

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 707 CHESTNUT STREET P.O. BOX 1420 MANCHESTER, NH 03105 TELEPHONE 603 626-1000 FAX 603 626-1001

MARK W. DEAN **EMILY GRAY RICE** ERIC A. KANE ROBERT E. DUNN, JR. **CHARLA BIZIOS LABBE** Of Counsel

February 16, 2000

## VIA FACSIMILE & U.S. MAIL

John R. Velasquez, Jr. Acting Central Enforcement Docket Supervisor Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

RE: MUR 4962 WMUR-TV

Dear Mr. Velasquez:

This letter responds to the complaint filed with the Commission by LaRouche's Committee For A New Bretton Woods ("LaRouche"), which was received by the Commission on January 18, 2000, and received by WMUR-TV on February 1, 2000. LaRouche alleges that WMUR-TV made an illegal corporate expenditure on behalf of presidential candidates Al Gore and Bill Bradley when it co-sponsored a debate between those two candidates but did not invite Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. to participate.

Because it is neither owned nor controlled by a political party, committee, or candidate, a "staging organization" such as WMUR-TV does not violate the prohibition on corporate contributions if the structure of the debate satisfies the criteria set forth in 11 CFR §110.13(b), and the selection of candidates follows WMUR-TV's pre-established objective criteria.

LaRouche's Complaint refers to a debate which occurred on January 27, 2000. The debate involved two of the candidates seeking the Democratic nomination for President. In inviting these two candidates, WMUR-TV considered the following pre-established criteria:

February 16, 2000 Page 2

- 1. Did the candidate have an organized campaign structure both in New Hampshire and nationally;
- 2. What was the candidate's standing in public opinion polls;
- 3. Was the candidate actively campaigning in New Hampshire;
- 4. Newsworthiness.

At the time of the debate WMUR-TV had no indication that Mr. LaRouche was actively campaigning in New Hampshire. In addition, Mr. LaRouche had registered little or no results in public opinion polls, and did not appear to have any significant New Hampshire organization in place. As a result of the analysis of these factors, WMUR-TV did not invite Mr. LaRouche to participate in the January 27th debate.

WMUR-TV's participation in the January 27, 2000 debate, including its selection of participants, was in accordance with all Commission rules and guidelines. The January 27, 2000 debate did not constitute a corporate expenditure on behalf of any candidate for president. Therefore, WMUR-TV respectfully requests that the Commission take no action regarding LaRouche's Complaint and dismiss this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Emily Gray Rice

Counsel for WMUR-TV

mat
Enclosure
wmurtv.4\2000\larouche.ltr

FEB-01 99 10:09 FROM:WMUR

BUSINESS PHONE:

.....**\*** 



MUR 4962	_	
NAME OF COUNSEL:	Emily Gray Rice, Esquire  Dean, Rice & Kane, PA 707 Chestnut Street  P.O. Box 1420  Manchester, NH 03105	FEB 22 10 26
TELEPHONE:	603-626-1000	AM 100
The above-nam	ed individual is hereby designated as	my
counsel and is aut	horized to receive any notifications a	nd other
communications fro	om the Commission and to act on my beha	lf before
the Commission.		
2/16/00 Date	Signature  Larry E. Gilpin, General Manage	r
RESPONDENT'S NAME	wmur-tv	
ADDRESS:	50 Phillipe-Cote Street	,
	Manchester, NH 03105	·
Home phone:	)	•

603-669-9999