

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

JUL 13 2018

Mr. William Cerone

Greenwood Lake, NY 10925

RE: MUR 7334

Dear Mr. Cerone:

The Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint received on February 28, 2018. On July 11, 2018, based upon the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to John McCann for Congress and Ralph A. Contini, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Mike Strada for Sheriff and Kimberley D. Strada, in her official capacity as treasurer, and close its file in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on July 11, 2018. A copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure

Factual and Legal Analysis

2

8

9 10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 ·

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS John McCann for Congress, and MUR 7334

RESPONDENTS: John McCann for Congress, and
Ralph Contini, as treasurer
(collectively the "Committee")
Mike Strada for Sheriff, and
Kim Strada, as Treasurer

(collectively the "Strada Committee")

I. INTRODUCTION

12 This matter was generated by a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election

- 13 Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by the Committee.
- 14 It was scored as a low-rated matter under the Enforcement Priority System, by which the
- 15 Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis to allocate its resources and decide which
- 16 matters to pursue.

17 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

18 A. Factual Background

The Complaint alleges that Mike Strada for Sheriff and Kim Strada, in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Strada Committee") made a prohibited in-kind contribution, which John McCann for Congress and Ralph Contini, in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), 1 accepted. Specifically, the Complaint alleges that the Strada Committee, a state committee, paid for a February 21, 2018, "Meet & Greet" fundraiser for McCann, and contends that the Strada Committee may have paid for it with funds not subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act. The Complaint included a copy of an invitation flyer for the fundraiser

McCann is a 2018 candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives in New Jersey's Fifth District,

Compl. at 1 (February 28, 2018). The Complaint also implies, but does not directly allege, that the event in question may have been an excessive in-kind contribution to the Committee. Id.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Case Closure — MUR 7334 (John McCann for Congress, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2

- that includes the disclaimer "Paid for by Michael Strada for Sheriff." The Committee responds
- 2 that it paid for the event and reported the disbursement on its 2018 April Quarterly Report.⁴.

B. Legal Analysis

Candidates for federal office are prohibited from receiving funds in connection with an election for federal office unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. Candidates for state or local office, or agents of such candidates, are prohibited from spending any funds for a public communication that refers to a clearly identified candidate for federal office, and that promotes or supports any candidate for that federal office, unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. Additionally, political committees are required to file reports of receipts and disbursements with the Commission, including itemizing contributions to the reporting committee from persons whose contribution or contributions have an aggregate amount or value in excess of \$200 within the calendar year. Itemized in-kind contributions must be reported as both itemized contributions and itemized expenditures on the same report. The Act and Commission regulations define "contribution" as any "gift ... or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." "Anything of value"

³ Id., Attach. There is no information that the Committee approved the flyer. Also, the available information does not indicate how much the flyer cost or how many copies were distributed.

Resp. at 1 (April 30, 2018). The Committee's disclosure report shows a disbursement dated March 2, 2018, in the amount of \$3,935.03, payable to Island Services III, LLC d/b/a Mohawk House. See John McCann for Congress 2018 April Quarterly Report at 20.

^{5 52} U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 300.61.

See 52 U.S.C. § 30125(f)(1); see also 11 C.F.R. § 300.71.

⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a), (b)(2)(A), (b)(3)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(3)(i)(A), (a)(3)(iii), (a)(4)(i), (ii).

⁸ See 11 C.F.R. § 104.13(a)(1), (2).

^{9 52} U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A)(i); see also 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(a).

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Case Closure — MUR 7334 (John McCann for Congress, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 3

- 1 includes all in-kind contributions. 10 Whenever any person makes a disbursement for a public
- 2 communication that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate,
- 3 he or she must include a disclaimer. 11 Public communications authorized by a candidate, an
- 4 authorized committee of a candidate, or an agent of either but paid for by another person, must
- 5 clearly state that the communications were paid for by such person but authorized by the political
- 6 committee. 12 If the communication is not authorized by a candidate, an authorized political
- 7 committee of a candidate, or its agents, the communication shall clearly state the name and
- 8 permanent street address, telephone number, or World Wide Web address of the person who paid
- 9 for the communication and state that the communication is not authorized by any candidate or
- 10 candidate's committee. 13

The Committee's Response and its 2018 April Quarterly Report indicate the Committee paid some costs related to the fundraiser, and the face of the invitation shows that the Strada Committee paid for the flyer. Also, the disclaimer on the flyer does not contain all required information. However, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket and in light of the modest amounts likely at issue, the Commission dismisses all the allegations consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30120; 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(2), (b). See also 11 C.F.R. § 110.22. Also, when a person that is not a political committee makes independent expenditures aggregating in excess of \$250 with respect to a given election in a calendar year, they are required to file a report on FEC Form 5. 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(b). However, because there is no information regarding the cost of the flyer, the Commission makes no determination as to this issue.

⁵² U.S.C. § 30120(a)(2); see also 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(2).

¹³ 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)(3); see also 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(3).