Supplemental Complaint Before

The Federal Election Commission

In MUR 3774

FEB 27 12 19 PM '95

Introduction

On May 14, 1993, the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee filed a complaint with the Federal Election Commission against the National Republican Senatorial Committee ("NRSC"), requesting an immediate investigation into illegal spending practices. See Exhibit 1. The complaint provided incontrovertible evidence that the NRSC, under the direction of its Chairman Senator Phil Gramm, was making "soft money" contributions specifically for the purpose of influencing federal elections.[1] We now have evidence that the NRSC employed precisely the same illegal spending practices in the 1994 Senate elections, by making contributions totaling $175,000 to the National Right to Life Committee ("NRLC"), to influence swing Senate races.

Indeed Senator Phil Gramm, then Chairman of the NRSC, has publicly admitted that he directed the expenditure of "soft money" immediately before the 1994 general elections *in order to influence the outcome of key Senate elections*:

> I made a decision . . . to provide some money to help activate pro-life voters in some key states where they would be pivotal to the election.

Ruth Marcus, GOP Donation Aided Right to Life Group, Wash. Post, Feb. 12, 1995 at A27. See Exhibit 2.

---

[1] In 1992, between October 20 and November 18, 1992, the NRSC made $187,000 in soft money contributions to four non-party organizations. A particular focus of these contributions was to influence the special runoff election in the Georgia Senate race. In addition, the NRSC made a similar contribution to American Defense Foundation on March 2 , 1993 right around the time of the special Senate election in Texas.

During the course of the 1992 and 1994 elections, the NRSC has made over one-half million dollars in "soft money" contributions in Senate elections. DSCC is hereby amending its original complaint to seek enforcement against NRSC's and Senator Gramm's "soft money" violations in the 1994 elections.

Factual Background

In blatant violation of the prohibition on the use of corporate and other federally impermissible funds to influence Senate elections, the NRSC made four contributions totaling $175,000 to the National Right to Life Committee in the week before the 1994 general elections. See Exhibit 3.[2]

According to the Washington Post, Senator Gramm "offered a lengthy explanation of his decision to contribute to the Right to Life Committee" explaining that "the donations were prompted by fears Republican Senate candidates in key states were on the verge of defeat, citing the races, ultimately successful, of Rodney D. Grams in Minnesota and Rick Santorum in Pennsylvania." Wash. Post, Feb. 12, 1994:

> The Minnesota race turned on us in the last 20 days and . . . I made a decision that we were on the verge of losing that race. Pennsylvania turned on us . . . And the focus of this expenditure was trying to get into those states where we thought it made a difference.[3]

---

[2] As the NRSC's report discloses, the contributions to the NRLC were made over the course of several days as follows:

October 31 - $50,000; November 1 - $50,000; November 3 - $60,000; November 4 - $15,000

[3] While Senator Gramm later contacted a Washington Post reporter to suggest that he had been mistaken in his explanation of the purpose of these contributions, a review of the NRLC's affiliates' activities in Minnesota and Pennsylvania are entirely consistent with Senator Gramm's original explanation. The NRLC affiliates in Pennsylvania and Minnesota actively supported the Republican Senate candidates, Santorum and Grams.

At the time the NRSC made these contributions, the Committee had already expended essentially all of its allotted Section 441a(d) expenditures in Pennsylvania and Minnesota. As Senator Gramm notes, the two Senate seats were slipping away from the Republicans, and he understood the targeted expenditure of an additional $175,000 could "tip the balance" in favor of the Republican candidates. Because there was nothing more the NRSC could legally do to assist its Senate candidates in those states NRSC resorted to the use of "soft money" prohibited under the Federal law. 2 U.S.C. § 441b.

In response to a Washington Post reporter's inquiries about these contributions, NRSC Communications Director Gordon Hensley suggested that the Committee "routinely" contributes "to charitable and good government activities" and the timing of the contributions to NRLC was essentially coincidental. And yet, according to the NRSC's FEC reports, this series of contributions appears to be the only support provided to advocacy groups in 1994. Moreover, it is hardly believable that a party committee, organized and operated exclusively for the purpose of electing Republican Senate candidates, would choose in the final days before the election to conduct its "charitable" giving unless this activity had a direct and tangible impact on the Senate election results.

The evidence indicates a political not a "charitable" purpose. The NRSC checks were sent directly to Carol Long, director of the National Right to Life Committee PAC. A PAC is a political, not a charitable, organization. If the NRSC contributions were intended simply to support NRLC's general programs or charitable activities, the contributions would presumably have been directed to someone who had some involvement with or responsibility for those programs -- not the PAC director.

Electoral Activities of NRLC and Its Affiliates

The "soft money" came from a national party committee, and it was donated during a general election campaign, through a PAC representative. The election related purpose is clear enough. It becomes still clearer when it is seen how the money was likely spent. NRLC and its state affiliates, Pennsylvania Pro-Life Federation and Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life actively supported the 1994 Republican Senate candidates in Pennsylvania and Minnesota. While some of these organizations' federal election activities, such as get-out-the-vote drives and other voter education activities, may not be disclosed on the public record, there is, nevertheless, an extensive pattern of support by the NRLC and affiliated groups of precisely the candidates Senator Gramm wished to support during the last days of the 1994 election. The NRLC supported Rod Grams in Minnesota and Rick Santorum in Pennsylvania and gave each candidate contributions -- $1000 to Grams and $6000 to Santorum. See Exhibit 4.

The Pennsylvania Pro-Life Federation

The abortion issue was critical in the Pennsylvania Senate race and was widely viewed as having has a major impact in its outcome. See Exhibit 5. The Pennsylvania Pro-Life Federation ("Pennsylvania Federation") contributed $2,323.01 to Santorum. See Exhibit 6. Moreover, according to a Scranton Times article, the Pennsylvania Federation endorsed and worked exclusively for only one federal or statewide candidate -- Senator Santorum. See Exhibit 5. The group bypassed the hotly-contested governors race where abortion was also an issue and, according to FEC reports, gave no support to Congressional candidates.

Moreover, additional evidence of the Federation's intense interest in the United States Senate race is provided in a States News Service article See Exhibit 7. Internal

memos of the Catholic Archdiocese of Philadelphia indicate that the Archdiocese altered a voter "scorecard" rating the candidates on abortion and other family issues after receiving pressure from pro-life groups including the Federation. The Federation objected to the "scorecard" because in its original version the ratings were too favorable to Senator Wofford, Santorum's Democratic opponent. In response to Federation pressure, the Archdiocese changed the "scorecard" so that it was less favorable to Senator Wofford and dropped any information on Santorum, possibly because any rating would have been critical of certain of his positions.

Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life

As the public record shows, the Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life ("MCCL") made over $85,000 in independent expenditures in support of Grams' candidacy. See Exhibit 8. These expenditures included: newspaper and radio ads, get-out-the-vote phone calls and literature drops. FEC records also indicate that the MCCL PAC made numerous reimbursements to the MCCL General Fund for phone and mail expenses incurred by the Fund on behalf of Grams. See Exhibit 8.

The question arises: where did MCCL General Fund get the monies to advance funds for independent expenditures prior to the election? There is no way to determine the answer from the FEC reports because information about receipts and disbursements of the corporation's funds is not subject to disclosure. For this reason also, there is no way to track where and for what purpose these funds were expended. These are questions that should be investigated to determine whether the NRSC's contributions in any way financed MCCL activities in support of Grams.

As part of its election effort for Grams, MCCL appears to have distributed get-out-the-vote literature urging people to vote for Republican candidate Grams. See Exhibit 9. Moreover, according to an article that appeared in the Minneapolis Star-

Tribune, a telemarketing firm in Austin, Texas was given a rush order just days before the 1994 election to begin phone calling for Senate candidate Grams. See Exhibit 10. The report indicates that the firm made hundreds of calls to Minnesota residents each hour telling them to vote for Grams because he opposes "abortion on demand." According to the article, the head of the Minnesota Republican Party did not know who was paying for the calls but suggested that "it might be financed by the National Right to Life Committee . . .."

While FEC reports do not reveal what group may have financed this last-minute telemarketing effort on behalf of Grams, this is also a critical question requiring investigation. Telemarketing efforts such as the one described in this article are expensive. Moreover, the suddenness of the order to the telemarketing firm to initiate calling for Grams suggests that the sponsor came up with the money at the last minute. DSCC requests that the FEC conduct an immediate investigation into the relationship between the NRSC's last minute contributions to NRLC and the hastily ordered telemarketing for Grams to determine whether NRSC was, in fact, indirectly financing the effort.

Conclusion

The facts and the law discussed above and in the DSCC's prior complaint present a clear picture: the NRSC has systematically and intentionally violated source restrictions and expenditure limits established under the Act. As we stated in the earlier complaint, there is a strong likelihood that these violations will continue in subsequent election cycles and special elections unless the Commission takes immediate steps to investigate and require the NRSC to cease these practices.

In 1993, the Commission announced enforcement standards that place covert corporate spending practices such as the NRSC's, front and center among agency

enforcement priorities. In accordance with these standards, we request that the Commission take immediate action to investigate the NRSC and to impose all civil penalties available including those for "knowing and willful" violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert F. Bauer
B. Holly Schadler
Counsel for DSCC
Perkins Coie
607 14th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-2011
(202) 628-6600

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 22 day of February, 1995.

Notary Public DINA POWELL
Notary Public, Washington, D.C.

My commission expires:
My Commission Expires October 01, 1999

Washington Post
2/12/94

GOP Donation Aided Right to Life Group

Money Was Not Earmarked, Sen. Gramm Explains

By Ruth Marcus
Washington Post Staff Writer

In the week before the November election, the National Republican Senatorial Committee, which raises and distributes money to Republican Senate candidates, contributed $175,000 to the National Right to Life Committee, federal election records show.

The contributions came at a time the Senate committee knew it had or was about to spend the maximum allowed on behalf of Republican candidates in close races. With control of the Senate up for grabs, the donation allowed the National Right to Life Committee to fund get-out-the-vote efforts the Senate committee hoped would help tip the balance in Republicans' favor, according to Sen. Phil Gramm (R-Tex.), who chaired the NRSC.

Federal election law experts said such contributions involve a murky area of election law but use of the contributions to help Republicans win key Senate races could constitute an evasion of the maximum spending limits for party committees or an improper use of "soft money" donations from corporations and wealthy individuals. Corporate contributions and contributions over a specified dollar limit—the "soft money" that was used to make the $175,000 donation—cannot be used in federal elections.

