New York 100 The State	Fram: To: Ce:	Jerenny S. Gold Dan Carnabell CELA
ecentry Gold The constant in the comparing in mitro decay participant, per participant, income and per participant, per partitary per partici	Subject: Date: Attackments:	Friday, July 28, 2017 7:57:59 AM
Term: Con Campbell (nulliscitempetition (e.g. equal) is interrupted granul constant in the same names in which you submitted the initial complaint. FreeV is the student is uppering a councertaintion for the allegations in your initial complaint, you do not need to have the two information source to an output (ed. However, if you are alleging new richtston, or an alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, you do not need to have the two information source to an output (ed. However, if you are alleging new richtston, or an alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, you do not need to have the two information source to an output (ed. However, if you are alleging new richtston, or an alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, you do not need to have the two information source to an output (ed. However, if you are alleging new richtston, or an alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, you do not need to have the two information source to an output (ed. However, if you are alleging new richtston, or an alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. However, if you are alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. How you conclude the student of the allegations in the two information. Please let me know if there is anything else my lawyer or i can provide at this time. Thanks Print you, bar plenty of information and background to share to hopefully i can ahare this information. Please let me know if there is anything else my lawyer or i can provide at this time. Thanks Print you, Conc. Completi <a href="https://www.completing.com/line" line"="" td="" www.com="" www.com<=""><td colspan="2">Please see attached for additional information. Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions.</td>	Please see attached for additional information. Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions.	
Iset: Torong 100 (2007) PM (2007)	Jeremy Gold	
If you'd like to submit more information, you may submit a Complaint. Supplement in the same manner in which you submitted the initiat complaint. If you are sinding in only supporting documentation for the allegations in you initiat complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. However, if you are alleging new initiations, or are alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. However, if you are alleging new initiations, or are alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. However, if you are alleging new initiations, or are alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. Prime Premy Golf Institution commission ElaBelice acc From: Premy Golf Institution complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. From Strenge Golf Institution commission From: Premy Golf Institution complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. From Strenge Golf Institution commission From: Premy Golf Institution commission From strenge Golf Institution commission From strenge Golf Institution commission From: Strenge Golf Institution and background to share to hopefully I can share this information. Please let me know if there is anything else my lawyer or I can provide at this time. Thanks From: Premy Golf Institution and background to share to provide at esolution in the next month or so. Thank you, Golf, Regarding MUR 2704, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolu	From: Don Campbell (mailto:dcampbell@fec.gov) Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2017 9:07 PM To: jerenysgold@gmail.com Cc: CELA CELA@fec.gov Subject: RE: MUR 7204	
You are sending in only supporting documentation for the allegations in your initial complaint, you do not need to have the new information sworn to and notarized. However, if you are alleging new inditions, or are alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. However, if you are alleging new inditions, or are alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized. Frank You, Don Campbell Unrawy Sile of General Counsel Extenditions or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of Counsel Extenditions or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or remy Gold (mailtournewspecif/Branil com) Sile of The Counsel Extendition or resolution in the next month or so.	Hello Mr. Gold,	
An analysic of the second s	If you'd like to submit more information, you may submit a Complaint. Supplement in the same manner in which you submitted the initial complaint.	
