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SENSITIVE

RE: Ted Cruz for Senate Committee Complaint MUR\#7001

We urge you to audit and investigate apparent violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act by the Ted Cruz for Senate Committee (FEC \#C00492785, hereafter "the Cruz Committee").

During the 2012 Senate race, the Cruz Committee reported that Ted Cruz loaned the campaign a total of $\$ 1.43$ million. The loans were reported as coming from personal funds in five installments:

* $\$ 70,000$ received on 3/31/2011 (APR Quarterly Report, Schedule C, filed 4/15/2011);
* $\$ 400,000$ received on $5 / 18 / 2012$ (JUL Quarterly Report, Schedule C, filed on 7/15/2012);
* $\$ 560.000$ received on $5 / 22 / 2012$ (also JUL Quarterly Report, Schedule C, filed on 7/15/2012);
* $\$ 250,000$ received on $7 / 23 / 2012$ (OCT Quarterly, Schedule C, filed on $10 / 15 / 2012$ ); and
* $\$ 150,000$ received on $8 / 7 / 2012$ (also OCT Quarterly, Schedule C, filed on 10/15/2012).

Much later, on May 15, 2013, Sen. Cruz filed his U.S. Senate Financial Disclosure Report covering calendar year 2012. Part VII of that personal financial report disclosed that Citibank and Goldman Sachs each loaned Ted Cruz from \$250,001 to \$500,000 apiece in 2012.

On understanding and belief, the Cruz Campaign violated federal law by failing to promptly disclose these bank loans and the underlying loan documents to the Federal Election Commission (11 CFR 104 et seq., implementing FECA 52:30104). The Cruz Committee had a legal obligation to do so because these personal loans were used to replenish much of the personal funds that Ted Cruz contributed to the Cruz Committee. A Cruz spokesperson recently confirmed that Cruz had used the Goldman loan to finance his Senate campaign. "A spokeswoman for Mr. Cruz's presidential campaign, Catherine Frazier, acknowledged that the loan from Goldman Sachs, drawn against the value of the Cruzes' brokerage account, was a source of money for the Senate race." ("Cruz Neglected to Report Loan from '12 Race," New York Times, Jan. 13, 2016). The Cruz campaign then acknowledged in a letter to the FEC on January 14, 2016 that the Citibank and Goldman loans both served as "the underlying source" of some of Cruz's personal loans to the campaign and therefore should have been reported to the FEC in campaign disclosures during the 2012 Texas senate race. They were not.

Ted Cruz's enormous campaign-related debts to Citibank and Goldman Sachs went totally undisclosed to the public for as long as 12 months. As a result, these debts and their underlying sources were hidden from voters until well after the 2012 Texas primary and general clections. Ted Cruz first disclosed these bank loans after he already was ensconced in the U.S. Senate.

Moreover, the Cruz Campaign failed to disclose these campaign-related bank loans to the FEC, as required by law, for well over three years. Federal Election Campaign disclosure requirements are designed to prevent just such postelection surprises.

To deter future violators, we urge you to investigate these allegations and hold the Cruz Campaign and Sen. Cruz responsible for any violations of law. It sends a cynical political message when lawmakers are allowed to break the law with impunity.

Respectfully submitted,


Texans for Public Justice, by Craig McDonald
$609 \mathrm{~W} .18^{\text {th }}$ St., Suite E
Austin, TX 78701
(512) 472-9770


## ©by New dilark ©imes http:/nyti.ms/117BxXV

# Ted Cruz Didn't Report Goldman Sachs Loan in a Senate Race 

By MIKE McINTIRE JAN. 13, 2016
As Ted Cruz tells it, the story of how he financed his upstart campaign for the United States Senate four years ago is an endearing example of loyalty and shared sacrifice between a married couple.


#### Abstract

"Sweetheart, I'd like us to liquidate our entire net worth, liquid net worth, and put it into the campaign," he says he told his wife, Heidi, who readily agreed.

But the couple's decision to pump more than $\$ 1$ million into Mr. Cruz's successful Tea Party-darling Senate bid in Texas was made easier by a large loan from Goldman Sachs, where Mrs. Cruz works. That loan was not disclosed in campaign finance reports.


Those reports show that in the critical weeks before the May 2012 Republican primary, Mr. Cruz - currently a leading contender for his party's presidential nomination - put "personal funds" totaling $\$ 960,000$ into his Senate campaign. Two months later, shortly before a scheduled runoff election, he added more, bringing the total to $\$ 1.2$ million - "which is all we had saved," as Mr. Cruz described it in an interview with The New York Times several years ago.

A review of personal financial disclosures that Mr. Cruz filed later with the

Senate does not find a liquidation of assets that would have accounted for all the money he spent on his campaign. What it does show, however, is that in the first half of 2012, Ted and Heidi Cruz obtained the low-interest loan from Goldman Sachs, as well as another one from Citibank. The loans totaled as much as $\$ 750,000$ and eventually increased to a maximum of $\$ 1$ million before being paid down later that year. There is no explanation of their purpose.

Neither loan appears in reports the Ted Cruz for Senate Committee filed with the Federal Election Commission, in which candidates are required to disclose the source of money they borrow to finance their campaigns. Other campaigns have been investigated and fined for failing to make such disclosures, which are intended to inform voters and prevent candidates from receiving special treatment from lenders. There is no evidence that the Cruzes got a break on their loans.

