



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1125 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20463

THIS IS THE END OF MUR # 2075

Date Filmed 11/1/85 Camera No. --- 2

Cameraman AS

05040134711

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Routing Cards

12-Day Report and Comments

Footnote in G.C. Report

The above-described material was removed from this file pursuant to the following exemption provided in the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. Section 552(b):

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ (1) Classified Information | ☐ (6) Personal privacy |
| ☐ (2) Internal rules and practices | ☐ (7) Investigatory files |
| ☒ (3) Exempted by other statute | ☐ (8) Banking Information |
| ☐ (4) Trade secrets and commercial or financial information | ☐ (9) Well Information (geographic or geophysical) |
| ☒ (5) Internal Documents | |

Signed

Lane R. Wersebe

date

10/16/85

FEC 9-21-77

FW
10/24/85

85040554712



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

October 10, 1985

Edward Spannaus, Treasurer
The LaRouche Campaign
P.O. Box 2150, G.P.O.
New York, New York 10116

RE: MUR 2075


Dear Mr. Spannaus:

On August 29, 1985, the Commission notified you of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1981, as amended.

The Commission, on October 3, 1985, determined that, on the basis of the information in the complaint, there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, has been committed. Accordingly, the Commission has closed its file in this matter. This matter will become a part of the public record within 30 days.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel


BY: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Attachment
General Counsel's Report

85040554713



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Edward Spannaus, Treasurer
The LaRouche Campaign
P.O. Box 2150, G.P.O.
New York, New York 10116

RE: MUR 2075

Dear Mr. Spannaus:

On August 29, 1985, the Commission notified you of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1981, as amended.

The Commission, on October 3, 1985, determined that, on the basis of the information in the complaint, there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, has been committed. Accordingly, the Commission has closed its file in this matter. This matter will become a part of the public record within 30 days.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

BY: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Attachment
General Counsel's Report

95040554711

10/8/85



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

October 10, 1985

Joe P. Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, California 93960

Re: MUR 2075

Dear Mr. Murphy:

85040554715

The Federal Election Commission has reviewed the allegations contained in your complaint dated August 19, 1985, and has determined that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") has been committed. Accordingly the Commission has decided to close the file in this matter. The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Should additional information come to your attention which you believe establishes a violation of the Act, you may file a complaint pursuant to the requirements set forth at 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 111.4.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

[Handwritten signature of Kenneth A. Gross]
BY: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Joe P. Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, California 93960

Re: MUR 2075

Dear Mr. Murphy:

The Federal Election Commission has reviewed the allegations contained in your complaint dated August 19, 1985, and has determined that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") has been committed. Accordingly the Commission has decided to close the file in this matter. The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Should additional information come to your attention which you believe establishes a violation of the Act, you may file a complaint pursuant to the requirements set forth at 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 111.4.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

BY: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report

40
10/8/85

SENSITIVE

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
1325 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

RECEIVED
FEC
OCT 11 11:47

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

DATE AND TIME OF TRANSMITTAL
BY OGC TO THE COMMISSION

10/15/1985
an

MUR # 2075
STAFF: Anne
Weissenborn

SOURCE OF MUR: Joe P. Murphy
RESPONDENTS' NAMES: The LaRouche Campaign, Inc.
Edward Spannaus, as treasurer
RELEVANT STATUTE: 2 U.S.C. § 431, et. seq.
INTERNAL REPORTS
CHECKED: The LaRouche Campaign

GENERATION OF MATTER

On August 22, 1985, the Commission received a complaint from Joe P. Murphy of Soledad, California, concerning the failure of The LaRouche Campaign ("the Committee") to repay a \$500 loan.

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS

Mr. Murphy states in his complaint that on July 21, 1984, he received a telephone solicitation for a loan from a representative of The LaRouche Campaign. He consented to a \$500 loan which was made by credit card and which was to be repaid in 30 days. As of the date of the complaint Mr. Murphy had received no repayment.

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Committee has reported the receipt of a \$500 loan from Mr. Murphy on August 2, 1984, and also a debt owed to him in the same amount.

35040554717

It appears that no violation of a law within the Commission's jurisdiction has occurred as regards the Committee's failure to repay Mr. Murphy's loan. The loan was made by an individual, not by a bank or corporation, and constituted a contribution at the time it was made. Mr. Murphy's loan therefore, does not come within the coverage of 11 C.F.R. § 114.10 which applies a "commercially reasonable" standard to the making and collection of loans obtained by political committees from corporations or banks.

