

# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

June 10, 2021

### BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

jetyrrell@venable.com

James E. Tyrrell III, Esq. Venable LLP 600 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001

RE: MUR 7464

Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity

as treasurer

Dear Mr. Tyrrell:

On August 14, 2018 and June 5, 2020, the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") notified your clients, Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer, of a complaint and a supplemental complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Copies of the complaints were forwarded at those times.

Upon review of the allegations contained in the complaints, and information provided on behalf of your clients, the Commission, on May 20, 2021, found that there is reason to believe that Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30122 and 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) and (g)(2), provisions of the Act and Commission regulations. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which formed a basis for the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information.

You may submit any factual or legal materials that you believe are relevant to the Commission's consideration of this matter. Please submit such materials to the Office of General Counsel within 15 days of receipt of this notification. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. In the absence of additional information, the Commission may find probable cause to believe that a violation has occurred and proceed with conciliation. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4).

Please note that your clients have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter until such time as you are notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1519.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Page 2

If your clients are interested in pursuing pre-probable cause conciliation, you should make such a request by letter to the Office of the General Counsel. *See* 11 C.F.R. § 111.18(d). Upon receipt of the request, the Office of the General Counsel will make recommendations to the Commission either proposing an agreement in settlement of the matter or recommending declining that pre-probable cause conciliation be pursued. The Office of the General Counsel may recommend that pre-probable cause conciliation not be entered into in order to complete its investigation of the matter. Further, the Commission will not entertain requests for pre-probable cause conciliation after briefs on probable cause have been delivered to the respondents. Requests for extensions of time are not routinely granted. Requests must be made in writing at least five days prior to the due date of the response and good cause must be demonstrated. In addition, the Office of the General Counsel ordinarily will not give extensions beyond 20 days. Pre-probable cause conciliation, extensions of time, and other enforcement procedures and options are discussed more comprehensively in the Commission's "Guidebook for Complainants and Respondents on the FEC Enforcement Process," which is available on the Commission's website at http://www.fec.gov/em/respondent guide.pdf.

Please be advised that, although the Commission cannot disclose information regarding an investigation to the public, it may share information on a confidential basis with other law enforcement agencies.<sup>1</sup>

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4)(B) and 30109(a)(12)(A), unless you notify the Commission in writing that your clients wish the investigation to be made public. If you have any questions, please contact Ana Peña-Wallace, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650 or apena-wallace@fec.gov.

On behalf of the Commission.

erah. Brownard

Shana M. Broussard

Chair

Enclosure Factual and Legal Analysis

The Commission has the statutory authority to refer knowing and willful violations of the Act to the Department of Justice for potential criminal prosecution, 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(C), and to report information regarding violations of law not within its jurisdiction to appropriate law enforcement authorities. *Id.* § 30107(a)(9).

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS: Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer
8 9	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
10	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission
11	(the "Commission") alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as
12	amended (the "Act"), that Unknown Respondents made \$270,000 in contributions in the name of
13	another through LZP, LLC ("LZP"), a newly created LLC, which is a disregarded member of the
14	Independence and Freedom Network, Inc. ("IFN"), a 501(c)(4) organization, to Honor and
15	Principles PAC ("Honor PAC"), a federal independent expenditure-only political committee
16	("IEOPC"). The events at issue took place over the course of a four-day period: both LZP and
17	Honor PAC were formed in the same week, then LZP made its first contribution to Honor PAC,
18	which subsequently made its first disbursement.
19	LZP represents that it received the funds for the federal contributions from its sole
20	nonprofit corporate member, but does not provide any details regarding the name of the entity
21	that funded the contributions to Honor PAC. Honor PAC did not attribute the contributions to
22	the LZP's single member.
23	For the reasons explained below, the Commission finds reason to believe that Honor PAC
24	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by knowingly accepting contributions in the name of another and
25	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) and (g)(2) by failing to properly attribute
26	LLC contributions.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 14

