

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

May 4, 2022

VIA EXPRESS CERTIFIED MAIL

Melissa McNulty Ohio Works 2931 E. Dublin Granville Road, Ste. 190 Columbus, OH 43231

RE: MUR 7464

Dear Ms. McNulty:

The Federal Election Commission ("Commission") is the regulatory agency that administers and enforces the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). Based on a complaint filed by Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Noah Bookbinder, the Commission on May 20, 2021, found reason to believe that Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by making contributions in the name of another in relation to contributions reported as having been made by LZP, LLC to Honor PAC on the following dates and in the following amounts: \$175,000 on March 28, 2018; \$50,000 on April 6, 2018; \$10,000 on April 18, 2018; and \$35,000 on October 19, 2018. The Complaint and the Factual and Legal Analysis, which formed the basis for the Commission's finding, is attached for your information.

The Commission authorized the Office of the General Counsel to conduct an investigation concerning the original source of the funds in question. During our investigation thus far, we have obtained information indicating that Ohio Works may be one of the Unknown Respondents as to which the Commission made findings. It appears that Ohio Works provided the following amounts to Independence and Freedom Network Inc. ("IFN"): \$30,000 on March 7, 2018; \$200,000 on March 28, 2018; \$120,000 on April 6, 2018; and \$2,000 on May 7, 2018. It further appears that a portion of the funds provided by Ohio Works to IFN were then transferred to LZP and used to make the contributions to Honor PAC at issue in this matter. We are continuing to conduct an investigation into this matter, after which we will prepare to make a recommendation to the Commission. Prior to making our recommendation, we offer a respondent an opportunity to provide in writing a response to the Complaint and the Commission's Factual and Legal Analysis. Should you choose to respond, you may also submit any materials — including documents or affidavits from persons with relevant knowledge — that you believe may be relevant or useful to the Commission's consideration of this matter. Your submission, if you choose to make one, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter.

MUR 7464 Ms. McNulty, Registered Agent for Ohio Works Page 2

You should address any response to the Office of the General Counsel, and the response should reference MUR 7464.

Please note that you have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519. This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4)(B) and 30109(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. Please be advised that, although the Commission cannot disclose information regarding an investigation to the public, it may share information on a confidential basis with other law enforcement agencies. ¹

For your information, enforcement procedures and options are discussed in the Commission's "Guidebook for Complainants and Respondents on the FEC Enforcement Process," which is available on the Commission's website at http://www.fec.gov/em/respondent guide.pdf.

If you have any questions, please contact Aaron Rabinowitz, the attorney handling this matter, at (202) 694-1476 or arabinowitz@fec.gov.

Sincerely,

Charles Kitcher

Charles Kitcher

Associate General Counsel for

Enforcement

Enclosures:

Complaint

Factual and Legal Analysis

The Commission has the statutory authority to refer knowing and willful violations of the Act to the Department of Justice for potential criminal prosecution, 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(C), and to report information regarding violations of law not within its jurisdiction to appropriate law enforcement authorities. *Id.* § 30107(a)(9).

MUR746400234

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

2018 ANG -9 PM 2: 11

MUR 7464

In the matter of:

LZP, LLC

Honor and Principles PAC Lisa Lisker, as treasurer, Honor and Principles PAC Unknown Respondent (or Respondents)

COMPLAINT

1. Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington ("CREW") and Noah Bookbinder bring this complaint before the Federal Election Commission ("FEC") seeking an immediate investigation and enforcement action against LZP, LLC, Honor and Principles PAC, its treasurer, Lisa Lisker, and an Unknown Respondent (or Respondents) for direct and serious violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act ("FECA").

Summary

2. The FECA prohibits making and knowingly accepting a contribution in the name of another person, as well as knowingly permitting one's name to be used to effect a contribution in the name of another person. This complaint concerns a remarkable four-day period in late March 2018 in which a conduit contribution scheme that violated the FECA seemingly took place. Those four days started with the formation of a federal super PAC called Honor and Principles PAC, followed the next day by the establishment in Ohio of a nonprofit limited liability company, LZP, LLC ("LZP"). The day after that, LZP made a \$175,000 contribution to Honor and Principles PAC, even though it has no known business activity and it is virtually impossible that it generated sufficient income to pay for the contribution in just one day.