Doe Campbell Victories Diffee of Saneral Counsel (selerat Election Commission Election Counsel) (selerat: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:55 PM (sei Don Campbell science) (selerat: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:55 PM (sei Don Campbell science) (selerat: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:55 PM (sei Don Campbell science) (selerat: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:55 PM (sei Don Campbell science) (selerat: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:55 PM (selerat: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:14 x45 PM, Don Campbell science) (selerat: Sunday, July 22, 2017, selerat: S	If you are sending in only supporting documentation for the allegations in your initial complaint, you do not need to have the new information sworn to and notarized. However, if you are alleging new violations, or are alleging activity by respondents who were not named in the original complaint, the new allegations must be sworn to and notarized.	
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:55 PM (a: Don Campbell < <u>dtampbell/Bice.gone</u>) subject: Re: MUR 7204 Fhank you, ISG Dn Jun 29, 2017, at 4:45 PM, Don Campbell < <u>dtampbell@isc.800</u> > wrote: Helio Mr. Gold, Regarding MUR 7204, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolution in the next month or so. Thank you, Don Campbell Attompy Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission From: Jeremy 5. Gold [mailto:Jeremsandd@email.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM To: CELA < <u>CELA@ile.goop</u> Subject: Matter MUR 7204		
Thank you, ISG On Jun 29, 2017, at 4:45 PM, Don Campbell < <u>sk ampbril/@frc_eox</u> > wrote: Hello Mr. Gold, Regarding MUR 7204, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolution in the next month or so. Thank you, Dan Campbell Attorney Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission From: Jeremy S. Gold [mailto:Jeremuseold@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM To: CELA < <u>CELA@let_gov></u> Subject: Matter MUR 7204	From: Jeremy Gold (<u>mailto;jeremysgold@gmail.com</u>) Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2017 8:55 PM To: Don Campbell « <u>dramubell@fer.gov</u> > Subject: Re: MUR 7204	
ISG Dn Jun 29, 2017, at 4:45 PM, Don Campbell < <u>dc ampbcil/@frc eov</u> > wrote: Hello Mr. Gold, Regarding MUR 7204, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolution in the next month or so. Thank you, Don Campbell Attorney Office of General Counsel Federal Electon Commission From: Jeremy S. Gold [multicleremyseold@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM To: CELA < <u>CELA@Let.gsv</u> Subject: Matter MUR 7204	Thank you. I have plenty of information and background to share to hopefully I can share this information. Please let me know if there is anything else my lawyer or I can provide at this time. Thanks	
Dn Jun 29, 2017, at 4:45 PM, Don Campbell <u>stramphell@fcc.eov</u> > wrote: Hello Mr. Gold, Regarding MUR 7204, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolution in the next month or so. Thank you, Don Campbell Attorney Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission From: Jeremy S. Gold <u>(mailto:Jeremysoold@gmail.com)</u> Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM To: CELA <u>sci:Adlec.gov</u> Subject: Matter MUR 7204	Thank you,	
Hello Mr. Gold, Regarding MUR 7204, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolution in the next month or so. Thank you, Don Campbell Attorney Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission From: Jeremy S. Gold [mailto:leremysgold@email.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM To: CELA < <ela@lec.gov 7204<="" matter="" mur="" subject:="" td=""><td>JSG</td><td></td></ela@lec.gov>	JSG	
Regarding MUR 7204, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolution in the next month or so. Thank you, Don Campbell Attorney Office of General Counsel Federal Electuon Commission From: Jeremy 5. Gold [mailto:leremysoold@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM To: CELA < <elable: gos=""> Subject: Matter MUR 7204</elable:>	On Jun 29, 2017, at 4:45 PM, Don Campbell < <u>dcampbell@fec_eov</u> > wrote:	
Thank you, Don Campbell Attorney Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission From: Jeremy S. Gold <u>(mailto:le:emvsgold@email.com)</u> Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 S:10 PM To: CELA < <u>CELA@lec.gov</u> > Subject: Matter MUR 7204	Hello Mi	. Gold,
Don Campbell Attorney Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission 	Regardir	g MUR 7204, the matter is currently in process and you should find out a resolution in the next month or so.
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM To: CELA ≪ <u>ELA@lcc.gox</u> > Subject: Matter MUR 7204	Don Can Attorney Office of	npbel/ / / General Counsel
	Sent: Tu To: CEL/	esday, June 27, 2017 5:10 PM . \< <u>⊈Ei A@lec.go</u> y>
To Whom It May Concern-	Subject	Matter MUR 7204
Lan writing to inquire show the state of Matter MUR 2004. The completed lefted was that the cannaign had not connectly listed the debt owed to me. They have since listed the		-

