	tion Commission	
10	(the "Commission") alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as		
11	amended, (the "Act") that Unknown Respondents made \$270,000 in contributions in the name of		
12	another through LZP, LLC ("LZP"), a newly created LLC, which is a disregarded member of the		
13	Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. ("IFN"), a 501(c)(4) organization, to Honor and		
14	Principles PAC ("Honor PAC"), a federal independent expenditure-only political committee		
15	("IEOPC"). The events at issue took place over the course of a four-day peri	od: both LZP and	
16	Honor PAC were formed in the same week, then LZP made its first contribut	tion to Honor PAC,	
17	which subsequently made its first disbursement.		
18	LZP represents that it received the funds for the federal contributions	from its sole	

LZP represents that it received the funds for the federal contributions from its sole nonprofit corporate member, but does not provide any details regarding the name of the entity that funded the contributions to Honor PAC. For the reasons explained below, the Commission finds reason to believe that Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by making, and allowing LZP's name to be used to make, contributions in the name of another.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 11

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2 A. Background

1

- The key events in this matter took place during a four-day period in March 2018. Honor
- 4 PAC registered as an IEOPC with the Commission on March 26, 2018. LZP registered as a
- 5 nonprofit LLC with the state of Ohio on March 27, 2018. LZP made its first contribution in the
- 6 amount of \$175,000, to Honor PAC on March 28, 2018.³ Honor PAC made its first
- disbursements for political advertising in a nonfederal race on March 29, 2018.⁴
- 8 Honor PAC filed reports with the Commission disclosing \$270,000 in receipts for 2018,
- 9 all from LZP: \$175,000 on March 28, 2018, \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$10,000 on April 18,
- 10 2018, and \$35,000 on October 19, 2018.⁵ It has not reported any receipts for 2019 and 2020. Its
- reports also disclose \$252,607.92 in disbursements in 2018: \$24,769.92 for operating
- expenditures (for legal fees and compliance consulting) and \$227,838 for "other disbursements"
- to media vendors for "non-federal-direct mail," "non- federal-media buys," and "non- federal

Honor and Principles PAC, FEC Form 1, *Statement of Organization* (Mar. 26, 2018). As an IEOPC, Honor PAC accepts unlimited contributions from individuals and sources that are otherwise prohibited for authorized campaign committees. *See* Advisory Opinion 2010-11(Commonsense Ten).

² See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880.

³ See 2018 April Quarterly Report at 6, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018) (disclosing only one receipt for the entire reporting period). According to the Commission's databases, LZP has made federal contributions to only Honor PAC.

⁴ *Id.* at 7 (disclosing only one disbursement for the reporting period).

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018, July 14, 2018, and Dec. 6, 2018). Honor PAC also filed 2018 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports and a 2019 Mid-Year Report but did not disclose any receipts for those time periods.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 11

- 1 voter calls." Honor PAC reported that it made its first disbursement on March 29, 2018, in the
- 2 amount of \$163,838 to Target Enterprises LLC for a "non federal IE-Media Buy." According
- 3 to the Complaint, Honor PAC paid for an ad attacking Ohio State Representative Larry
- 4 Householder and supporting his opponent, Kevin Black, in the May 8, 2018 primary election for
- 5 that state office. 8 In 2019 and 2020, Honor PAC reported making \$17,392.08 in disbursements
- 6 for legal fees and compliance consulting.⁹
- Based in large part on the proximity between the dates of Honor PAC (March 26) and
- 8 LZP's (March 27) formations, along with the March 28 contribution from LZP and Honor PAC's
- 9 March 29 disbursement to Target Enterprises, the Complaint alleges that LZP made, and Honor
- 10 PAC knowingly accepted, a contribution in the name of another. 10 The Complaint states that
- 11 LZP "does not appear to conduct any business" and has no presence on the internet, and argues
- that it was "virtually impossible that [LZP] generated sufficient income to pay for the

²⁰¹⁸ April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC is also registered as a SuperPAC with the state of Ohio, but has only filed one report with the state (a Statement of Organization filed on March 29, 2018) and has disclosed no receipts or disbursements. *See* Honor and Principles PAC (Super PAC) filings, Ohio Sec. of State, https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39_ENTITY_ID,P39_LISTTYPE:14969.simple.

