

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

Fred Wertheimer Democracy 21 2000 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

MAR 1 1 2019

RE: MUR 7002

Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley S. Knippa, as Treasurer Senator Rafael Edward "Ted" Cruz Heidi Cruz

Dear Mr. Wertheimer:

This is in reference to the complaint you filed with the Federal Election Commission on January 22, 2016, concerning Ted Cruz for Senate, Senator Rafael Edward Ted Cruz and Heidi Cruz. The Commission, on July 31, 2018, found reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley Knippa in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4) in connection with misreported loans totaling \$1,064,000; found no reason to believe Senator Cruz violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4); and voted to close the file as to Heidi Cruz. On February 20, 2019, a conciliation agreement signed by Ted Cruz for Senate was accepted by the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission closed the file in this matter on February 20, 2019.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's finding is enclosed. A copy of the agreement with Ted Cruz for Senate is also enclosed for your information.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

MUR 7002 Democracy 21 Page 2

If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

BY: Jin Lee Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures Factual and Legal Analysis Conciliation Agreement

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

Senator Rafael Edward "Ted" Cruz **RESPONDENTS:** Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley S. Knippa 5 in his official capacity as treasurer 6

MURs: 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009 and 7455

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

7 8

19044461100

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003 and 7009 involve allegations that Senator Rafael Edward "Ted" 9 Cruz and Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley Knippa in his official capacity as treasurer (the 10 "Committee"), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by 11 misreporting that loans Cruz made to his 2012 Senate campaign were funded with Cruz's 12 "personal funds," rather than a line of credit with Citibank and a margin loan from a Goldman 13 14 Sachs brokerage account that he owned jointly with his wife. In MUR 7003, the Complaint alleges that the violations were knowing and willful. In RAD Referral 16L-09, the Reports 15 Analysis Division ("RAD") also referred the Committee to the Office of General Counsel 16 ("OGC") for failing to properly report those loans.¹ 17 The Committee and Senator Cruz ("Cruz Respondents") admit that Senator Cruz funded 18 19 loans to the Committee with a line of credit from Citibank and a margin loan from a Goldman 20 Sachs brokerage account. The Cruz Respondents also admit that the Committee failed to 21 disclose those loan sources on the reports the Committee filed with the Commission.² The Cruz 22 Respondents nevertheless argue that the Commission should dismiss the violations because the

Resp. of Cruz Respondents to MURs 7001, 7002, and 7003 (Apr. 4, 2016) ("Resp. of Cruz Respondents") at 1. The Cruz Respondents filed a single response to MURs 7001, 7002, and 7003 and asked the Commission to consider that response as their response to MUR 7009, as well as their response to the RAD Referral. E-mail from Chris Gober, counsel for the Cruz Respondents, to Jeff S. Jordan, Complaints Examination and Legal Administration ("CELA"), FEC (May 18, 2016, 12:51 EST); e-mail from Chris Gober to CELA, FEC (Dec. 20, 2016, 11:49 EST).

See Memorandum from Patricia C. Orrock, Chief Compliance Officer, FEC, to Daniel A. Petalas, Acting General Counsel, FEC (June 2, 2016).

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.* Factual & Legal Analysis Page 2 of 10

overall reporting of the loans in Senator Cruz's 2012 Senate Financial Disclosure Report and in a
 Miscellaneous Text Document (Form 99) filed with the Commission in 2016, was substantially
 correct.³
 The available information shows that the Committee inaccurately reported that the source

of candidate loans totaling \$1,064,000 was Senator Cruz's personal funds, and that it failed to
 report required information about the true underlying sources of the loans. Therefore, the
 Commission finds reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate violated 52 U.S.C.

8 § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4). The Commission also finds no reason to believe

9 that Senator Cruz individually violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).

10 II. FACTS

19043361-01

In 2012, Senator Ted Cruz was a U.S. Senate candidate in Texas and Ted Cruz for Senate 11 was his authorized campaign committee. During the 2012 Senate campaign, the Committee's 12 13 disclosure reports showed that Cruz made loans to the Committee totaling \$1.43 million from "personal funds."⁴ Most of the loans were provided shortly before the primary election on 14 15 May 29, 2012, and the primary runoff election on July 31, 2012. In a 2013 interview with the 16 New York Times, Senator Cruz reportedly stated that he and his wife, Heidi Cruz, a managing director at Goldman Sachs, agreed to "liquidate" their "entire net worth" to free up the funds 17 18 necessary for the campaign.⁵

Resp. of Cruz Respondents at 3.