Completing the cycle, the day after Honor and Principles PAC received the money (the only contribution it has ever reported), it spent \$163,838 on an independent expenditure advertisement criticizing an Ohio state representative and endorsing his primary opponent. This appears to be a prototypical example of a conduit contribution scheme in which an Unknown Respondent (or Unknown Respondents) used LZP as a conduit to make a contribution to Honor and Principles PAC, which then spent the money on political ads. These apparent violations of law deprive the public of important information and should be investigated.

Complainants

- 3. Complainant CREW is a non-profit corporation, organized under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. CREW is committed to protecting the right of citizens to be informed about the activities of government officials and to ensuring the integrity of government officials. CREW is dedicated to empowering citizens to have an influential voice in government decisions and in the governmental decision-making process. CREW uses a combination of research, litigation, and advocacy to advance its mission.
- 4. In furtherance of its mission, CREW seeks to expose unethical and illegal conduct of those involved in government. One way CREW does this is by educating citizens regarding the integrity of the electoral process and our system of government. Toward this end, CREW monitors the campaign finance activities of those who run for federal office and those who make expenditures to influence federal elections, and publicizes those who violate federal campaign finance laws through its website, press releases, and other methods of distribution. CREW also files complaints with the FEC when it discovers violations of the FECA. Publicizing campaign finance violations and filing complaints with the FEC serves CREW's mission of keeping the

public informed about individuals and entities that violate campaign finance laws and deterring future violations of campaign finance law.

- 5. In order to assess whether an individual or entity is complying with federal campaign finance law, CREW needs the information contained in disclosure reports political committees must file pursuant to the FECA, 52 U.S.C. § 30104; 11 C.F.R. § 104.1 *et seq*. CREW is hindered in its programmatic activity when an individual or entity fails to disclose campaign finance information in reports required by the FECA.
- 6. CREW relies on the FEC's proper administration of the FECA's reporting requirements because the FECA-mandated disclosure reports are the only source of information CREW can use to determine if an individual or entity is complying with the FECA. The proper administration of the FECA's reporting requirements includes mandating that all disclosure reports required by the FECA are properly and timely filed with the FEC. CREW is hindered in its programmatic activity when the FEC fails to properly administer the FECA's reporting requirements.
- 7. Complainant Noah Bookbinder is the executive director of Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington. At all times relevant to the complaint, he has been and remains a citizen of the United States and a registered voter and resident of Maryland. As a registered voter, Mr. Bookbinder is entitled to receive information contained in disclosure reports required by the FECA, 52 U.S.C. § 30104; 11 C.F.R. § 104.1 *et seq.* Mr. Bookbinder is harmed when an individual, candidate, political committee, or other entity fails to report campaign finance activity as required by the FECA. Mr. Bookbinder is further harmed when the FEC fails

to properly administer the FECA's reporting requirements, limiting his ability to review campaign finance information.

Respondents

- 8. LZP, LLC is a Domestic Nonprofit Limited Liability Company organized and registered in Ohio. LZP, LLC, Articles of Organization for a Domestic Limited Liability Company, https://bit.ly/2HCJKAy (attached as Exhibit A). LZP was formed and/or incorporated on March 27, 2018. *Id.* It is not known if LZP conducts any business or has generated any income.
- 9. Honor and Principles PAC is an independent expenditure-only committee ("super PAC") established on March 26, 2018. Honor and Principles PAC, <u>FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization</u> (Mar. 26, 2018) (excerpts attached as Exhibit B).
 - 10. Lisa Lisker is treasurer of Honor and Principles PAC. Exhibit B.
- 11. Unknown respondent (or respondents) are the true source or sources of funds LZP transferred to Honor and Principles PAC, as well as the conduit or conduits, if any, through which such funds passed before being contributed to LZP.