## II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

## 2 A. Background

1

- The key events in this matter took place during a four-day period in March 2018. Honor
- 4 PAC registered as an IEOPC with the Commission on March 26, 2018. LZP registered as a
- 5 nonprofit LLC with the state of Ohio on March 27, 2018. LZP made its first contribution in the
- 6 amount of \$175,000, to Honor PAC on March 28, 2018. Honor PAC made its first
- disbursements for political advertising in a nonfederal race on March 29, 2018.<sup>4</sup>
- 8 Honor PAC filed reports with the Commission disclosing \$270,000 in receipts for 2018,
- 9 all from LZP: \$175,000 on March 28, 2018, \$50,000 on April 6, 2018, \$10,000 on April 18,
- 2018, and \$35,000 on October 19, 2018.<sup>5</sup> It has not reported any receipts for 2019 and 2020. Its
- reports also disclose \$252,607.92 in disbursements in 2018: \$24,769.92 for operating
- expenditures (for legal fees and compliance consulting) and \$227,838 for "other disbursements"
- to media vendors for "non- federal-direct mail," "non- federal-media buys," and "non- federal

Honor and Principles PAC, FEC Form 1, *Statement of Organization* (Mar. 26, 2018). As an IEOPC, Honor PAC accepts unlimited contributions from individuals and sources that are otherwise prohibited for authorized campaign committees. *See* Advisory Opinion 2010-11(Commonsense Ten).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See LZP, LLC Articles of Organization (eff. date Mar. 27, 2018), Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State, <a href="https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880">https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/4158880</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 2018 April Quarterly Report at 6, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018) (disclosing only one receipt for the entire reporting period). According to the Commission's databases, LZP has made federal contributions to only Honor PAC.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 7 (disclosing only one disbursement for the reporting period).

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC (Apr. 15, 2018, July 14, 2018, and Dec. 6, 2018). Honor PAC also filed 2018 October Quarterly and Year-End Reports and a 2019 Mid-Year Report but did not disclose any receipts for those time periods.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 14

- 1 voter calls." Honor PAC reported that it made its first disbursement on March 29, 2018, in the
- 2 amount of \$163,838 to Target Enterprises LLC for a "non- federal IE-Media Buy." According
- 3 to the Complaint, Honor PAC paid for an ad attacking Ohio State Representative Larry
- 4 Householder and supporting his opponent, Kevin Black, in the May 8, 2018 primary election for
- 5 that state office. 8 In 2019 and 2020, Honor PAC reported making \$17,392.08 in disbursements
- 6 for legal fees and compliance consulting.<sup>9</sup>
- Based in large part on the proximity between the dates of Honor PAC (March 26) and
- 8 LZP's (March 27) formations, along with the March 28 contribution from LZP and Honor PAC's
- 9 March 29 disbursement to Target Enterprises, the Complaint alleges that LZP made, and Honor
- 10 PAC knowingly accepted, a contribution in the name of another. <sup>10</sup> The Complaint states that
- 11 LZP "does not appear to conduct any business" and has no presence on the internet, and argues
- that it was "virtually impossible that [LZP] generated sufficient income to pay for the

<u>e</u>.

See 2018 April Quarterly, July Quarterly, and Post-Election Reports, Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC is also registered as a SuperPAC with the state of Ohio, but has only filed one report with the state (a Statement of Organization filed on March 29, 2018) and has disclosed no receipts or disbursements. See Honor and Principles PAC (Super PAC) filings, Ohio Sec. of State, <a href="https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www6.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_LISTTYPE:14969.simples.https://www.ohiosos.gov/ords/f?p=CFDISCLOSURE:39:::NO:RP:P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_ENTITY\_ID.P39\_ID

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 2018 April Quarterly Report at 7, Honor and Principles PAC.

See Compl. at 5 (Aug. 9, 2018) (citing, Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2018, 8:37 PM), <a href="https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336">https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/980605173247758336</a>). It appears that Honor PAC paid for at least two other ads supporting Black and advocating the defeat of Householder that aired on April 23 and May 6, 2018. See Medium Buying, TWITTER (Apr. 23, 2018, 10:18 AM), <a href="https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435">https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/988421940326162435</a>; Medium Buying, TWITTER (May 6, 2018, 4:43 PM), <a href="https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153">https://twitter.com/MediumBuying/status/993229755343409153</a>.