Gramm offered a lengthy explanation of his decision to contribute to the Right to Life Committee at lunch Friday with Washington Post reporters and editors. In the closing days of the election, as races tightened in states like Pennsylvania and Minnesota and the Senate committee found itself with extra money, he said, "I made a decision . . . to provide some money to help activate pro-life voters in some key states where they would be pivotal to the election."

Gramm later telephoned a reporter to say he had been mistaken in his explanation. He noted "the rules under which you contribute to groups like this through political committee are very strictly set" and said the money was not given for any particular purpose but only because the Right to Life Committee's "message conformed to the Republican message."

Election lawyers said Gramm's initial explanation raised potential legal difficulties.

"You can't do indirectly what you can't do directly," said Kenneth Gross, a former enforcement chief at the Federal Election Commission. "The bottom line is that you just can't evade all the rules and regulations about influencing federal elections by running money through a nonprofit organization."

He cautioned that facts about the purpose and use of the funds would need to be known before making a final determination, but added, "Their activity taken as a whole certainly runs the risk that it was in fact used to influence the outcome of the election and this was nothing more than a circuiting of that rule."

SEN. PHIL GRAMM
. . . "confused" in account at Post lunch

Donald J. Foley, executive director of the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee, the Democratic counterpart of the NRSC, said the NRSC's action was "a transparent means of affecting a race beyond the limits imposed by the law."

In interviews last week, NRSC and National Right to Life Committee officials said the money—the only contribution the NRSC made during the 1994 campaign to such an advocacy group—was not earmarked.

NRSC communications director Gordon Hensley said the Senate committee "routinely" contributes "to charitable, good government activities" and the timing of the donations the week before the Nov. 8 election—$50,000 Oct. 31, $50,000 Nov. 1, $60,000 Nov. 3 and $15,000 Nov. 4—was because "the NRSC simply had more funds available at the time."

National Right to Life Committee Executive Director David O'Steen said the money was a "general contribution" for "our ongoing educational, legislative citizen awareness activities" and not used for any specific purpose in the election.

The National Right to Life Committee can engage in general voter education and voter turnout efforts, so long as it does not advocate election or defeat of a particular candidate. Because it is not a political committee, it does not have to report those expenditures to the FEC.

FEC figures show the NRSC's separate political action committee (PAC) also spent

See CAMPAIGN, A29, Col. 1

GOP Contributed $175,000 to Right to Life Group Near Election

CAMPAIGN, From A27

$107,000 on behalf of Republican Senate candidates in the closing days of the 1994 election.

The Right to Life Committee would not have been allowed to transfer the money to its PAC and O'Steen said the timing of the NRSC donation and the spending by the Right to Life Committee PAC was "absolutely" a coincidence.

In a letter accompanying each of the donations, NRSC General Counsel Edwina Rogers cautioned the National Right to Life Committee that the contribution "should be used for good government activities that are consistent with your organization's not-for-profit character" and that "utilizing any of this money in any way to influence a federal election is strictly prohibited."

Rogers's letter went to the director of the Right to Life Committee PAC, Carol Long, who said she was not involved in soliciting or handling the contribution but Rogers probably wrote her "because I work with the senatorial committee on other things."

Gramm, at the luncheon, said the donations were prompted by fears Republican Senate candidates in key states were on the verge of defeat, citing the races, ultimately successful, of Rodney D. Grams in Minnesota and Rick Santorum in Pennsylvania.

For example, he said, "the Minnesota race turned on us in the last 20 days and . . . I made a decision that we were on the verge of losing that race. Pennsylvania turned on us. . . . And the focus of this expenditure was trying to get into those states where we thought it made a difference."

According to FEC reports, as of Oct. 25, 1994, the NRSC had spent nearly all of the $385,528 it was permitted to spend in Minnesota and nearly all the $1.07 million permitted for Pennsylvania.

"The focus of this expenditure was trying to get into those states where we thought it made a difference," Gramm said. "At the end of '94 it was clear to me that we were going to win or lose in a handful of states by a small number of votes."

> *The national committee's "message conformed to the Republican message."*
>
> —Sen. Phil Gramm (R-Tex.)

Later, describing the November election as "a long time ago" and saying he authorized the contributions when he was traveling constantly on behalf of Senate candidates, Gramm said he had been "confused" in his account at The Post lunch. He said he had mixed up other voter mobilization efforts with the general contribution to the Right to Life Committee, which was simply "to help them reinforce their message."

James Wagner, vice president of the National Abortion Rights Action League, said Gramm's decision to give so much money to one group suggested he was seeking to curry favor with the antiabortion movement for his 1996 presidential bid, an accusation Gramm denied.

In earlier elections, the Republican Senate committee made similar contributions to outside groups. In a complaint pending before the FEC, the Democratic Senate Campaign Committee accused the NRSC of engaging in illegal spending practices in the 1992 runoff election for the Senate in Georgia between Democrat Wyche Fowler and the eventual Republican victor, Paul Coverdell.

In that case, the NRSC made $122,000 in soft money contributions to the Right to Life Committee and three other conservative groups between Nov. 10 and Nov. 18, 1992, after the general election but before the Georgia runoff.

The DSCC alleged the groups "apparently put the NRSC's money to use in the Georgia runoff."

Researcher Ann O'Hanlon contributed to this report.

3

[illegible]

NAME OF FEDERAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL REPUBLICAN SENATORIAL COMMITTEE

NAME OF AUDITOR
NON-FEDERAL

	COVERING PERIOD FROM 10/20/94	TO 11/28/94
	COLUMN A TOTAL THIS PERIOD	COLUMN B YEAR-TO-DATE
[illegible]	244,099.42	2,737,318.67
[illegible]	23,660.79	663,116.08
[illegible]	0.00	720,000.00
[illegible]	0.00	0.00
[illegible]	306,784.56	1,421,781.99
[illegible]	329,445.35	2,746,898.07
[illegible]	217,645.29	151,078.76
[illegible]	244,099.42	2,737,318.67
[illegible]	461,144.71	2,888,397.43
[illegible]	329,445.35	2,746,898.07
[illegible]	148,698.36	148,699.36

SCHEDULE B — ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS — Page 5

NAME OF COMMITTEE
NATIONAL REPUBLICAN SENATORIAL COMMITTEE/ NONFEDERAL

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NRSC Building Account
439 Second St. NE
Washington, DC 20002

11/28/94 $9,000 transfer

NAME, ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP	PURPOSE OF DISBURSEMENT	DATE (MONTH, DAY, YEAR)	AMOUNT OF EACH DISBURSEMENT THIS PERIOD
MARMARDS MGMT. GROUP, INC. 5714 UNION AVE., STE. 400 MEMPHIS TN 38112	REFUND DISBURSEMENT FOR:	10/27/94	3273.00
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE 419 7TH STREET, NW #300 WASHINGTON DC 20004	CONTRIBUTION DISBURSEMENT FOR:	10/31/94	50000.00
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE 419 7TH STREET, NW #300 WASHINGTON DC 20004	CONTRIBUTION DISBURSEMENT FOR:	11/01/94	50000.00
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE 419 7TH STREET, NW #300 WASHINGTON DC 20004	CONTRIBUTION DISBURSEMENT FOR:	11/03/94	50000.00
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE 419 7TH STREET, NW #300 WASHINGTON DC 20004	CONTRIBUTION DISBURSEMENT FOR:	11/04/94	15000.00
SIGNET BANK/VIRGINIA 8330 GOODE BOULEVARD VIENNA VA 22182	PURPOSE OF DISBURSEMENT CASING FEES DISBURSEMENT FOR:	11/08/94	2443.30
G.S.S. 7421 LAUREL LEAF DRIVE POTOMAC MD 20854	PURPOSE OF DISBURSEMENT COMPUTER UPGRADE DISBURSEMENT FOR:	11/28/94	68264.00
SUBTOTAL OF DISBURSEMENTS THIS PAGE (OPTIONAL)			206,784.30
TOTAL THIS PERIOD (last page this line number only)			206,784.30

NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE NON-PARTY QUALIFIED
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE COMMITTEE, INC. QUARTERLY FILER C00111278

COMMITTEE NAME / SPONSOR		CONTRIBUTIONS ON BEHALF OF	EXPENDITURES AGAINST		DATE
PRESIDENT					
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	1,169-	0	0	03/24/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	2,474	0	01/05/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	1,680	0	01/05/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	2,395	0	01/01/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	26	0	01/01/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	1,164	0	03/02/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	55	0	03/10/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	1,536	0	04/21/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	421	0	06/09/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	2,044	0	08/09/93
BUSH, GEORGE	REP	0	1,200-	0	10/05/93
SENATE					
ABRAHAM, EDMOND SPENCER	REP	1,000	0	0	08/17/94
ASHCROFT, JOHN DAVID	REP	1,000	0	0	11/10/93
ASHCROFT, JOHN DAVID	REP	1,000	0	0	05/18/94
ASHCROFT, JOHN DAVID	REP	400	0	0	08/11/94
BARTON, JOE L	REP	2,000	0	0	04/23/93
BENNETT, ROBERT FOSTER	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
BENNETT, ROBERT FOSTER	REP	0	11	0	01/19/93
BOND, CHRISTOPHER S	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
BROCK, WILLIAM E III	REP	0	1,873	0	10/31/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	1,000	0	0	10/01/93
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	2,000	0	0	12/20/93
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	2,000	0	0	05/09/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	2,360	0	10/28/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	1,594	0	10/31/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	150	0	11/01/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	90	0	11/01/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	86	0	11/01/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	90	0	11/01/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	82	0	11/01/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	90	0	11/01/94
BURNS, CONRAD RUSSELL	REP	0	45	0	11/01/94
COATS, DANIEL R	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
COATS, DANIEL R	REP	0	486	0	01/19/93
CONSIDINE, TERRENCE M	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
CONSIDINE, TERRENCE M	REP	0	419	0	01/19/93
D'AMATO, ALFONSE M	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
D'AMATO, ALFONSE M	REP	0	392	0	01/19/93

NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE NON-PARTY QUALIFIED
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE COMMITTEE, INC. QUARTERLY FILER C00111278