Factual Allegations

- 12. Honor and Principles PAC was established on March 26, 2018. One day later, on March 27, LZP was formed and/or incorporated.
- 13. The next day, March 28, LZP made a \$175,000 contribution to Honor and Principles PAC. Honor and Principles PAC, <u>FEC Form 3X, 2018 April Quarterly Report</u>, at 6 (Apr. 15, 2018) (excerpts attached as Exhibit C). LZP is the only contributor reported by Honor and Principles PAC. *Id*.

- 14. On March 29, 2018, LZP's contribution to Honor and Principles PAC was used to pay \$163,838 to Target Enterprises, LLC, a "strategic media placement company," for a "Non Federal IE-Media Buy." *Id.*; Target Enterprises, About, https://bit.ly/2J8IjJG. Soon after, an ad criticizing Ohio state Representative Larry Householder (R-72) and endorsing his primary opponent, Kevin Black, began airing on Ohio television stations. Twitter, Medium Buying, Apr. 1, 2018, https://bit.ly/2qwXSUD; Ohio House of Representatives, Representative Larry Householder (R), https://bit.ly/2HNB2PV; Black announces for 72nd House District, Coshocton Tribune, Feb. 9, 2018, https://ohne.ws/2J1do1A.
- 15. Honor and Principles PAC's disclosure report provides little information about LZP. The only information provided is that LZP's address is 10 West Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215. *Id.* As LZP's Ohio registration indicates, that is also the address of LZP's registered agent, James G. Ryan, a lawyer at the firm Bailey Cavalieri in Columbus. Exhibit A. Bailey Cavalieri, James G. Ryan, Member, https://bit.ly/2qMoZKu.
- 16. On information and belief, LZP did not generate sufficient income in the one day between its formation and the date on which it contributed \$175,000 to Honor and Principles PAC to account for the contribution. LZP does not appear to conduct any business, and it does not have a presence on the Internet. Rather, it appears an Unknown Respondent (or Respondents) provided the \$175,000 to LZP to make the contribution to Honor and Principles PAC.

Count I

17. The FECA and FEC regulations prohibit making a contribution in the name of another person and knowingly permitting one's name to be used to effect a contribution in the name of another person. 52 U.S.C. § 30122; 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b).

- 18. An Unknown Respondent (or Respondents) appears to have made a \$175,000 contribution to Honor and Principles PAC in the name of LZP in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30122 and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b).
- 19. LZP appears to have correspondingly violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b) by knowingly permitting its name to be used to effect a \$175,000 contribution by Unknown Respondent (or Respondents) to Honor and Principles PAC.
- 20. If these violations were knowing and willful, they are subject to criminal penalties and should be referred to the Department of Justice for investigation. 52 U.S.C. §§ 30109(a)(5)(C), 30109(d)(1).

Count II

- 21. The FECA and FEC regulations also prohibit knowingly accepting a contribution made by one person in the name of another person. 52 U.S.C. § 30122; 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b).
- 22. Honor and Principles PAC and Ms. Lisker appears to have violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b) by knowingly accepting a contribution made by Unknown Respondent (or Respondents) in the name of LZP.
- 23. If these violations were knowing and willful, they are subject to criminal penalties and should be referred to the Department of Justice for investigation. 52 U.S.C. §§ 30109(a)(5)(C), 30109(d)(1).

Conclusion

WHEREFORE, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Noah Bookbinder request that the FEC conduct an investigation into these allegations, declare the respondents to have violated the FECA and applicable FEC regulations, and order respondents to MUR746400240

correct these violations by amending Honor and Principles PAC's disclosures to identify and make public the source(s) of the contribution to the PAC. In addition, respondents request that the FEC impose sanctions appropriate to these violations and take such further action as may be appropriate, including referring this case to the Department of Justice for criminal prosecution.