See 2019 Mid-Year and Year-End Reports, 2020 April Quarterly Report, and Termination Reports (Jul. 13, 2020, Oct. 15, 2020, and Dec. 4, 2020), Honor and Principles PAC. Honor PAC's requests to terminate has been denied while this MUR remains pending.

<sup>10</sup> Compl. at 4-5.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 14

- 1 contribution in just one day." Therefore, the Complaint also alleges that Unknown
- 2 Respondents must have provided the \$175,000 to LZP to make the initial contribution to Honor
- 3 PAC and, as a result, LZP acted as a conduit by knowingly permitting its name to be used to
- 4 effect that contribution. 12
- 5 On May 29, 2020, the Complainant filed a Supplement alleging the same activity by IFN,
- 6 a 501(c)(4) organization that controls LZP, and its director, Ray McVeigh. 13 IFN filed articles of
- 7 incorporation with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 13, 2017, listing Ryan as its registered
- 8 agent, but it dissolved on December 31, 2020. 14 IFN's 2018 Form 990 filed with the IRS lists
- 9 LZP as a disregarded entity with a total income of \$271,000 for 2018. 15 The same form also lists
- an unnamed contributor who gave \$271,000 to IFN, which the Supplemental Complaint states
- supports the allegation that LZP's contribution was derived from a single source. 16
- 12 IFN's IRS filing discloses that it made \$1,120,000 in political campaign activity
- expenditures to "other organizations for section 527 exempt function activities," and lists "Honor

<sup>11</sup> *Id.* at 1, 5.

<sup>12</sup> *Id.* at 6.

Supp. Compl. at 4 (May 29, 2020) (citing IFN 2018 Form 990 (Nov. 2019) ("IFN 2018 Form 990"), <a href="https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6773070-Independence-and-Freedom-Network-Inc-2018-990.html">https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6773070-Independence-and-Freedom-Network-Inc-2018-990.html</a>). IFN's Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") form lists McVeigh as its only officer and states that he did not receive any compensation for his work for IFN, which averaged two hours a week. IFN 2018 Form 990 at 7.

See IFN, Articles of Incorporation, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Apr. 13, 2017), <a href="https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238">https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238</a>; IFN, Certificate of Dissolution, Business Search, Ohio Sec. of State (Dec. 31, 2020), <a href="https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238">https://bizimage.ohiosos.gov/api/image/pdf/202036405238</a>. Unlike with its articles of incorporation, IFN's certificate of dissolution was not filed by Ryan's law firm. Additionally, it appears that the dissolution was filed in an expedited manner, through payment of an additional expedited service fee.

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5 (answering "Yes" to question 33 asking "Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization"); *id.* at Schedule R (listing LZP as IFN's only disregarded entity and noting that IFN is the "Direct controlling entity").

See IFN 2018 Form 990 at 20. The form lists six additional contributions that it received from individuals in the following amounts: \$352,000, \$950,000, \$800,000, \$183,702, \$105,000, and \$275,000.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 14

- and Principles PAC" and "Onward Ohio Inc." as those 527 organizations receiving funds from
- 2 IFN.<sup>17</sup> According to its filing, IFN provided \$270,000 to Honor and Principles PAC and
- 3 \$850,000 to Onward Ohio Inc. 18 On a separate schedule requiring a list of "Grants and Other
- 4 Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States," IFN again lists
- 5 Honor PAC, Onward Ohio Inc., as well as two other groups, MORCC and Security is Strength
- 6 LLC. 19 Onward Ohio is registered as an IEOPC with the Commission and disclosed receiving an
- 7 \$850,000 contribution from IFN on March 16, 2018.<sup>20</sup> Honor PAC did not disclose any
- 8 contributions from IFN on its disclosure reports filed with the Commission.
- 9 Honor PAC denies the allegations made in the original Complaint, stating that its donor
- 10 form requests contributor identification information and "also requires the donor's assurances
- that the contribution, whether personal or corporate, will not be reimbursed by another person or

Security is Strength LLC and MORCC are not listed as 527 organization with the IRS, but there is a Security is Strength PAC registered with the Commission. That PAC has not disclosed contributions from IFN.