⁴ Ted Cruz for Senate 2011 April Quarterly Report at 229, 263 (Apr. 15, 2011); 2012 July Quarterly Report at 1,069, 1,196-97 (July 15, 2012); 2012 October Quarterly Report at 1,677, 872-71 (Oct. 15, 2012).

⁵ See Ashley Parker, A Wife Committed to Cruz's Ideals, but a Study in Contrasts to Him, N.Y. TIMES, Oct. 23, 2013 (attached to MUR 7003 Compl.).

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 3 of 10

Senator Cruz filed his United States Senate Financial Disclosure Reports for 2012 ("2012 1 Senate Report") on May 15, 2013.⁶ The 2012 Senate Report includes two loans that Senator 2 Cruz took out in 2012: a "line of credit" from Citibank and a "margin loan" from Goldman 3 Sachs.⁷ Each loan is listed in the \$250,000 to \$500,000 range,⁸ with an interest rate of "prime 4 floating" for the Citibank loan and "3% floating" for the margin loan from Goldman Sachs.⁹ 5 On January 13, 2016 the New York Times reported that the Goldman Sachs margin loan 6 7 and the Citibank line of credit, which both appear on the 2012 Senate Report but not on any FEC disclosure reports, were the underlying sources of his candidate loans. On January 14, 2016 the 8 9 Committee filed a Miscellaneous Text Document (Form 99) with the Commission stating that the margin loan had been "inadvertently omitted" from the relevant 2012 FEC disclosure 10 reports.¹⁰ The Complaints allege that the Goldman Sachs margin loan, which appears on the 11 2012 Senate Report but not on the Committee's FEC disclosure reports, was the underlying 12 source of Cruz's candidate loans.¹¹ The Form 99 also provided the following information on the 13

⁶ See United States Financial Disclosure Report for Annual and Termination Filers for 2012. https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/12EFF92A-4EA8-44ED-AD2E-A32202BE5771/.

⁷ Senator Cruz initially disclosed the 2012 loans on his 2011 Senate Financial Disclosure Report, which was filed on July 12, 2012, and reflects that he incurred the loans in 2012. See United States Financial Disclosure Report for New Employee and Candidate Reports for 2011. https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/7DA1762C-66E3-4CE2-8E6E-623FE52D7809/.

⁸ See United States Financial Disclosure Report for Annual and Termination Filers for 2012. https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/12EFF92A-4EA8-44ED-AD2E-A32202BE5771/.

⁹ See Senate Report for 2012 at 8. An amendment to the 2012 report added "on demand" under the Term of loan for each of the loans. See https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/929B15B0-D2E8-4B91-95CC-EF4DC76E9AF8/.

¹⁰ Ted Cruz for Senate, Misc. Rpt. to FEC (Letter from Bradley Knippa, Treasurer, to RAD) (Jan. 14, 2016).

See Mike McIntire, Ted Cruz Didn't Report Goldman Sachs Loan in a Senate Race, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 13, 2016, at 3 (attached to MUR 7001 Compl. and MUR 7009 Compl. and cited by MUR 7002 Compl. ¶ 7 n.5), Mike McIntire, Ted Cruz Failed to Report a Second Campaign Loan in 2012, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 15, 2016 (attached to MUR 7003 Compl. and cited by MUR 7002 Compl. ¶ 10 n.9). The 2012 Senate Report does not reflect that assets were liquidated.

