

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

## <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

AUG 0 4 2017

Ms. Julie Hamm

Palmer, AK 99645

**RE:** MUR 7164

Dear Ms. Hamm:

The Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint received on October 27, 2016. Based upon the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss certain allegations and to find no reason to believe as to other allegations, and close the file in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file on August 3, 2017. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

If you have any questions, please contact Wanda D. Brown, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

**Acting General Counsel** 

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure: Factual and Legal Analysis

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
3 4	RESPONDENTS: Alaska Republican Party and MUR 7164  Julie Tisdale, as treasurer
5	Tuckerman Babcock
6 7	I. INTRODUCTION
-	
8	This matter was generated by a Complaint alleging that the Alaska Republican Party,
9	Chairman Tuckerman Babcock, and Julie Tisdale in her official capacity as treasurer
0	(collectively the "Committee") violated the Act and Commission regulations by failing to timel
1	file a Statement of Organization naming the current treasurer and filing reports with the former
2	treasurer's name. Further, the Complaint alleges that Tisdale failed to accurately disclose cash
3	on hand held by the Committee's "Victory Fund" in its 2016 June and September Monthly
4	disclosure reports. <sup>2</sup> Finally, the Complaint alleges that the Committee failed to include a proper
5	disclaimer on its mailer that opposed a Libertarian candidate for U.S. Senate. <sup>3</sup>
6	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
7	The Committee responds that it revised its Statement of Organization as soon as Tisdale
8	officially became the treasurer, its cash-on-hand disclosures were accurate, and its disclaimer
9	was sufficiently complete.4
:0	Political committees must file a Statement of Organization naming, among other things,
21	the name of the committee's treasurer. Any change in the information provided to the

Compl. at 1 (Oct. 27, 2016).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Id. See also Compl. Attach. 7.

Resp. at 1 (Nov. 17, 2016); Resp. Attach. at 1. Tisdale avers that all Committee reports, including those that have been amended, are now accurate.

- Commission, including the name of the committee's treasurer, must be reported in an amended
- Statement of Organization within 10 days of the change. Further, the treasurer must file reports 2
- of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the provisions of the Act. These reports must 3
- include the amount of the committee's cash on hand at the beginning of the reporting period.8
- 5 Additionally, the Act and Commission regulations require disclaimers on public communications
- made by political committees.9 6

17044424722

- 7 Some of state committee's reports indicate that Tisdale may have become the treasurer as
- 8 early as June 2016, but the Committee did not amend its Statement of Organization until October
- 26, 2016. However, given the Committee's corrective action, and in furtherance of the 9
- 10 Commission's priorities, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the
- 11 Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion and dismissed the allegation that the
- Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30103(c) and 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(2).11 12

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30103(b)(4); 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(1)(iv).

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30103(c); 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(2).

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30104(a)(1).

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30104(b)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(1).

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30120(a), (c); 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)-(c). A "public communication" is defined as a "communication by means of any broadcast, cable or satellite communication, newspaper, magazine, outdoor advertising facility, mass mailing, or telephone bank to the general public, or any other form of general public advertising." 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. The regulations further require that disclaimers for printed communications must be conspicuous and clearly state that they are paid for or authorized by a candidate or candidate's committee, state. the committee's street address, telephone number, or web address, be of sufficient type size to be readable, and be in a printed box set apart from the rest of the communication. 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1), (c)(1) and (2).

Alaska Republican Party, FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization (Oct. 26, 2016).

Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

8

9

10

MUR 7164 (Alaska Republican Party) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 3

There is no information to suggest that the Committee misreported its cash on hand in its

2 2016 disclosure reports. 12 Therefore, the Commission found no reason to believe that the

3 Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(1).

Finally, the disclaimer on the mailer was deficient because it did not include a statement

5 that the mailer was not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee, a printed box

around the disclaimer, and the physical address or web address of the Committee. Although the

7 disclaimer is insufficient, it nevertheless includes information indicating that the Committee was

responsible for it, as well as a mailing address. Therefore, in consideration of the Commission's

priorities, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Commission

exercised its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegation that the Committee violated

11 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a), (c), and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)-(c). 13

Although the Committee amended its 2016 June Monthly report, the cash on hand amount remained the same. According to the Reports Analysis Division, the Committee only reported a \$35 increase in receipts.

<sup>13</sup> Heckler at 470 U.S. 821.