COMMITTEE NAME / SPONSOR		CONTRIBUTIONS ON BEHALF OF	EXPENDITURES AGAINST		DATE
D'AMATO, ALFONSE M	REP	0	157	0	03/30/93
D'AMATO, ALFONSE M	REP	0	1,200-	0	10/05/93
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	0	1,185	0	01/19/93
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	1,000	0	0	11/10/93
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	2,000	0	0	02/17/94
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	2,000	0	0	03/28/94
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	0	1,103	0	04/26/94
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	0	23	0	05/10/94
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	0	48	0	05/15/94
DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL	REP	0	33	0	05/15/94
DURENBERGER, DAVE	REP	1,000	0	0	06/17/93
DURENBERGER, DAVE	REP	2,000	0	0	05/04/94
FAIRCLOTH, DUNCAN MCLAUCHLIN	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
FAIRCLOTH, DUNCAN MCLAUCHLIN	REP	1,000	0	0	02/18/93
FRIST, BILL	REP	0	6,256	0	10/28/94
FRIST, BILL	REP	0	1,230	0	10/31/94
FURMAN, HAROLD W II	REP	0	840	0	10/31/94
* GRAMS, RODNEY	REP	1,000	0	0	11/08/94
GRANT, JAMES WILLIAM	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
GRASSLEY, CHARLES ERNEST	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
GRASSLEY, CHARLES ERNEST	REP	0	846	0	01/19/93
HAAR, CHARLENE K	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
HAAR, CHARLENE K	REP	0	374	0	01/19/93
HARTNETT, THOMAS FORBES	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
HATCH, ORRIN G	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
HATCH, ORRIN G	REP	2,000	0	0	02/26/93
HATCH, ORRIN G	REP	1,000	0	0	10/14/93
HATCH, ORRIN G	REP	1,000	0	0	03/25/94
HATCH, ORRIN G	REP	0	36	0	10/31/94
INHOFE, JAMES M	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
INHOFE, JAMES M	REP	500	0	0	03/18/94
INHOFE, JAMES M	REP	1,000	0	0	08/11/94
INHOFE, JAMES M	REP	1,000	0	0	08/30/94
JEFFERSON, MILDRED FAY DR	REP	1,000	0	0	05/12/94
KASTEN, ROBERT W JR	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
KEYES, ALAN L	REP	125-	0	0	01/12/93
KEYES, ALAN L	REP	0	1,168	0	01/19/93
KYL, JON L	REP	0	209	0	01/19/93

NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE NON-PARTY QUALIFIED
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE COMMITTEE, INC. QUARTERLY FILER C00111278

COMMITTEE NAME / SPONSOR		CONTRIBUTIONS	EXPENDITURES ON BEHALF OF	EXPENDITURES AGAINST	DATE
KYL, JON L	REP	500	0	0	02/09/94
KYL, JON L	REP	1,000	0	0	05/18/94
LOTT, C TRENT	REP	1,000	0	0	09/20/93
LOTT, C TRENT	REP	1,000	0	0	03/09/94
LOTT, C TRENT	REP	1,000	0	0	07/20/94
LOTT, C TRENT	REP	0	2,537	0	10/31/94
LUGAR, RICHARD G	REP	1,000	0	0	09/20/93
LUGAR, RICHARD G	REP	1,000	0	0	03/25/94
LUGAR, RICHARD G	REP	0	2,556	0	10/31/94
MACK, CONNIE	REP	1,000	0	0	10/14/93
MACK, CONNIE	REP	1,000	0	0	06/08/94
MCCAIN, JOHN	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
MCCAIN, JOHN	REP	0	209	0	01/19/93
MCMILLAN, COLIN R	REP	1,000	0	0	10/13/94
MELCHER, JOHN	DEM	1,000	0	0	06/02/94
NICKLES, DONALD LEE	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
NICKLES, DONALD LEE	REP	0	101	0	01/19/93
NOAH, MATTHEW JOHN	IND	2,000	0	0	03/19/93
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	1,000	0	0	07/27/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	1,000	0	0	09/13/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	366	0	10/15/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	7,054	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	420	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	187	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	107	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	2,055	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	450	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	780	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	187	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	107	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	336	0	10/31/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	420	0	11/01/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	213	0	11/14/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	88	0	11/14/94
NORTH, OLIVER LAURENCE	REP	0	10	0	11/17/94
PRESSLER, LARRY	REP	1,000	0	0	03/12/93
SANTORUM, RICHARD J	REP	2,000	0	0	12/20/93
SANTORUM, RICHARD J	REP	2,000	0	0	03/30/94
SANTORUM, RICHARD J	REP	1,000	0	0	07/13/94

COMMITTEE NAME / SPONSOR		CONTRIBUTIONS ON BEHALF OF	EXPENDITURES AGAINST		DATE
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE			NON-PARTY QUALIFIED		
NATIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE COMMITTEE, INC.		QUARTERLY FILER			C00111278
*SANTORUM, RICHARD J	REP	1,000	0	0	08/30/94
SCARBOROUGH, JOE	REP	0	194	0	10/14/94
SCARBOROUGH, JOE	REP	0	173	0	10/15/94
SCARBOROUGH, JOE	REP	0	428	0	10/15/94
SCARBOROUGH, JOE	REP	0	322	0	10/15/94
SCARBOROUGH, JOE	REP	0	1,708	0	10/19/94
SCARBOROUGH, JOE	REP	0	1,502	0	10/19/94
SMITH, ROBERT C	REP	2,000	0	0	10/25/93
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	1,000	0	0	04/26/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	2,000	0	0	06/29/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	0	990	0	10/28/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	0	3,750	0	10/31/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	0	131	0	10/31/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	0	255	0	10/31/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	0	450	0	10/31/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	0	187	0	11/03/94
STONEY, JANICE D	REP	0	150	0	10/28/94
SYDNESS, STEVEN KRIS	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
SYDNESS, STEVEN KRIS	REP	1,500-	0	0	01/18/93
SYDNESS, STEVEN KRIS	REP	125-	0	0	01/18/93
THOMPSON, FRED DALTON	REP	0	6,256	0	10/28/94
THOMPSON, FRED DALTON	REP	0	1,230	0	10/31/94
THORSNESS, LEO K	REP	0	670	0	01/19/93
WELCH, ROBERT T	REP	2,000	0	0	08/05/94

Scranton Times

fore,
ds

truth in that, it's
o the dying breed
lood campaign
rang doorbells,
rature and talked
might have tried
romising small
ling upon your
t if they pushed
d whoppers, you
them or slam the
ces. But what can
liar who enters
m by way of your

commercials, a
ld have to find
te loyalty among
ople, the volun-
to be the heart of
at means having
more than some
und-bite.
lying on morph-
ys and malevol-
te would have to
to Elks Clubs, old

YOUR OPINION

Casey's Description Off the Mark

Editor: I take strong exception to remarks attributed to Robert P. Casey Jr., in your Random Notes column on Oct. 8 that characterized my performance as lackluster.

Upon taking my office in 1989, I ended that deplorable practice of job-selling which was the norm in the auditor general's office. Three men went to jail as a result of this.

Your readers should also know that my department has reduced the audit backlog inherited from the previous administration by 6,000 audits. In addition, my department annually conducts 6,500 mandated audits. We have also conducted special audits of the University of Pittsburgh and Walking Around Money funneled through the Department of Community Affairs.

Your own paper's editorial page in September 1992 described my request for legislators and the Governor's Budget Office to provide my office with a full accounting of how WAMs are spent as "proper."

In addition, I've increased the entry level standards and the continuing education requirements for the department. Before 1989, there were no department standards for continuing education. Now auditors must receive 80 continuing education credits every two years. Similarly, during my term as auditor general the number of certified public accountants has increased from 35 to 68, with approximately 100 in the process of obtaining a CPA license. Approximately 72 percent of the total employee compliment in my department is auditors. And through streamlining, I've reduced the number of bureaus in the department from 11 to nine.

Most importantly, had auditees complied with the recommendations in the audits conducted by my department, the state would have realized a potential savings of at least $65.6 million.

The mood of the public demands a more efficient, cost-effective government. Over the last five years, my department has done much to accomplish

Abortion Issue Had Its Impact

By RODERICK RANDOM

While political pundits didn't make much of the abortion issue prior to Tuesday's election, the subject certainly had an impact on the results.

Consider these happenings:

- It was the abortion issue that caused Pennsylvania's most popular politician, Gov. Robert P. Casey, to sit out the statewide campaign, denying fellow Democrats the benefit of his influence among voters.
- Peg Luksik, who ran for governor as a pro-life independent, got 458,000 votes — an amazing performance unmatched by a third-party candidate since 1910 and convincing evidence that the pro-life cause is alive and well in Pennsylvania.
- The Pennsylvania Pro-Life Federation, feeling Luksik couldn't win, endorsed only one statewide candidate — Republican U.S. Rep. Rick Santorum — helping him to eke out a 78,000-vote victory over Democratic U.S. Sen. Harris Wofford.
- Lt. Gov. Mark Singel, the unsuccessful Democratic candidate for governor, aired television ads to make sure the electorate knew he was the most pro-choice of the three candidates — ads intended to cause pro-lifers to vote for Luksik rather than Republican Tom Ridge but which also reminded pro-life Democrats of his strong pro-choice position.

While it is impossible to prove with mathematical certainty how many votes were influenced by the abortion issue, it is safe to say that the subject had a major impact on both state races.

CASEY: A FATAL MISTAKE

Casey, who boycotted the Singel and Wofford campaigns, said Singel would have been elected governor had he remained pro-life.

His scenario is that there would have been no reason for Luksik to run as a pro-life candidate if Singel was pro-

GOV. ROBERT P. CASEY

cial but added that Singel was "no Bob Casey."

As expected in a county with a 3-to-1 Democratic registration lead, Single got 36,281 votes here compared to 26,102 for Ridge. Four years ago, when Casey was seeking a second term against Republican Barbara Hafer, he — a hometown hero — got 49,393 votes in the county compared to 10,930 for Hafer.

Wofford, who ignored the abortion issue in his campaign, did slightly better than Singel in the county. He got 38,675 votes while Santorum got 26,334. Still, he, too, showed slippage. In 1991, when he defeated Republican Dick Thornburgh, he polled 45,000 votes in the county compared to 26,000 for Thornburgh.

In a post-election interview, Casey noted that he did not ignore the election completely. He said he campaigned for Democratic House candidates at Allentown, Hazleton and Mahanoy City, Wilkes-Barre and Lawrence County. He also did a commercial to help state Sen. Robert Mellow.