⁷ 2018 April Quarterly Report at 7, Honor and Principles PAC.

See Compl. at 5 (Aug. 9, 2018) (citing, Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2018, 8:37 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336). It appears that Honor PAC paid for at least two other ads supporting Black and advocating the defeat of Householder that aired on April 23 and May 6, 2018. See Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 23, 2018, 10:18 AM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435; Medium Buying, TWITTER (May 6, 2018, 4:43 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153.

See 2019 Mid-Year and Year-End Reports, 2020 April Quarterly Report, and Termination Reports (Jul. 13, 2020, Oct. 15, 2020, and Dec. 4, 2020), Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC's requests to terminate has been denied while this MUR remains pending.

¹⁰ Compl. at 4-5.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 11

- 1 contribution in just one day." Therefore, the Complaint also alleges that Unknown
- 2 Respondents must have provided the \$175,000 to LZP to make the initial contribution to Honor
- 3 PAC and, as a result, LZP acted as a conduit by knowingly permitting its name to be used to
- 4 effect that contribution.¹²
- On May 29, 2020, the Complainant filed a Supplement alleging the same activity by IFN,
- 6 a 501(c)(4) organization that controls LZP, and its director, Ray McVeigh. 13 IFN filed articles of
- 7 incorporation with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 13, 2017, listing Ryan as its registered
- 8 agent, but it dissolved on December 31, 2020. 14 IFN's 2018 Form 990 filed with the IRS lists
- 9 LZP as a disregarded entity with a total income of \$271,000 for 2018. The same form also lists
- an unnamed contributor who gave \$271,000 to IFN, which the Supplemental Complaint states
- supports the allegation that LZP's contribution was derived from a single source. 16
- 12 IFN's IRS filing discloses that it made \$1,120,000 in political campaign activity
- expenditures to "other organizations for section 527 exempt function activities," and lists "Honor

¹¹ *Id.* at 1, 5.

¹² *Id.* at 6.

Supp. Compl. at 4 (May 29, 2020) (citing IFN 2018 Form 990 (Nov. 2019) ("IFN 2018 Form 990"), https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6773070-Independence-and-Freedom-Network-Inc-2018-990.html). IFN's Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") form lists McVeigh as its only officer and states that he did not receive any compensation for his work for IFN, which averaged two hours a week. IFN 2018 Form 990 at 7.

See IFN, Articles of Incorporation, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Apr. 13, 2017), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238; IFN, Certificate of Dissolution, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Dec. 31, 2020), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238. Unlike with its articles of incorporation, IFN's certificate of dissolution was not filed by Ryan's law firm. Additionally, it appears that the dissolution was filed in an expedited manner, through payment of an additional expedited service fee.

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5 (answering "Yes" to question 33 asking "Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization"); *id.* at Schedule R (listing LZP as IFN's only disregarded entity and noting that IFN is the "Direct controlling entity").

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 20. The form lists six additional contributions that it received from individuals in the following amounts: \$352,000, \$950,000, \$800,000, \$183,702, \$105,000, and \$275,000.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 11

- and Principles PAC" and "Onward Ohio Inc." as those 527 organizations receiving funds from
- 2 IFN.¹⁷ According to its filing, IFN provided \$270,000 to Honor and Principles PAC and
- 3 \$850,000 to Onward Ohio Inc. 18 On a separate schedule requiring a list of "Grants and Other
- 4 Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States," IFN again lists
- 5 Honor PAC, Onward Ohio Inc., as well as two other groups, MORCC and Security is Strength
- 6 LLC. 19 Onward Ohio is registered as an IEOPC with the Commission and disclosed receiving an
- 7 \$850,000 contribution from IFN on March 16, 2018.²⁰ Honor PAC did not disclose any
- 8 contributions from IFN on its disclosure reports filed with the Commission.
- 9 LZP has not indicated whether IFN was its nonprofit corporate member that provided the
- assets used to make the contributions to Honor PAC. James Ryan is both IFN and LZP's
- registered agent and, according to publicly available information, has been the registered agent

