

Digitally signed  
by Kathryn Ross  
Date: 2018.05.24  
13:42:34 -04'00'



**From:** [Jeff Jordan](mailto:Jeff.Jordan@fec.gov)  
**To:** [CELA](mailto:CELA@fec.gov)  
**Subject:** FW: MURS 7351, 7357, 7382  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 23, 2018 3:38:27 PM

---

---

**From:** CMitchell@foley.com [mailto:CMitchell@foley.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 23, 2018 10:43 AM  
**To:** Jeff Jordan <jjordan@fec.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: MURS 7351, 7357, 7382

That's perfect! I am still going to try and get it submitted by tomorrow, but just wanted a security blanket, in case everything doesn't fall into place! Thanks! Cleta

Cleta Mitchell  
Foley & Lardner LLP  
3000 K Street, N.W. | Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20007-5109  
P 202.295.4081

[cmitchell@foley.com](mailto:cmitchell@foley.com)

[View My Bio](#)  
[Visit Foley.com](#)



---

**From:** Jeff Jordan [mailto:jjordan@fec.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 23, 2018 10:42 AM  
**To:** Mitchell, Cleta  
**Subject:** RE: MURS 7351, 7357, 7382

Hello Ms. Mitchell:

One additional week is fine. How does next Friday June 1<sup>st</sup> sound?

Thanks,

Jeff Jordan

---

**From:** CMitchell@foley.com [mailto:CMitchell@foley.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 23, 2018 10:36 AM  
**To:** Jeff Jordan <jjordan@fec.gov>  
**Subject:** MURS 7351, 7357, 7382

Jeff – I represent the Thom Tillis Committee – principal authorized committee of Sen Tillis (R-NC). We have received the 3 FEC complaints involving the Committee – and wanted to call something to your attention. All of these complaints revolve around a single set of facts, just different complainants and slightly different violations asserted.

MUR 7351 does not name the Committee as a Respondent, but your office has added the Tillis Majority Committee as a Respondent, and we are supposed to file a response by tomorrow – the Tillis Majority Committee is a joint fundraising committee that wasn't established until February 2015, which is AFTER the allegations of the complaint took place.

MUR 7357 also does not name the Committee as a Respondent, but the Commission added the Committee.

MUR 7382 does name the Committee as a Respondent and the complaint was received from your office on Monday of this week.

Our response date is tomorrow to the first two MURs. I know that we would have more time to respond to the last complaint received – but it makes no sense not to address these collectively. I am hoping to file the response tomorrow as scheduled – but it occurred to me that since there are some mistakes in the identity of the Respondent added by your office in MUR 7351, and since I just received the last complaint in MUR 7382, perhaps you would allow me one additional week to respond to all three complaints. I may find that I don't need that additional time, as I am working on the response today and hope to file it by COB tomorrow.

But since there are these other administrative issues, and in the event I do not receive the signed affidavits by tomorrow, I wonder if you would allow additional time to respond to all three, which would be later than the original extension in MURS 7351 and 7357, but early for responding to MUR 7382 – would that be possible? Let me know. Thanks. Cleta

[Cleta Mitchell](#)

Foley & Lardner LLP  
3000 K Street, N.W. | Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20007-5109  
P 202.295.4081

[cmitchell@foley.com](mailto:cmitchell@foley.com)

[View My Bio](#)

[Visit Foley.com](#)



The preceding email message may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client or work-

product privileges. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message and any attachments or copies. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance on the contents of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. Unintended transmission does not constitute waiver of the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party. Unless expressly stated otherwise, nothing contained in this message should be construed as a digital or electronic signature, nor is it intended to reflect an intention to make an agreement by electronic means.

The preceding email message may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client or work-product privileges. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message and any attachments or copies. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance on the contents of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. Unintended transmission does not constitute waiver of the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party. Unless expressly stated otherwise, nothing contained in this message should be construed as a digital or electronic signature, nor is it intended to reflect an intention to make an agreement by electronic means.