Neither the Federal Election Campaign Act nor the Commission's regulations addresses the issue of the late repayment of loans received by a political committee from an individual. It appears that the Committee has fulfilled its reporting requirements concerning Mr. Murphy's loan. Therefore, this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that The LaRouche Campaign has violated the Federal Election Campaign Act in this matter, and that the file be closed. *

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Commission find no reason to believe that the LaRouche Campaign, Inc., and Edward Spannaus, as treasurer, have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

05040554713

2. Close the file.
3. Approve the attached letters.

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

Sept. 30, 1955
Date

BY:

Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Attachments

Letter to complainant
Letter to respondent

Anne #IV

35040554717

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
) MUR 2075
The LaRouche Campaign, Inc.)
Edward Spannaus, as treasurer)

CERTIFICATION

I, Marjorie W. Emmons, Secretary of the Federal Election Commission, do hereby certify that on October 3, 1985, the Commission decided by a vote of 5-0 to take the following actions in MUR 2075:

1. Find no reason to believe that the LaRouche Campaign, Inc., and Edward Spannaus, as treasurer, have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.
2. Close the file.
3. Approve the letters attached to the First General Counsel's Report signed September 30, 1985.

Commissioners Aikens, Elliott, Josefiak, McDonald and McGarry voted affirmatively in this matter; Commissioner Harris did not cast a vote.

Attest:

10-4-85

Date

Marjorie W. Emmons

Marjorie W. Emmons
Secretary of the Commission

Received in Office of Commission Secretary: 10-1-85, 11:47
Circulated on 48 hour tally basis: 10-1-85, 4:00
Deadline for votes: 10-3-85, 4:00

05040534720



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

MEMORANDUM

TO: Office of the Commission Secretary
FROM: Office of General Counsel *ld*
DATE: October 1, 1985
SUBJECT: MUR 2075 - First General Counsel's Report

The attached is submitted as an Agenda document
for the Commission Meeting of _____
Open Session _____
Closed Session _____

CIRCULATIONS

48 Hour Tally Vote ☒
Sensitive ☒
Non-Sensitive ☐

24 Hour No Objection ☐
Sensitive ☐
Non-Sensitive ☐

Information ☐
Sensitive ☐
Non-Sensitive ☐

Other ☐

DISTRIBUTION

Compliance ☒
Audit Matters ☐

Litigation ☐
Closed MUR Letters ☐

Status Sheets ☐
Advisory Opinions ☐

Other (see distribution below) ☐

05040554721

SENSITIVE

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

85 OCT 11 AM 11:47

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

DATE AND TIME OF TRANSMITTAL
BY OGC TO THE COMMISSION

10/1/85: 10:00
an

MUR # 2075
STAFF: Anne
Weissenborn

SOURCE OF MUR: Joe P. Murphy

RESPONDENTS' NAMES: The LaRouche Campaign, Inc.
Edward Spannaus, as treasurer

RELEVANT STATUTE: 2 U.S.C. § 431, et. seq.

INTERNAL REPORTS
CHECKED: The LaRouche Campaign

GENERATION OF MATTER

On August 22, 1985, the Commission received a complaint from Joe P. Murphy of Soledad, California, concerning the failure of The LaRouche Campaign ("the Committee") to repay a \$500 loan.

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS

Mr. Murphy states in his complaint that on July 21, 1984, he received a telephone solicitation for a loan from a representative of The LaRouche Campaign. He consented to a \$500 loan which was made by credit card and which was to be repaid in 30 days. As of the date of the complaint Mr. Murphy had received no repayment.

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Committee has reported the receipt of a \$500 loan from Mr. Murphy on August 2, 1984, and also a debt owed to him in the same amount.

35040554722

It appears that no violation of a law within the Commission's jurisdiction has occurred as regards the Committee's failure to repay Mr. Murphy's loan. The loan was made by an individual, not by a bank or corporation, and constituted a contribution at the time it was made. Mr. Murphy's loan therefore, does not come within the coverage of 11 C.F.R. § 114.10 which applies a "commercially reasonable" standard to the making and collection of loans obtained by political committees from corporations or banks.