DIFFERENCE IN DEGREE

On the subject of abortion, Casey noted that Ridge said he would veto any attempt to strip a 24-hour waiting period

commercials, a
ld have to find
e loyalty among
ple, the volun-
o be the heart of
it means having
more than some
nd-bite.
ying on morph-
s and malevol-
e would have to
Elks Clubs, old
and community
real speeches,
and prove that
nothing to offer.
ng behind his
keting whiz, a
have to get back
s.
enate political
the grass roots,
carry over into
al parties. It
be every rich
nd to heck with
party values. A
e to prove that
highway com-
he aims at the

say they favor
Some of them

e is no reason
to preserve the
s that would
aler blush.

ia Services Inc.

from 11 to nine.

Most importantly, ha[illegible]ditees complied with the [illegible]ommendations in the audits conducted by my department, the state would have realized a potential savings of at least $65.6 million.

The mood of the public demands a more efficient, cost-effective government. Over the last five years, my department has done much to accomplish this goal of greater accountability.

If one were to fairly evaluate the accomplishments of the department of the auditor general during my term, I dare say, lackluster would not be accurate.

BARBARA HAFER
Auditor General of Pennsylvania

ABOUT LETTERS

Letters to *The Sunday Times*, *The Scranton Times* and *The Tribune* may be sent to P.O. Box 3311, Scranton, Pa. 18505-3311. Fax number: 717-348-9135.

Letters must include a name for confirmation and publication, and a telephone number for confirmation.

:w of '96

son

JEFF STAHLER
THE CINCINNATI POST



[illegible] it is safe to say that the subject had a major impact on both state races. [illegible]

CASEY: A FATAL MISTAKE

Casey, who boycotted the Singel and Wofford campaigns, said Singel would have been elected governor had he remained pro-life.

His scenario is that there would have been no reason for Luksik to run as a pro-life candidate if Singel was pro-life. Thus, he would have attracted most of the votes that she got, making him an easy winner.

Many Democratic party-liners were irked by Casey not getting involved in the Singel or Wofford races. Why did he sit out the election?

The answer can be found in Casey's staunch belief that abortions are acts tantamount to murder and also because Singel and Wofford portrayed themselves as pro-life when he gave them their starts — Singel as his lieutenant governor runningmate in 1986 and Wofford as his 1990 appointee to the U.S. Senate to fill a vacancy caused by the death of Republican U.S. Sen. John Heinz.

When both later strayed to the pro-choice side, Casey felt betrayed and reacted accordingly.

While Casey followed his conscience and sat out the campaign, many other anti-abortion Democrats stuck with Singel and Wofford out of party loyalty.

However, the ad that Singel ran portraying himself as the most pro-choice of the three candidates did, in fact, bother local party leaders. "Why run that ad here where pro-life sentiment is so strong?" some asked. The ad reportedly was supposed to take votes from Ridge and and give them to Luksik. However, the guessing here is that the ad hurt more than it helped Singel because it was such a blunt reminder that his drift from his pro-life days had ended in him bragging about being the "most" pro-choice candidate.

"It just wasn't a good ad to run in one of the strongest pro-life counties in the state," one observer noted.

RIDGE AD PRAISED CASEY

In contrast, Ridge ran an ad that identified Casey as a highly respected public offi- [illegible] He [illegible] Allentown, Hazleton and Mahanoy City, Wilkes-Barre and Lawrence County. He also did a commercial to help state Sen. Robert Mellow.

DIFFERENCE IN DEGREE

On the subject of abortion, Casey noted that Ridge said he would veto any attempt to strip a 24-hour waiting period from the state's Abortion Control Act. In contrast, he said, Singel said he was in favor of taxpayer-funded abortions. He added: "That's a major difference between the two."

Casey said that neither Ridge nor Singel said much about the issues facing state government today. He added: "They were both pretty vague. But it was ironic that your own lieutenant governor never mentions your name or your programs for the last eight years."

Casey said accomplishments of his administration include environmental progress, auto insurance reform, toxic waste cleanup legislation, recycling, cuts in business taxes, welfare reform and increases in aid to needy families and single mothers.

He also said he is leaving office with a $300 million surplus and that Pennsylvania has the lowest number of state employees per capita nationally.

"Why that wasn't put out there as a foundation for moving on to the next argument, having to do with the qualifications of your successor, I don't know," he said.

As for Casey's future, he said he doesn't "rule anything in or anything out." Noting that he is healthy again, he added jokingly: "I don't know what I'm going to do, but I'm going to get a job."

RELIGIOUS BREAKTHROUGH

In another footnote to the election, Ridge is the first Republican Roman Catholic to be elected governor.

It used to be axiomatic that a Catholic could not be elected governor of Pennsylvania.

However, Democrat David Lawrence broke that barrier in 1958. Then, in 1966, Casey became the next Catholic governor. Now, Ridge is the third, but the first Republican.

6

'039351952

REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

For Other Than An Authorized Committee
(Summary Page)

USE FEC MAILING LABEL OR TYPE OR PRINT

1. NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)
PA PRO-LIFE FEDERATION FEDERAL PAC

ADDRESS (number and street) ☐ Check if different than previously reported
460 MAYWOOD ROAD

CITY, STATE and ZIP CODE
YORK, PA 17402

Oct 23 9 55 AM '94

2. FEC IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
C 00172361

3. ☒ This committee has qualified as a multicandidate committee. (see FEC FORM 1M)

4. TYPE OF REPORT

(a) ☐ April 15 Quarterly Report
☐ July 15 Quarterly Report
☐ October 15 Quarterly Report
☐ January 31 Year End Report
☐ July 31 Mid Year Report (Non-election Year Only)
☐ Termination Report

Monthly Report Due On:
☐ February 20 ☐ June 20 ☐ October 20
☐ March 20 ☐ July 20 ☐ November 20
☐ April 20 ☐ August 20 ☐ December 20
☐ May 20 ☐ September 20 ☐ January 31

☒ Twelfth day report preceding GENERAL (Type of Election) election on 11-8-94 in the State of PA

☐ Thirtieth day report following the General Election on _____ in the State of _____.

(b) Is this Report an Amendment? ☐ YES ☒ NO

SUMMARY	COLUMN A This Period	COLUMN B Calendar Year-to-Date
5. Covering Period 10-01-94 through 10-19-94		
6. (a) Cash on Hand January 1, 19 94		$ 5127.52
(b) Cash on Hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	$ 8636.39	
(c) Total Receipts (from Line 19)	$ -0-	$ 43225.15
(d) Subtotal (add Lines 6(b) and 6(c) for Column A and Lines 6(a) and 6(c) for Column B)	$ 8636.39	$ 48352.67
7. Total Disbursements (from Line 30)	$ 4100.00	$ 43816.28
8. Cash on Hand at Close of Reporting Period (subtract Line 7 from Line 6(d))	$ 4536.39	$ 4536.39
9. Debts and Obligations Owed TO the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ NONE	
10. Debts and Obligations Owed BY the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ NONE	

For further information contact:
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463
Toll Free 800-424-9530
Local 202-219-3420

I certify that I have examined this Report and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct and complete.

Type or Print Name of Treasurer
FREDERICK W. PFISTER

Signature of Treasurer
Fred F. Pfister

Date
10-19-94

NOTE: Submission of false, erroneous, or incomplete information may subject the person signing this Report to the penalties of 2 U.S.C. §437g.

FEC FORM 3X
(revised 9/93)

)39351953

DETAILED SUMMARY PAGE
OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
PAGE 2, FEC FORM 3X

(revised 1/1/91)

NAME OF COMMITTEE: PENNSYLVANIA PRO-LIFE FEDERATION FEDERAL PAC

REPORT COVERING PERIOD FROM 10-01-94 TO 10-19-94

	COLUMN A Total This Period	COLUMN B Calendar Year	
I. Receipts			
11. Contributions (other than loans) From:			
a. Individual/Persons Other Than Political Committees			
i. Itemized (use Schedule A)		2898.00	11(a)(i)
ii. Unitemized		36126.50	11(a)(ii)
iii. Total ... (add i and ii) ➤		39024.50	11(a)(iii)
b. Political Party Committees		500.00	11(b)
c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)			11(c)
d. Total Contributions ... (add a iii, b and c) ➤	-0-	39524.50	11(d)
12. Transfers From Affiliated/Other Party Committees			12
13. All Loans Received			13
14. Loan Repayments Received			14
15. Offsets To Operating Expenditures (Refunds, Rebates, etc.)		3700.65	15
16. Refunds of Contributions Made to Federal Candidates and Other Political Committees			16
17. Other Federal Receipts (Dividends, Interest, etc.)			17
18. Transfers from Nonfederal Account for Joint Activity			18
19. Total Receipts ... (add 11d, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18) ➤	-0-	43225.15	19
20. Total Federal Receipts ... (subtract line 18 from line 19) ➤	-0-	43225.15	20
II. Disbursements			
21. Operating Expenditures:			
a. Shared Federal/Non-Federal Activity (from Schedule H4)			
i. Federal Share			21(a)(i)
ii. Non-Federal Share			21(a)(ii)
b. Other Federal Operating Expenditures	3100.00	40493.27	21(b)
c. Total Operating Expenditures ... (add a i, a ii, and b) ➤	3100.00	40493.27	21(c)
22. Transfers to Affiliated/Other Party Committees			22
23. Contributions to Federal Candidates/Committees and Other Political Committees	1000.00	3323.01	23
24. Independent Expenditures (use Schedule E)			24
25. Coordinated Expenditures Made by Party Committees (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)) (use Schedule F)			25
26. Loan Repayments Made			26
27. Loans Made			27
28. Refunds of Contributions To:			
a. Individuals/Persons Other Than Political Committees			28(a)
b. Political Party Committees			28(b)
c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)			28(c)
d. Total Contribution Refunds ... (add a, b and c) ➤			28(d)
29. Other Disbursements			29
30. Total Disbursements ... (add 21c, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28d, and 29) ➤	4100.00	43816.28	30
31. Total Federal Disbursements ... (subtract line 21 a ii from line 30) ➤	4100.00	43816.28	31
III. Net Contributions/Operating Expenditures			
32. Total Contributions (other than loans)(from line 11d)	-0-	39524.50	32
33. Total Contribution Refunds (from line 28d)	-0-	-0-	33
34. Net Contributions (other than loans)(subtract line 33 from 32)	-0-	39524.50	34
35. Total Federal Operating Expenditures ... (add 21 a i and 21 b) ➤	4100.00	43816.28	35
36. Offsets to Operating Expenditures (from line 15)	-	3700.65	36
Net Fed Op Exp (from 35) ➤	4100.00	40115.63	37

39351955

SCHEDULE B

ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS

Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the Detailed Summary Page

PAGE 4 OF 4

FOR LINE NUMBER

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)
PENNSYLVANIA PRO-LIFE FEDERATION FEDERAL PAC

	Purpose of Disbursement	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
A. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code SANTORUM "94" COMMITTEE 340 MAIN STREET CARNEGIE, PA. 15106	TICKET (FUNDRAISING) Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	10/18/94	1000.00
B. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
C. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
D. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
E. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
F. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
G. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
H. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
I. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period

SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional) .....