Security is Strength LLC and MORCC are not listed as 527 organization with the IRS, but there is a Security is Strength PAC registered with the Commission. That PAC has not disclosed contributions from IFN.

¹⁷ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Id. The IRS's Tax Exempt Organization database does not show a record of Honor PAC ever having registered as a 527 organization. See Tax Exempt and Organization Search, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (entering search terms "Honor and Principles" and EIN 82-4933172, the employer identification number listed for Honor PAC on IFN's 2018 Form 990, does not yield any results). There is an "Onward Ohio Policy Institute" registered as a 527 organization with the IRS, but its filings do not list an \$850,000 contribution from IFN. See 2018 Form 990EZ and 2019 Form 990-N, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (reporting \$10,000 in receipts in 2018 and less than \$25,000 in 2019).

¹⁹ IFN 2018 Form 990 at Schedule I. As of the date of this report, IFN has not made any other filings with the IRS.

See 2018 April Quarterly Report, Onward Ohio. During the 2018 election cycle, Onward Ohio disclosed \$877,300 in receipts and \$1,803,448.23 in total disbursements, and an ending cash on hand of \$650.72. The committee terminated in 2020. See Termination Approval Ltr. (Oct. 20, 2020), Onward Ohio. Onward Ohio was also registered as nonprofit corporation with the state of Ohio but its articles of incorporation were canceled in October 2020 for its failure to file a statement of continued existence. See Onward Ohio filings, Ohio Sec. of State https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/2436941 (indicating a cancellation date of Oct. 20, 2020).

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 11

- 1 for approximately 151 entities in Ohio. 21 During the 2018 and 2020 election cycles, Ryan
- 2 registered three nonprofit corporations (IFN, CHTFA, Inc., and American Exceptionalism
- 3 Institute, Inc.) and fifty LLCs, including LZP and Security is Strength LLC.²²

B. Legal Analysis

4

- 5 The Act provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or
- 6 deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any
- 7 election for Federal office."²³ The term "person" for purposes of the Act and Commission
- 8 regulations includes partnerships, corporations, and "any other organization or group of
- 9 persons."²⁴ The Act prohibits a person from making a contribution in the name of another
- person, knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution, or
- 11 knowingly accepting such a contribution.²⁵ The Commission has included in its regulations
- 12 illustrations of activities that constitute making a contribution in the name of another:
- 13 (i) Giving money or anything of value, all or part of which was provided to the contributor by another person (the true contributor) without disclosing

See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880 (listing attorney James G. Ryan of Columbus, OH as its registered agent); Agent or Registrant Name Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov/# (enter "James G. Ryan" in Agent/Registrant search field).

IFN and American Exceptionalism Institute Inc., which Ryan registered and incorporated on the same date, have been the subject of press reports concerning contributions they made to IEOPCs. *See, e.g.*, Eliana Johnson, *New ad accuses Paul of siding with terrorists* (Apr. 24, 2018), POLITICO, https://www.politico.com/story/2018/04/24/dark-money-group-pressures-paul-cia-haspel-546043 (discussing \$100,000 ad campaign paid for by American Exceptionalism Institute, Inc.) and Jim Provance, "*Dark Money*" makes way into governor campaigns (Apr. 17, 2018), THE BLADE, https://www.toledoblade.com/local/politics/2018/04/17/Dark-money-makes-way-into-governor-campaigns/stories/20180417150 (discussing IFN's \$850,000 contribution to Onward Ohio in 2018). *See also* Andrew J. Tobias, *Dark Money flowing into 2018 Ohio Republican Primary Elections* (Apr. 16, 2018), CLEVELAND.COM, https://www.cleveland.com/open/2018/04/dark_money_flowing_into_2018_o.html (discussing IFN and LZP's contributions to IEOPCs).