ON BEHALF OF COMPLAINANTS

Noah Bookbinder Executive Director Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington 455 Massachusetts Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 408-5565 (phone)

(202) 588-5020 (fax)

VERIFICATION

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Noah Bookbinder verify that the statements made in the attached Complaint are, upon information and belief, true. Sworn pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

Noah Bookbinder

Sworn to and subscribed before me this $\underline{\&}$ day of \underline{auy} , $\underline{20}$!

Notary Public



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS RESPONDENTS: Unknown Respondents

MUR 7464

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, (the "Act") that Unknown Respondents made \$270,000 in contributions in the name of another through LZP, LLC ("LZP"), a newly created LLC, which is a disregarded member of the Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. ("IFN"), a 501(c)(4) organization, to Honor and Principles PAC ("Honor PAC"), a federal independent expenditure-only political committee ("IEOPC"). The events at issue took place over the course of a four-day period: both LZP and Honor PAC were formed in the same week, then LZP made its first contribution to Honor PAC, which subsequently made its first disbursement.

LZP represents that it received the funds for the federal contributions from its sole nonprofit corporate member, but does not provide any details regarding the name of the entity that funded the contributions to Honor PAC. For the reasons explained below, the Commission finds reason to believe that Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by making, and allowing LZP's name to be used to make, contributions in the name of another.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 11

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2 A. Background

1

- 3 The key events in this matter took place during a four-day period in March 2018. Honor
- 4 PAC registered as an IEOPC with the Commission on March 26, 2018. LZP registered as a
- 5 nonprofit LLC with the state of Ohio on March 27, 2018. LZP made its first contribution in the
- 6 amount of \$175,000, to Honor PAC on March 28, 2018.³ Honor PAC made its first
- disbursements for political advertising in a nonfederal race on March 29, 2018.⁴
- 8 Honor PAC filed reports with the Commission disclosing \$270,000 in receipts for 2018,
- 9 all from LZP: \$175,000 on March 28, 2018, \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$10,000 on April 18,
- 10 2018, and \$35,000 on October 19, 2018.⁵ It has not reported any receipts for 2019 and 2020. Its
- reports also disclose \$252,607.92 in disbursements in 2018: \$24,769.92 for operating
- expenditures (for legal fees and compliance consulting) and \$227,838 for "other disbursements"
- to media vendors for "non-federal-direct mail," "non- federal-media buys," and "non- federal

Honor and Principles PAC, FEC Form 1, *Statement of Organization* (Mar. 26, 2018). As an IEOPC, Honor PAC accepts unlimited contributions from individuals and sources that are otherwise prohibited for authorized campaign committees. *See* Advisory Opinion 2010-11(Commonsense Ten).

² See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880.

³ See 2018 April Quarterly Report at 6, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018) (disclosing only one receipt for the entire reporting period). According to the Commission's databases, LZP has made federal contributions to only Honor PAC.

⁴ *Id.* at 7 (disclosing only one disbursement for the reporting period).

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018, July 14, 2018, and Dec. 6, 2018). Honor PAC also filed 2018 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports and a 2019 Mid-Year Report but did not disclose any receipts for those time periods.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 11

- 1 voter calls." Honor PAC reported that it made its first disbursement on March 29, 2018, in the
- 2 amount of \$163,838 to Target Enterprises LLC for a "non federal IE-Media Buy." According
- 3 to the Complaint, Honor PAC paid for an ad attacking Ohio State Representative Larry
- 4 Householder and supporting his opponent, Kevin Black, in the May 8, 2018 primary election for
- 5 that state office. 8 In 2019 and 2020, Honor PAC reported making \$17,392.08 in disbursements
- 6 for legal fees and compliance consulting.⁹
- Based in large part on the proximity between the dates of Honor PAC (March 26) and
- 8 LZP's (March 27) formations, along with the March 28 contribution from LZP and Honor PAC's
- 9 March 29 disbursement to Target Enterprises, the Complaint alleges that LZP made, and Honor
- 10 PAC knowingly accepted, a contribution in the name of another. 10 The Complaint states that
- 11 LZP "does not appear to conduct any business" and has no presence on the internet, and argues
- that it was "virtually impossible that [LZP] generated sufficient income to pay for the

²⁰¹⁸ April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC is also registered as a SuperPAC with the state of Ohio, but has only filed one report with the state (a Statement of Organization filed on March 29, 2018) and has disclosed no receipts or disbursements. *See* Honor and Principles PAC (Super PAC) filings, Ohio Sec. of State, https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39_ENTITY_ID,P39_LISTTYPE:14969.simple.