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only) 1000.00

039272958

REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

For Other Than An Authorized Committee
(Summary Page)

USE FEC MAILING LABEL OR TYPE OR PRINT

1. NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)
PA PRO-LIFE FEDERATION FEDERAL PAC

ADDRESS (number and street) ☐ Check if different than previously reported
460 MAYWOOD ROAD

CITY, STATE and ZIP CODE
YORK, PA 17402

Oct 14 12 25 PM '94

2. FEC IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
C 00172361

3. ☒ This committee has qualified as a multicandidate committee. (see FEC FORM 1M)

4. TYPE OF REPORT

(a) ☐ April 15 Quarterly Report
☐ July 15 Quarterly Report
☒ October 15 Quarterly Report
☐ January 31 Year End Report
☐ July 31 Mid Year Report (Non-election Year Only)
☐ Termination Report

Monthly Report Due On:
☐ February 20 ☐ June 20 ☐ October 20
☐ March 20 ☐ July 20 ☐ November 20
☐ April 20 ☐ August 20 ☐ December 20
☐ May 20 ☐ September 20 ☐ January 31

☐ Twelfth day report preceding _____ (Type of Election) election on ____ _____ in the State of ____ . ____

☐ Thirtieth day report following the General Election on _____ . _____ in the State of _____ . _____

(b) Is this Report an Amendment? ☐ YES ☐ NO

SUMMARY	COLUMN A This Period	COLUMN B Calendar Year-to-Date
5. Covering Period 6-30-94 through 9-30-94		
6. (a) Cash on Hand January 1, 1994		$ 5127.52
(b) Cash on Hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	$ 1098.40	
(c) Total Receipts (from Line 19)	$ 8877.00	$ 43,225.15
(d) Subtotal (add Lines 6(b) and 6(c) for Column A and Lines 6(a) and 6(c) for Column B)	$ 9975.40	$ 48,352.67
7. Total Disbursements (from Line 30)	$ 1339.01	$ 39,716.28
8. Cash on Hand at Close of Reporting Period (subtract Line 7 from Line 6(d))	$ 8636.39	$ 8636.39
9. Debts and Obligations Owed TO the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ None	
10. Debts and Obligations Owed BY the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ None	

For further information contact:
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463
Toll Free 800-424-9530
Local 202-219-3420

I certify that I have examined this Report and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct and complete.

Type or Print Name of Treasurer
FREDERICK W. PFISTER

Signature of Treasurer

Date 10-12-94

NOTE: Submission of false, erroneous, or incomplete information may subject the person signing this Report to the penalties of 2 U.S.C. §437g.

FEC FORM 3X
(revised 9/93)

039272959

DETAILED SUMMARY PAGE
OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
PAGE 2, FEC FORM 3X

(revised 1/1/91)

NAME OF COMMITTEE: PENNSYLVANIA PRO-LIFE FEDERATION FEDERAL PAC

REPORT COVERING PERIOD FROM 6-30-94 TO: 9-30-94

	COLUMN A Total This Period	COLUMN B Calendar Year	
I. Receipts			
11. Contributions (other than loans) From:			
a. Individual/Persons Other Than Political Committees			
i. Itemized (use Schedule A)	900.00	2898.00	11(a)(i)
ii. Unitemized	7977.00	36626.50	11(a)(ii)
iii. Total (add i and ii) ➤	8877.00	39524.50	11(a)(iii)
b. Political Party Committees		500.00	11(b)
c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)			11(c)
d. Total Contributions (add a iii, b and c) ➤	8877.00	39524.50	11(d)
12. Transfers From Affiliated/Other Party Committees			12
13. All Loans Received			13
14. Loan Repayments Received			14
15. Offsets To Operating Expenditures (Refunds, Rebates, etc.)		3700.65	15
16. Refunds of Contributions Made to Federal Candidates and Other Political Committees			16
17. Other Federal Receipts (Dividends, interest, etc.)			17
18. Transfers from Nonfederal Account for Joint Activity			18
19. Total Receipts (add 11d, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18) ➤	8877.00	43225.15	19
20. Total Federal Receipts (subtract line 18 from line 19) ➤	8877.00	43225.15	20
II. Disbursements			
21. Operating Expenditures:			
a. Shared Federal/Non-Federal Activity (from Schedule H4)			
i. Federal Share			21(a)(i)
ii. Non-Federal Share			21(a)(ii)
b. Other Federal Operating Expenditures	16.00	37393.27	21(b)
c. Total Operating Expenditures (add a i, a ii, and b) ➤	16.00	37393.27	21(c)
22. Transfers to Affiliated/Other Party Committees			22
23. Contributions to Federal Candidates/Committees and Other Political Committees IN KIND	* 1323.01	2323.01	23
24. Independent Expenditures (use Schedule E)			24
25. Coordinated Expenditures Made by Party Committees (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)) (use Schedule F)			25
26. Loan Repayments Made			26
27. Loans Made			27
28. Refunds of Contributions To:			
a. Individuals/Persons Other Than Political Committees			28(a)
b. Political Party Committees			28(b)
c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)			28(c)
d. Total Contribution Refunds (add a, b and c) ➤			28(d)
29. Other Disbursements			29
30. Total Disbursements (add 21c, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28d, and 29) ➤	1339.01	39716.28	30
31. Total Federal Disbursements (subtract line 21 a ii from line 30) ➤	1339.01	39716.28	31
III. Net Contributions/Operating Expenditures			
32. Total Contributions (other than loans)(from line 11d)	8877.00	39524.50	32
33. Total Contribution Refunds (from line 28d)			33
34. Net Contributions (other than loans)(subtract line 33 from 32)	8877.00	39524.50	34
35. Total Federal Operating Expenditures (add 21 a i and 21 b) ➤	1339.01	39716.28	35
36. Offsets to Operating Expenditures (from line 15)		3700.65	36
37. Net Operating Expenditures (subtract line 36 from 35) ➤	1339.01	36015.63	37

FE4AN101

SCHEDULE B **ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS**

Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the Detailed Summary Page

PAGE 5 OF 5

FOR LINE NUMBER

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)
PENNSYLVANIA PRO-LIFE FEDERATION FEDERAL PAC

A. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
PA PRO-LIFE FEDERATION 310 GENET STREET SCRANTON, PA 18505 * SANTORUM 94 CAMPAIGN 940 EAST MAIN CARNEGIE, PA 15106	IN KIND POSTAGE PROCESSING & PRINTING Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	8-22-94	1323.01
B. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
C. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
D. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
E. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
F. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
G. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
H. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
I. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period

SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional) .....

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only) 1323.01

9403972962

LEVEL 1 - 6 OF 15 STORIES

Copyright 1994 States News Service
States News Service
November 2, 1994, Wednesday

LENGTH: 849 words
HEADLINE: PHILADELPHIA ARCHDIOCESE ALTERED VOTER CARDS TO HELP <SANTORUM>
BYLINE: By Brett Lieberman, States News Service

BODY:

A political scorecard by the Archdiocese of Philadelphia that made Democrat Sen. Harris <Wofford> "look just as good or better than Rick <Santorum,>" was destroyed and reprinted after GOP and <conservative> groups objected, according to internal church documents.

The church, which spent nearly $12,000 printing 150,000 scorecards that rated lawmakers with a "+" or "-", destroyed them and paid almost $9,000 for a second version after pro-life groups, the Christian Coalition and <Santorum's> campaign objected.

The second set of scorecards were rearranged to make <Wofford> less appealing, the memos obtained by States News Service state. Unlike the first set, it did not include <Santorum,> Republican Tom Ridge or other candidates west of Lancaster, although <Santorum> and Ridge are campaigning statewide.

Other political activities, such as petition signing for candidates in the back of churches, also is alleged in the memos.

Church officials did not return telephone calls over a two-day period.

More than 1.4 million <Catholics> in central and eastern Pennsylvania are in parishes under the archdiocese's jurisdiction.

Churches cannot endorse candidates because of their tax-exempt status, but internal memos and the scorecards show the archdiocese rearranged its score card to help <Santorum,> the Republican U.S. <Senate> candidate.

The issue raises questions about whether the church bowed to pressure from conservative groups and <Santorum's> campaign, as well as whether the church's activities violated its tax-exempt status.

The concerns raised in the church documents were over abortion and school choice votes by <Santorum and Wofford,> according to documents.

<Santorum,> like the <Catholic> church, opposes abortion in all cases, but Wofford supports abortion with some restrictions, such as a 24-hour wait.

But the Christian Coalition, Pennsylvania Pro-Life Federation and the Pennsylvania <Catholic> Conference complained that <Wofford's> stance on abortion votes was in line with the church's on two of three votes.

<Santorum's> campaign also complained, according to the memos, that the scorecard gave him a "-" for a school choice vote. But the candidate later changed his position after the congressional vote.

The first scorecard showed <Wofford's> votes matched church positions on three of five issues, while <Santorum's> votes matched only three of six church positions. The second scorecard, which didn't include <Santorum,> reported <Wofford> voted with the church on only two of five occasions.

People mentioned in the memos for complaining about the scorecard -- Gail Pedrick, the Christian Coalition's Bucks County coordinator, and Denise Neary, of the Pro-Life Federation -- refused to comment or did not return calls.

Howard Fetterhoff, executive director of the Pennsylvania <Catholic> Conference, claimed there was "just some misleading stuff in there." But he said he didn't recall what he though should be changed.

<Santorum's> campaign denied putting any pressure on the archdiocese. Mike Mihalke, <Santorum's> spokesman, said the campaign made one phone call to the church asking how their scorecards were calculated.

"We had received some calls from supporters of Rick's," Mihalke said. "They felt that Rick's score was not as reflective as his support for positions held by him."