MURS 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.* Factual & Legal Analysis Page 4 of 10

į

19044461103

loans: "Goldman Sachs Margin Loan, Incurred 2012, 3% Floating Interest Rate; Citibank Line
 of Credit, Incurred in 2012, Prime plus floating Interest Rate."¹²

Following receipt of the Form 99, RAD spoke to the Committee's treasurer, assistant 3 treasurer, or counsel on multiple occasions, instructed them on reporting requirements for loans 4 5 funded by a financial institution, and urged them to amend the Committee's disclosure reports to correctly disclose the required information for each loan on Schedules C (Loans) and C-1 (Loans 6 and Lines of Credit from Lending Institutions).¹³ The Committee initially agreed to file the 7 amendments, requesting additional time to gather the documentation, but then told RAD that it 8 was hesitant to do so given that complaints had been filed and an enforcement process initiated.¹⁴ 9 RAD instructed the Committee that it should still file amendments to the reports in which the 10 11 loans were disclosed and sent the Committee Requests for Additional Information for the 2012 12 July Quarterly and 2012 October Quarterly Reports, which covered the periods when the loans were incurred.¹⁵ The RFAIs requested that the Committee amend its reports to provide correct 13 loan information and submit the appropriate supporting schedules.¹⁶ Though RAD only sent 14 15 RFAIs on these two reports, it advised the committee to amend all reports in which the loans were disclosed.¹⁷ 16

Id.
 Referral at 2-3.
 Id.
 Id.
 Id.
 Id.
 Id.
 Id.

¹⁷ Senator Cruz's amended 2012 Senate Report notes that both the Citibank Line of Credit and Goldman Sachs Margin Loan were paid off in 2012. MURS 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.* Factual & Legal Analysis Page 5 of 10

In response to the RFAIs, the Committee filed another Form 99 stating that it had "proactively provided additional information."¹⁸ On May 4, 2016, RAD advised the Committee that its response to the RFAIs was inadequate and the matter would be referred for further review.¹⁹ The Committee replied that it would not be filing any amendments at that time and has not done so to date.²⁰

The Commission also conducted an audit of the Committee's 2012 election cycle 6 activity, and the Commission issued the Final Audit Report ("FAR") on June 22, 2017.²¹ The 7 Commission approved a finding that the Committee "failed to properly disclose \$1,064,000 in 8 candidate loans that originated with commercial lenders and failed to file the correct schedules C 9 and C-1."22 The FAR determined that of the \$1.43 million in loans that the Committee reported 10 11 as having come from Senator Cruz's personal funds, he actually borrowed \$800,000 from three margin loans with a floating interest rate of 3% secured by a Goldman Sachs brokerage account 12 he jointly held with his wife, Heidi $Cruz^{23}$ (\$400,000 on 5/18/12; \$250,000 on 7/23/12; and 13 \$150,000 on 8/7/12) and \$264,000 (on 5/22/12) from a Citibank line of credit with a floating 14 interest rate that enabled him to draw cash advances against a limit of \$275,000.²⁴ Unlike the 15

19 Referral at 3.

²⁰ *Id.* at 4; Resp. of Cruz Respondents at 2.

²¹ Final Audit Report of the Commission on Ted Cruz for Senate (June 22, 2017).

²² *Id.* at 3

²³ Senate Rpt. at 8. A margin loan is a financial instrument that allows account holders to borrow from a brokerage firm against the value of assets in their portfolio.

FAR at 8. The FAR found that \$366,000 in loans from Senator Cruz to the Committee were from Cruz's personal funds.

 ¹⁸ Id. at 3; Ted Cruz for Senate, Misc. Rpt. To FEC (Letter from Bradley Knippa, Treasurer, to RAD) (Mar. 8, 2016).

MURS 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.* Factual & Legal Analysis Page 6 of 10

Goldman Sachs margin loans, no person other than the candidate himself was liable for the
Citibank line of credit.²⁵ The documentation available from the Cruzes' Goldman Sachs account
indicates that the account was opened on January 17, 2006, as an account held by Senator Cruz
and his wife as Joint Tenants with Rights of Survivorship. The Customer Agreement for the
account states that ownership "will be held in the manner indicated in the title of the account."
The available account documentation does not indicate each account holder's ownership interest

- 8 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS
- 9 10

Alleged Failure to Disclose Required Information about Candidate Loans

The candidate's principal campaign committee must report all loans derived from an 11 advance on the candidate's brokerage account, credit card, home equity line of credit, or other 12 line of credit available to the candidate.²⁶ The disclosure report must identify the person who 13 14 makes a loan to the committee during the reporting period, together with the identification of any 15 endorser or guarantor of such loan, and the date and amount or value of such loans.²⁷ Commission regulations provide that a committee must disclose information about loans from 16 the candidate to the campaign on Schedules C and C-1.²⁸ If the candidate finances a loan to the 17 18 campaign with an underlying loan or line of credit, section 104.3(d)(4) of the Commission's 19 regulations requires the committee to disclose on Schedule C-1, among other things: (1) date.

