



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

**CERTIFIED MAIL**  
**RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

**JUN 21 2004**

Donald F. McGahn, II, General Counsel  
National Republican Congressional Committee  
320 First Street, SE  
Washington, DC 20003

RE: MUR 5321

Dear Mr. McGahn:

This is in reference to the complaint you filed with the Federal Election Commission on October 11, 2002, concerning Janet Robert. Based on that complaint, on March 19, 2004, the Commission found that there was reason to believe Mary Robert violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(1)(A) and (a)(3), Janet Robert violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) and Minnesotans for Janet Robert and Rob LaFrentz, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) and 434(b), provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and instituted an investigation of this matter. However, after the Commission was equally divided on whether to enter into conciliation, the Commission determined to take no further action against Mary Robert, Janet Robert, Minnesotans for Janet Robert and Rob LaFrentz, as treasurer, and closed the file in this matter on June 8, 2004.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). A Statement of Reasons explaining the Commission's decision will follow.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8). If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

*Dominique Dillensenger*   
Dominique Dillensenger  
Attorney

Enclosure:  
Certification