<sup>17</sup> *Id.* at Schedule C.

Id. The IRS's Tax Exempt Organization database does not show a record of Honor PAC ever having registered as a 527 organization. See Tax Exempt and Organization Search, IRS, <a href="https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch">https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch</a> (entering search terms "Honor and Principles" and EIN 82-4933172, the employer identification number listed for Honor PAC on IFN's 2018 Form 990, does not yield any results). There is an "Onward Ohio Policy Institute" registered as a 527 organization with the IRS, but its filings do not list an \$850,000 contribution from IFN. See 2018 Form 990EZ and 2019 Form 990-N, IRS, <a href="https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch">https://apps.irs.gov/app/eos/allSearch</a> (reporting \$10,000 in receipts in 2018 and less than \$25,000 in 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> IFN 2018 Form 990 at Schedule I. As of the date of this report, IFN has not made any other filings with the IRS.

See 2018 April Quarterly Report, Onward Ohio. During the 2018 election cycle, Onward Ohio disclosed \$877,300 in receipts and \$1,803,448.23 in total disbursements, and an ending cash on hand of \$650.72. The committee terminated in 2020. See Termination Approval Ltr. (Oct. 20, 2020), Onward Ohio. Onward Ohio was also registered as nonprofit corporation with the state of Ohio but its articles of incorporation were canceled in October 2020 for its failure to file a statement of continued existence. See Onward Ohio filings, Ohio Sec. of State <a href="https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/2436941">https://businesssearch.ohiosos.gov?=businessDetails/2436941</a> (indicating a cancellation date of Oct. 20, 2020).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 14

- entity."<sup>21</sup> Honor PAC further asserts that "[t]here was nothing suspicious on the face of [LZP's]
- 2 contribution" and that Honor PAC "was not under any further obligations with respect to the
- 3 contribution."<sup>22</sup> Honor PAC apparently did not request information regarding the proper
- 4 attribution of the contribution from the LLC, which was the only contributor it reported.

## **B.** Legal Analysis

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

### 1. Contributions in the Name of Another

The Act provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." The term "person" for purposes of the Act and Commission regulations includes partnerships, corporations, and "any other organization or group of persons." The Act prohibits a person from making a contribution in the name of another person, knowingly permitting his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution, or knowingly accepting such a contribution. The Commission has included in its regulations illustrations of activities that constitute making a contribution in the name of another:

(i) Giving money or anything of value, all or part of which was provided to the contributor by another person (the true contributor) without disclosing the source of money or the thing of value to the recipient candidate or committee at the time the contribution is made; or

Honor PAC Resp. at 2 (Oct. 29, 2018). Honor PAC, which does not appear to have a website, does not attach a copy of the donor form to the response or explain where this form can otherwise be found. Moreover, Honor PAC does not represent that it provided its donor form to LZP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> *Id.* § 30101(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30122.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 14

1 (ii) Making a contribution of money or anything of value and attributing as the source of the money or thing of value another person when in fact the contributor is the source.<sup>26</sup>

4 The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promote

The requirement that a contribution be made in the name of its true source promotes 5 Congress's objective of ensuring the complete and accurate disclosure by candidates and committees of the political contributions they receive.<sup>27</sup> Courts therefore have uniformly 6 7 rejected the assertion that "only the person who actually transmits funds . . . makes the contribution,"<sup>28</sup> recognizing that "it is implausible that Congress, in seeking to promote 8 9 transparency, would have understood the relevant contributor to be [an] intermediary who merely transmitted the campaign gift."<sup>29</sup> Consequently, both the Act and the Commission's 10 implementing regulations provide that a person who furnishes another with funds for the purpose 11 of contributing to a candidate or committee "makes" the resulting contribution. <sup>30</sup> This is true 12 13 whether funds are advanced to another person to make a contribution in that person's name or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i)–(ii).

United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[T]he congressional purpose behind [Section 30122] — to ensure the complete and accurate disclosure of the contributors who finance federal elections — is plain.") (emphasis added); Mariani v. United States, 212 F.3d 761, 775 (3d Cir. 2000) (rejecting constitutional challenge to Section 30122 in light of compelling governmental interest in disclosure).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> United States v. Boender, 649 F.3d 650, 660 (7th Cir. 2011).