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A).

Id. § 30101(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.

²⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 11

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

the source of money or the thing of value to the recipient candidate or committee at the time the contribution is made; or

(ii) Making a contribution of money or anything of value and attributing as the source of the money or thing of value another person when in fact the contributor is the source.²⁶

The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promotes

Congress's objective of ensuring the complete and accurate disclosure by candidates and
committees of the political contributions they receive.²⁷ Courts therefore have uniformly
rejected the assertion that "only the person who actually transmits funds . . . makes the
contribution,"²⁸ recognizing that "it is implausible that Congress, in seeking to promote
transparency, would have understood the relevant contributor to be [an] intermediary who
merely transmitted the campaign gift."²⁹ Consequently, both the Act and the Commission's
implementing regulations provide that a person who furnishes another with funds for the purpose
of contributing to a candidate or committee "makes" the resulting contribution.³⁰ This is true
whether funds are advanced to another person to make a contribution in that person's name or

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i)–(ii).

United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[T]he congressional purpose behind [Section 30122] — to ensure the complete and accurate disclosure of the contributors who finance federal elections — is plain.") (emphasis added); Mariani v. United States, 212 F.3d 761, 775 (3d Cir. 2000) (rejecting constitutional challenge to Section 30122 in light of compelling governmental interest in disclosure).

²⁸ United States v. Boender, 649 F.3d 650, 660 (7th Cir. 2011).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 554; see also Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 371 (2010) ("The First Amendment protects political speech; and disclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages.").

See Boender, 649 F.3d at 660 (holding that to determine who made a contribution "we consider the giver to be the source of the gift, not any intermediary who simply conveys the gift from the donor to the donee." (emphasis added)); O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550; Goland v. United States, 903 F.2d 1247, 1251 (9th Cir. 1990) ("The Act prohibits the use of 'conduits' to circumvent . . . [the Act's reporting] restrictions." (quoting then-Section 441f)).

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 11

promised as reimbursement of a solicited contribution.³¹ Because the concern of the law is the

2 true source from which a contribution to a candidate or committee originates, we look to the

structure of the transaction itself and the arrangement between the parties to determine who in

4 fact "made" a given contribution.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

5 The Complaint's allegation that LZP was used to make contributions by other persons is

supported by the fact that LZP purported to make a \$175,000 contribution in its own name to

Honor PAC two days after Honor PAC was formed and one day after LZP was formed, and by

the lack of public information regarding the LLC's activities or evidence indicating that LZP

could make such a contribution without an infusion of funds provided to it for that purpose.

When an LLC purports to make a political contribution in close temporal proximity to its

formation date, without evidence of activity or any specific information regarding the

provenance of its funds, the circumstances may support a reasonable inference that the LLC was

provided funds for the purpose of making a contribution, i.e., that the LLC was used as a conduit

to mask the identity of the true contributor. However, in determining whether such an inference

is supported, the Commission considers the overall record, including the amount of the

contribution, the LLC's stated purposes and activity, and whether other information, such as the

attribution of the LLC contribution, suggests an attempt to circumvent the Act's disclosure

18 requirements.

_

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 555. Moreover, the "key issue . . . is the source of the funds" and, therefore, the legal status of the funds when conveyed from a conduit to the ultimate recipient is "irrelevant to a determination of who 'made' the contribution for the purposes of [Section 30122]." United States v. Whittemore, 776 F.3d 1074, 1080 (9th Cir. 2015) (holding that defendant's "unconditional gifts" to relatives and employees, along with suggestion they contribute the funds to a specific political committee, violated Section 30122 because the source of the funds remained the individual who provided them to the putative contributors).