He said a single "informational call" was made to the church.

The internal memos from August through mid October detail the changes made to the scorecards at the request of the <conservative> groups, and concerns raised by at least one archdiocese employee.

The employee, Karen Keller a special projects coordinator in the church's public affairs office, questioned whether the church can create a scorecard without jeopardizing its tax-exempt status, and she also complained that changes

made in the scorecards made one candidate look better.

Keller's concerns were documented in memos she wrote, including one on Oct. 12 to Bishop Edward P. Cullen, vicar for administration.

Keller confirmed she wrote the memos, but declined to comment saying, "I'll lose my job."

The Oct. 12 memo and others dating back to early August show Keller's concerns about the church's activities and her feeling that these concerns were ignored or rebuffed by her superiors.

"Isn't it against the guidelines to support, endorse or oppose a political candidate?" Keller wrote to the bishop. "Isn't rearranging an archdiocesan scorecard so that one candidate looks bad and one looks good against the guidelines?"

"I thought the archdiocese was non-political, a 501c3 institution?" she wrote, referring to the organization's tax-exempt status.

Keller, in the memo, told Cullen she was "very concerned for the archdiocese because of the amount of political activity."

But when she voiced her concerns to James Bock, associate vicar for administration, "I was told that these were 'shades of gray' and the archdiocese is too big to get into trouble with the IRS. He said that you can watch a criminal who you know is going to rob a store but you can't do anything until he does," Keller wrote.

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH
LOAD-DATE-MDC: November 3, 1994

9403303527

8

REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

For Other Than An Authorized Committee
(Summary Page)

USE FEC MAILING LABEL OR TYPE OR PRINT

1. NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)
MINNESOTA CITIZENS CONCERNED FOR LIFE, INC
COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

ADDRESS (number and street) ☐ Check if different than previously reported
4249 NICOLLET AVE So

CITY, STATE and ZIP CODE
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55409

2. FEC IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
C00129171

3. ☑ This committee has qualified as a multicandidate committee. (see FEC FORM 1M)
11-28-80

4. TYPE OF REPORT AKA: MCCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

(a) ☐ April 15 Quarterly Report
☐ July 15 Quarterly Report
☑ October 15 Quarterly Report
☐ January 31 Year End Report
☐ July 31 Mid Year Report (Non-election Year Only)
☐ Termination Report

Monthly Report Due On:
☐ February 20 ☐ June 20 ☐ October 20
☐ March 20 ☐ July 20 ☐ November 20
☐ April 20 ☐ August 20 ☐ December 20
☐ May 20 ☐ September 20 ☐ January 31

☐ Twelfth day report preceding _____ (Type of Election) election on _____ in the State of _____

☐ Thirtieth day report following the General Election on _____ in the State of _____.

(b) Is this Report an Amendment? ☐ YES ☑ NO

SUMMARY	COLUMN A This Period	COLUMN B Calendar Year-to-Date
5. Covering Period 8-25-94 through 9-30-94		
6. (a) Cash on Hand January 1, 1994		$ 67,654.09
(b) Cash on Hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	$ 120,687.61	
(c) Total Receipts (from Line 19)	$ 200.00	$ 94,056.25
(d) Subtotal (add Lines 6(b) and 6(c) for Column A and Lines 6(a) and 6(c) for Column B)	$ 120,887.61	$ 161,710.34
7. Total Disbursements (from Line 30)	$ 22,785.44	$ 63,608.17
8. Cash on Hand at Close of Reporting Period (subtract Line 7 from Line 6(d))	$ 98,102.17	$ 98,102.17
9. Debts and Obligations Owed TO the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ NONE	
10. Debts and Obligations Owed BY the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ NONE	

For further information contact:
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463
Toll Free 800-424-9530
Local 202-219-3420

I certify that I have examined this Report and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct and complete.

Type or Print Name of Treasurer
JACQUELINE A. SCHWIETZ

Signature of Treasurer
Jacqueline A. Schwietz

Date
10-7-94

NOTE: Submission of false, erroneous, or incomplete information may subject the person signing this Report to the penalties of 2 U.S.C. §437g.

FEC FORM 3X
(revised 9/93)

94039303528

DETAILED SUMMARY PAGE
OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
PAGE 2, FEC FORM 3X

(revised 1/1/91)

NAME OF COMMITTEE: MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

REPORT COVERING PERIOD FROM TO:

	COLUMN A Total This Period	COLUMN B Calendar Year
I. Receipts		
11. Contributions (other than loans) From:		
a. Individual/Persons Other Than Political Committees		
i. Itemized (use Schedule A)	—	4,250.00
ii. Unitemized	200.00	89,806.25
iii. Total (add i and ii) ➤	200.00	94,056.25
b. Political Party Committees	—	—
c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)	—	—
d. Total Contributions (add a iii, b and c) ➤	200.00	94,056.25
12. Transfers From Affiliated/Other Party Committees	—	—
13. All Loans Received	—	—
14. Loan Repayments Received	—	—
15. Offsets To Operating Expenditures (Refunds, Rebates, etc.)	—	—
16. Refunds of Contributions Made to Federal Candidates and Other Political Committees	—	—
17. Other Federal Receipts (Dividends, Interest, etc.)	—	—
18. Transfers from Nonfederal Account for Joint Activity	—	—
19. Total Receipts (add 11d, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18) ➤	200.00	94,056.25
20. Total Federal Receipts (subtract line 18 from line 19) ➤	200.00	94,056.25
II. Disbursements		
21. Operating Expenditures:		
a. Shared Federal/Non-Federal Activity (from Schedule H4)		
i. Federal Share	—	—
ii. Non-Federal Share	—	—
b. Other Federal Operating Expenditures	14,466.53	15,089.26
c. Total Operating Expenditures (add a i, a ii, and b) ➤	14,466.53	15,089.26
22. Transfers to Affiliated/Other Party Committees	—	—
23. Contributions to Federal Candidates/Committees and Other Political Committees	2,000.00	17,000.00
24. Independent Expenditures (use Schedule E)	6,318.91	6,318.91
25. Coordinated Expenditures Made by Party Committees (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)) (use Schedule F)	—	—
26. Loan Repayments Made	—	—
27. Loans Made	—	—
28. Refunds of Contributions To:		
a. Individuals/Persons Other Than Political Committees	—	—
b. Political Party Committees	—	—
c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)	—	—
d. Total Contribution Refunds (add a, b and c) ➤	—	—
29. Other Disbursements	—	25,200.00
30. Total Disbursements (add 21c, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28d, and 29) ➤	22,785.44	63,608.17
31. Total Federal Disbursements (subtract line 21 a i from line 30) ➤	22,785.44	63,608.17
III. Net Contributions/Operating Expenditures		
32. Total Contributions (other than loans)(from line 11d)	200.00	94,056.25
33. Total Contribution Refunds (from line 28d)	—	—
34. Net Contributions (other than loans)(subtract line 33 from 32)	200.00	94,056.25
35. Total Federal Operating Expenditures (add 21 a i and 21 b) ➤	14,466.53	15,089.26
36. Offsets to Operating Expenditures (from line 15)	—	—
37. Net Operating Expenditures (subtract line 36 from 35) ➤	14,466.53	14,466.53

94039303529

SCHEDULE B **ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS**

Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the Detailed Summary Page

PAGE 1 OF 1

FOR LINE NUMBER 21-b

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)

MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement / Disbursement for	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
A. MCCL - GENERAL FUND 4249 NICOLLET AVE SO MPLS, MN 55409	MAIL LIST Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify) ADMIN ✓	8-26-94	9,485.23
B. 11 S WEST MPLS, MN 55402	PHONE Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify) ADMIN ✓	9-6-94	57.40 (YTD $505.13)
C. MARICE ROSENBERG 966 REDWOOD DRIVE APPLE VALLEY, MN 55124	PROFESSIONAL FEES Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify) ADMIN ✓	9-13-94	2,000.00
D. SAME	SAME Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify) ADMIN ✓	9-30-94	1,000.00
E. MCCL - GENERAL FUND SAME	ADVERTISING Primary ✓ General ☐ Other (specify)	9-20-94	1,923.90
F.	Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
G.	Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
H.	Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
I.	Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		

SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional) 14,466.53

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only) 14,466.53

94039303529

94039303530

SCHEDULE B **ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS**

Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the Detailed Summary Page

PAGE 1 OF 1

FOR LINE NUMBER 23

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)

MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement / Disbursement for	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
A. LIFETEL, INC. 10625 WEST NORTH AVE MILWAUKEE, WI 53226	IN-KIND PHONE CALLS-FOR THE SUPPORT-GET-OUT-THE-VOTE; Disbursement for: ✓ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	9-30-94	2,000.00
B.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
C.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
D.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
E.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
F.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
G.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
H.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
I.	Purpose of Disbursement; Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		

SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional) 2,000.00

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only) 2,000.00

94039303531

SCHEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Name of Committee (in Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
MCCL - GENERAL FUND 4249 NICOLLET AVE SO MPLS, MN 55409	ADVERTISING	9-20-94	2,180.39	ROD GRAMS SEN - MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
IMPACT MAILING 4600 LYNDALE AVE NO MPLS, MN 55412	ADVERTISING INSERT	9-20-94	2,524.08	TAD JUDE CONGRESS - MN - 6TH ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MAIL HANDLING, INC 7550 CORPORATE WAY EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344	PRINTING + LITERATURE DROP	9-30-94	224.29	ROD GRAMS SEN - MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
SAME	SAME	9-30-94	224.29	GIL GUTKNECHT CONGRESS - MN - 1ST ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
SAME	SAME	9-30-94	1,071.09	TAD JUDE CONGRESS - MN - 6TH ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
				☐ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ 6,224.14

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ 94.77

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ 6,318.91

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature: Jacqueline A. Overeast Date: 10-7-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 7th day of Oct, 19 94

My Commission expires 4-1-96

NOTARY PUBLIC: John G. Jelinek

JOHN G. JELINEK
NOTARY PUBLIC - MINNESOTA
HENNEPIN COUNTY
My Commission Expires Apr. 1, 1996

94039303532

Federal Election Commission

ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS

The Commission has added this page to the end of this filing to indicate how it was received.