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 554; see also Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. 310, 371 (2010) ("The First Amendment protects political speech; and disclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages.").

See Boender, 649 F.3d at 660 (holding that to determine who made a contribution "we consider the giver to be the source of the gift, not any intermediary who simply conveys the gift from the donor to the donee." (emphasis added)); O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 550; Goland v. United States, 903 F.2d 1247, 1251 (9th Cir. 1990) ("The Act prohibits the use of 'conduits' to circumvent . . . [the Act's reporting] restrictions." (quoting then-Section 441f)).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 14

- promised as reimbursement of a solicited contribution.<sup>31</sup> Because the concern of the law is the
- 2 true source from which a contribution to a candidate or committee originates, we look to the
- 3 structure of the transaction itself and the arrangement between the parties to determine who in
- 4 fact "made" a given contribution.

5 The Complaint's allegation that LZP was used to make contributions by other persons is

6 supported by the fact that LZP purported to make a \$175,000 contribution in its own name to

7 Honor PAC two days after Honor PAC was formed and one day after LZP was formed, and by

the lack of public information regarding the LLC's activities or evidence indicating that LZP

could make such a contribution without an infusion of funds provided to it for that purpose.

When an LLC purports to make a political contribution in close temporal proximity to its

formation date, without evidence of activity or any specific information regarding the

provenance of its funds, the circumstances may support a reasonable inference that the LLC was

provided funds for the purpose of making a contribution, *i.e.*, that the LLC was used as a conduit

to mask the identity of the true contributor. However, in determining whether such an inference

is supported, the Commission considers the overall record, including the amount of the

contribution, the LLC's stated purposes and activity, and whether other information, such as the

attribution of the LLC contribution, suggests an attempt to circumvent the Act's disclosure

18 requirements.

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

<sup>2</sup> 

O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 555. Moreover, the "key issue . . . is the source of the funds" and, therefore, the legal status of the funds when conveyed from a conduit to the ultimate recipient is "irrelevant to a determination of who 'made' the contribution for the purposes of [Section 30122]." United States v. Whittemore, 776 F.3d 1074, 1080 (9th Cir. 2015) (holding that defendant's "unconditional gifts" to relatives and employees, along with suggestion they contribute the funds to a specific political committee, violated Section 30122 because the source of the funds remained the individual who provided them to the putative contributors).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 14

1	The record in this matter supports a finding that there is reason to believe that Honor
2	PAC violated the Act when it knowingly accepted contributions in the name of another through
3	LZP. LZP implies that it was provided funds by its purported single member to make the
4	contributions to Honor PAC and does not dispute the assertion that it appears to have engaged in
5	no activity other than making the contributions at issue and appears to have been formed solely
6	to make contributions using the funds of another. The record supports a conclusion that that was,
7	indeed, the case.
8	First, the temporal proximity between LZP's formation and its first contribution to Honor
9	PAC just two days later supports a conclusion that LZP was likely created to effect a
10	contribution, and that LZP was not the true contributor to Honor PAC but was, instead, provided
11	funds for the purpose of making the contribution. LZP has not explained the reason for the
12	LLC's formation, the quick turnaround for making its first political contribution as a newly
13	formed entity, or how the making of that contribution almost immediately after the LLC's
14	organization was consistent with the LLC's organizational purpose or governing documents.
15	The absence of any public information of LZP's activity other than the making of contributions,
16	considered in conjunction with the timing between LZP's creation and first contribution, tend to
17	support a conclusion that funds were directed to LZP for the purpose of making a political
18	contribution.
19	Second, while LZP states that its corporate member had sufficient assets from which to
20	make the contribution to Honor PAC, it does not reveal the identity of that corporate member or
21	describe the origin of those assets. There is no available information concerning the nature of
22	the company, or how its corporate member procured the assets that LZP used for its
23	contributions to Honor PAC totaling \$270,000. Instead, information from the Supplemental