A spokeswoman for Mr. Cruz's presidential campaign, Catherine Frazier, acknowledged that the loan from Goldman Sachs, drawn against the value of the Cruzes' brokerage account, was a source of money for the Senate race. Ms. Frazier added that Mr. Cruz also sold stocks and liquidated savings, but she did not address whether the Citibank loan was used.

The failure to report the Goldman Sachs loan, for as much as $\$ 500,000$, was "inadvertent," she said, adding that the campaign would file corrected reports as necessary. Ms. Frazier said there had been no attempt to hide anything.
"These transactions have been reported in one way or another on his many public financial disclosures and the Senate campaign's F.E.C. filings," she said.

Kenneth A. Gross, a former election commission lawyer who specializes in campaign finance law, said that listing a bank loan in an annual Senate ethics report - which deals only with personal finances - would not satisfy the requirement that it be promptly disclosed to election officials during a campaign.
"They're two different reporting regimes," he said. "The law says if you get a loan for the purpose of funding a campaign, you have to show the original source of the loan, the terms of the loan and you even have to provide a copy of the loan document to the Federal Election Commission."

There would have been nothing improper about Mr. Cruz obtaining bank loans for his campaign, as long as they were disclosed. But such a disclosure might have conveyed the wrong impression for his candidacy.

Mr. Cruz, a conservative former Texas solicitor general, was campaigning as a populist firebrand who criticized Wall Street bailouts and the influence of big banks in Washington. It is a theme he has carried into his bid for the Republican nomination for president.

Earlier this year, when asked about the political clout of Goldman Sachs in particular, he replied, "Like many other players on Wall Street and big business, they seek out and get special favors from government."

In recounting the decision to put all of their savings into the campaign, Mr. Cruz said in the 2013 Times interview that Mrs. Cruz immediately agreed to his proposal, even though he was trailing in the polls and still viewed as a long shot against Lt. Gov. David Dewhurst, who spent $\$ 24$ million of his own money on the race.
"What astonished me, then and now, was Heidi within 60 seconds said, 'Absolutely,' with no hesitation," Mr. Cruz said.

Mrs. Cruz, who is on leave as a managing director at Goldman Sachs, later suggested that the reality was more complicated. She told Politico in 2014 that she thought they should apply "common investment sense" and not use their own money for the campaign "unless it made the difference" in winning. The article did not mention anything about loans from banks.

The money from the Cruzes allowed his campaign to keep running television
ads in the period preceding the primary election, including a $\$ 300,000$ ad buy that highlighted the story of Mr. Cruz's father's flight from Cuba in the 1950s after opposing the Batista regime. Mr. Cruz earned enough votes in the primary to qualify for a runoff, where he defeated Mr. Dewhurst and went on to win the general election.

The ethics reports that candidates file with the Senate require them to list all assets they held at the close of the year or that generated income during the year. Assets are reported in broad categories of value, such as $\$ 1,001$ to $\$ 15,000$ and $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 1}$ to \$250,000.

Mr. Cruz's filings show that at the close of 2011, he and his wife had cash and securities in bank, brokerage and retirement accounts worth $\$ 1.3$ million to \$3.4 million. They also had mortgages and a loan against Mr. Cruz's partnership equity in his law firm. During 2012, they sold securities worth $\$ 82,000$ to $\$ 355,000$, and the value of other holdings was reduced by, at most, $\$ 155,000$.

However, they also added a money-market account with $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ in it, and the value of other holdings increased by as much as $\$ 435,000$. All told, the value of their cash and securities in 2012 saw a net increase of as much as $\$ 400 ; 000$ - even as the Cruzes were supposedly liquidating everything to finance Mr. Cruz's Senate campaign.

The biggest change in the Cruzes' finances in 2012 was the addition of the two bank loans, each valued at $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 500,000$, during the first half of the year. One was a margin loan from Goldman Sachs. Margin loans, which are secured by holdings in a brokerage account, are often used to buy more stocks, but can be obtained for almost any purpose.

The other loan was a line of credit from Citibank. Even if the Citibank loan did not go directly into the Senate campaign, it could have freed up other assets for that purpose. While the Cruzes were well paid - he made more than \$1 million a year as a law partner, and she earned a six-figure income as an
executive in Goldman Sachs's Houston office - they also had big bills, including mortgage payments and full-time child care.

Both loans had floating interest rates around 3 percent, according to Mr. Cruz's Senate disclosures, which appear to be generally in line with rates available to wealthy borrowers at that time.

During the remainder of 2012, the Cruz campaign repaid Mr. Cruz for about half of the money he lent. His Senate disclosures show that he and his wife paid off the Citibank loan that same year. As for the Goldman Sachs loan, it remains outstanding, though the balance has been reduced to between $\$ 50,000$ and \$100,000.

The federal guide to campaign finance reporting for congressional candidates makes it clear that if the original source of money for a candidate's personal loan was a margin loan or a line of credit, it must be disclosed.
"Bank loans to candidates and loans derived from advances on a candidate's brokerage accounts, credit cards, home equity line of credit, or other lines of credit obtained for use in connection with his or her campaign must be reported by the committee," according to the guide.

Find out what you need to know about the 2016 presidential race today, and get politics news updates via Facebook, Twitter and the First Draft newsletter.

A version of this article appears in print on January 14, 2016, on page A1 of the New York edition with the headline: Cruz Neglected to Report Loan From '12 Race.
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