Neither the Federal Election Campaign Act nor the Commission's regulations addresses the issue of the late repayment of loans received by a political committee from an individual. It appears that the Committee has fulfilled its reporting requirements concerning Mr. Murphy's loan. Therefore, this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that The LaRouche Campaign has violated the Federal Election Campaign Act in this matter, and that the file be closed. *

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Commission find no reason to believe that the LaRouche Campaign, Inc., and Edward Spannaus, as treasurer, have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

05040554723

2. Close the file.
3. Approve the attached letters.

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

Sept 30, 1985
Date

BY:

Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Attachments

Letter to complainant
Letter to respondent

Anne #IV

05040554721



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Joe P. Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, California 93960

Re: MUR 2075

Dear Mr. Murphy:

35040554723
The Federal Election Commission has reviewed the allegations contained in your complaint dated August 19, 1985, and has determined that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") has been committed. Accordingly the Commission has decided to close the file in this matter. The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Should additional information come to your attention which you believe establishes a violation of the Act, you may file a complaint pursuant to the requirements set forth at 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 111.4.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

BY: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report

①



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Edward Spannaus, Treasurer
The LaRouche Campaign
P.O. Box 2150 G.P.O.
New York, NY 10116

Re: MUR 2075

Dear Mr. Spannaus:

On August 29, 1985, the Commission notified you of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

The Commission, on September , 1985, determined that, on the basis of the information in the complaint, there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, has been committed. Accordingly, the Commission has closed its file in this matter. This matter will become a part of the public record within 30 days.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

BY: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Attachment
General Counsel's Report

PERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
100 LONGFELLOW PLACE
BOSTON, MA 02114

5040334727



Charles N. Steele, General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463



G.C.# 8633

ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ODIN P. ANDERSON
ROBERT L. ROSSI
A. DAVID DAVIS
TRACY ROACH

ONE LONGFELLOW PLACE
SUITE 216
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02114
(617) 742-8200

September 23, 1985

Charles N. Steele, General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: MUR 2075

Dear Mr. Steele;

This letter is in response to notification from the Commission that a complaint by Joe P. Murphy, dated July 19, 1985, alleges that The LaRouche Campaign ("TLC") and its Treasurer Edward Spannaus have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act").

Mr. Murphy's consumer complaint concerning an overdue loan to TLC is not within the jurisdiction of the Federal Election Commission and should be dismissed forthwith.

Very truly yours,
The LaRouche Campaign,
Edward Spannaus, Treasurer,
By Their Attorney,


Tracy Roach

RECEIVED
SEP 27 11:44
FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

35040554723



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463


September 17, 1985

Edward Spannaus, Treasurer
The LaRouche Campaign, Inc.
P.O. Box 17720
Washington, D.C. 20041-0720

Dear Mr. Spannaus:

This letter is in response to a phone call received today from Ms. Mary Jane Freeman. As per her request please find enclosed a copy of the improper complaint filed by Mr. Joe Murphy and the letter to him dated August 16, 1985. It appears that he resubmitted the complaint of July 19, 1985, with the notarization of August 9th, 1985, albeit incorrect, attached. I apologize for any inconveniences due to this inadvertent oversight and hope this fulfills your request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Stuart C. McHardy", is written over a circular stamp.

Stuart C. McHardy
Deputy Clerk

05040554729



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

29 August 1985

Edward Spannaus
Treasurer
P.O. Box 2150 GPO
New York, NY 10116

Re: MUR 2075

Dear Mr. Spannaus:

This letter is to notify you that on August 22, 1985, the Federal Election Commission received a complaint which alleges that the LaRouche Campaign, Inc. may have violated certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 2075. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate, in writing, that no action should be taken against you and the LaRouche Campaign, Inc. in connection with this matter. Your response must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. 437g(a) (4) (B) and 437g(a) (12) (A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public.


If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and a statement authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

85040554730

If you have any questions, please contact Anne Weissenborn, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202)523-4000. For your information, we have attached a brief description of the Commission's procedure for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel



By: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Enclosures
Complaint
Procedures
Designation of Counsel Statement

85040554731



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

29 August 1985

Joe P. Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, CA 93960


Dear Mr. Murphy:

This letter is to acknowledge receipt of your complaint which we received on August 22, 1985, against the LaRouche Campaign, Inc., which alleges violations of the Federal Election Campaign laws. A staff member has been assigned to analyze your allegations. The respondent will be notified of this complaint within five days.