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 10 of 14

1	Complaint reveals that IFN directly controlled LZP's activities, and it is possible that IFN was
2	actually LZP's nonprofit corporate member. IFN's IRS filing states that it owned 100% of LZP
3	and further discloses that it received a \$271,000 contribution from an individual, which could
4	have been the source of LZP's \$270,000 in total contributions to Honor PAC. <sup>32</sup> Indeed, IFN's
5	2018 Form 990 lists LZP's total income as only \$271,000. <sup>33</sup> Additionally, in disclosing its
6	"Political campaign activity expenditures" IFN's IRS filing lists contributions to purported 527
7	organizations; however, both of those entities, Honor PAC and Onward Ohio, were actually
8	committees registered with the Commission. <sup>34</sup> In fact, both committees disclosed those
9	contributions in their reports filed with the Commission, albeit Honor PAC listed the
10	contributions as coming from LZP and not IFN. Therefore, we are unable to conclude that those
11	assets were provided to LZP for any other lawful purpose and not for the purpose of making a
12	political contribution. <sup>35</sup>
13	Third, the timing of Honor PAC's formation and its first disbursement, in relation to
14	LZP's formation and first contribution, also raises an inference that Honor PAC may have been
15	involved in the alleged conduit contribution scheme. As described above, Honor PAC's
16	registration with the Commission, LZP's registration as an LLC, LZP's first contribution to
17	Honor PAC, and Honor PAC's first independent expenditure all took place within a four-day
18	time period. <sup>36</sup> All of Honor PAC's receipts came exclusively from IFN, through LZP, and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> IFN 2018 Form 990 at 5, Schedule B, and Schedule R.

<sup>33</sup> *Id.* at Schedule R.

<sup>34</sup> *Id.* at Schedule C.

Without information about LZP's single member we cannot determine whether the funds came from a lawful or prohibited source, such as from a foreign national in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Supra* at 2.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 11 of 14

- 1 political committee apparently failed to seek information from either entity for the proper
- 2 attribution of any of the contributions on its disclosure reports. These facts support an inference
- 3 that Honor PAC was aware of the true purpose behind LZP's creation, namely, concealing the
- 4 identity of the true contributor.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 5 The available information suggests that LZP's creation was an attempt to circumvent the
- 6 Act's disclosure requirements. Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe
- 7 that Honor and Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer violated
- 8 52 U.S.C. § 30122 by knowingly accepting contributions in the name of another.<sup>37</sup>

### 2. Attribution of LLC Contributions

Whether or not LZP was provided funds to make the contributions, Honor PAC failed to report the proper attribution of the contributions to LZP's unknown single member. Under the Act, all political committees, including IEOPCs, are required to file periodic disclosure reports with the Commission, which accurately report all contributions received and disbursements made. Commission regulations state that "[a] contribution by an LLC that elects to be treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue Service pursuant to 26 CFR 301.7701-3, *or does not elect treatment as either a partnership or a corporation*, pursuant to that section, shall be considered a contribution from a partnership pursuant to 11 CFR 110.1(e)." Thus,

Although Honor PAC appears to have spent money only on state races, as a federally registered IEOPC Honor PAC must still comply with applicable provisions of the Act and Commission regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), (b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a), (b).

<sup>11</sup> C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2) (emphasis added). The regulations further specify that a "contribution by an LLC with a single *natural person* member that does not elect to be treated a corporation by the Internal Revenue Service... shall be attributed only to that single member." *Id.* § 110.1(g)(4) (emphasis added); *see also id.* § 110.1(g)(3) (governing contributions by LLCs electing IRS treatment as corporations and LLCs with publicly-traded shares). The current record does not support a finding that LZP is a publicly-traded LLC. *See* 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(3).

