

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Stuart A. Shorenstein Cozen O'Connor 277 Park Avenue New York, NY 10172

MAR 2 2 2019

RE: MUR 7356

Manhattan Neighborhood Network

Dear Mr. Shorenstein:

On April 4, 2018, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your clients of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On March 14, 2019, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to dismiss allegations that Manhattan Neighborhood Network violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Kristina Portner, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:

General Counsel's Report.

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT

MUR: 7356 Respondents: Time Warner,

Manhattan Neighborhood

Complaint Receipt Date: March 28, 2018 Network,

Response Date: June 8, 2018¹ City of New York

EPS Rating:

Alleged Statutory 52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(B)(i) Regulatory Violations: 11 C.F.R. § 100.73

The Complaint alleges that Time Warner, Manhattan Neighborhood Network, and the City of New York provided a prohibited contribution by broadcasting a 12-hour get-out-the-vote marathon on November 8, 2016, which supported Hillary Clinton for President.² Manhattan Neighborhood Network responds that its actions are shielded by the media exemption, as it is not owned or controlled by a candidate, political committee, or political party and it operates the public access channels in Manhattan.³

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in

No response was received from Time Warner or the City of New York.

The Complaint also contains a number of allegations outside of the Commission's jurisdiction, which are not addressed here.

Manhattan Neighborhood Network also asserts that the news program did not contain an appeal to vote for or against a specific candidate, and that the only mention of any candidate was a prediction that Clinton won.

EPS Dismissal Report—MUR 7356 (Time Warner, et al.) Page 2 of 2

potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the fact that the media exemption appears to cover Respondents' activity, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985). We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents and send the appropriate letters.

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

2.27.19

Date

BY:

Stephen Gura

Deputy Associate General Counsel

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Kristina M. Portner

Attorney