You will be notified as soon as the Commission takes final action on your complaint. Should you receive any additional information in this matter, please forward it to this office. We suggest that this information be sworn to in the same manner as your original complaint. For your information, we have attached a brief description of the Commission's procedure for handling complaints. We have numbered this matter under review MUR 2075. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence. If you have any questions, please contact Stuart C. Mc Hardy at (202)523-4075.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel


By: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Enclosure

85040554732

RECEIVED AT THE FEC
GCC # 8361
85 AUG 22 10: 47

August 19, 1985

Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20463

Gentlemen:

I, Joe P. Murphy, do swear that the contents of my complaint against The LaRouche Campaign are true to the best of my knowledge. The complaint includes the following documents: 1. letter to FEC dated July 19, 1985 2. letter to Lyndon LaRouche dated October 31, 1984 3. letter to L.S. Koop dated November 9, 1984 with copy of VISA transaction 4. Promissory Note issued by The LaRouche Campaign (no date) postmarked November 20, 1984.

Sincerely,

Joe P. Murphy
Joe P. Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, CA 93960

A Notary's Certificate or Jurat:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of Aug 19 85.
C. Solaro Notary Public in and for the County of Monterey State of California.

My Commission expires 7-28-1989.

C. Solaro



J. Murphy
Box 548
ad. CA 93960



Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20463



05 AUG 22 10:46

THE FEC



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

August 16, 1985

Edward Spannaus
Treasurer
The LaRouche Campaign, Inc.
P.O. Box 2150 GPO
New York, NY 10116

Dear Mr. Spannaus:


On August 12, 1985, the Federal Election Commission received a letter alleging that the LaRouche Campaign, Inc. may have violated sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended. As indicated from the copy of the enclosed letter addressed to the complainant, those allegations do not meet certain specific requirements for the proper filing of a complaint. Thus, no action will be taken on this matter unless the allegations are refiled meeting the requirements for a properly filed complaint. If the matter is refiled, you will be notified at that time.

This matter will remain confidential for 15 days to allow for the correction of the defects. If the defects are not cured and the allegations are not refiled, no additional notification will be provided and the file will be closed.

If you have any questions, please call Retha Dixon, the Acting Docket Chief, at (202)523-4143.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel


By: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

Enclosures

Copy of Improper Complaint
Copy of letter to the Improper Complainant

35040534735



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

16 August 1985

Joe P. Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, CA 93960

Dear Mr. Murphy:


This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter, which we received on August 12, 1985. Your letter contained a notarization on your signature but was not properly sworn to.

You must swear before the notary that the contents of your complaint are true to the best of your knowledge and the notary must represent as part of the jurat that such swearing occurred. A statement by the notary that the complaint was sworn to and subscribed before him will be sufficient. We are sorry for the inconvenience that these requirements may cause you, but we are not statutorily empowered to proceed with the handling of a compliance action unless all the statutory requirements are fulfilled. See U.S.C. § 437g.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me at (202) 523-4000 or Stuart Mc Hardy at (202) 523-4075.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel


By: Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

35040554736



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

1 August 1985

Joe P. Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, California 93960

Dear Mr. Murphy:

We have received your letter of July 19, 1985, regarding the possibility of a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act").

The 1976 amendments to the Act and Commission regulations require that a complaint meet certain specific requirements. Since your letter does not meet these requirements, the Commission can take no action at this time to investigate this matter.

Specifically, the allegations in your letter are not sworn to before a notary public. The mere notarization as it appears in your letter is not sufficient. See 2 U.S.C. §437g(a)(1).

The file regarding this correspondence will remain confidential for fifteen days during which you may refile your complaint. If we may be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (202) 523-4000.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele
General Counsel

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Kenneth A. Gross", is written over the typed name and title.

By Kenneth A. Gross
Associate General Counsel

85040554737

RECEIVED AT THE FEC
GOC# 8282
85 AUG 12 12:06

July 19, 1985

Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20463

Gentlemen:

I am writing upon the advice of The Consumer Council KCBS radio in San Francisco, California with regard to the enclosed documents. I understand this Commission is for the benefit of the consumer of such problems as this.

