



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014
9070 Irvine Center Drive, #150
Irvine, CA 92618

JUN 20 2018

RE: MUR 7323
Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor
2014

Dear Sir/Madam:

On February 22, 2018, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On June 19, 2018, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to dismiss allegations that Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014 violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Kristina Portner, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1518.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson
Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENTS: Walters for Congress and MUR 7323
Jen Slater in her official capacity as treasurer,
Mimi Walters,
Friends of Mimi Walters for Senate 2012,
Senator Mimi Walters 2012 Officeholder Account, and
Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014

This matter was generated by a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and Commission regulations by Walters for Congress and Jen Slater in her official capacity as treasurer ("the Federal Committee"), Mimi Walters, Friends of Mimi Walters for Senate 2012, Senator Mimi Walters 2012 Officeholder Account, and Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014. It was scored as a low-rated matter under the Enforcement Priority System, by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.

The Complaint alleges that the Federal Committee and Walters used campaign funds from her three state campaign committees, Friends of Mimi Walters for Senate 2012, Senator Mimi Walters 2012 Officeholder Account, and Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014 (the "State Committees") to benefit her 2014 congressional campaign.¹ Specifically, the Complainant alleges that the State Committees, which may have accepted contributions not subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act, made payments between July 2013 and November 2014 totaling over \$8,000 to Keena Thomas Communications LLC and Thomas Communications Group after Walters announced her federal candidacy in July 2013 and was no

¹ Compl. at 1 (Feb. 20, 2018).

1 longer seeking state office.² Complainant further notes that the Federal Committee also made
2 several expenditures to the same two vendors during that time period.³

3 The Federal Committee and Walters acknowledge that the State Committees made these
4 disbursements, but assert that they were for expenses connected to Walters's position as a
5 California State Senator and her aborted campaign for Orange County Supervisor.⁴ With
6 regards to the payments from the Friends of Mimi Walters for Senate 2012 and Senator Mimi
7 Walters 2012 Officeholder Account, the Federal Committee and Walters assert that the
8 payments were for non-state-reimbursed expenses for constituent outreach that Walters incurred
9 in connection with her State Senate duties, as permitted under California law, and that none of
10 the communications or events were connected to Walters's federal campaign.⁵ With regards to
11 the payment from Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014, the Federal Committee and
12 Walters maintain that the payment was for services incurred in connection with Walters's
13 aborted campaign for Orange County Supervisor.⁶

² Compl. at 2-4. Complainant identifies three payments to Keena Thomas Communications from Friends of Mimi Walters for Senate 2012 (July 31, 2013 payment of \$125; September 6, 2013 payment of \$1045.40; September 6, 2013 payment of \$737.50), one payment to Keena Thomas Communications from Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014 (July 8, 2013 payment of \$938.34), five payments to Keena Thomas Communications from Senator Mimi Walters 2012 Officeholder Account (December 3, 2013 payment of \$1,362.50; December 9, 2013 payment of \$311.50; January 20, 2014 payment of \$832.87; February 6, 2014 payment of \$608.23; March 11, 2014 payment of \$309.00), six payments to Thomas Communications Group from Friends of Mimi Walters for Senate 2012 (June 4, 2014 payment of \$234; July 3, 2014 payment of \$209; August 8, 2014 payment of \$246.50; September 12, 2014 payment of \$184; October 6, 2014 payment of \$184; November 10, 2014 payment of \$309), and one payment to Thomas Communications Group from Senator Mimi Walters 2012 Officeholder Account (April 7, 2014 payment of \$459). *Id.*

³ *Id.* at 4. During the 2014 election cycle, the Federal Committee paid \$3,472.23 to Keena Thomas Communications and \$2,473.21 to Thomas Communications Group. *Id.*

⁴ Resp. at 2-4 (Mar. 8, 2018).

⁵ *Id.* at 3.

⁶ *Id.* at 2. The \$938.34 payment to Kenna Thomas Communications from Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014 was a monthly retainer for services rendered in the month of June 2013 to the state committee and a \$13.34 postage expense. *Id.*

1 The Act prohibits a federal candidate from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or
2 spending funds in connection with a federal campaign unless the funds are subject to the
3 limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirement of the Act.⁷ The Act further provides that
4 this prohibition does not apply to the solicitation, receipt, or spending of funds by an individual
5 who is or was also a candidate for a state or local office solely in connection with such election
6 for state or local office so long as the solicitation, receipt, or spending of funds is permitted
7 under state law.⁸

8 The available information shows that the State Committees and Federal Committee used
9 the same vendors,⁹ and the State Committees continued to make payments to the vendors after
10 Walters announced her federal candidacy. The Federal Committee and Walters, however,
11 specifically deny any violation.

12 In furtherance of the Commission's priorities relative to other matters pending on the
13 Enforcement docket, the relatively modest amounts at issue, and the impending statute of
14 limitations,¹⁰ the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegations
15 as to Walters for Congress and Jen Slater, in her official capacity as treasurer, Mimi Walters,
16 Friends of Mimi Walters for Senate 2012, Senator Mimi Walters 2012 Officeholder Account,
17 and Friends of Mimi Walters for Supervisor 2014. *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32
18 (1985).

⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1). Commission regulations also prohibit a candidate from transferring funds or assets from a candidate's committee for a nonfederal election to her principal campaign committee or other authorized committee for a federal election. 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d).

⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(2).

⁹ The two vendors, Kenna Thomas Communications and Thomas Communications Group, appear related. Kate Keena and Barb Thomas were principals in Keena Thomas Communications, LLC. Resp. at 3. When the principals parted ways, Barb Thomas established Thomas Communications Group. *Id.*

¹⁰ As indicated in note 2, all of the alleged violations relate to 2014 cycle activity, and many were imperiled under the statute of limitations at the time the Complaint was filed.