☐	Hand Delivered	DATE OF RECEIPT
☐	First Class Mail	POSTMARKED
☒	Registered/Certified Mail	POSTMARKED 10/14/94
☐	No Postmark	
☐	Postmark Illegible	
☐	Received from the House Office of Records and Registration	DATE OF RECEIPT
☐	Received from the Senate Office of Public Records	DATE OF RECEIPT
☐	Other (Specify):	POSTMARKED and/or DATE OF RECEIPT

PKY — PREPARER

10/17/94 — DATE PREPARED

FEC FORM 70-2 (12/92)

94039303532

039531354

REPORT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

For Other Than An Authorized Committee
(Summary Page)

TYPE OR PRINT

1. NAME OF COMMITTEE (in full)
MINNESOTA CITIZENS CONCERNED FOR LIFE, INC
COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

ADDRESS (number and street) ☐ Check if different than previously reported
4249 NICOLLET AVE S

CITY, STATE and ZIP CODE
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55409

2. FEC IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
C00129171

3. ☑ This committee has qualified as a multicandidate committee. (see FEC FORM 1M)
11-28-80

4. TYPE OF REPORT AKA: MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

(a) ☐ April 15 Quarterly Report
☐ July 15 Quarterly Report
☐ October 15 Quarterly Report
☐ January 31 Year End Report
☐ July 31 Mid Year Report (Non-election Year Only)
☐ Termination Report

Monthly Report Due On:
☐ February 20 ☐ June 20 ☐ October 20
☐ March 20 ☐ July 20 ☐ November 20
☐ April 20 ☐ August 20 ☐ December 20
☐ May 20 ☐ September 20 ☐ January 31

☐ Twelfth day report preceding _____ (Type of Election) election on _____ in the State of _____

☑ Thirtieth day report following the General Election on 11-8-94 in the State of MN.

(b) Is this Report an Amendment? ☐ YES ☑ NO

SUMMARY	COLUMN A This Period	COLUMN B Calendar Year-to-Date
5. Covering Period 10-20-94 through 11-28-94		
6. (a) Cash on Hand January 1, 1994		$ 67,654.09
(b) Cash on Hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	$ 97,110.60	
(c) Total Receipts (from Line 19)	$ 70,465.29	$ 164,561.54
(d) Subtotal (add Lines 6(b) and 6(c) for Column A and Lines 6(a) and 6(c) for Column B)	$ 167,575.89	$ 232,215.63
7. Total Disbursements (from Line 30)	$ 140,838.71	$ 205,478.45
8. Cash on Hand at Close of Reporting Period (subtract Line 7 from Line 6(d))	$ 26,737.18	$ 26,737.18
9. Debts and Obligations Owed TO the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ NONE	
10. Debts and Obligations Owed BY the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D)	$ NONE	

For further information contact:
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463
Toll Free 800-424-9530
Local 202-219-3420

I certify that I have examined this Report and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct and complete.

Type or Print Name of Treasurer
JACQUELINE A. SCHWIETZ

Signature of Treasurer

Date

NOTE: Submission of false, erroneous, or incomplete information may subject the person signing this Report to the penalties of 2 U.S.C. §437g.

FEC FORM 3X

3531357

:HEDULE B

ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS

Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the Detailed Summary Page

PAGE 1 OF 1

FOR LINE NUMBER 21. 6.

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)

MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS C00129171

	Purpose of Disbursement	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
A. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code U S West Mpls, MN 55402	PHONE Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☑ Other (specify)	11-7-94	58.19
B. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code SAME	SAME Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☑ Other (specify)	11-17-94	57.40 (YTD $620.72)
C. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code MARICE ROSENBERG 966 REDWOOD DR. APPLE VALLEY, MN 55124	Purpose of Disbursement CONSULTING Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☑ Other (specify)	11-8-94	1,000.00 (YTD $4,000.00)
D. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code LIFE-TEL 10625 W NO AVE MILWAUKEE, WI 53226	Purpose of Disbursement FUND RAISING - CALLS Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☑ Other (specify)	11-8-94 11-28-94	13,973.75 687.75
E. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code HERITAGE PRINTING 10940 WEST CAPITAL DR MILWAUKEE, WI 53222	Purpose of Disbursement FUND RAISING - PRINTING Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☑ Other (specify)	11-18-94	739.61
F. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code MCCL - GEN'L FUND 4249 NICOLLET AVE So. MPLS, MN 55409	Purpose of Disbursement FUND RAISING - EXPENSE REIMB Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☑ Other (specify)	11-18-94	5,050.50
G. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
H. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
I. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period

SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional) 21,567.20
UNITEMIZED 16.14

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only) 21,583.34

CHEDULE B **ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS**

Use separate schedule(s) for each category of the Detailed Summary Page

PAGE 1 OF 1

FOR LINE NUMBER 23

Any information copied from such Reports and Statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee.

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)

MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS C00129171

A. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	Purpose of Disbursement	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of Each Disbursement This Period
WELLE FOR CONGRESS 1802 N DIVISION ST #212 MORRIS, ILL 60450	CONTRIBUTION Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☑ General ☐ Other (specify)	11-7-94	100.00
B. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
SUE MYRICK FOR CONGRESS 4722 PARK RD - STE B CHARLOTTE, NC 28201	SAME Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☑ General ☐ Other (specify)	11-7-94	100.00
C. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
MIKE DOYLE FOR CONGRESS 11615 PENN HILLS DR PITTSBURGH, PA 15235	SAME Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☑ General ☐ Other (specify)	11-7-94	100.00
D. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
ASHCROFT FOR SENATE 7710 CARONDELET AVE STE 101 CLAYTON, MO 63105	SAME Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☑ General ☐ Other (specify)	11-7-94	100.00
E. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
DEWINE FOR US SENATE 8 E BROAD ST - 15TH FLOOR COLUMBUS, OH 43215	SAME Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☑ General ☐ Other (specify)	11-7-94	100.00
F. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
JON KYL FOR US SENATE 6745 N 32ND ST PHOENIX, AZ 85016	SAME Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☑ General ☐ Other (specify)	11-7-94	100.00
G. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
	Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
H. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
	Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		
I. Full Name, Mailing Address and ZIP Code	**Purpose of Disbursement**	**Date (month, day, year)**	**Amount of Each Disbursement This Period**
	Disbursement for: ☐ Primary ☐ General ☐ Other (specify)		

SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional) 600.00

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only) 600.00

39531359

SCHEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Name of Committee (In Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
CATHOLIC BULLETIN 244 DAYTON ST PAUL, MN 55102	AD	10-24-94	578.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☐ Support ☐ Oppose
POSTMASTER MPLS, MN 55409	POSTAGE	10-24-94	29,948.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
ST CLOUD VISITOR 305 N 7TH AVE ST CLOUD, MN 56302	AD	10-25-94	307.50	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MN CHRISTIAN CHRONICLE 1619 PORTLAND AV S. MPLS, MN 55404	AD	10-25-94	320.63	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
POSTMASTER SAME	POSTAGE	10-27-94	542.50	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MN NEWS NETWORK 1370 DAVERN ST ST PAUL, MN 55116	AD	10-31-94	6,500.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... Pg. $ 38,596.63

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature: [signature] Date: 12-5-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5 day of December, 19 94

My Commission expires: Jan 31, 2000

NOTARY PUBLIC: Mary F. Wilson

MARY F. WILSON
NOTARY PUBLIC—MINNESOTA
HENNEPIN COUNTY
My Commission Expires JAN 31 2000

9531360

CHEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Name of Committee (in Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
WEBC 1001 E 4th ST DULUTH, MN 55805	RADIO AD	10-31-94	240.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☐ Support ☐ Oppose
KLOH / KISD PO BOX 456 PIPESTONE, MN 56164	RADIO AD	10-31-94	660.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
WKLK 807 CLOQUET AV CLOQUET, MN 55720	RADIO AD	10-31-94	150.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
KWOR PO BOX 730 WORTHINGTON, MN 56187	RADIO AD	10-31-94	1,120.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
KOUZ / KKJR 20132 HWY 15 IX HUTCHINSON, MN 55350	RADIO AD	10-31-94	234.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
KDAL 425 W SUPERIOR DULUTH, MN 55802	RADIO AD	10-31-94	480.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... Pg ..... $ 2,884.00

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature [illegible] Date 12-1-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 19 _____

My Commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

)531361

:HEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Name of Committee (in Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
WDSM/KEIO/KTCD/KXSP 1105 E SUPERIOR DULUTH, MN 55802	RADIO AD	11-1-94	744.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☐ Support ☐ Oppose
WWWI 305 W WASHINGTON BRAINERD, MN 56401	RADIO AD	11-1-94	216.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
KMFY-FM/KOZY-AM 507 11TH ST SE GRAND RAPIDS, MN 55744	RADIO AD	11-1-94	186.30	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
WKKQ-AM/WTBX-FM 12597 W TOWLINE HIBBING, MN 55746	RADIO AD	11-1-94	390.00	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
RUBY KUBISTA 4235 PILOT KNOB RD ST PAUL, MN 55122	ARRANGE + CONSULTING FOR ADS	11-1-94	451.05	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
TRACK RECORD STUDIOS 1561 SHERBURNE AV ST PAUL, MN 55104	RECORD RADIO ADS	11-2-94	144.35	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... Pg ..... $ 2,131.70

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature: [signature] Date: 12-5-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 19 _____

My Commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

531362

:HEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Name of Committee (In Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
MCCL-GEN'L FUND 4249 NICOLLET AVE MPLS, MN 55409	NEWSLETTER AD	11-2-94	1,881.75	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☐ Support ☐ Oppose
MAIL HANDLING 7550 CORPORATE WAY EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344	MAILING	11-7-94	6,471.79	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
SAME	SAME	11-8-94	8,326.28	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
SAME	PRINTING	11-8-94	4,849.96	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
LIFE-TEL 10625 W NO. AVE MILWAUKEE, WI 53226	GET-OUT-THE-VOTE PHONE CALLS	11-15-94	10,872.21	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MCCL-GEN'L FUND AS ABOVE	EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT FOR PHONE CALLING	11-17-94	4,648.03	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... fwd ..... $ 37,050.02

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature: [signature] Date: 12-8-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 19 _____

My Commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

9531363

CHEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Name of Committee (in Full): NRCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
OPTIMA DIRECT 1000 VERMONT AVE NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005	GET-OUT-THE VOTE PHONE CALLS	11-17-94	3,459.59	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☐ Support ☐ Oppose
UPS PO Box 650016 DALLAS, TX 75263	SHINING-LIT DROP	11-17-94	1,136.10	ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
				ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
				ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
				ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
				ROD GRAMS SEN-MN ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... Pg ..... $ 4,595.69

