

Mr. Mitchell Epner Rottenberg Lipman Rich, P.C. 230 Park Avenue Eighteenth Floor New York, New York 10169

JUL 1 2 2018

RE:

MUR 7352

Regina Glocker

Dear Mr. Epner:

On April 3, 2018, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your client of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On July 11, 2018, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to find no reason to believe the allegation that Regina Glocker violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Kristina Portner, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1518.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jofdan

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis

1

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | RESPONDENTS:  This matter v  | Gillibrand for Senate and Keith Lowey in his official capacity a Senator Kirsten Gillibrand, Hedley May L.P., and Regina Glocker was generated by a complaint alleging v |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 10                                   | Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and Commission regulations by Gillibrand for                              |  |  |
| 11                                   | Senate and Keith Lowey in his official capacity as treasurer ("the Committee") <sup>1</sup> , Senator Kirsten          |  |  |
| 12                                   | Gillibrand, Hedley May L.P., and Regina Glocker. It was scored as a low-rated matter under the                         |  |  |
| 13                                   | Enforcement Priority System, by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis to                        |  |  |
| 14                                   | allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.   |  |  |
| 15                                   | Based on a March 10, 2018, news article, the Complaint alleges that Hedley May L.P.                                    |  |  |
| 16                                   | made a prohibited corporate contribution to the Committee. Specifically, complainant alleges                           |  |  |
| 17                                   | that Regina Glocker of Hedley May L.P. used corporate resources to conduct opposition                                  |  |  |
| 18                                   | research for Kristen Gillibrand and the Committee, and that Hedley May was not compensated                             |  |  |
| 19                                   | for the research. <sup>2</sup> Glocker denies that she conducted any opposition research, <sup>3</sup> Hedley May L.P. |  |  |
| 20                                   | denies that any corporate resources were used to provide services to Gillibrand or the                                 |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kirsten Gillibrand is a United States Senator from New York. Gillibrand for Senate is her principal campaign committee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Comp. at 1 (Mar. 27, 2018); see also Emily Smith, How Kirsten Gillibrand is getting 'dirt' on her GOP opponent, NEW YORK POST, Mar. 10, 2018, available at <a href="https://pagesix.com/2018/03/10/how-kirsten-gilibrand-is-getting-dirt-on-her-gop-opponent/">https://pagesix.com/2018/03/10/how-kirsten-gilibrand-is-getting-dirt-on-her-gop-opponent/</a> (last visited June 14, 2018).

Glocker Resp. at 2 (May 18, 2018); see also Id. Ex. 1, Gale Kroeger Aff. at ¶ 4.

Case Closure — MUR 7352 (Gillibrand for Senate, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 2

- 1 Committee, 4 and Gillibrand and the Committee deny that they engaged or received any
- 2 opposition research from any person, including Glocker and Hedley May L.P.<sup>5</sup>
- 3 The Act and Commission regulations prohibit a candidate's principal campaign
- 4 committee from accepting corporate contributions, and prohibit a corporation from making a
- 5 contribution to a candidate's principal campaign committee.<sup>6</sup> The provision of any goods or
- 6 services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and normal charge for such
- 7 goods or services is an in-kind contribution.<sup>7</sup>
- 8 There is no information available to suggest that Glocker or Hedley May L.P. provided
- 9 opposition research to Gillibrand or the Committee. The allegation is speculative and
- 10 Respondents have denied the allegation, including in a sworn affidavit from the Chief Financial
- 11 Officer of Hedley May L.P. Therefore, the Commission finds no reason to believe that
- 12 Gillibrand for Senate and Keith Lowey in his official capacity as treasurer, Senator Kirsten
- Gillibrand, Hedley May L.P., or Regina Glocker violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) or 11 C.F.R. §
- 14 114.2(b).

Hedley May L.P. Resp. at 1 (May 29, 2018).

<sup>5</sup> Committee Resp. at 2 (Jun. 11, 2018).

<sup>6 52</sup> U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d).