⁷ 2018 April Quarterly Report at 7, Honor and Principles PAC.

See Compl. at 5 (Aug. 9, 2018) (citing, Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2018, 8:37 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336). It appears that Honor PAC paid for at least two other ads supporting Black and advocating the defeat of Householder that aired on April 23 and May 6, 2018. See Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 23, 2018, 10:18 AM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435; Medium Buying, TWITTER (May 6, 2018, 4:43 PM), https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153.

See 2019 Mid-Year and Year-End Reports, 2020 April Quarterly Report, and Termination Reports (Jul. 13, 2020, Oct. 15, 2020, and Dec. 4, 2020), Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC's requests to terminate has been denied while this MUR remains pending.

¹⁰ Compl. at 4-5.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 11

- 1 contribution in just one day." Therefore, the Complaint also alleges that Unknown
- 2 Respondents must have provided the \$175,000 to LZP to make the initial contribution to Honor
- 3 PAC and, as a result, LZP acted as a conduit by knowingly permitting its name to be used to
- 4 effect that contribution.¹²
- On May 29, 2020, the Complainant filed a Supplement alleging the same activity by IFN,
- 6 a 501(c)(4) organization that controls LZP, and its director, Ray McVeigh. 13 IFN filed articles of
- 7 incorporation with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 13, 2017, listing Ryan as its registered
- 8 agent, but it dissolved on December 31, 2020. 14 IFN's 2018 Form 990 filed with the IRS lists
- 9 LZP as a disregarded entity with a total income of \$271,000 for 2018. The same form also lists
- an unnamed contributor who gave \$271,000 to IFN, which the Supplemental Complaint states
- supports the allegation that LZP's contribution was derived from a single source. 16
- 12 IFN's IRS filing discloses that it made \$1,120,000 in political campaign activity
- expenditures to "other organizations for section 527 exempt function activities," and lists "Honor

¹¹ *Id.* at 1, 5.

¹² *Id.* at 6.

Supp. Compl. at 4 (May 29, 2020) (citing IFN 2018 Form 990 (Nov. 2019) ("IFN 2018 Form 990"), https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6773070-Independence-and-Freedom-Network-Inc-2018-990.html). IFN's Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") form lists McVeigh as its only officer and states that he did not receive any compensation for his work for IFN, which averaged two hours a week. IFN 2018 Form 990 at 7.

See IFN, Articles of Incorporation, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Apr. 13, 2017), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238; IFN, Certificate of Dissolution, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Dec. 31, 2020), https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238. Unlike with its articles of incorporation, IFN's certificate of dissolution was not filed by Ryan's law firm. Additionally, it appears that the dissolution was filed in an expedited manner, through payment of an additional expedited service fee.

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5 (answering "Yes" to question 33 asking "Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization"); *id.* at Schedule R (listing LZP as IFN's only disregarded entity and noting that IFN is the "Direct controlling entity").

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 20. The form lists six additional contributions that it received from individuals in the following amounts: \$352,000, \$950,000, \$800,000, \$183,702, \$105,000, and \$275,000.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 11