²⁷ See 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E). 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv).

²⁸ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d).

Citibank, N.A., Revolving Credit/Time Note, Variable Rate (Individuals/Lawyers) at 1, dated May 11, 2012 (signed by Rafael E. Cruz) (providing that the rate of interest shall be the highest of: (1) Citibank's base rate;
 (2) the Federal Funds Rate plus 2.0%; or (3) the LIBOR rate plus 2.0%). Cruz's 2012 Senate Disclosure stated only that the line of credit had a "prime – floating" interest rate. Senate Report at 8.

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 100.83(e),

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.* Factual & Legal Analysis Page 7 of 10

amount, and interest rate of the loan or line of credit; (2) name and address of the lending
 institution; and (3) types and value of collateral or other sources of repayment that secured the
 loan.²⁹

Although Senator Cruz used funds borrowed from Citibank and Goldman Sachs to make loans totaling \$1,064,000 to his 2012 Senate campaign, the Committee inaccurately reported on Schedule C that he made the loans to the Committee with his "personal funds," and failed to file a Schedule C-1 to properly disclose the details of the margin loan and line of credit. Thus, the Committee did not comply with the reporting requirements of the Act or the Commission's regulations.

10 The Cruz Respondents admit that the Committee failed to provide the required 11 information regarding the loans and concede that they have yet to amend their reports.³⁰ They 12 argue, however, that the matter should be dismissed because the public record has been corrected 13 as a result of the information disclosed in the 2012 Senate Report filed in May 2013, and in the 14 Form 99 filed in January 2016.³¹ Respondents specifically cite to MUR 5421 (John Kerry for 15 President) and argue that their reporting meets the "substantially correct" standard set forth in 16 that matter.³²

²⁹ *Id.* § 104.3(d)(4).

³⁰ As mentioned above, Respondents explain that the Committee refrained from submitting amendments because "the complaints [have] already been filed and [given] the confidentiality of the enforcement process." Resp. of Cruz Respondents at 2.

³¹ See id.

³² Id.; see also Second General Counsel's Rpt. at 9, MUR 5421 (John Kerry for President) (concluding that the reporting of the loan in question was substantially correct because the reporting of the loan was "accurate in most respects"). Respondents also cite MUR 6386 (Steve Fincher for Congress) for the proposition that a civil penalty is unwarranted for "this type of reporting error" for a legal loan with subsequent clarification. Resp. at 3. But, this matter is distinguishable from MUR 6386, where the Commission could not agree as to whether it should impose a civil penalty after the committee had amended its report to disclose the required loan information. See First General Counsel's Report at 6, MUR 6386; Certification, MUR 6386 (June 4, 2011). See also MUR 5198 (Cantwell) (no civil penalty where Committee amended reports prior to initiation of matter). MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.* Factual & Legal Analysis Page 8 of 10

19044461107

In MUR 5421, the Commission approved a recommendation to dismiss the committee's 1 inaccurate reporting of a series of loans the candidate made to his principal campaign committee . 2 3 where it inaccurately reported the date on which the candidate accessed the loan instead of the 4 date he incurred one of the loans, and inaccurately reported the total fair market value of the collateral for the loan rather than simply the candidate's share of the property.³³ The General 5 Counsel's Report recommended, and the Commission approved, no further action on the 6 7 inaccurate reporting because the reporting was substantially correct in that its "overall reporting of the loans otherwise accurately disclosed the precise flow of money" from the bank to the 8 campaign.³⁴ 9 10 In this matter, unlike MUR 5421, the overall reporting of the loans was not substantially correct. The Committee reported on a Schedule C that the source of the loans was the 11 candidate's "personal funds" when the funds were actually derived from Citibank and Goldman 12 Sachs. Although Cruz reported the existence of the loans on his 2012 Senate Report filed in May 13 2013, the report does not include all the details about the terms of the loans, nor does it disclose 14 that Cruz used those loans to finance his campaign.³⁵ Thus, it was not until 2016 that the 15 Committee first linked the Citibank and Goldman Sachs loans to Cruz's campaign. Further, the 16 Committee has not amended any of the relevant FEC disclosure reports or filed a Schedule C-1 17. for the loans, and the Form 99 lacks some of the information required to be disclosed on 18

³³ Second General Counsel's Rpt. at 9, 10, 11, MUR 5421 (John Kerry for President). The loans were comprised of smaller loans the candidate obtained from draws on personal lines of credit, totaling \$1.1 million, and a larger loan from Mellon Trust, worth \$6.4 million.