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 11

1	The record in this matter supports a finding that there is reason to believe that Unknown	
2	Respondents violated the Act's prohibition against contributions in the name of another by	
3	making contributions through LZP to Honor PAC. LZP implies that it was provided funds by its	
4	purported single member to make the contributions to Honor PAC and does not dispute the	
5	assertion that it appears to have engaged in no activity other than making the contributions at	
6	issue and appears to have been formed solely to make contributions using the funds of another.	
7	The record supports a conclusion that that was, indeed, the case.	
8	First, the temporal proximity between LZP's formation and its first contribution to Honor	
9	PAC just two days later supports a conclusion that LZP was likely created to effect a	
10	contribution, and that LZP was not the true contributor to Honor PAC but was, instead, provided	
11	funds for the purpose of making the contribution. LZP has not explained the reason for the	
12	LLC's formation, the quick turnaround for making its first political contribution as a newly	
13	formed entity, or how the making of that contribution almost immediately after the LLC's	
14	organization was consistent with the LLC's organizational purpose or governing documents.	
15	The absence of any public information of LZP's activity other than the making of contributions,	
16	considered in conjunction with the timing between LZP's creation and first contribution, tend to	
17	support a conclusion that funds were directed to LZP for the purpose of making a political	
18	contribution.	
19	Second, while LZP states that its corporate member had sufficient assets from which to	
20	make the contribution to Honor PAC, it does not reveal the identity of that corporate member or	
21	describe the origin of those assets. There is no available information concerning the nature of	
22	ne company, or how its corporate member procured the assets that LZP used for its	
23	contributions to Honor PAC totaling \$270,000. Instead, information from the Supplemental	

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 10 of 11

Complaint reveals that IFN directly controlled LZP's activities, and it is possible that IFN was 1 2 actually LZP's nonprofit corporate member. IFN's IRS filing states that it owned 100% of LZP and further discloses that it received a \$271,000 contribution from an individual, which could 3 have been the source of LZP's \$270,000 in total contributions to Honor PAC.³² Indeed, IFN's 4 2018 Form 990 lists LZP's total income as only \$271,000.33 Additionally, in disclosing its 5 6 "Political campaign activity expenditures" IFN's IRS filing lists contributions to purported 527 7 organizations; however, both of those entities, Honor PAC and Onward Ohio, were actually committees registered with the Commission.³⁴ In fact, both committees disclosed those 8 9 contributions in their reports filed with the Commission, albeit Honor PAC listed the 10 contributions as coming from LZP and not IFN. Therefore, we are unable to conclude that those 11 assets were provided to LZP for any other lawful purpose and not for the purpose of making a political contribution.³⁵ 12 13 Third, the timing of Honor PAC's formation and its first disbursement, in relation to 14 LZP's formation and first contribution, also raises an inference that the IEOPC may have been 15 involved in the alleged conduit contribution scheme. As described above, Honor PAC's registration with the Commission, LZP's registration as an LLC, LZP's first contribution to 16 Honor PAC, and Honor PAC's first independent expenditure all took place within a four-day 17 time period.³⁶ All of Honor PAC's receipts came exclusively from IFN, through LZP, and the 18

³² IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5, Schedule B, and Schedule R.

³³ *Id.* at Schedule R.

³⁴ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Without information about LZP's single member we cannot determine whether the funds came from a lawful or prohibited source, such as from a foreign national in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30121.

³⁶ Supra at 2.

MUR746400550

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 11 of 11

- 1 political committee apparently failed to seek information from either entity for the proper
- 2 attribution of any of the contributions on its disclosure reports. These facts support an inference
- 3 that Honor PAC was aware of the true purpose behind LZP's creation, namely, concealing the
- 4 identity of the true contributor.
- 5 The available information suggests that LZP's creation was an attempt to circumvent the
- 6 Act's disclosure requirements. Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe
- 7 that Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by making,, and allowing LZP's name to
- 8 be used to make, contributions in the name of another.