- and Principles PAC" and "Onward Ohio Inc." as those 527 organizations receiving funds from
- 2 IFN.¹⁷ According to its filing, IFN provided \$270,000 to Honor and Principles PAC and
- 3 \$850,000 to Onward Ohio Inc. 18 On a separate schedule requiring a list of "Grants and Other
- 4 Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States," IFN again lists
- 5 Honor PAC, Onward Ohio Inc., as well as two other groups, MORCC and Security is Strength
- 6 LLC. 19 Onward Ohio is registered as an IEOPC with the Commission and disclosed receiving an
- 7 \$850,000 contribution from IFN on March 16, 2018.²⁰ Honor PAC did not disclose any
- 8 contributions from IFN on its disclosure reports filed with the Commission.
- 9 LZP has not indicated whether IFN was its nonprofit corporate member that provided the
- assets used to make the contributions to Honor PAC. James Ryan is both IFN and LZP's
- registered agent and, according to publicly available information, has been the registered agent

Security is Strength LLC and MORCC are not listed as 527 organization with the IRS, but there is a Security is Strength PAC registered with the Commission. That PAC has not disclosed contributions from IFN.

¹⁷ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Id. The IRS's Tax Exempt Organization database does not show a record of Honor PAC ever having registered as a 527 organization. See Tax Exempt and Organization Search, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (entering search terms "Honor and Principles" and EIN 82-4933172, the employer identification number listed for Honor PAC on IFN's 2018 Form 990, does not yield any results). There is an "Onward Ohio Policy Institute" registered as a 527 organization with the IRS, but its filings do not list an \$850,000 contribution from IFN. See 2018 Form 990EZ and 2019 Form 990-N, IRS, https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch (reporting \$10,000 in receipts in 2018 and less than \$25,000 in 2019).

¹⁹ IFN 2018 Form 990 at Schedule I. As of the date of this report, IFN has not made any other filings with the IRS.

See 2018 April Quarterly Report, Onward Ohio. During the 2018 election cycle, Onward Ohio disclosed \$877,300 in receipts and \$1,803,448.23 in total disbursements, and an ending cash on hand of \$650.72. The committee terminated in 2020. See Termination Approval Ltr. (Oct. 20, 2020), Onward Ohio. Onward Ohio was also registered as nonprofit corporation with the state of Ohio but its articles of incorporation were canceled in October 2020 for its failure to file a statement of continued existence. See Onward Ohio filings, Ohio Sec. of State https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/2436941 (indicating a cancellation date of Oct. 20, 2020).

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 11

- 1 for approximately 151 entities in Ohio. 21 During the 2018 and 2020 election cycles, Ryan
- 2 registered three nonprofit corporations (IFN, CHTFA, Inc., and American Exceptionalism
- 3 Institute, Inc.) and fifty LLCs, including LZP and Security is Strength LLC.²²

B. Legal Analysis

4

13

14

- 5 The Act provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or
- 6 deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any
- 7 election for Federal office."²³ The term "person" for purposes of the Act and Commission
- 8 regulations includes partnerships, corporations, and "any other organization or group of
- 9 persons."²⁴ The Act prohibits a person from making a contribution in the name of another
- person, knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution, or
- 11 knowingly accepting such a contribution.²⁵ The Commission has included in its regulations
- 12 illustrations of activities that constitute making a contribution in the name of another:
 - (i) Giving money or anything of value, all or part of which was provided to the contributor by another person (the true contributor) without disclosing

See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880 (listing attorney James G. Ryan of Columbus, OH as its registered agent); Agent or Registrant Name Search, Ohio Sec. of State, https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov/# (enter "James G. Ryan" in Agent/Registrant search field).

IFN and American Exceptionalism Institute Inc., which Ryan registered and incorporated on the same date, have been the subject of press reports concerning contributions they made to IEOPCs. *See, e.g.*, Eliana Johnson, *New ad accuses Paul of siding with terrorists* (Apr. 24, 2018), POLITICO, https://www.politico.com/story/2018/04/24/dark-money-group-pressures-paul-cia-haspel-546043 (discussing \$100,000 ad campaign paid for by American Exceptionalism Institute, Inc.) and Jim Provance, "*Dark Money*" makes way into governor campaigns (Apr. 17, 2018), THE BLADE, https://www.toledoblade.com/local/politics/2018/04/17/Dark-money-makes-way-into-governor-campaigns/stories/20180417150 (discussing IFN's \$850,000 contribution to Onward Ohio in 2018). *See also* Andrew J. Tobias, *Dark Money flowing into 2018 Ohio Republican Primary Elections* (Apr. 16, 2018), CLEVELAND.COM, https://www.cleveland.com/open/2018/04/dark money flowing into 2018 o.html (discussing IFN and LZP's contributions to IEOPCs).