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature [signature] Date 12-5-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 19 _____

My Commission expires:

_____ NOTARY PUBLIC

39531364

SCHEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for instructions)

Name of Committee (in Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
CATHOLIC BULLETIN 244 DAYTON ST PAUL, MN 55409	AD	10-27-94	578.00	TAD JUDE CONGRESS MN-6th ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
POSTMASTER MPLS, MN 55409	POSTAGE	10-24-94	3,468.45	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MN CHRISTIAN CHRONICLE 1619 PORTLAND AV SO MPLS, MN 55404	AD	10-25-94	320.62	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
KSTP 2792 MAPLEWOOD AV MAPLEWOOD, MN 55109	RADIO AD	10-31-94	4,560.00	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
TRACK RECORD STUDIOS 1561 SHOREVIEW AV ST PAUL, MN 55104	RADIO AD RECORDING	11-2-94	87.30	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MAIL HANDLING 7550 CORPORATE WAY EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344	MAILING - AD	11-7-94	1,217.19	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... Pg ..... $ 10,231.56

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature: [illegible] Date: 12-8-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 19 _____

My Commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

)531365

CHEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Name of Committee (In Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
MAIL HANDLING SAME	SAME	11-8-94	1,425.06	TAD JUDE CONGRESS MN-6th ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MAIL HANDLING SAME	PRINTING	11-8-94	270.40	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
LIFE-TEL 10625 W ND AVE MILWAUKEE, WI 53226	GET-OUT-THE-VOTE PHONE CALLS	11-15-94	10,872.21	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MCCL-GEN'L FUND SAME	NEWSLETTER AD	11-7-94	502.75	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
				SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
				SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... Pg ..... $ 12,070.42

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature: [signature] Date: 12-5-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 19____

My Commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

9531366

HEDULE E

ITEMIZED INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)

Page 8 of 8 Pages

Name of Committee (in Full): MCCL COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS

C00129171

Full Name, Mailing Address & ZIP Code of Each Payee	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount	Name of Federal Candidate supported or opposed by the expenditure & office sought
MCCL - GEN'L FUND SAME	NEWSLETTER AD	11-7-94	388.00	DENNIS NEWINSKI CONGRESS MN - 4TH ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
SAME	SAME	11-7-94	582.15	GIL GUTKNECHT CONGRESS MN - 1ST ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
SAME	SAME	11-7-94	645.35	GARY REVIER CONGRESS MN - 2nd ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MAIL HANDLING SAME	PRINTING	12-8-94	372.25	GIL GUTKNECHT CONGRESS MN - 1ST ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
MCCL - GEN'L FUND AS ABOVE	REIMBURSEMENT FOR PHONE CALLING	11-17-94	4,648.02	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose
OPTIMA DIRECT SAME	GET-OUT-THE-VOTE PHONE CALLS	11-17-94	3,459.58	SAME ☑ Support ☐ Oppose

(a) SUBTOTAL of Itemized Independent Expenditures ..... Pg ..... $ 10,295.35

(b) SUBTOTAL of Unitemized Independent Expenditures ..... $ —

(c) TOTAL Independent Expenditures .....

TOTAL - ALL PAGES $ 118,655.37

Under penalty of perjury I certify that the independent expenditures reported herein were not made in cooperation, consultation, concert with, or at the request or suggestion of any candidate or any authorized committee or agent of such candidate or authorized committee. Furthermore, these expenditures did not involve the financing of dissemination, distribution, or republication in whole or in part of any campaign materials prepared by the candidate, his campaign committee, or their agent.

Signature: [signature] Date: 12-1-94

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 19 _____

My Commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

THE MOST IMPORTANT REASON TO VOTE TUES., NOV. 8th . . .

America is at a crossroads on the issue of life and death for millions of its unborn children. Radical pro-abortion groups want the U.S. Congress to vote to force you and your employer to pay for all abortions, to require that abortion clinics be set up in communities where there are none, and to fund deadly experiments on unborn children. Your vote can determine whether they succeed. This year your vote really is a matter of life or death!

FOR U.S. SENATE

☑ ROD GRAMS

- ★ Rod Grams has a 100% pro-life voting record in Congress.
- ★ Rod Grams voted against using your tax dollars for abortion.
- ★ Rod Grams voted to have a parent notified before an abortion is performed on their minor daughter.
- ★ Rod Grams opposes the inclusion of abortion in any national health plan.
- ★ Rod Grams is endorsed by Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for a Pro-Life Congress and the National Right to Life PAC.

☐ ANN WYNIA

- Ann Wynia has an extreme pro-abortion voting record in the Minnesota legislature (1976 - 1989).
- Ann Wynia voted in the Minnesota legislature to pay for abortions with your state tax dollars.
- Ann Wynia even voted against requiring that parents be given notice before an abortion is performed on their minor daughters.
- Ann Wynia supports a national health care plan that would fund essentially every abortion in America and require every working American to have abortion coverage as part of their health insurance. That plan would also require the creation of new abortion clinics in many communities such as St. Cloud where there are none now.

WITHOUT LIFE, EVERY OTHER ISSUE IS MEANINGLESS. SO BEFORE YOU VOTE, ASK, "WHO CAN BE TRUSTED...WITH LIFE?"

VOTE FOR LIFE . . .
VOTE ROD GRAMS
U.S. SENATE
TUESDAY, NOV. 8

Prepared and paid for by MCCL Committee for a Pro Life Congress, [illegible] Nicollet Ave., Minneapolis, MN.
Not authorized by any candidate.

LEVEL 1 - 3 OF 6 STORIES
Copyright 1994 Star Tribune
Star Tribune
<November> 7, 1994, Metro Edition
SECTION: News; Pg. 1B
LENGTH: 895 words
HEADLINE: Wynia, <Grams> pull out stops for final push;
Tight race fuels flurry of 11th-hour calls, mailings and door-knocking
BYLINE: Dennis J. McGrath; Staff Writer

BODY:

In Austin, Texas, a telemarketing firm was given a rush order late Friday afternoon: Drop the solicitations for charitable groups, supervisors at the Southern Education Council were told, and plunge into the U.S. <Senate> race in Minnesota.

Using a computer-driven phone system, the firm's employees have been making hundreds of calls each hour to Minnesota residents, telling them that Rod <Grams> opposes "abortion on demand."

The out-of-state, hired-hand phone-calling is just one example of a prodigious 11th-hour push on behalf of both U.S. <Senate> candidates to tip the balance in a race where pollsters cannot discern a clear front-runner.

The campaign is out of the hands of the candidates now. They'll go through the motions of making speeches in the final hours before the polls close Tuesday, but they've already done their bit.

Now it is up to the thousands of volunteers who are making phone calls, stuffing envelopes and distributing campaign literature. In the last five days of the campaign, political activists make at least 2 million phone calls to remind people to vote.

"We have an army of volunteers in every corner of this state that is completely mobilized," said Robert Richmond, chief organizer of the get-out-the-vote effort for DFLer Ann Wynia's U.S. <Senate> campaign.

The numbers are staggering. Richmond said that the Wynia campaign and the state DFL Party together will make at least 800,000 phone calls, mail more than 1.5 million pieces of literature and personally distribute an additional 300,000 pieces of literature. And that's not all. Since Friday, 200 DFL volunteers have been "doing visibilities" - campaign parlance for standing with campaign signs at busy intersections during rush hour or outside the Metrodome before the Vikings game. Volunteers also plan to knock on 70,000 doors in targeted precincts.

When Minneapolis and St. Paul residents leave for work on Election Day morning, there's a good chance they'll find a piece of literature from a DFL candidate hanging on their front doorknob, reminding them to vote.

Independent-Republicans are engaged in the same Herculean effort. They have mailed about 1.4 million pieces of literature, and plan to call more than 600,000 Republican or undecided households. IR congressional and state legislative candidates will distribute tens of thousands of additional pieces of literature.

"This represents perhaps our best effort in the last decade," said state IR Chair Chris Georgacas.

In addition to setting up phone banks for volunteers, both parties are using professional telemarketing to help spread their messages.

For the Republicans, the get-out-the-vote effort will cost about $ 800,000, not counting the approximately $ 2 million that <Grams'> campaign will spend.

In addition to the efforts of the campaigns and the political parties, other, independent groups also are trying to affect the outcome of the race with get-out-the-vote efforts. They include environmental groups, groups for and against abortion, labor unions, business groups and others.

That's what the phone calls from the telemarketing firm in Austin, Texas, appear to be.

Joshua Harris, a part-time employee of the telemarketing company, tipped off the Wynia campaign about the calls Saturday night, when he finished his four-hour shift. Harris is an activist and temporary paid staff member of the Democratic Party in the Austin area.

Harris said the telemarketing firm usually raises money for charities, but the script for each phone caller had been changed when he arrived at work Saturday. He found himself calling Minnesota, Michigan and Tennessee residents on behalf of Republican candidates who oppose abortion rights, he said.

The phone calling to those states apparently is random rather than to a targeted audience, because while half of the people who were called seemed interested, about half hung up midway through the pitch, Harris said.

"I called a lot of people who were tired of being called," he said.

The out-of-state phone calling may be perfectly legal, part of the "soft money" expenditures by independent groups (money raised and spent by them independent of the campaigns).

Georgacas, head of the Minnesota IR Party, said he wasn't aware of the phone effort opposing abortion, but said it might be financed by the National Right to Life Committee or the Christian Coalition.

"It doesn't surprise me that both prochoice and prolife groups are weighing in in the <Senate> race," he said.

Indeed, national abortion rights groups are spending "soft money" to help Wynia. The National Abortion and Reproductive Rights Action League political action committee recently mailed 15,000 pieces touting Wynia to suburban women who support abortion rights.

Labor unions also are helping her. The Service Employees International Union has called about 11,000 of its 21,000 members in Minnesota, most of them health-care workers, school employees and custodians. The calls were made to recruit volunteers and to determine which members supported Wynia.

Now, with the help of a professional telemarketing firm, the union is calling back the approximately 5,000 members who were identified as Wynia supporters or undecideds, urging them to vote.

OGC Docket

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

SENSITIVE

MEMORANDUM

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: MARJORIE W. EMMONS/LISA R. DAVIS L.R.D.

DATE: MARCH 6, 1995

SUBJECT: MUR 3774 - SUPPLEMENT TO COMPLAINT

The attached document is being circulated for your information.

Attachment

0040 207 10 02