³⁴ *Id.* at 11; Certification, MUR 5421 (Dec. 12, 2005).

³⁵ The 2012 Senate Report includes the following information: (1) name of the lending institution; (2) year the loan was incurred; (3) approximate amount of the loan within a very broad range; (4) interest rate; and (5) term of loan.

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 9 of 10

Schedule C-1, including: (1) the dates and amounts of the loans; and (2) the types and value of collateral or other sources of repayment that secured the loan.³⁶ 2

3 Therefore, the Commission finds reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate violated 4 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4) by failing to disclose accurate information about \$1,064,000 in candidate loans in its initial filings for the loans on the 2012 5

6 July and October Quarterly Reports and in subsequent reports detailing the loans. Further,

because the complaints did not articulate any factual or legal basis for finding Senator Cruz 7

personally liable for the reporting violation, and the Commission sees no basis under the facts of 8

9 this case to hold the candidate individually responsible for filing accurate and complete

10 disclosure reports, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Senator Cruz violated

11 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).37

12 The Commission does not find that the Committee's reporting violations are knowing and 13 willful as alleged by the Complaint in MUR 7003. That Complaint does not allege sufficient

14 facts to demonstrate that the Respondents intentionally failed to disclose the underlying sources

See 11 CFR. § 104.3(d)(4) (setting forth information required on schedule C-1).

See e.g., Factual & Legal Analysis at 3, MUR 6066 (Hartley-Nagle for Congress, et al.) (finding no reason to believe that a candidate violated alleged reporting violations because Complainant did not articulate any factual or legal basis for finding the candidate personally liable).

1

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, *et al.* Factual & Legal Analysis Page 10 of 10

despite a known legal obligation.³⁸ Further, it does not appear that the Senator "secretly"³⁹ took

2 out the loans from Goldman Sachs and Citibank as alleged, given that he disclosed them on his

3 Senate Financial Disclosure Report.

19022261109

³⁹ MUR 7003 Compl. at 1...

A violation of the Act is knowing and willful when the "acts were committed with full knowledge of all the relevant facts and a recognition that the action is prohibited by law." 122 Cong. Rec. H3778 (daily ed. May 3, 1976). This does not require proving knowledge of the specific statute or regulation the respondent allegedly violated. See United States v. Danielczyk, 917 F. Supp. 2d 573, 579 (E.D. Va. 2013) (citing Bryan v. United States, 524 U.S. 184, 195 & n.23 (1998) (holding that, to establish that a violation is willful, the government needs to show only that the defendant acted with knowledge that her conduct was unlawful, not knowledge of the specific statutory provision violated). Rather, it is sufficient to demonstrate that a respondent "acted voluntarily and was aware that his conduct was unlawful." Id. (internal quotation marks omitted). This awareness may be shown through circumstantial evidence, such as a "defendant's elaborate scheme for disguising" her actions, or other "facts and circumstances from which the jury reasonably could infer [the defendant] knew her conduct was unauthorized and illegal." United States v. Hopkins, 916 F.2d 207, 213-15 (5th Cir. 1990) (internal quotation marks omitted). As the Hopkins court noted, "It has long been recognized that 'efforts at concealment [may] be reasonably explainable only in terms of motivation to evade' lawful obligations." Id. at 214 (quoting Ingram v. United States, 360 U.S. 672, 679 (1959)).

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of

10022201110

Ted Cruz for Senate, and Bradley S. Knippa, in his official capacity as treasurer MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455

CONCILIATION AGREEMENT

This matter was initiated pursuant to several complaints and information ascertained by the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities. The Commission found reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley S. Knippa, in his official capacity as treasurer, ("Respondents" or the "Committee") violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).

NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission and the Respondents, having participated in informal methods of conciliation, prior to a finding of probable cause to believe, do hereby agree as follows:

I. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Respondents and the subject matter of this proceeding, and this agreement has the effect of an agreement entered pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4)(A)(i).

II. Respondents have had a reasonable opportunity to demonstrate that no action should be taken in this matter.

III. Respondents enter voluntarily into this agreement with the Commission.

IV. The pertinent facts in this matter are as follows:

Ted Cruz for Senate is the principal campaign committee of Senator Ted
 Cruz, a 2012 candidate for U.S Senate in Texas. Bradley S. Knippa is the Committee's treasurer.

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009 & 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate Conciliation Agreement Page 2 of 4

HOOSISISIS

2. According to the Committee's 2012 July Quarterly, filed on July 15, 2012, and 2012 October Quarterly Report, filed October 15, 2012, Senator Cruz made loans to the Committee totaling \$1,064,000, which were disclosed as "personal funds."

3. The loans described in paragraph 2, however, were not the personal funds of Senator Cruz but were funds borrowed from the following commercial lenders. First, Senator Cruz obtained an \$800,000 margin loan from Goldman Sachs that was secured by assets in a brokerage account jointly held with his wife. Second, Senator Cruz obtained a, line of credit from Citibank and withdrew \$264,000.

4. On January 14, 2016, the Committee filed a Form 99 with the Commission admitting that a margin loan and line of credit had been "inadvertently omitted" from the relevant FEC disclosure reports and providing the following information about the loans: "Goldman Sachs Margin Loan, Incurred 2012, 3% Floating Interest Rate; Citibank Line of Credit, Incurred in 2012, Prime plus floating Interest Rate."

Respondents contend that Senator Cruz disclosed on his public Financial
 Disclosure Report, which was filed with the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Ethics on May 15,
 2013, that such loans originated from Goldman Sachs and Citibank.

6. Loans derived from advances on a candidate's brokerage account or other line of credit available to the candidate must be reported by the committee. 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E); 11 C.F.R. § 100.83(e). Commission regulations provide that a committee must disclose information about loans from the candidate to the committee on Schedule C, including the terms and identification of any endorser or guarantor. 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv). If the candidate finances a loan to the campaign with an underlying loan or line of credit, the committee must disclose on a Schedule C-1, among other things: (1) date, amount, and interest MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009 & 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate Conciliation Agreement Page 3 of 4

19044461112

rate of the loan or line of credit; (2) name and address of the lending institution; and (3) types and value of collateral or other sources of repayment that secured the loan or line of credit. *Id.* § 104.3(d)(4).

V. Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4) by inaccurately reporting on Schedule C that Senator Cruz used his personal funds to make
\$1,064,000 in loans to the Committee and omitting the required Schedule C-1, identifying
Goldman Sachs and Citibank as the underlying source of the loans.

VI. Respondents will take the following actions:

 Respondents will pay a civil penalty to the Federal Election Commission in the amount of Thirty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$35,000), pursuant to 52 U.S.C.
 § 30109(a)(5)(A).

Respondents will cease and desist from violating 52 U.S.C.
 § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).

3. Respondents will amend its disclosure reports to correctly disclose the source of funds loaned at issue.

VII. The Commission, on request of anyone filing a complaint under 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(l) concerning the matters at issue herein or on its own motion, may review compliance with this agreement. If the Commission believes that this agreement or any requirement thereof has been violated, it may institute a civil action for relief in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

VIII. This agreement shall become effective as of the date that all parties hereto have executed same and the Commission has approved the entire agreement.

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009 & 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate Conciliation Agreement Page 4 of 4

IX. Respondents shall have no more than 30 days from the date this agreement becomes effective to comply with and implement the requirements contained in this agreement and to so notify the Commission.

X. This Conciliation Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties on the matters raised herein, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral, made by either party or by agents of either party, that is not contained in this written agreement shall be enforceable.

FOR THE COMMISSION:

19044461113

: :

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

FOR THE RESPONDENTS:

. Tru

Bradley S. Khippa Treasurer, Ted Cruz for Senate

2 /27 /14

2 28 14

Date

Date