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 12 of 14

- 1 Revenue Service and does not have a single natural-person member are treated as partnership
- 2 contributions, which must be attributed to both the LLC and its member or members. 40
- 3 Furthermore, when such an LLC makes a contribution, it must affirm to the recipient, at the time
- 4 the LLC makes the contribution that the LLC is eligible to make a contribution and indicate how
- 5 the contribution is to be attributed.<sup>41</sup>
- 6 The Commission's regulations concerning the attribution of LLC contributions apply on
- 7 their face to all such LLC contributions irrespective of recipient. 42 The Commission has
- 8 recognized that because LLCs are a product of state law and many states have very minimal LLC
- 9 disclosure requirements, LLCs must affirmatively provide attribution information when making
- political contributions so that the recipient committees can accurately disclose those
- 11 contributions to the public.<sup>43</sup>
- According to available information, it appears that LZP has a single corporate member
- and has not elected treatment as a corporation. Assuming that LZP is, in fact, a single member
- 14 LLC with a non-natural person member, LZP's contributions totaling \$270,000 to Honor PAC
- are considered, under Commission regulations, as contributions by a partnership. Further, these
- 16 regulations direct that the partnership contribution must be attributed to both the partnership and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e), (g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(5).

See 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1), 30118(a); Treatment of Limited Liability Companies Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, 64 Fed. Reg. 37,397, 37,398 – 37,399 (July 12, 1999) ("LLC E&J") (discussing role of LLC attribution rules in identifying prohibited contributions from foreign national or government contractor sources, concerns that apply to all LLC contributions, including contributions to IEOPCs).

LLC E&J at 37,399 ("The Commission further notes that the recipient committee would have no way of knowing how to attribute a contribution made by an eligible multi-member or single member LLC, unless that information was provided.").

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 13 of 14

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

all partners in proportion to their shares.<sup>44</sup> Because there would not be multiple partners under

2 the LZP's current organizational structure, the share of the contributions attributable to LZP's

3 single member would be 100%. If, for example, IFN was LZP's single member, then its

4 contribution would have been attributable to IFN. However, LZP did not provide the required

5 attribution information when it made three separate contributions to Honor PAC.

The LLC attribution rules apply on their face to all LLC contributions other than in certain situations not presented here (such as those from LLCs electing corporate treatment or that have publicly-traded shares), without regard to the LLC's membership structure or the tax status of the single member. Indeed, the regulations require an LLC to provide attribution information not only for single natural person member LLCs and LLCs that elect to be treated as a partnership, but also for all LLCs that "[do] not elect treatment as either a partnership or corporation."

Further, in its explanation of the LLC rules, the Commission noted that an LLC's provision of attribution information is necessary so that the recipient may make proper attribution of "a contribution made by an eligible multi-member or single member LLC." The Commission has also noted in the context of advisory opinions dating back to 2004 that an LLC

<sup>44</sup> See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e), (g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2).

<sup>46</sup> LLC E&J at 37,399.

MUR 7464 (Honor and Principles PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 14 of 14

- 1 with a sole corporate member that has not elected treatment as a corporation would be treated as
- 2 a partnership under Commission regulations.<sup>47</sup>
- Honor PAC states that "there was nothing suspicious on the face" of LZP's March 28,
- 4 2018, contribution, but does not explain why it did not seek attribution information for a
- 5 contribution it received from an LLC, pursuant to Commission regulations, or after it received
- 6 LZP's subsequent contributions on April 6, 2018 and October 19, 2018.<sup>48</sup> This omission is
- 7 pronounced by LZP's status as Honor PAC's sole reported source of contributions.
- 8 The available information indicates that Honor PAC did not seek attribution information
- 9 at the time LZP made its contributions and, as a result, failed to properly attribute the
- 10 contributions to LZP's single nonprofit corporate member in order to accurately report all
- 11 contributions received. Therefore, the Commission finds reason to believe that Honor and
- 12 Principles PAC and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C.
- § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) and (g)(2), by failing to properly report LLC attribution
- information for the \$35,000 contribution that LZP made on October 19, 2018.

Advisory Opinion 2004-42 at 3 (Pharmavite LLC) (noting that LLC with a sole corporate member was considered a "disregarded entity" by the IRS but that "Commission regulations provide that a non-publicly traded LLC that does not affirmatively elect treatment for tax purposes as a corporation is treated by the Commission as a partnership"); Advisory Opinion 2009-14 at 1, 5 (Mercedes-Benz USA LLC) (stating that the LLC, which had a single corporate member, "is treated as a partnership under Commission regulations because it is a non-publicly traded LLC that has not affirmatively elected treatment as a corporation for tax purposes").

Honor PAC Resp. at 2.