that writing to inquire about the status of Matter MUK /204. The complaint I hied was that the campaign had not correctly listed the debt owed to me. They have since listed the correct amount of debt, but still not paid any of it. Some of this debt is a year old at this point so if there is anything I can do to expedite the matter I would be most grateful. Thank you.

.

.

Jeremy Gold

.

Overview of delinquency by Foster Campbell for the US Senate to the Gold Standard - 2016

My firm ("The Gold Standard") was hired by Foster Campbell for the US Senate ("FCUSS") and signed a contract in April of 2016. Alan Miller, who is an attorney in Baton Rouge and close friend of the candidate Mr. Foster Campbell, was the one who hired me and with whom I had my initial contact. When my contract began, I started working with Eric Foglesong, who was hired as the campaign manager, but lived in Florida and would fly to Louisiana for the work week. I was paid for April and May in full (although I did agree to lower my fee for these first two months as the campaign was just getting started). I only received payment for a portion of my June retainer (\$5,000 of \$7,500) and did not receive payment for July (\$7,500), August (\$7,500), September (\$7,500), October (\$7,500), November (\$7,500), or December (half month - \$3,750). The total amount my firm is owed by FCUSS is \$43,750 (breakdown illustrated below).

June - \$2,500

July - \$7,500

August - \$7,500

September - \$7,500

October - \$7,500

November - \$7,500

December - \$3,750

Total \$43,750

The campaign did not report my outstanding invoices as debt until the first quarter filing of 2017, despite my monthly 2016 submissions of invoices and my discussions with the campaign that they needed to be listed as debt. At the end of the 3rd quarter 2016 filing deadline, they didn't list any debt, despite being 3.5 months behind in payment to my firm. At this point I enlisted the help of a lawyer to submit a letter to the FEC to report that they were not properly listing my debt and about their noncompliance. They never responded to any correspondence from my attorney and continued to improperly list the debt (in the preprimary filing they listed two months debt at \$5,500, so they had the wrong amount and wrong number of months), especially when they were in the runoff and had several million dollars in the campaign account (a time at which the candidate reimbursed himself \$500,000 of his \$750,000 loan).

In March of 2017, I finally spoke to Alan Miller, who told me that Mr. Campbell would settle all debts owed to me for only \$5,000, when in fact the campaign owed me \$43,750. He told me that the campaign was insolvent and I had no recourse as it is a corporate entity and not a person. I countered and said I would settle for \$30,000, but he came back to me and said he was sticking with his \$5,000 offer. I told him the campaign had money to pay my debt during the runoff but they didn't do so. I am not even sure any of this is legal as it would likely be considered an in-kind contribution that would exceed the personal contribution limit. I worked with Eric Foglesong, the Campaign Manager, who always told me he was working on getting my invoices paid, or that a payment was coming and reassured me that I wouldn't be left "holding the bag.". Eric spoke with Tom Lopach the Executive Director of the DSCC on October 12th, 2016 and told him the campaign was just a month behind in paying me and would get me caught up ASAP so that the DSCC would pay for a poll for the campaign. I also spoke with Dot Landry who worked for the Accounting firm that was signed on as a treasurer for the campaign and she told me that Mr. Campbell stopped approving the invoices.

The campaign requested that I travel to Baton Rouge in July 2016 to help with fundraising and make calls with Mr. Campbell. I spent two days there in early July and had very productive talks and meetings. Mr. Campbell even remarked to his campaign manager at one point "he's really good, where did we find him?" Even though he heaped praise on me, I was still not paid for that month. The campaign had several stories, at one point claiming that they fired me in August, even though that never happened and they submitted no termination as is required per our agreement requiring 30 days' notice. Once I had a lawyer reach out they stopped communication except one text from Eric Foglesong saying that since I had retained counsel, they couldn't speak to me. At no point did they ever terminate our agreement. Furthermore, I was given assignments into November 2016 (which I have email and text traffic to illustrate), which wouldn't have made sense if I had been terminated in August with just a 30-day termination agreement.

Additionally, in late October of 2016, I spoke with Alan Miller and made some suggestions about calls that Mr. Campbell should make. Mr. Campbell had been hesitant to make calls to anyone outside of Louisiana. I explained to him several times that in a contested primary, that he needed to convince donors that he was in a race that could be won. The calls I suggested to Alan Miller were made by Mr. Campbell and resulted in money received for the campaign. Mr. Miller asked me them to compile a list of all money that I had a hand in raising and I supplied a thorough email to Alan listing all the money I helped raise, which didn't include money I raised in Louisiana by making calls and inviting Louisianans to the events. Also, Eric Foglesong and I agreed during September and October 2016 there were many contributions that I "received credit" for (including over \$30,000 in labor money raised and over \$25,000 in national money raised) that the campaign tried to rescind in November.

After sensing my frustration, the campaign tried to make deals (like suggesting that they would pay me 50% of any new money that I raised from a certain point on, or asking if I could steer money to the super PAC supporting Mr. Campbell). I told them that wasn't legal and I asked to be paid according to my contract. As the campaign was consistently understaffed, the campaign manager shouldered more of the staffing burden as he split his time between Louisiana and Florida where he lived with his family. The campaign started coming up with different excuses for not paying me. Mr. Campbell had the right per our contract to fire me if he wasn't happy with my performance, but he never did that. I have numerous email and text traffic to show work done and assignments from the campaign into November of 2016, so the comments about being terminated in August are false and an attempt to minimize the amount they owe me.

During the runoff in November, the campaign raised almost \$5 million, and although they had all my invoices, never responded to me, and spent their money repaying the candidates loan and other expenses. Furthermore, the campaign didn't list the debt due to me on their FEC reports, which is why I enlisted the

help of a law firm to write my initial complaint to the FEC that the campaign wasn't listing my debt. Mr. Campbell was repaid \$500,000 of his three \$250,000 loans he made to the campaign during this period and it appears they paid off most of their debt, except to me. Now they have listed my debt properly of \$43,750, but have done nothing to pay it or raise money to pay off their debts. I think it is just wrong, legally and morally to not pay your bills. This is behavior we don't condone and I am hopeful that proper restitution can take place.