I believe my letter dated October 31, 1984 fairly well explains the situation. To this date I have received no further communication from The LaRouche Campaign. I would appreciate any help you can give me with regards to this matter. If I can be of further help to you, please do not hesitate to call me at the following number: 408-675-2371.

Sincerely,

Joe P. Murphy

Joe P. Murphy
P. O. Box 548
Soledad, CA 93960

INDIVIDUAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California

County of Monterey

} S.S.


On this 9th day of August, 1985, in the year 1985, before me, Pauline Tomasini,
a Notary Public in and for the Monterey County, personally appeared Joe P. Murphy

☒ personally known to me

☐ proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence

to be the person(s) whose name is subscribed to this instrument, and acknowledged that he executed it.

(SEAL)



WITNESS my hand and official seal,

Pauline Tomasini
Notary Public in and for the Monterey County and State.
My commission expires October 29, 19 85

4128-489-120-217

5110351

JOE Murphy

The LaRooche
Campaign**CHEMICAL BANK**

The issuer of the card identified on this form is authorized to pay the amount shown as TOTAL upon proper presentation. I agree to pay such TOTAL (together with any other charges due thereon) subject to and in accordance with the agreement governing the use of such card.

Buyer's
Signature X

Phone order

P.O. Box 548

Soledad, Ca. 93960

Take	Send	Dept.	Card No.	Initials	Authorization No.	Month	Day
		STB		SK	93213	07	31
Quan.	Description					Unit Cost	Amount
	Loan						500
	(30 Days)						
THIS FORM TO BE USED WITH						Currency Conversion Rate	Sub Total
OR						Date	Tax
Amount						Total	500

● IMPORTANT ●
RETAIN THIS COPY FOR YOUR RECORDS

L.J. Koop

Citibank (South Dakota) N.A.

P.O. Box 6060

Sioux Falls, S.D. 57117

11-9-84

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed a copy of a letter explaining the above sales slip.

I have been advised to seek reimbursement from the bank for the \$500 + I paid to cover this charge, and to ask you to reverse the charge to the LaRooche Campaign. Thank you for your help.

Joe Murphy

35040554733

PROMISSORY NOTE

Postmarked 11-20-84

Issued-By: The LaRouche Campaign
P.O. Box 2150 GPO
New York NY 10116

(The Committee)

Issued-To: JOE MURPHY
P.O. BOX 548
SOLEDAD CA 93960

(The Lender)

The Committee acknowledges receipt of a loan of \$500.00 from the Lender on 08/02/84.

The Committee acknowledges its indebtedness to the Lender only, in the amount of \$500.00, which it shall repay to the Lender by 09/02/84.

This obligation of the Committee to the Lender shall not be assigned, transferred, or discounted.

Edward Spannaus
Treasurer
The LaRouche Campaign

85040554740

304 W. 58th St.
N.Y. N.Y. 10019

Box 2150, GPO
N.Y. N.Y. 10116

1826 NORIEGA ST.
SAN. FRAN. CA 94122

ANDY GOODMAN
277 GOLDEN GATE AV.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
415-565-7894

Joe Murphy
P.O. Box 548
Soledad, CA 93960
Oct, 31, 1984

Lyndon LaRouche

Mr. LaRouche,

I began following your campaign about six months ago. In July of 1984 I made a \$50.00 contribution to your campaign as a result of a phone call from one of your female associates (I do not recall her name). On July 31, 1984 the same person called asking for a further contribution. We agreed on a loan of \$500.00 from Joe Murphy to the LaRouche Campaign payable in 30 days. Sometime during the first week of September this same person called requesting an extension of the loan. I requested the loan be repaid immediately as agreed. On Oct. 23, 1984 I received a phone call from Fred Halward(not sure about the spelling) in the San Francisco office, 1826 Noriega St. 415-661-0210. I requested information from him as to whom I should talk concerning the non-repayment of the loan. He avoided giving any names or titles, but said he would check on it. As of Oct. 31, 1984 I have received no repayment or further communication from any of your associates.


Please see to the repayment of this loan as I am sure you would not approve of this failure to repay. Your remittance within ten working days will be appreciated.

This letter was written based upon advice given by The Consumer Council KCBS radio, San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Joe Murphy

Murphy
Box 548
Ad, CA



Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

85 AUG 12 PM: 06

40554740



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1125 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF MUR # 2075

Date Filmed 11/1/85 Camera No. ---2

Cameraman AS

85040534743