



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20463

1 **MEMORANDUM**

2
3 **TO:** The Commission

4
5 **FROM:** Lisa J. Stevenson
6 Acting General Counsel

7
8 Charles Kitcher
9 Acting Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

10
11 **BY:** Mark Allen *MA*
12 Assistant General Counsel

13
14 Anne B. Robinson *ABR*
15 Attorney

16
17 **SUBJECT:** MURs 7291 and 7449 (DNC, *et al.*) – Request to Reconsider Reason to Believe
18 Finding

19 For the Commission’s information, this Memorandum addresses a request for
20 reconsideration of the Commission’s reason to believe findings in this matter. On July 23, 2019,
21 the Commission found reason to believe that DNC Services Corp./ Democratic National
22 Committee and William Q. Derrough in his official capacity as treasurer (“DNC”) and Hillary
23 for America, Inc. and Elizabeth Jones in her official capacity as treasurer (“HFA”) (collectively,
24 “Respondents”) violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5)(A) and (b)(6)(B)(v) and 11 C.F.R.
25 § 104.3(b)(3)(i) and (b)(4)(i) by misreporting the purpose of funds paid to Fusion GPS through
26 Perkins Coie LLP.¹ The Commission also approved the use of compulsory process.² We
27 notified Respondents of the Commission’s findings and provided them with their respective
28 Factual and Legal Analyses.³

29
30 On October 23, 2019, Respondents submitted a joint response to the Commission’s
31 reason to believe finding.⁴ This response generally rearticulates arguments that Respondents

¹ Certification, MURs 7291 and 7449 (DNC, *et al.*) (July 26, 2019).

² *Id.*

³ Letter to Marc E. Elias, Counsel for HFA, from Chair Ellen L. Weintraub, FEC (Aug. 9, 2019); Letter to Marc E. Elias and Graham M. Wilson, Counsel for DNC, from Chair Ellen L. Weintraub, FEC (Aug. 9, 2019).

⁴ Letter to Anne Robinson, FEC, from Marc E. Elias and Graham M. Wilson, Counsel for Respondents (Oct. 23, 2019) (“RTB Response”). The RTB Response was timely received because the Office of General Counsel

1 previously submitted to the Commission prior to its reason to believe findings.⁵ Respondents
2 assert that the Commission’s reason to believe finding “is based on misplaced assumptions and
3 an overly narrow view of what constitutes ‘legal services’ in the context of a modern presidential
4 campaign.”⁶ Respondents contend that “the Commission should reconsider its finding and
5 conclude that the Committees properly reported the purpose of their disbursements to [Perkins
6 Coie LLP].”⁷ Respondents also “believe there is a strong likelihood that a reconstituted
7 Commission would not agree that there is reason to believe the Committees violated the Act or
8 the Commission Regulations by misreporting the purpose of these expenditures based on a
9 corrected analysis,” noting that “[e]ven if it wished to proceed, the Commission is unable to
10 make any further recommendations at this time.”⁸

11
12 Neither the Act nor Commission regulations provide a procedure by which respondents
13 can submit a request for reconsideration, and Respondents cite no precedent for their request.
14 When respondents have made such requests in similar situations, the Office of General Counsel
15 has sent a letter to respondents stating that no such procedure exists in the Act or Commission
16 regulations.⁹

17
18 Accordingly, in keeping with the Commission’s practice, we plan to send a letter to
19 Respondents informing them that the Act and Commission regulations contain no provision for
20 respondents to request that the Commission reconsider a reason to believe finding. We also
21 intend to continue our investigation.

22
23 Attachment:

24
25 October 23, 2019, Letter to OGC from Counsel for Respondents

provided Respondents with a 45-day extension in exchange for 45 days of tolling of the statute of limitations.
Tolling Agreement, DNC (Aug. 26, 2019); Tolling Agreement, HFA (Aug. 26, 2019).

⁵ Compare RTB Response at 2 (stating that “research conducted by Fusion GPS was for the purpose of supporting [Perkins Coie’s] representation of” HFA and the DNC and that the Respondents did not contract with or make any payments to Fusion GPS), *with* MUR 7291 HFA Resp. at 2 (same), *and* MUR 7291 DNC Resp. at 2 (same).

⁶ RTB Response at 2.

⁷ *Id.* at 3.

⁸ *Id.* at 9.

⁹ See, e.g., Letter to James E. Tyrrell III, Counsel for Lee Zeldin and Zeldin for Senate, from Elena Paoli, FEC, MUR 6985 (Zeldin for Senate) (Aug. 15, 2017) (stating that neither the Act nor Commission regulations contain a provision for the Commission to consider a Request to Rescind Reason to Believe Finding and Dismiss); Letter to James Bopp, Jr. and Barry A. Bostrom, Counsel for National Right to Life PAC, from Roy Q. Lockett, FEC, MUR 6133 (National Right to Life PAC) (May 21, 2009) (stating that the Commission concluded that a Motion to Dismiss with Admonishment presented an inadequate basis for taking no further action).