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A).

²⁴ *Id.* § 30101(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.

²⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 11

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- the source of money or the thing of value to the recipient candidate or committee at the time the contribution is made; or
 - (ii) Making a contribution of money or anything of value and attributing as the source of the money or thing of value another person when in fact the contributor is the source.²⁶

The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promotes

Congress's objective of ensuring the complete and accurate disclosure by candidates and

committees of the political contributions they receive. ²⁷ Courts therefore have uniformly

rejected the assertion that "only the person who actually transmits funds . . . makes the

contribution," ²⁸ recognizing that "it is implausible that Congress, in seeking to promote

transparency, would have understood the relevant contributor to be [an] intermediary who

merely transmitted the campaign gift." ²⁹ Consequently, both the Act and the Commission's

implementing regulations provide that a person who furnishes another with funds for the purpose

of contributing to a candidate or committee "makes" the resulting contribution. ³⁰ This is true

whether funds are advanced to another person to make a contribution in that person's name or

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i)–(ii).

United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[T]he congressional purpose behind [Section 30122] — to ensure the complete and accurate disclosure of the contributors who finance federal elections — is plain.") (emphasis added); Mariani v. United States, 212 F.3d 761, 775 (3d Cir. 2000) (rejecting constitutional challenge to Section 30122 in light of compelling governmental interest in disclosure).

²⁸ United States v. Boender, 649 F.3d 650, 660 (7th Cir. 2011).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 554; see also Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 371 (2010) ("The First Amendment protects political speech; and disclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages.").

See Boender, 649 F.3d at 660 (holding that to determine who made a contribution "we consider the giver to be the source of the gift, not any intermediary who simply conveys the gift from the donor to the donee." (emphasis added)); O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550; Goland v. United States, 903 F.2d 1247, 1251 (9th Cir. 1990) ("The Act prohibits the use of 'conduits' to circumvent . . . [the Act's reporting] restrictions." (quoting then-Section 441f)).

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 11

promised as reimbursement of a solicited contribution.³¹ Because the concern of the law is the

2 true source from which a contribution to a candidate or committee originates, we look to the

structure of the transaction itself and the arrangement between the parties to determine who in

4 fact "made" a given contribution.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

5 The Complaint's allegation that LZP was used to make contributions by other persons is

supported by the fact that LZP purported to make a \$175,000 contribution in its own name to

Honor PAC two days after Honor PAC was formed and one day after LZP was formed, and by

the lack of public information regarding the LLC's activities or evidence indicating that LZP

could make such a contribution without an infusion of funds provided to it for that purpose.

When an LLC purports to make a political contribution in close temporal proximity to its

formation date, without evidence of activity or any specific information regarding the

provenance of its funds, the circumstances may support a reasonable inference that the LLC was

provided funds for the purpose of making a contribution, i.e., that the LLC was used as a conduit

to mask the identity of the true contributor. However, in determining whether such an inference

is supported, the Commission considers the overall record, including the amount of the

contribution, the LLC's stated purposes and activity, and whether other information, such as the

attribution of the LLC contribution, suggests an attempt to circumvent the Act's disclosure

requirements.

_

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 555. Moreover, the "key issue . . . is the source of the funds" and, therefore, the legal status of the funds when conveyed from a conduit to the ultimate recipient is "irrelevant to a determination of who 'made' the contribution for the purposes of [Section 30122]." United States v. Whittemore, 776 F.3d 1074, 1080 (9th Cir. 2015) (holding that defendant's "unconditional gifts" to relatives and employees, along with suggestion they contribute the funds to a specific political committee, violated Section 30122 because the source of the funds remained the individual who provided them to the putative contributors).

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 11

1	The record in this matter supports a finding that there is reason to believe that Unknown
2	Respondents violated the Act's prohibition against contributions in the name of another by
3	making contributions through LZP to Honor PAC. LZP implies that it was provided funds by its
4	purported single member to make the contributions to Honor PAC and does not dispute the
5	assertion that it appears to have engaged in no activity other than making the contributions at
6	issue and appears to have been formed solely to make contributions using the funds of another.
7	The record supports a conclusion that that was, indeed, the case.
8	First, the temporal proximity between LZP's formation and its first contribution to Honor
9	PAC just two days later supports a conclusion that LZP was likely created to effect a
10	contribution, and that LZP was not the true contributor to Honor PAC but was, instead, provided
11	funds for the purpose of making the contribution. LZP has not explained the reason for the
12	LLC's formation, the quick turnaround for making its first political contribution as a newly
13	formed entity, or how the making of that contribution almost immediately after the LLC's
14	organization was consistent with the LLC's organizational purpose or governing documents.
15	The absence of any public information of LZP's activity other than the making of contributions,
16	considered in conjunction with the timing between LZP's creation and first contribution, tend to
17	support a conclusion that funds were directed to LZP for the purpose of making a political
18	contribution.
19	Second, while LZP states that its corporate member had sufficient assets from which to
20	make the contribution to Honor PAC, it does not reveal the identity of that corporate member or
21	describe the origin of those assets. There is no available information concerning the nature of
22	the company, or how its corporate member procured the assets that LZP used for its
23	contributions to Honor PAC totaling \$270,000. Instead, information from the Supplemental

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 10 of 11

Complaint reveals that IFN directly controlled LZP's activities, and it is possible that IFN was 1 2 actually LZP's nonprofit corporate member. IFN's IRS filing states that it owned 100% of LZP and further discloses that it received a \$271,000 contribution from an individual, which could 3 have been the source of LZP's \$270,000 in total contributions to Honor PAC.³² Indeed, IFN's 4 2018 Form 990 lists LZP's total income as only \$271,000.33 Additionally, in disclosing its 5 6 "Political campaign activity expenditures" IFN's IRS filing lists contributions to purported 527 7 organizations; however, both of those entities, Honor PAC and Onward Ohio, were actually committees registered with the Commission.³⁴ In fact, both committees disclosed those 8 9 contributions in their reports filed with the Commission, albeit Honor PAC listed the 10 contributions as coming from LZP and not IFN. Therefore, we are unable to conclude that those 11 assets were provided to LZP for any other lawful purpose and not for the purpose of making a political contribution.³⁵ 12 13 Third, the timing of Honor PAC's formation and its first disbursement, in relation to 14 LZP's formation and first contribution, also raises an inference that the IEOPC may have been 15 involved in the alleged conduit contribution scheme. As described above, Honor PAC's registration with the Commission, LZP's registration as an LLC, LZP's first contribution to 16 Honor PAC, and Honor PAC's first independent expenditure all took place within a four-day 17 time period.³⁶ All of Honor PAC's receipts came exclusively from IFN, through LZP, and the 18

³² IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5, Schedule B, and Schedule R.

³³ *Id.* at Schedule R.

³⁴ *Id.* at Schedule C.

Without information about LZP's single member we cannot determine whether the funds came from a lawful or prohibited source, such as from a foreign national in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30121.

³⁶ Supra at 2.

MUR746400252

MUR 7464 (Unknown Respondents) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 11 of 11

- 1 political committee apparently failed to seek information from either entity for the proper
- 2 attribution of any of the contributions on its disclosure reports. These facts support an inference
- 3 that Honor PAC was aware of the true purpose behind LZP's creation, namely, concealing the
- 4 identity of the true contributor.
- 5 The available information suggests that LZP's creation was an attempt to circumvent the
- 6 Act's disclosure requirements. Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe
- 7 that Unknown Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by making,, and allowing LZP's name to
- 8 be used to make, contributions in the name of another.